

ROBERT K. ANGSTEAD MARK W. COMLEY CATHLEEN A. MARTIN STEPHEN G. NEWMAN JOHN A. RUTH PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW MONROE BLUFF EXECUTIVE CENTER 601 MONROE STREET, SUITE 301 P.O. BOX 537 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102-0537 www.ncrpc.com March 2, 2004

TELEPHONE: (573) 634-2266 Facsimile: (573) 636-3306 The Honorable Dale Hardy Roberts Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0360

MAR 0 2 2004

FILED

Missouri Public Service Commission

Re: Case No. TO-2004-0207

Dear Judge Roberts:

It has come to my attention that the exhibit for John M. Ivanuska's rebuttal testimony was inadvertently omitted from yesterday's filing. Please find enclosed the original and five copies of Exhibit 1. I am providing this exhibit by e-mail to the parties of record. I am sorry for any inconvenience this oversight may have caused.

Please contact me if you have any questions regarding this filing. Thank you.

Very truly yours,

NEWMAN, COMLEY & RUTH P.C.

By:

. Comley Comlev comlevm@ncrpc.com

MWC:ab

Enclosure

cc: Office of Public Counsel General Counsel's Office Jason Wakefield Counsel of record

JMI Exhibit 1 Page 1 of 2

÷

Subject:

----Original Message-----

5

FW: Birch Missouri L/T rebuttal - Ex. 1

From: RENTSCHLER, TERESA A (SWBT) [mailto:tr2832@sbc.com]

Sent: Friday, February 20, 2004 4:49 PM To: Jewell, Deborah Subject: FW: Follow Up: Elimination of Unbundled Interoffice Transport for Deb-SBC values Birch as a customer, and hopes to continue serving you. However, at this time, we are working to develop a specific product to replace the UNE interoffice transport (and unbundled local switching) that may be eliminated in certain areas. We anticipate that any future "replacement" product for interoffice transport would be at a higher price point than it is currently. Because we value our relationship, if you have a proposal that you would like to present to SBC for consideration, we would be interested in reviewing such. At this time, SBC is not in a position to make a proposal for a UNE replacement product for interoffice transport (or switching). We appreciate your inquiry. SBC is interested in developing commercial arrangements going forward. However, we are not prepared to make a proposal to you at this juncture. Thanks, TR > ----Original Message-----> From: RENTSCHLER, TERESA A (SWBT) > Sent: Wednesday, February 18, 2004 9:50 AM > To: 'Jewell, Deborah' > Subject: RE: Follow Up: Elimination of Unbundled Interoffice > Transport for the Mass Market > > Deb-> I am consulting with Industry Markets' leadership team regarding this > request. I am hoping to be able to give you further feedback some time > next week. > > Thanks, > TR ----Original Message-----> > From: Jewell, Deborah [mailto:DJewell@birch.com] > Sent: Tuesday, February 17, 2004 2:08 PM > To: RENTSCHLER, TERESA A (SWBT) > Subject: Follow Up: Elimination of Unbundled Interoffice Transport > for the Mass Market

> Teresa:

JMI Exhibit 1 Page 2 of 2

> > Just following up on your response dated 1/23/04 @ 9:59a.... Does SBC > plan to offer a proposal to Birch/Ionex in an attempt to retain this > portion of our business or should we proceed, assuming SBC will not be > attempting to retain our business? > > -----Original Message-----> From: Jewell, Deborah > Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2004 2:47 PM > To: 'Rentschler, Teresa' > Subject: Elimination of Unbundled Interoffice Transport for the Mass > Market > > Teresa: > > As part of the state impairment hearings, SBC is not only supporting the > elimination of unbundled local switching, but is actively submitting lists > of interoffice transport routes that should be delisted (or removed as > unbundled offerings). Such a delisting will be particularly impacting to > the EELs SBC currently offers. While Birch/Ionex does not concede that > all the routes SBC is submitting will be removed as UNE offerings, we are > approaching the issue with prudence and are developing contingency plans > that will ultimately allow us to secure interoffice transport at more > economical rates. > I'm sharing this information with you because Birch/Ionex would like to > give SBC the opportunity to retain some portion of the revenue it > currently derives from Birch/Ionex in the transport area. To that end, I > invite you to submit a proposal for the provision of interoffice transport > (as well as local switching, if you have interest), assuming a future > state of some degree of delisted transport . Because the revision of > rules surrounding mass market transport is on a nine month clock and the > state commissions are targeting a July decision, I encourage SBC to > respond quickly should it want to be considered as a future Birch/Ionex > transport vendor. > If you have any questions or would like to discuss this opportunity > future, please call me. > << OLE Object: Picture (Metafile) >> > > Deborah Jewell > Carrier Relations Manager > Birch Telecom > Office: 816-300-3286 > Mobile: 913-226-7166 > djewell@birch.com

>

P