

Commissioners

STEVE GAW Chair

CONNIE MURRAY

KELVIN L. SIMMONS

BRYAN FORBIS

ROBERT M. CLAYTON III

Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://www.psc.mo.gov September 12, 2003 ROBERT J. QUINN, JR. Executive Director

WESS A. HENDERSON Director, Utility Operations

ROBERT SCHALLENBERG Director, Utility Services

DONNA M. PRENGER Director, Administration

DALE HARDY ROBERTS Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

> DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

Mr. Joseph L. Driskill, Director Department of Economic Development 301 West High Street Jefferson City, MO 65102

Re:

No Public Cost Affidavit for

Proposed Rules 4 CSR 240-36.010 to 36.080

Public Service Commission Arbitration Rules for Telecommunications Act of 1996

MoPSC Case No. TX-2003-0487

Dear Mr. Driskill:

To address procedures used for review of interconnection agreements under the Telecommunications Act of 1996, the Public Service Commission proposes to publish Proposed Rules 4 CSR 240-36.010, Definitions; 4 CSR 240-36.020, Filing Procedures; 4 CSR 240-36.030, Mediation; 4 CSR 240-36.040, Arbitration; 4 CSR 240-36.050, Commission Approval of Agreements Reached by Arbitration; 4 CSR 240-36.060, Commission Approval of Agreements Reached by Voluntary Mediation or Negotiation; 4 CSR 240-36.070, Commission Notice of Adoption of Previously Approved Agreement; 4 CSR 240-36.080, Commission Approval of Amendments to Agreements Approved or Adopted Under These Rules.

Please find enclosed:

- an affidavit regarding public entity costs for these proposed rules;
- (2) a "takings" analysis; and
- (3) a copy of each of the proposed rules.

The Commission has performed the small business analysis required by Executive Order 03-15 and plans to include the language following in the transmittal letter to the Secretary of State for the proposed rules:

Executive Order 03-15 requires state agencies to "determine whether the proposed rules affect small businesses and, if so, the availability and practicability of less restrictive alternatives that could be implemented to achieve the same results of the proposed rule." A small business is defined to be "a for-profit enterprise consisting of fewer than fifty (50) full or part-time employees." A proposed rule "affects" a small business if it "imposes any requirement" that "will cause direct and significant economic

burden upon a small business, or is directly related to the formation, operation, or expansion of a small business."

Proposed rules 4 CSR 240-36.010 through 4 CSR 240-36.080 state procedures for Public Service Commission review of interconnection agreements made under the *Telecommunications Act of 1996*, in particular, 47 U.S.C. § 252. Because these rules do not impose any requirement that "will cause direct and significant economic burden upon a small business, or [that] is directly related to the formation, operation, or expansion of a small business," the Commission certifies that it has determined that the proposed rules will not have an economic impact on small businesses.

Please let me know if you have any questions concerning these proposed rules.

Sincerely yours,

Nathan Williams Senior Counsel

(573) 751-8702 (573) 751-9285 (Fax)

nathanwilliams@psc.state.mo.us (E-Mail)

Enclosures.

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Dale Hardy Roberts, Secretary

THROUGH: Dan Joyce

FROM:

Nathan Williams

DATE:

December 30, 2003

SUBJECT:

Authorization to File Proposed Rulemakings with the Office of the

Secretary of State.

Case No. TX-2003-0487

The undersigned Commissioners hereby authorize the General Counsel's Office of the Missouri Public Service Commission to file the following Proposed Rulemakings with the Office of the Secretary of State, to wit: 4 CSR 240-36.010, 4 CSR 240-36.020, 4 CSR 240-36.030, 4 CSR 240-36.040, 4 CSR 240-36.050, 4 CSR 240-36.060, 4 CSR 240-36.070 and 4 CSR 240-36.080.

Steve Gaw, Chair

Connie Murray, Commissioner

Robert M. Clayton, Commissioner

MATT BLUNT Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division RULE TRANSMITTAL *Administrative Rules Stamp

RECEIVED

DEC 3 0 2003

SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

A "SEPARATE" rule transmittal sheet must be used for EACH individual rulemaking.

