			Page 1	
1				
2	STATE OF MIS	SOURI		
3	PUBLIC SERVICE C	OMMISSION		
4				
5	TRANSCRIPT OF PRO	CEEDINGS		
6				
7	Pre-Hearing Co	nference		
8	November 2, 2012			
9	St. Louis, Mis	souri		
10	Volume 1			
11				
12	MARCIA EASON,)		
13)		
14	Complainant,)		
15)		
16	Vs.) CASE No. WC-2013-0010		
17)		
18	MISSOURI AMERICAN WATER)		
19	COMPANY,)		
20	Respondent.)		
21				
22	KENNARD JONE	S, Presiding		
23	REGULATORY	LAW JUDGE		
24				
25				

Page 2 1 2 A P P E A R A N C E S 3 For American Water: 4 Mr. John Reichart 5 727 Craig Road 6 7 St. Louis, MO 63141 (314) 996-2279 8 9 10 For Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission: 11 (Via phone) Ms. Tanya Alm Public Service Commission 12 200 Madison Street 13 14 9TH Floor 15 Jefferson City, MO 65102 16 (573) 526-6715 17 Also appearing by phone: Mr. Jerry Schieble, Ms. Lisa Kremer 18 19 20 REPORTED BY: 21 Angie Kelly, CCR, CSR 22 Midwest Litigation Services 23 711 N. 11th Street 24 St. Louis, MO 62101 25 (314) 644-2191

Page 3 1 2 PROCEEDINGS 3 JUDGE JONES: We're on the record. The case number WC20130010. Marcia Eason E-A-S-O-N versus Missouri American 4 5 Water Company. Entry of appearance beginning with Missouri American. 6 7 MR. REICHART: Thank you, Judge Jones. Appearing on behalf of Missouri American Water Company John J. Reichart, my 8 address is 727 Craig Road, St. Louis, Missouri 63141. 9 10 JUDGE JONES: And from the staff of the commission. MS. ALM: Good morning, Judge, this is Tanya Alm staff 11 for Missouri Public Service Commission. Appearing on behalf of 12 staff at P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65101. Also 13 with me the staff Jerry Schieble and Lisa Kremer. 14 JUDGE JONES: Thank you. I'll note for the record 15 16 that Miss Eason is not present. I see that staff has filed it's recommendation. It 17 appears to be a suggestion to settle the matter and 18 Mr. Reichart, have you had a chance to look through that? 19 MR. REICHART: The company has, Your Honor. I think I 20 21 can make a statement on record. We have reviewed the staff report. We are in agreement with the findings in paragraph 6 22 that said, we are agreeable to staff's recommendation in 23 paragraph 7, to contact the customer, Miss Eason and allow to 24 25 make a one time cursory adjustment offer.

	Page 4
1	I had expected to see Miss Eason here today, my
2	understanding is the company intends to attempt to contact her,
3	beginning today.
4	JUDGE JONES: Okay.
5	MR. REICHART: And we will make that offer, and
6	assuming it's acceptable to her, hopefully we can conclude the
7	case. But I didn't know what your preference would be. Should
8	we schedule something in a couple weeks to allow us time to
9	respond, I don't know the process.
10	JUDGE JONES: Well, what we'll do is contact her. I
11	mean, her not being here is indicative of either her not caring
12	to be here, or her being unable to be here. But what I do know
13	is there's no rush on our part to conclude the case, so at your
14	leisure, contact her with the suggested settlement and if after
15	you contact her, she either says yes or no. If you just file a
16	statement of her response.
17	MR. REICHART: Okay.
18	JUDGE JONES: That will be great. If it doesn't
19	happen in you know, two or three months, then I'll probably
20	issue an order for status update of some sort, and if she
21	doesn't want to accept it, then.
22	MS. ALM: Tonya Alm. I'm not sure whether a few
23	months is needed or not, but we definitely would need at least a
24	couple weeks just in terms of past, like the judge has said,
25	status report pending what Miss Eason said. And perhaps

	Page 5
1	additionally, a little bit more discovery if staff understanding
2	that the next bill will be issued within the next week, in the
3	last couple years November 4 has been the date, perhaps the
4	company and staff would need to look at another reading and
5	after the next bill is issued to look at that bill, and then
6	from there, I either that have that status report or we propose
7	doing a joint procedure schedule if the company and the
8	complainant are unable to settle this.
9	JUDGE JONES: Okay, if they're unable to settle, then
10	I'll hear from Missouri American that she didn't accept her
11	offer, and because she has missed this prehearing conference,
12	that in and of itself is reason to dismiss the case, because I'm
13	not going to proceed with a hearing or even go down that road.
14	If she's not interested in participating, so if
15	Missouri American informs the commission that she doesn't accept
16	whatever offer they make, then I'll issue an order to her asking
17	her if she wants to proceed, and from that point, well it'll
18	just be based on her response. If she doesn't respond, it will
19	be moving forward with a dismissal. If she does respond, then
20	yes, we will proceed with the normal procedures.
21	I mean, normally after this hearing I would just issue
22	an order asking her why she wasn't here. If she doesn't tell me
23	why she wasn't here, then her case may be dismissed. If she
24	doesn't file something the case would be dismissed, but because
25	staff has offered something that seems to be reasonable, and I

