Exhibit No.:

Issues: Revenue Witness: Curt Wells

Sponsoring Party: MO PSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony

Case No.: ER-2007-0291

Date Testimony Prepared: July 24, 2007

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION UTILITY OPERATIONS DIVISION

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

CURT WELLS

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY CASE NO. ER-2007-0291

Jefferson City, Missouri

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Applica City Power and Light Approval to Make Certain Charges for Electric Implement Its Regulatory Pl	Company for Changes in its Service To)	Case No. ER-2007-0291		
AFFIDAVIT OF CURT WELLS					
STATE OF MISSOURI COUNTY OF COLE)) ss)				
Curt Wells, of lawful age, on his oath states: that he has participated in the preparation of the following Direct Testimony in question and answer form, consisting of pages of Direct Testimony to be presented in the above case, that the answers in the following Direct Testimony were given by him; that he has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true to the best of his knowledge and belief.					
			Cent Ill Curt Wells		
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 23 rd day of July, 2007.					
NOTARY NOTARY SEAL SEAL Callaway Cou	Expires 2010 unty	Suc	Notary Public		
My commission expires	9-21-07				

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	DIRECT TESTIMONY
3	OF
4	CURT WELLS
5	KANSAS CITY POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY
6	CASE NO. ER-2007-0291
7	
8	RELIANCE ON OTHER STAFF2
9	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY2

1	DIRECT TESTIMONY			
2	OF			
3	CURT WELLS			
4	KANSAS CITY POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY			
5	CASE NO. ER-2007-0291			
6	Q. Please state your name and business address.			
7	A. My name is Curt Wells and my business address is Missouri Public Service			
8	Commission, P. O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102.			
9	Q. What is your present position with the Missouri Public Service Commission			
10	(Commission)?			
11	A. I am a Regulatory Economist in the Energy Department of the Utility			
12	Operations Division.			
13	Q. Please review your educational background and work experience.			
14	A. I have a Bachelor's degree in Economics from Duke University, a Master's			
15	degree in Economics from The Pennsylvania State University, and a Master's degree in			
16	Applied Economics from Southern Methodist University. I have been employed by the			
17	Missouri Public Service Commission since February, 2006. Prior to joining the Commission			
18	I completed a career in the U.S. Air Force, which included assignments as a navigator in			
19	weather reconnaissance aircraft, and later in the Purchasing/Contracting area as Contrac			
20	Negotiator and Administrator, Contracting Policy Manager, Installation Purchasing			
21	Department Chief, and Contracting Program Manager.			
22	Q. Have you filed testimony in prior cases?			
23	A. Yes. My previous testimony is listed in Schedule CW-1.			

RELIANCE ON OTHER STAFF

Q. What reliance did you place on other staff members?

A. Experts determining the annualized, normalized test year rate revenues portion of operating revenues of a utility typically rely on the work of others. I did so here. I relied on the following members of the Staff in determining the annualized, normalized test year rate revenues portion of operating revenues of KCPL used for Staff's determination of KCPL's cost of service in this case: Mr. Shawn Lange, Mr. David Roos, Ms. Janice Pyatte, and Mr. Graham Vesely. The qualifications of each of these Staff persons--education and experience-is provided in Appendix 1 to the Staff's Cost of Service Report filed in this case, as is a general description of the nature of the work each performed. Based on my experience in determining the annualized, normalized test year rate revenues portion of operating revenues of utilities, the work product each of these persons provided was reasonable and reliable for determining the annualized, normalized test year rate revenues portion of operating revenues of KCPL.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

A. The purpose of this testimony is to sponsor the annualized, normalized test year Missouri Rate Revenues component of operating revenues to be used in the determination of the adequacy of Kansas City Power and Light Company's (KCPL's) Current Revenues when compared to KCPL's Revenue Requirement.

Rate revenue for all rate classes was provided by KCPL. Rate revenue was then adjusted to determine the level of revenue that the Company would have collected on an

Direct Testimony of Curt Wells

1 2

3 4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

annual, normal-weather basis, based on information "known and measurable" at the end of the update period.

The two major categories of adjustments are referred to as normalizations and annualizations. Normalizations deal with test year events that are unusual and unlikely to be repeated in the years when the new rates from this case are in effect. Test year weather is an example. Annualizations are adjustments that re-state test year results as if conditions known at the end of the update period had existed throughout the entire test year. Customer growth is an example. The adjustments are shown on Staff Accounting Schedule 10 – Adjustments to Income Statement. Details of the methodology and results are in the Revenue Section of the Cost of Service Report.

- Does this conclude your direct testimony? Q.
- A. Yes, it does.

TESTIMONY FILED BEFORE THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Case Number	Company	<u>Issue</u>
ER-2006-0315	Empire District Electric	Revenue
ER-2006-0314	Kansas City Power & Light Company	Calculation of Normal Weather, Revenue
GR-2006-0387	ATMOS Energy Corporation	Calculation of Normal Weather
GR-2006-0422	Missouri Gas Energy	Calculation of Normal Weather
ER-2007-0002	Union Electric d/b/a AmerenUE	Calculation of Normal Weather, Large Customer Annualization
GR-2007-0003	Union Electric d/b/a AmerenUE	Calculation of Normal Weather
ER-2007-0004	Aquila, Inc	Calculation of Normal Weather, Revenue
GR-2007-0208	Laclede Gas Company	Calculation of Normal Weather