

MEMORANDUM

To: Missouri Public Service Commission Official Case File
Case No. **TO-2005-0322**

Party: Peace Valley Telephone Co., Inc.

Type of Certification:

- ☐ None
- ☒ Basic Local
- ☐ Local (restricted to private line)
- ☐ Local (no restrictions)
- ☐ Interexchange

Party: Sprint Spectrum L.P.

- ☒ None
- ☐ Basic Local
- ☐ Local (restricted to private line)
- ☐ Local (no restrictions)
- ☐ Interexchange

From: Adam McKinnie, Telecommunications Department

William Voight / 4-21-05 /s/ David A. Meyer 4-21-05
Utility Operations Division/Date General Counsel Office/Date

Subject: Staff Recommendation for Approval of Interconnection Agreement

Date: April 21, 2005

Date Filed: 3-24-05

Staff Deadline: 4-24-05

The Telecommunications Department Staff (Staff) recommends the Parties be granted approval of the submitted (may check more than one):

- ☐ Resale Agreement
- ☐ Facilities-based Interconnection Agreement
- ☒ Wireless Interconnection Agreement

The parties submitted the proposed Agreement to the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) pursuant to the terms of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Act). Staff has reviewed the proposed Agreement and believes it meets the limited requirements of the Act. Specifically, the Agreement: 1) does not discriminate against telecommunications carriers not party to the Agreement and 2) is not against the public interest, convenience or necessity. Staff recommends the Commission direct the Parties to submit any modifications or amendments to the Commission for approval.

- ☐ Staff does not have a serially numbered copy of the Agreement and recommends the Commission direct the Parties to submit a serially numbered copy of the Agreement.
- ☒ Staff has a serially numbered copy of the Agreement.

Interconnection Agreement Review Items

- ☒ No applications to intervene filed.
- ☒ Agreement signed by both Parties.
- ☒ The Company is not delinquent in filing an annual report and paying the PSC assessment.
- ☐ The Company is delinquent. Staff recommends the Commission grant the requested relief/action on the condition the applicant corrects the delinquency. The applicant should be instructed to make the appropriate filing in this case after it has corrected the delinquency.
- (☐ No annual report ☐ Unpaid PSC assessment. Amount owed:)

Is there an attachment to this recommendation indicating any recommendations or special considerations: ☐ Yes ☒ No

The Parties have submitted this negotiated Agreement pursuant to Section 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, and characterized the Agreement as a "Traffic Termination Agreement. The Commission addressed these and other matters in Case No. IK-2003-0223. Staff can find no reference in Section 252 to "Traffic Termination Agreement."

Consequently, Staff recommends the Commission issue an Order approving a wireless "interconnection agreement" and not an Order approving a "Traffic Termination Agreement".