Page 6 figure you might want to pursue that course. 1 2 MS. ALM: Judge, is the mediation process available in 3 lieu of a hearing, or is this unnecessary based on the company's current effort? 4 5 JUDGE JONES: The mediation process is available, something that she would have to request, but I kind of feel 6 7 like we're already in that process. I don't want to start a formal mediation process, that will set the timeline back a 8 little further, because then it will have to be assigned to a 9 different judge who isn't in Jeff City, rather than here, and 10 they'll have to travel here to handle the mediation, because it 11 would be for all the parties convenience, particularly Missouri 12 American and Miss Eason's convenience to hold something here. 13 So, I would rather just go with what staff has suggested, and 14 see if she accepts some type of settlement offer. 15 If she doesn't, I'll see if she wants to keep 16 17 participating. If she doesn't respond to any orders directing her to file something, then her case is going the be dismissed. 18 MS. ALM: Thank you Judge. 19 JUDGE JONES: Is that okay with you? 20 21 MR. REICHART: That's fine, your honor, thank you. JUDGE JONES: Does anyone have anything else that 22 you'd like to suggest? Ms. Alm, you said you all were going to 23 get another reading from her meter? 24 MS. ALM: We would request either from the complainant 25

Page 7 or the company in the form of a data request, a copy of the next 1 2 bill that will be issued. 3 JUDGE JONES: And do you think that information will in some way affect your recommendation? 4 5 MR. SCHIEBLE: It could, it's possible. MS. ALM: It could, it's possible. 6 7 JUDGE JONES: Why don't you and Mr. Reichart wait until after what that time period is, and then see if that 8 affects maybe it might affect your thinking also, then I'll 9 proceed. 10 MR. REICHART: I won't make a call immediately, 11 because I don't know her -- I'm hoping they didn't contact her 12 already, they were going to try to get it done today. 13 14 JUDGE JONES: Oh, if you want to do that, that's fine. I mean, staff is here to recommend, you and Miss Eason guide the 15 case. 16 MR. REICHART: I will see if I can -- if we haven't, 17 maybe, I'll put a pause on that until we get this data request 18 and see if that impacts recommendation. We thought the 19 recommendation was final. 20 21 MS. ALM: Staff doesn't anticipate it shouldn't, the new bill should not change staff's recommendation, absent a 22 reading that is, you know, completely different than past seems 23 to indicate. 2.4 JUDGE JONES: Let's go ahead and figure this out, so 25

Page 8 Mr. Reichart can have some specific direction. This -- she had 1 2 a spike in her usage? 3 MR. REICHART: Correct. JUDGE JONES: Did it level out after that? 4 5 MR. REICHART: Went down for a couple of months, then I believe it went back to a normal level. 6 7 JUDGE JONES: It went to a normal level? MR. REICHART: And again, I didn't conduct any 8 investigations, I think maybe staff could do that. 9 10 JUDGE JONES: What do you guys know about that? She had an unusual increase in usage, dud it come back to normal? 11 MS. SCHIEBLE: Yes, that's correct, Judge. This is 12 Jerry Schieble. Basically going back to about August of 2011 13 through August of 2012, her bill basically tripled to what it 14 normally was. 15 16 JUDGE JONES: For the whole year? 17 MR. SCHIEBLE: Just for those -- well, yes, basically starting with the bill that was issued in November of 2011, 18 which since it's a quarterly bill, we anticipate the usage 19 started going up in or around August of 2011. 20 21 JUDGE JONES: I see. MR. SCHIEBLE: Then August of 2012, basically is when 22 staff really began it's investigation and started taking extra 23 meter readings, and at that point, it drastically drops back 24 down. I was in the field just this week and took a meter 25

