

Commissioners

STEVE GAW Chair

CONNIE MURRAY

KELVIN L. SIMMONS

BRYAN FORBIS

ROBERT M. CLAYTON III

Missouri Bublic Serbice Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number)

http://www.psc.mo.gov September 12, 2003 ROBERT J. QUINN, JR. Executive Director

WESS A. HENDERSON Director, Utility Operations

ROBERT SCHALLENBERG Director, Utility Services

DONNA M. PRENGER Director, Administration

DALE HARDY ROBERTS Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

> DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

Mr. Joseph L. Driskill, Director Department of Economic Development 301 West High Street Jefferson City, MO 65102

Re:

No Public Cost Affidavit for

Proposed Rules 4 CSR 240-36.010 to 36.080

Public Service Commission Arbitration Rules for Telecommunications Act of 1996

MoPSC Case No. TX-2003-0487

Dear Mr. Driskill:

To address procedures used for review of interconnection agreements under the Telecommunications Act of 1996, the Public Service Commission proposes to publish Proposed Rules 4 CSR 240-36.010, Definitions; 4 CSR 240-36.020, Filing Procedures; 4 CSR 240-36.030, Mediation; 4 CSR 240-36.040, Arbitration; 4 CSR 240-36.050, Commission Approval of Agreements Reached by Arbitration; 4 CSR 240-36.060, Commission Approval of Adoption of Previously Approved Agreement; 4 CSR 240-36.080, Commission Notice of Adoption of Previously Approved Agreement; 4 CSR 240-36.080, Commission Approval of Amendments to Agreements Approved or Adopted Under These Rules.

Please find enclosed:

- an affidavit regarding public entity costs for these proposed rules;
- (2) a "takings" analysis; and
- (3) a copy of each of the proposed rules,

The Commission has performed the small business analysis required by Executive Order 03-15 and plans to include the language following in the transmittal letter to the Secretary of State for the proposed rules:

Executive Order 03-15 requires state agencies to "determine whether the proposed rules affect small businesses and, if so, the availability and practicability of less restrictive alternatives that could be implemented to achieve the same results of the proposed rule." A small business is defined to be "a for-profit enterprise consisting of fewer than fifty (50) full or part-time employees." A proposed rule "affects" a small business if it "imposes any requirement" that "will cause direct and significant economic

burden upon a small business, or is directly related to the formation, operation, or expansion of a small business."

Proposed rules 4 CSR 240-36.010 through 4 CSR 240-36.080 state procedures for Public Service Commission review of interconnection agreements made under the *Telecommunications Act of 1996*, in particular, 47 U.S.C. § 252. Because these rules do not impose any requirement that "will cause direct and significant economic burden upon a small business, or [that] is directly related to the formation, operation, or expansion of a small business," the Commission certifies that it has determined that the proposed rules will not have an economic impact on small businesses.

Please let me know if you have any questions concerning these proposed rules.

Sincerely yours, Cathan Williams

Nathan Williams Senior Counsel (573) 751-8702

(573) 751-9285 (Fax)

nathanwilliams@psc.state.mo.us (E-Mail)

Enclosures.

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Dale Hardy Roberts, Secretary

THROUGH: Dan Joyce

FROM:

Nathan Williams

DATE:

December 30, 2003

SUBJECT:

Authorization to File Proposed Rulemakings with the Office of the

Secretary of State.

Case No. TX-2003-0487

The undersigned Commissioners hereby authorize the General Counsel's Office of the Missouri Public Service Commission to file the following Proposed Rulemakings with the Office of the Secretary of State, to wit: 4 CSR 240-36.010, 4 CSR 240-36.020, 4 CSR 240-36.030, 4 CSR 240-36.040, 4 CSR 240-36.050, 4 CSR 240-36.060,


4 CSR 240-36.070 and 4 CSR 240-36.080.

Steve Gaw, Chair

Connie Murray, Commissioner

Robert M. Clayton, Commissioner

MATT BLUNT Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division RULE TRANSMITTAL



A "SEPARATE" rule transmittal sheet must be used for EACH individual rulemaking.

