## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application for	)	
Approval of Adoption of and	)	
Amendments to the Interconnection	)	
Agreement between DIECA	)	Casa No. TV 2009 024
Communications, Inc., d/b/a Covad	)	Case No. TK-2008-0264
Communications Company and	)	
Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. d/b/a	)	
AT&T Missouri	)	

## **STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

COMES NOW the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission and for its recommendation states:

- 1. On February 13, 2008, DIECA Communications, Inc., d/b/a Covad Communications Company (Covad) filed a Notice of Adoption of and Amendments to Interconnection Agreement, seeking Commission approval for adoption of the terms of the Interconnection Agreement between AT&T Missouri and NuVox Communications of Missouri, Inc. (NuVox), pursuant to Section 252(i) of the Federal Telecommunications Act of 1996.
- 2. The Agreement between AT&T and NuVox was approved in Commission Case No. TK-2006-0072.
- 3. Covad stated that there are no unresolved issues and that the agreement complies with Section 252(e) of the Act because it is not discriminatory to nonparty carriers and it is consistent with the public interest.
- 4. Even though AT&T is a party to the agreement, it did not join in the application, therefore, the Commission joined AT&T as a party on February 19, 2008 in order to ensure a full and fair adjudication of this matter.

- 5. The federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 provides that an interconnection or resale agreement must be approved unless the Commission determines that the agreement discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement, or that implementation of the agreement is inconsistent with the public interest, convenience, or necessity.
- 6. The Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission has reviewed the proposed agreement and prepared a memorandum, attached hereto as Appendix A.
- 7. In its memorandum, Staff states that it believes that the proposed agreement meets the limited requirements of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.
- 8. Specifically, Staff believes that the proposed agreement does not discriminate against telecommunications carriers not a party to the agreement, and the proposed agreement is not against the public interest, convenience, or necessity.
- 9. Furthermore, Staff recommends that the Commission direct the parties to submit any modifications or amendments to the Commission.

WHEREFORE, the Staff recommends that the Commission approve the interconnection agreement and find that the proposed agreement does not discriminate against telecommunications carriers not a party to the agreement, and the proposed agreement is not against the public interest, convenience, or necessity. Staff further recommends that the Commission direct the parties to submit any modifications or amendments to the Commission.

Respectfully submitted,

/ Blane Baker

Blane Baker Missouri Bar No. 58454

Attorney for the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission P. O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102
(573) 751-5472 (Telephone)
(573) 751-9285 (Fax)
blane.baker@psc.mo.gov

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed, hand-delivered, transmitted by facsimile or electronically mailed to all counsel of record this 14<sup>th</sup> day of March 2008.

/ Blane Baker	
Blane Baker	

To:	Missouri Public Service Commission Official Case File					
	Case No.: <b>TK-2008-0264</b>					
Party:	Southwestern Bell Teleph	one, L.P., d/b/a AT&T Missouri (AT&T)				
Type of Carrier:  Incumbent Local Exchangement Competitive Local Exchangement Competitive		ange Carrier (CLEC)				
Party:	Inc., d/b/a Covad Communications					
Type of Carr	Competitive Local Excl	<ul> <li>☐ Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier (ILEC)</li> <li>☐ Competitive Local Exchange Carrier (CLEC)</li> <li>☐ Wireless Carrier (CMRS)</li> </ul>				
From:	Arthur P. Kuss Telecommunications Department					
	Wm L Voight 03/11/08	Blane Baker 3/12/08				
	Utility Operations Division/Date	General Counsel's Office/Date				
Subject:	Staff Recommendation for Approval Interconnection Agreement	Staff Recommendation for Approval of Adoption and Amendments to an interconnection Agreement				
Date:	March 11, 2008					
Date Filed:	February 13, 2008	Staff Deadline: March 20, 2008				
The Telecomithe submitted:	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	recommends the Parties be granted approval of				
$\boxtimes$	Interconnection Agreement					

The Parties submitted the proposed Agreement or Amendment to the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) pursuant to the terms of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Act). Staff has reviewed the proposed Agreement and believes it meets the limited requirements of the Act. Specifically, the Agreement:

- 1) Does not discriminate against telecommunications carriers not party to the Agreement and
- 2) Is not against the public interest, convenience or necessity.

Amendment Not Previously Approved

 $\boxtimes$ 

Staff recommends the Commission direct the Parties to submit any modifications or amendments to the Commission.

	The Applicants have not submitted a serially numbered copy of the Agreement or Amendment. Staff recommends the Commission direct the Parties to submit a serially numbered copy of the Agreement or Amendment.							
	Staff has a serially numbered copy of the Agreement or Amendment.							
	Additional Interconnection Agreement or Amendment Review Items							
	No applications to intervene have been filed.							
	The Agreement or Amendment has been signed by both Parties.							
	Additional Recommendations or Special Considerations (if any):							
Annual Report & Assessment Information								
	The Parties are not delinquent in filing Annual Reports or paying PSC Assessments.							
	A Party is delinquent. Staff recommends the Commission grant the requested relief/action on the condition that the applicant corrects the delinquency. The applicant should be instructed to make the appropriate filing in this Case after it has corrected the delinquency.							
	No Annual Report.							

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Adoption Agreement d/b/a Cov	tter of the Applicati of and Amendment it between DIECA vad Communicatio stern Bell Telephor	s to the	nunications, Inc., ompany and	) ) ) Ca )	se No.	TK-200	8-0264
			AFFIDAVIT OF	Arthur	P. Kus	s	
STATE O	F MISSOURI	)					
COUNTY	OF COLE	)	SS:				
of lawful of the acc	age and after being	duly s andum	aff of the Missouri P sworn, states that he l a, and that the facts the Arthur P. F	has partici herein are	ipated in	the prepa	aration
I am com	ed and affirmed bef nmissioned as a Not commission expires	ary Pu	e this11_ <sup>th</sup> ublic within the Cour _September 21, 20	ADAM A CONTRACTOR		March, State of M	2008. issouri
NOTARY  SEAL  OF MISS	SUSAN L. SUNDERMEYER My Commission Expires September 21, 2010 Cellaway County Commission #06942086		Ausan NOTAR	A PUBL	under	meye	