Page 9 reading, and it looked like the trend still -- it went back to 1 2 where it normally was before it all occurred. So I don't 3 anticipate any problem, it looks like whatever caused the high usage has been rectified, is what it looks like. 4 5 JUDGE JONES: Well, in light of that, there's no point in doing it again. 6 7 MR. SCHIEBLE: That's probably true, basically what it is, in my staff report, one of the options I had told her if the 8 company was going to make any forms of an adjustment, that we 9 needed to make sure that the usage had returned to normal before 10 that occurred, and the way that I proposed that that would 11 happen would be that the November bill would be reviewed, and 12 that should be issued within a week, so that was the only reason 13 to wait to see what that reading was. But since I was out there 14 and took a reading, it really should not be necessary. 15 JUDGE JONES: Let's not do anything at this time. 16 Now, if she were here, I don't know, if she had input she wanted 17 to do that, then we would, but it's not in the interest of 18 anyone to do that. What do you think Mr. Reichart? 19 MR. REICHART: Yeah, I tend to agree. I'm amenable to 20 21 your recommendation, judge. JUDGE JONES: Okay, go ahead proceed as you would, and 22 if Mr. Schieble, if you feel like that's something you want to 23 do for you own peace of mind, you do that and you find that 24 something some monopoly is still occurring, then let us know. 25

	Page 10
1	MR. SCHIEBLE: Certainly, fair enough.
2	JUDGE JONES: If not, then we don't need to know.
3	MR. SCHIEBLE: Okay, that's fine.
4	JUDGE JONES: Does anybody have anything else they
5	would like to discuss while we're on the record? Okay, hearing
6	nothing, we will go off the record. Thank you all.
7	(Whereupon, the hearing ended at 10:15 a.m.)
8	
9	I N D E X
10	WITNESS NAME PAGE
11	None
12	
13	EXHIBITS
14	Marked Rec'd
15	None Marked
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 11
1	
2	
3	
4	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
5	
6	STATE OF MISSOURI)
7)Ss.
8	COUNTY OF ST. LOUIS)
9	
10	I, Angie Kelly, Certified Shorthand Reporter,
11	Certified Court Reporter #1010, and Notary Public, within and
12	for the State of Missouri, do hereby certify that I was
13	personally present at the proceedings as set forth in the
14	caption sheet hereof; that I then and there took down in
15	stenotype the proceedings had at said time and was
16	thereafter transcribed by me, and is fully and accurately
17	set forth in the preceding pages.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Angie Kelly, CSR, CCR #1010
24	
25	

			_	
A	B	3:5,8,20 4:2	doing 5:7 9:6	7:25 9:22
absent 7:22	$\frac{\mathbf{D}}{\mathbf{B} \ 10:13}$	5:4,7 7:1 9:9	drastically 8:24	10:6
accept 4:21	back 6:8 8:6,11	company's 6:3	drops 8:24	going 5:13 6:18
5:10.15	8:13,24 9:1	complainant	dud 8:11	6:23 7:13
acceptable 4:6	based 5:18 6:3	1:14 5:8 6:25		8:13,20 9:9
accepts 6:15	basically 8:13	completely	E	Good 3:11
accurately	8:14,17,22	7:23	E 2:2,2 3:2,2	great 4:18
11:16	9:7	conclude 4:6	10:9,13	guide 7:15
additionally	began 8:23	4:13	Eason 1:12 3:4	guys 8:10
5:1	beginning 3:5	conduct 8:8	3:16,24 4:1	
address 3:9	4:3	conference 1:7	4:25 7:15	H
adjustment	behalf 3:8,12	5:11	Eason's 6:13	H 10:13
3:25 9:9	believe 8:6	contact 3:24	effort 6:4	handle 6:11
affect 7:4,9	bill 5:2,5,5 7:2	4:2,10,14,15	either 4:11,15	happen 4:19
agree 9:20	7:22 8:14,18	7:12	5:6 6:25	9:12
agreeable 3:23	8:19 9:12	convenience	ended 10:7	hear 5:10
agreement 3:22	bit 5:1	6:12,13	Entry 3:5	hearing 5:13
ahead 7:25	Box 3:13	copy 7:1	expected 4:1	5:21 6:3 10:5
9:22	DUX 3.13	correct 8:3,12	extra 8:23	10:7
allow 3:24 4:8	C	COUNTY 11:8	E-A-S-O-N 3:4	hereof 11:14
Alm 2:11 3:11	C 2:2 3:2	couple 4:8,24		high 9:3
3:11 4:22,22	call 7:11	5:3 8:5	F	hold 6:13
6:2,19,23,25	caption 11:14	course 6:1	fair 10:1	honor 3:20
7:6,21	caring 4:11	Court 11:11	feel 6:6 9:23	6:21
amenable 9:20	case 1:16 3:3	Craig 2:6 3:9	field 8:25	hopefully 4:6
American 1:18	4:7,13 5:12	CSR 2:21	figure 6:1 7:25	hoping 7:12
2:4 3:4,6,8	5:23,24 6:18	11:23	file 4:15 5:24	
5:10,15 6:13	7:16	current 6:4	6:18	
Angie 2:21	caused 9:3	cursory 3:25	filed 3:17	immediately
11:10,23	CCR 2:21	customer 3:24	final 7:20	7:11
anticipate 7:21	11:23		find 9:24	impacts 7:19
8:19 9:3	Certainly 10:1	D	findings 3:22	increase 8:11
anybody 10:4	CERTIFICA	D 3:2 10:9	fine 6:21 7:14	indicate 7:24
appearance 3:5	11:4	data 7:1,18	10:3	indicative 4:11
appearing 2:17	Certified 11:10	date 5:3	Floor 2:14	information
3:7,12	11:11	definitely 4:23	form 7:1	7:3
appears 3:18	certify 11:12	different 6:10	formal 6:8	informs 5:15
asking 5:16,22	chance 3:19	7:23	forms 9:9	input 9:17
assigned 6:9	change 7:22	directing 6:17	forth 11:13,17	intends 4:2
assuming 4:6	City 2:15 3:13	direction 8:1	forward 5:19	interest 9:18
attempt 4:2	6:10	discovery 5:1	fully 11:16	interested 5:14
August 8:13,14	come 8:11	discuss 10:5	further 6:9	investigation
8:20,22	commission 1:3	dismiss 5:12	G	8:23
available 6:2,5	2:10,12 3:10	dismissal 5:19	$\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ 3:2	investigations
a.m 10:7	3:12 5:15	dismissed 5:23	go 5:13 6:14	8:9
	company 1:19	5:24 6:18	50 5.15 0.17	issue 4:20 5:16
	I	I	I	I