١.		6					
	Name of Person to call with questions about this r Content Nathan Williams	ule: hone	573-751-8702	FAX	573-751-9285		
		hone	573-751-8702	FAX	573-751-9285		
	Email Address <u>nathan.williams@psc.mo.gov</u> Interagency Mailing Address Governor Office Buil Statutory Authority <u>386.410</u> Date Filed With the Joint Committee on Adminis	trative	Current RS Rules Exemp	Mo date	2000		
3.	☐ This transmittal completed ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	Incor	rporation by refe ority with histor		Commence of the Commence of th		
	Affidavit Forms, number of pages Fiscal notes	Priva	ic cost ate cost ing and commer	nt period			
7.	RULEMAKING ACTION TO BE TAKEN Emergency Rulemaking, (check one) rule amendment rescission termination MUST include effective date rule amendment rescission Proposed Rulemaking (check one) rule amendment rescission termination Order of Rulemaking (check one rule amendment rescission termination MUST complete page 2 of this transmittal Withdrawal (check one) rule amendment rescission emergency Rule action notice In addition Rule under consideration						
D.	SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS: Please indicate any special instructions (e.g., publication date preference identify material to be incorporated by reference, or forms included herein).						
			JCAR Sta	mp			

RULE TRANSMITTAL (PAGE 2)

E.	ORDER OF RULEMAKING: Rule Number	
	1a. Effective Date for the Order Statutory 30 days Specific date	
	1b. Does the Order of Rulemaking contain changes to the rule text? YES NO	
	1c. If the answer is YES, please complete section F. If the answer is NO, STOP here.	
E	Please provide a complete list of the aboutor in the rule toyt for the order of rule-rule in discussions.	Lanes

F. Please provide a complete list of the changes in the rule text for the order of rulemaking, indicating the specific section, subsection, paragraph, subparagraph, part, etc., where each change is found. It is especially important to identify the parts of the rule that are being deleted in this order of rulemaking. This is not a reprinting of your order, but an explanation of what sections, subsections, etc. have been changed since the original proposed rule was filed.

(Start text here. If text continues to a third page, insert a continuous section break and, in section 3, delete the footer text. DO NOT delete the header, however.)

NOTE: ALL changes MUST be specified here in order for those changes to be made in the rule as published in the Missouri Register and the Code of State Regulations.

Add additional sheet(s), if more space is needed.



Commissioners

STEVE GAW Chair

CONNIE MURRAY

KELVIN L. SIMMONS

BRYAN FORBIS

ROBERT M. CLAYTON III

Missouri Public Serbice Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234

573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://www.psc.mo.gov

December 30, 2003

ROBERT J. QUINN, JR. Executive Director

WESS A. HENDERSON Director, Utility Operations

ROBERT SCHALLENBERG Director, Utility Services

DONNA M. PRENGER Director, Administration

DALE HARDY ROBERTS Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

> DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

Mr. Matt Blunt Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Blunt,

RE: 4 CSR 240-36.020 Filing Procedures

CERTIFICATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE RULE

I do hereby certify that the attached is an accurate and complete copy of the proposed rule lawfully submitted by the Missouri Public Service Commission on this thirtieth day of December 2003.

Executive Order 03-15 requires state agencies to "determine whether the proposed rules affect small businesses and, if so, the availability and practicability of less restrictive alternatives that could be implemented to achieve the same results of the proposed rule." A small business is defined to be "a for-profit enterprise consisting of fewer than fifty (50) full or part-time employees." A proposed rule "affects" a small business if it "imposes any requirement" that "will cause direct and significant economic burden upon a small business, or is directly related to the formation, operation, or expansion of a small business."

Proposed rule 4 CSR 240-36.020 is part of a group of rules—4 CSR 240-36.010 through 4 CSR 240-36.080—that state procedures for Public Service Commission review of interconnection agreements made under the *Telecommunications Act of 1996*, in particular, 47 U.S.C. § 252. Because proposed rule 4 CSR 240-36.020 will not impose any requirement that "will cause direct and significant economic burden upon a small business, or [that] is directly related to the formation, operation, or expansion of a small business," the Commission certifies that it has determined that the proposed rule will not have an economic impact on small businesses.

