BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Application of BPS Telephone Company for)	
Approval of a Traffic Termination Agreement)	Case No. TO-2005-0333
under the Telecommunications Act of 1996.)	

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

COMES NOW the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission (Staff) and for its recommendation respectfully states:

- 1. In the attached Memorandum, labeled Appendix A, the Staff recommends that the Missouri Public Service Commission grant approval of the Agreement characterized as a "Traffic Termination Agreement" between BPS Telephone Company and Sprint Spectrum, L.P. d/b/a Sprint PCS (the "Agreement"), filed by BPS Telephone Company under the provisions of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996.
- 2. The Agreement does not discriminate against telecommunications carriers not a party to the Agreement and is not against the public interest, convenience or necessity. Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §252(e), the Commission is to approve a negotiated interconnection agreement (i) unless the agreement or any portion thereof discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement, or (ii) unless implementation of the agreement or any portion thereof is inconsistent with the public interest, convenience, or necessity.
- 3. The Staff further states that the Parties have submitted this negotiated Agreement pursuant to Section 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, and that the Parties have characterized the Agreement as a "Traffic Termination Agreement." The Staff can find no reference in Section 252 to "Traffic Termination Agreement." Consequently, the Staff recommends the Commission issue an Order approving a wireless "interconnection agreement"

and not an Order approving "Traffic Termination Agreement." The Commission has addressed this topic in a series of proceedings, consolidated for argument with the lead case of *Application of Kingdom Telephone Company for Approval of a Traffic Termination Agreement under the Telecommunications Act of 1996*, Case No. IO-2003-0201, and found the classification of "traffic termination agreement" to be nonexistent. *See, e.g.,* Order Denying Motion for Correction, *In the Matter of the Application of Craw-Kan Telephone Cooperative for Approval of a Traffic Termination Agreement Under the Telecommunications Act of 1996*, Case No. IK-2003-0245 (Sept. 25, 2003).

WHEREFORE, because the terms of the Agreement satisfy the standard set forth in 47 U.S.C. §252(e), Staff recommends the Commission approve the Agreement as a wireless interconnection agreement and direct the parties to the Agreement to submit any future modifications or amendments to the Agreement to the Commission for approval.

Respectfully submitted,

DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

/s/ William K. Haas

William K. Haas Deputy General Counsel Missouri Bar No. 28701

Attorney for the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission P. O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102
(573) 751-7510 (Telephone)
(573) 751-9285 (Fax)
william.haas@psc.mo.gov

Certificate of Service

I hereby	certify th	at copies	of the	foregoing	have been	en mailed,	hand-delivered,	transmitted	by
facsimile	or electro	onically m	ailed to	all counse	el of reco	rd this 25 th	day of April 200	05.	

/s/ William K. Haas