Exhibit No.:

Issues: 4 CSR 240-10.020
Witness: Gary S. Weiss
Sponsoring Party: Union Electric Company
Type of Exhibit: Surrebuttal Testimony

Case No.: ER-2007-0002

Date Testimony Prepared: February 27, 2007

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO. ER-2007-0002

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

GARY S. WEISS

ON

BEHALF OF

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a AmerenUE

> St. Louis, Missouri February, 2007

1		SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY	
2		OF	
3		GARY S. WEISS	
4		CASE NO. ER-2007-0002	
5	Q.	Please state your name and business address.	
6	A.	My name is Gary S. Weiss. My business address is One Ameren Plaza, 1901	
7	Chouteau Avenue, St. Louis, Missouri 63166-6149.		
8	Q.	Are you the same Gary S. Weiss that filed Direct and Rebuttal Testimony	
9	in this proceeding?		
10	A.	Yes, I am.	
11	Q.	What is the purpose of your Surrebuttal Testimony in this proceeding?	
12	A.	I am responding to certain issues raised by Missouri Public Service	
13	Commission Staff witness Robert Schallenberg and State of Missouri witness Michael		
14	4 Brosch in their Rebuttal Testimony concerning the application of Commission rule 4 CSR		
15	240-10.020.	Both of these witnesses question the Company's calculation of the impact on its	
16	revenue requirement from the application of 4 CSR 240-10.020 as shown on Schedule GSW-		
17	E38 attached to my Supplemental Direct Testimony.		
18	Q.	How do Mr. Schallenberg and Mr. Brosch suggest that the application of	
19	4 CSR 240-10.020 could differ from the Company's calculation and application?		
20	A.	Mr. Schallenberg and Mr. Brosch suggest that one possible interpretation of	
21	the rule is that the full accumulated depreciation reserve should be deducted from rate base		
22	and a credit must be provided to reflect the 3% earnings on the accumulated depreciation		

20

21

22

1 reserve. This would equate to a double counting of the credit owed to customers due to the 2 accumulated depreciation reserve. 3 Q. Is this how the Commission intended 4 CSR 240-10.020 to be applied? 4 Clearly it is not how the Commission intended that the rule would be applied. A. 5 The order in Commission Case No. 10,723, effective January 31, 1946 (which implemented 6 the rule) states: "It is obvious, however, that if the utilities allowable return is reduced by 7 income on depreciation funds, the utility rate base upon which the allowable return is 8 predicated, should be an undepreciated rate base." 27 Missouri PSC Reports 293 (1946). 9 This finding makes it crystal clear that the Commission did not intend that the utility should 10 both provide a 3% credit to customers and reduce rate base by the accumulated depreciation 11 reserve. 12 Q. Would the interpretation of 4 CSR 240-10.020 suggested by 13 Mr. Schallenberg and Mr. Brosch be in contradiction of the Commission's intent to 14 allocate risks and rewards between the utility and its customers? 15 A. I believe so. As it states in the order in Case No. 10,723 "The utilities assume 16 all of the hazards and risks associated with the ownership, management and operation of such 17 property, including any losses or reductions of earnings below a fair or compensatory return, 18 whereas the customers assume no responsibilities or risks whatever, with respect to the 19 property....However, the customers are entitled to share in such income at least to the extent

of the value of depreciation funds." Id. at 296. The 3% earnings on the depreciation reserve

in rule 4 CSR 240-10.020 provides the customer sharing of that income. To also reduce the

rate base by the accumulated depreciation reserve would provide additional income to the

21

22

4 CSR-240-10.020 in this case.

1 customers beyond that contemplated by the rule. This would have the effect of giving all of 2 the benefit of the depreciation reserve to the customers and none to the utilities. 3 Q. Has the Staff previously agreed with the Company's position on how the 4 impact of 4 CSR 240-10.020 should be calculated, if the rule is applied? 5 A. Yes. In his deposition in Case No. EC-2002-1 on April 24, 2002, Staff 6 witness Gregory Meyer walked through an example of how 4 CSR 240-10.020 would impact 7 the Company's revenue requirement if it was applied, and agreed the Company's calculation 8 was correct. The relevant pages from Mr. Meyer's deposition are attached as Schedule GSW-9 E41. The example presented to Mr. Meyer in his deposition was consistent with the 10 Company's position on the application of the rule in this case. 11 Q. Does the Company's calculation and application of 4 CSR 240-10.020 12 meet the requirements of the rule? 13 A. Yes. Schedule GSW –E38 attached to my Supplemental Direct Testimony 14 follows the requirements of the rule. The accumulated depreciation reserve is not deducted 15 from the rate base and the 3% earnings on the accumulated depreciation reserve is a credit to 16 the revenue requirement.. 17 Are you recommending that the Commission follow rule 4 CSR-240-Q. **10.020** in this case? 18 19 No. Although the Company believes that this rule remains in effect and A. 20 should be applied, this is a legal issue which will be addressed in briefs to be filed in this

case. The purpose of my testimony is to provide the correct calculation of applying rule

Surrebuttal Testimony of Gary S. Weiss

- 1 Q. Does this conclude your Surrebuttal Testimony?
- 2 A. Yes, it does.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

THE STAFF OF THE MISSOURI

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION,

Complainant,

Vs.

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a

AMERENUE,

April 24, 2002

Respondent.

April 24, 2002

Jefferson City, Mo.