- 1	Diskette File Name Proposed Rule 4 CSR 240-36.070						
	Name of Person to call with questions at	National Control of Co					
	Content Nathan Williams	Phone	573-751-8702	FAX	573-751-9285		
	Data Entry Nathan Williams	Phone	573-751-8702	FAX	573-751-9285		
	Email Address nathan.williams@psc.mo.	THE PROPERTY OF	0.10.102.0102		2,2,101,7200		
	Statutory Authority 386.410		00 Madison St., 8th Current RSM	Io date	2000		
	Date Filed With the Joint Committee on 536.037, RSMo 2000, and Executive Order No.	Administrative 97-97 (June 27, 19	Rules Exempt p 97)	er Section	s 536.024 and		
В.	CHECK, IF INCLUDED:						
	This transmittal completed Incorporation by reference materials, if						
	Cover letter	- Barriera -	Authority with history of the rule				
	Affidavit		c cost				
	Forms, number of pages	1000	te cost	W. W.			
	Fiscal notes	⊢ Hear	ing and comment	period			
	Order of Rulemaking (check one	action notice Idition					
D.	SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS: Please indica	te any special ins	tructions (e.g., pu	blication	date preference		
D.	SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS: Please indica identify material to be incorporated by refer			blication	date preferenc		
D.					date preferenc		
D.			cluded herein).		date preferenc		
D.			cluded herein).		date preferenc		
D.			cluded herein).		date preferenc		
D.			cluded herein).		date preferenc		

RULE TRANSMITTAL (PAGE 2)

E.	ORDER OF	RULEMAKING: Rule Number
	1a.	Effective Date for the Order Statutory 30 days Specific date
	1b.	Does the Order of Rulemaking contain changes to the rule text? YES NO
	1c.	If the answer is YES, please complete section F. If the answer is NO, STOP here.

F. Please provide a complete list of the changes in the rule text for the order of rulemaking, indicating the specific section, subsection, paragraph, subparagraph, part, etc., where each change is found. It is especially important to identify the parts of the rule that are being deleted in this order of rulemaking. This is not a reprinting of your order, but an explanation of what sections, subsections, etc. have been changed since the original proposed rule was filed.

(Start text here. If text continues to a third page, insert a continuous section break and, in section 3, delete the footer text. DO NOT delete the header, however.)

NOTE: ALL changes MUST be specified here in order for those changes to be made in the rule as published in the Missouri Register and the Code of State Regulations.



Commissioners

STEVE GAW Chair

CONNIE MURRAY

KELVIN L. SIMMONS

BRYAN FORBIS

ROBERT M. CLAYTON III.

Missouri Aublic Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://www.psc.mo.gov ROBERT J. QUINN, JR. Executive Director

WESS A, HENDERSON Director, Utility Operations

ROBERT SCHALLENBERG Director, Utility Services

DONNA M. PRENGER Director, Administration

DALE HARDY ROBERTS Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

> DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

December 30, 2003

Mr. Matt Blunt Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Blunt,

RE: 4 CSR 240-36.070 Commission Notice of Adoption of Previously Approved

Agreement

CERTIFICATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE RULE

I do hereby certify that the attached is an accurate and complete copy of the proposed rule lawfully submitted by the Missouri Public Service Commission on this thirtieth day of December 2003.

Executive Order 03-15 requires state agencies to "determine whether the proposed rules affect small businesses and, if so, the availability and practicability of less restrictive alternatives that could be implemented to achieve the same results of the proposed rule." A small business is defined to be "a for-profit enterprise consisting of fewer than fifty (50) full or part-time employees." A proposed rule "affects" a small business if it "imposes any requirement" that "will cause direct and significant economic burden upon a small business, or is directly related to the formation, operation, or expansion of a small business."

Proposed rule 4 CSR 240-36.070 is part of a group of rules—4 CSR 240-36.010 through 4 CSR 240-36.080—that state procedures for Public Service Commission review of interconnection agreements made under the *Telecommunications Act of 1996*, in particular, 47 U.S.C. § 252. Because proposed rule 4 CSR 240-36.070 will not impose any requirement that "will cause direct and significant economic burden upon a small business, or [that] is directly related to the formation, operation, or expansion of a small business," the Commission certifies that it has determined that the proposed rule will not have an economic impact on small businesses.