Page 12

5:21	L	10:9	parties 6:12	R
issued 5:2,5 7:2	LAW 1:23	NAME 10:10	pause 7:18	R 2:2 3:2
8:18 9:13	leisure 4:14	necessary 9:15	peace 9:24	reading 5:4
it'll 5:17	Let's 7:25 9:16	need 4:23 5:4	pending 4:25	6:24 7:23 9:1
	level 8:4,6,7	10:2	period 7:8	9:14,15
J	lieu 6:3	needed 4:23	personally	readings 8:24
J 3:8	light 9:5	9:10	11:13	really 8:23 9:15
Jeff 6:10	Lisa 2:17 3:14	new 7:22	phone 2:11,17	reason 5:12
Jefferson 2:15	Litigation 2:22	normal 5:20	point 5:17 8:24	9:13
3:13	little 5:1 6:9	8:6,7,11 9:10	9:5	reasonable
Jerry 2:17 3:14	look 3:19 5:4,5	normally 5:21	possible 7:5,6	5:25
8:13	looked 9:1	8:15 9:2	preceding	recommend
John 2:5 3:8	looks 9:3,4	Notary 11:11	11:17	7:15
joint 5:7	Louis 1:9 2:7	note 3:15	preference 4:7	recommenda
Jones 1:22 3:3	2:24 3:9 11:8	November 1:8	prehearing	3:17,23 7:4
3:7,10,15 4:4		5:3 8:18 9:12	5:11	7:19,20,22
4:10,18 5:9	M	number 3:3	present 3:16	9:21
6:5,20,22 7:3	Madison 2:13		11:13	record 3:3,15
7:7,14,25 8:4	Marcia 1:12	0	Presiding 1:22	3:21 10:5,6
8:7,10,16,21	3:4	O 3:2	Pre-Hearing	rectified 9:4
9:5,16,22	Marked 10:14	occurred 9:2	1:7	Rec'd 10:14
10:2,4	10:15	9:11	probably 4:19	REGULAT
judge 1:23 3:3	matter 3:18	occurring 9:25	9:7	1:23
3:7,10,11,15	mean 4:11 5:21	offer 3:25 4:5	problem 9:3	Reichart 2:5
4:4,10,18,24	7:15	5:11,16 6:15	procedure 5:7	3:7,8,19,20
5:9 6:2,5,10	mediation 6:2	offered 5:25	procedures	4:5,17 6:21
6:19,20,22	6:5,8,11	Oh 7:14	5:20	7:7,11,17 8:1
7:3,7,14,25	meter 6:24 8:24	okay 4:4,17 5:9	proceed 5:13	8:3,5,8 9:19
8:4,7,10,12	8:25	6:20 9:22	5:17,20 7:10	9:20
8:16,21 9:5	Midwest 2:22	10:3,5	9:22	report 3:22
9:16,21,22	mind 9:24	options 9:8	proceedings	4:25 5:6 9:8
10:2,4	missed 5:11	order 4:20 5:16	1:5 11:13,15	REPORTED
	Missouri 1:2,9	5:22	process 4:9 6:2	2:20
K	1:18 2:10 3:4	orders 6:17	6:5,7,8	Reporter 11:10
keep 6:16	3:5,8,9,12,13		propose 5:6	11:11
Kelly 2:21	5:10,15 6:12	<u>P</u>	proposed 9:11	REPORTER'S
11:10,23	11:6,12	P 2:2,2 3:2	Public 1:3 2:10	11:4
KENNARD	MO 2:7,15,24	PAGE 10:10	2:12 3:12	request 6:6,25
1:22	monopoly 9:25	pages 11:17	11:11	7:1,18
kind 6:6	months 4:19,23	paragraph	pursue 6:1	respond 4:9
know 4:7,9,12	8:5	3:22,24	put 7:18	5:18,19 6:17
4:19 7:12,23	morning 3:11	part 4:13	P.O 3:13	Respondent
8:10 9:17,25	moving 5:19	participating		1:20
10:2		5:14 6:17	Q	response 4:16
Kremer 2:17	N	particularly	quarterly 8:19	5:18
3:14	N 2:2,23 3:2	6:12		returned 9:10
	l	l	I	10001 HCu 7.10