DEPOSITION OF GREGORY MEYER



ASSOCIATED COURT REPORTERS

714 West High Street • Jefferson City, MO 65109 1.573.636.7551 • 1.888.636.7551 • 1.573.636.9055 (Fax) Jefferson City • Columbia • Rolla • St. Louis • Clayton • St. Charles www.missouridepos.com

spherion.

Q. Did you look at any periods over twenty years, or is that like the maximum that you would consider?

an EMS run.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. I don't recall specifically looking at anything larger than twenty.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ BYRNE: I think I need to mark a couple exhibits.

(Whereupon, a discussion was held off the record.)

(Whereupon, Exhibit Nos. 1 and 2 were marked for identification.)

- Q. Mr. Meyer, I have had two exhibits marked. Have you had a chance to look at those at the break?
 - A. I have reviewed them briefly.
- Q. Okay. The first one is -- I guess it's Meyer 1, and it is 4 CSR 240-10.020, which is the Commission rule on income on depreciation fund investments; is that correct?
 - A. That's what the title says.

Q. I mean, the rule speaks for itself, but generally doesn't it talk about crediting ratepayers for income from the investment of depreciation funds?

- A. That's what I got out of reading it so far.
- Q. And the second document, which has been marked Meyer 2, is a page out of the Missouri Register, volume 26, number 17, September 4th, 2001, page 1659, and it's got a proposed rescission of that rule in that; is that correct?
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. And there is a paragraph in that proposed rescission that says purpose. Do you see that paragraph?
 - A. Yes.

Q. And toward the end of that paragraph

-- or the bottom half of that paragraph says I

guess in explaining the reasons for repealing it,

it says "The rule is obsolete concerning rate

based regulated companies. The rule prescribes

the uses of income on investments from

depreciation funds, the appropriate interest

rate, and how the funds are accounted for when

setting reasonable rates. The current practice,
which has been used for several decades, is to
use the accumulated depreciation reserve amount
as a reduction to rate base when calculating
reasonable rates." Is that a correct reading of
that?

A. You read it correctly.

7

Okay. I guess what I'd like to ask 8 Q. you using a simple example is how does the Commission calculate rates accounting for the 10 accumulated depreciation reserve currently, and 11 how would it do it under the terms of this rule. 12 The example I have hopefully that's simple enough 13 to follow, let's imagine a utility has a 14 billion -- that's billion with a B -- dollars in 15 original cost rate base. Let's say it has 500 16 million dollars in accumulated depreciation. 17 Let's say the Commission determines that an 18 overall rate of return of ten percent would be 19 the appropriate overall rate of return for the 20 utility. Now, my understanding is -- I realize 21 this is an oversimplified example, but my 22 understanding is the way things work under the 23 Commission's current practice is that it would 24 take the one billion dollars, billion with a B, 25

of original cost rate base. It would subtract
the 500 million dollars of accumulated
depreciation, yielding a result of 500 million
dollars. Then it would multiply the authorized
return by the net rate base number of 500 million
dollars, yielding a return component in the rates
of 50 million dollars. Is that correct?

A. That would be its required return, 50 million dollars, under your assumptions.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, under this rule that's Okay. 0. obsolete and proposing to be repealed, my understanding is that the accumulated depreciation reserve is not subtracted from the rate base. Instead, using my example calculation, you would multiply the original cost rate base, which is the one billion dollars, times the ten percent authorized return, and you would get a return of 100 million dollars. as an offset to that return, the ratepayers would get a credit for the investment income earned on accumulated depreciation reserve of three So the ratepayers then would get a percent. credit of three percent times the accumulated depreciation reserve of 500 million dollars, which would be 15 million dollars a year. So the net effect of applying this rule in my simple example would be a 100 million dollar return reduced by a fifteen million dollar credit. Is that your understanding?

- A. I am sorry. I didn't understand how you got the fifteen million.
- Q. I got the fifteen million by giving the ratepayers a credit under 4 CSR 240-10.020, giving them a credit of three percent for income from investment of the accumulated reserve.
- A. That's correct. The only thing I would add to that is your example assumes that the rate of return as traditionally been applied under the Commission's rate base regulation would remain constant between your two scenarios.
- Q. Got you. I am asking you to assume the rate of return is the same in the two scenarios. Okay. I'd like to shift gears for a second and ask you some questions about test year. I know you have been asked some questions before, so hopefully this won't be too long. Just for point of reference, can you tell me what test year is?
- A. Generally a test year would be a twelve month period used to establish the proper

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in the Company's Missouri Service Area.)) Case No. ER-2007-0002)		
AFFIDAVIT OF GARY S. WEISS			
STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss CITY OF ST. LOUIS)			
Gary S. Weiss, being first duly swon	n on his oath, states:		
1. My name is Gary S. Weiss.	I work in St. Louis, Missouri and I am employed		
by Ameren Services Company as Manager I	Regulatory Accounting.		
2. Attached hereto and made a p	part hereof for all purposes is my Surrebuttal		
Testimony on behalf of Union Electric Com	pany d/b/a AmerenUE consisting of 4 pages and		
Schedule GSW-E41, which has been prepare	ed in written form for introduction into evidence		
in the above-referenced docket.			
3. I hereby swear and affirm tha	at my answers contained in the attached testimony		
to the questions therein propounded are true	and correct.		
Subscribed and sworn to before me this	Gary S. Weiss day of February, 2007.		
	Notary Public		
My commission expires: May 9,200			
CAROLYN J. WOODSTOCK Notary Public - Notary Seal STATE OF MISSOURI Frantalin County My Commission Expires: May 19, 2008			