The Missouri Public Service Commission also certifies that it has conducted an analysis of whether or not there has been a taking of real property pursuant to section 536.017, RSMo 2000 and that this final rule does not constitute a taking of real property under relevant state and federal law.

Statutory Authority: Sections 386.410 RSMo 2000.

If there are any questions regarding the content of the rule, please contact:

December 30, 2003 Page 2

Nathan Williams 200 Madison Street, Suite 800 P. O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65101 (573) 751-8702 nathan.williams@psc.mo.gov

Sincerely yours.

Dale Hardy Roberts Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge Public Service Commission

State of Missouri

DHR:NW:

Enclosures: Transmittal Form, Cover Letter, Public Entity no cost affidavit, Proposed Rule & 3.5" Diskette

CC: Missouri Small Business Regulatory Fairness Board

AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF MISSOURI	
COUNTY OF COLE	

I, Joseph L. Driskill, Director of the Department of Economic Development, first being duly sworn on my oath state that it is my opinion that the cost of proposed rule 4 CSR 240-36.070—Commission Notice of Adoption of Previously Approved Agreement is less than five hundred dollars in the aggregate to this agency, any other agency of state government or any political subdivision thereof.

Joseph L. Driskill
DIRECTOR
Department of Economic Development

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 15th day of Seconder, 2003.

I am commissioned as a notary public within the County of September 31,3004.

OTARY PUBLIC

Julie A Atchison
Notary Public - Notary Seal
State of Missouri
County of Cole
Expires Sectember 21, 2004

ie a. atchisox

Title 4--DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Division 240-Public Service Commission

Chapter 36 - Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedural Rules Governing Filings Made Pursuant to the Telecommunications Act of 1996

PROPOSED RULE

4 CSR 240-36.070 Commission Notice of Adoption of Previously Approved Agreement

PURPOSE: This rule provides the procedure for notifying the commission of the adoption of a previously approved agreement.

- (1) Provision of Previously Approved Agreements—Carriers shall make available for a reasonable time any agreement approved under this section in accordance with section 252(i) of the Act and section 51.809 of the Code of Federal Regulations. A carrier may request that the commission take notice of the adoption of a previously approved agreement, and the requesting carrier is not required to have prior approval or signature of the carrier from whom it received the agreement. The carrier shall serve the incumbent local exchange carrier with its request for adoption when it submits the request to the commission. If the incumbent local exchange carrier wishes to object to the commission, it must do so within ten (10) days of the date the request is submitted to the commission, and its objection must be based on, and allege facts that support, one or both of the following grounds:
- (A) The costs of providing a particular interconnection, service, or element to the requesting telecommunications carrier are greater than the costs of providing it to the telecommunication carrier that originally negotiated the agreement; or
- (B) The provision of a particular interconnection, service, or element to the requesting carrier is not technically feasible.

AUTHORITY: section 386.410 RSMo 2000.

PUBLIC COST: This proposed rule will not cost state agencies or political subdivisions more than five hundred dollars (\$500) in the aggregate.

PRIVATE COST: This proposed rule will not cost private entities more than five hundred dollars (\$500) in the aggregate.

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING AND NOTICE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS: Anyone may file comments in support of or in opposition to this proposed rule with the Missouri Public Service Commission, Dale Hardy Roberts, Secretary of the Commission, PO Box 360, Jefferson City, MO 65102. To be considered, comments must be received at the commission's offices on or before March 5, 2004, and should include a reference to commission Case No.TX-2003-0487. If comments are submitted via a paper filing, an original and eight (8) copies of the comments are required. Comments may also be submitted via a filing using the commission's electronic filing and information system at

<http://www.psc.state,mo.us/efis.asp>. A public hearing regarding this proposed rule is scheduled for March 12, 2004, at 10:00 a.m. in Room 310 of the Governor Office Building, 200 Madison Street, Jefferson City, Missouri. Interested persons may appear at this hearing to submit additional comments and/or testimony in support of or in opposition to this proposed rule, and may be asked to respond to commission questions. Any persons with special needs as addressed by the Americans with Disabilities Act should contact the Missouri Public Service Commission at least ten (10) days prior to the hearing at one (1) of the following numbers: Consumer Services Hotline 1-800-392-4211 or TDD Hotline 1-800-829-7541.