Page 13

Page 14

Γ			
reviewed 3:21	11:12	type 6:15	<u> </u>
9:12	statement 3:21		Yeah 9:20
road 2:6 3:9	4:16	U	year 8:16
5:13	status 4:20,25	unable 4:12 5:8	years 5:3
rush 4:13	5:6	5:9	
	stenotype	understanding	#
$\frac{\mathbf{S}}{\mathbf{S} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{S}}$	11:15	4:2 5:1	#1010 11:11,23
S 2:2 3:2 10:13	Street 2:13,23	unnecessary	
says 4:15	suggest 6:23	6:3	
schedule 4:8	suggested 4:14	unusual 8:11	1 1:10
5:7	6:14	update 4:20	10:15 10:7
Schieble 2:17	suggestion 3:18	usage 8:2,11,19	11th 2:23
3:14 7:5 8:12	sure 4:22 9:10	9:4,10	2
8:13,17,22 9:7,23 10:1,3	T	V	$\frac{1}{21:8}$
9.7,25 10.1,5 see 3:17 4:1	T 10:13	versus 3:4	200 2:13
6:15,16 7:8	Tanya 2:11	Volume 1:10	2011 8:13,18,20
7:17,19 8:21	3:11	Vs 1:16	2012 1:8 8:14
9:14	tell 5:22		8:22
Service 1:3	tend 9:20	W	
2:10,12 3:12	terms 4:24	wait 7:7 9:14	3
Services 2:22	thank 3:7,15	want 4:21 6:1,7	314 2:8,25
set 6:8 11:13,17	6:19,21 10:6	7:14 9:23	360 3:13
settle 3:18 5:8,9	think 3:20 7:3	wanted 9:17	
settlement 4:14	8:9 9:19	wants 5:17	4
6:15	thinking 7:9	6:16	4 5:3
sheet 11:14	thought 7:19	wasn't 5:22,23	5
Shorthand	three 4:19	Water 1:18 2:4	526-6715 2:16
11:10	time 3:25 4:8	3:5,8	573 2:16
sort 4:20	7:8 9:16	way 7:4 9:11	575 2.10
specific 8:1	11:15	WC-2013-0010	6
spike 8:2	timeline 6:8	1:16	6 3:22
Ss 11:7	today 4:1,3	WC20130010	62101 2:24
St 1:9 2:7,24	7:13	3:4	63141 2:7 3:9
3:9 11:8	told 9:8	week 5:2 8:25 9:13	644-2191 2:25
staff 2:10 3:10	Tonya 4:22	weeks 4:8,24	65101 3:13
3:11,13,14,17	transcribed	went 8:5,6,7	65102 2:15
3:21 5:1,4,25	11:16	9:1	
6:14 7:15,21	TRANSCRI	we'll 4:10	
8:9,23 9:8	1:5	we're 3:3 6:7	7 3:24
staff's 3:23	travel 6:11	10:5	711 2:23
7:22	trend 9:1	WITNESS	727 2:6 3:9
start 6:7	tripled 8:14	10:10	9
started 8:20,23	true 9:7		9TH 2:14
starting 8:18	try 7:13	X	996-2279 2:8
State 1:2 11:6	two 4:19	X 10:9,13	>> U E I > 2.0
	I	I	I I