The Missouri Public Service Commission also certifies that it has conducted an analysis of whether or not there has been a taking of real property pursuant to section 536.017, RSMo 2000 and that this final rule does not constitute a taking of real property under relevant state and federal law.

Statutory Authority: Sections 386.410 RSMo 2000.

If there are any questions regarding the content of the rule, please contact:

December 30, 2003 Page 2

Nathan Williams 200 Madison Street, Suite 800 P. O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65101 (573) 751-8702 nathan.williams@psc.mo.gov

Sincerely yours,

Dale Hardy Roberts Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge Public Service Commission State of Missouri

Enclosures: Transmittal Form, Cover Letter, Public Entity no cost affidavit, Proposed Rule & 3.5" Diskette

Missouri Small Business Regulatory Fairness Board cc:

AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF MISSOURI)
COUNTY OF COLE)

I, Joseph L. Driskill, Director of the Department of Economic Development, first being duly sworn on my oath state that it is my opinion that the cost of proposed rule 4 CSR 240-36.020—Filing Procedures is less than five hundred dollars in the aggregate to this agency, any other agency of state government or any political subdivision thereof.

Joseph L. Driskill DRECTOR

Department of Economic Development

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 15th day of Secondary, 2003.

I am commissioned as a notary public within the County of State of Missouri, and my commission expires on September 31, 2004.

Julie a. atchison

Julie A Atchison

Notary Public - Notary Seal

State of Missouri

County of Con-

Title 4--DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Division 240--Public Service Commission

Chapter 36 - Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedural Rules Governing Filings Made Pursuant to the Telecommunications Act of 1996

PROPOSED RULE

4 CSR 240-36.020 Filing Procedures

PURPOSE: This rule generally provides for the practice and procedure used in filings made under this chapter.

- (1) Except where superceded by rules in this chapter, all petitions filed under this chapter shall also comply with the commission's rules of practice and procedure codified in chapter 2 of 4 CSR 240.
- (2) Only telecommunications carriers, as defined in the Act, providing or in the process of enabling their provision of telecommunications service, as defined in the Act, in the state of Missouri may file petitions under this chapter. Each petition shall include the case number of each case in which the commission has granted to the petitioner a certificate to provide any telecommunications service together with a list of the telecommunications service(s) the petitioner offers in Missouri. In the event the petitioner does not have a certificate to provide telecommunications service in Missouri, the petitioner shall list each case before the commission in which an application for a certificate to provide telecommunications service is pending or, for each telecommunications service(s) it offers in the state of Missouri, a brief explanation of why the petitioner is not certificated by the commission.

AUTHORITY: section 386.410 RSMo 2000.

PUBLIC COST: This proposed rule will not cost state agencies or political subdivisions more than five hundred dollars (\$500) in the aggregate.

PRIVATE COST: This proposed rule will not cost private entities more than five hundred dollars (\$500) in the aggregate.

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING AND NOTICE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS: Anyone may file comments in support of or in opposition to this proposed rule with the Missouri Public Service Commission, Dale Hardy Roberts, Secretary of the Commission, PO Box 360, Jefferson City, MO 65102. To be considered, comments must be received at the commission's offices on or before March 5, 2004, and should include a reference to commission Case No.TX-2003-0487. If comments are submitted via a paper filing, an original and eight (8) copies of the comments are required. Comments may also be submitted via a filing using the commission's electronic filing and information system at http://www.psc.state.mo.us/efis.asp. A public hearing regarding this proposed rule is scheduled for March 12, 2004, at 10:00 a.m. in Room 310 of the Governor Office

Building, 200 Madison Street, Jefferson City, Missouri. Interested persons may appear at this hearing to submit additional comments and/or testimony in support of or in opposition to this proposed rule, and may be asked to respond to commission questions. Any persons with special needs as addressed by the Americans with Disabilities Act should contact the Missouri Public Service Commission at least ten (10) days prior to the hearing at one (1) of the following numbers: Consumer Services Hotline 1-800-392-4211 or TDD Hotline 1-800-829-7541.