## **Robin Carnahan**

Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division

**RULE TRANSMITTAL** 

Administrative Rules Stamp

# RECEIVED

AUG 0 7 2013

SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

Rule Number <u>4 CSR 240-31.080</u>					CODV	
Use a "SEPAR	ATE" rule transm	ittal sheet	for EACH indivi	dual rulem	Data Cente	r
Name of persor	n to call with ques	tions abou	ut this rule:		Missouri Pub Service Commis	
Content Morn	ris Woodruff	Phone	573-751-2849	FAX	573-526-6010	
Email address	morris.woodruff	apsc.mo	.gov			
Data Ci	. 77	TO!	550 551 4056	T: 4 37	572 524 4010	
	ris Koenigsfeld	_		FAX	573-526-6010	
Email address	christine.koenigs	sieia@pso	c.mo.gov			
Interagency ma	iling address Pu	ıblic Serv	ice Commission,	9 <sup>th</sup> Fl, Gov	.Ofc Bldg, JC, MO	
TYPE OF RUL	EMAKING ACTION	ON TO BI	E TAKEN			
Emergency r	ulemaking, includ	de effectiv	ve date			
Proposed R	ulemaking					
Withdrawal	Rule Action	n Notice	☐ In Addition	Rule	Under Consideration	
Order of Ru	lemaking					
Effective Date	for the Order					
Statutory 30	days OR Specific	c date				
Does the Order	of Rulemaking co	ontain cha	inges to the rule te	xt? NC	)	
YES—LIST	THE SECTIONS	S WITH O	CHANGES, includ	ding any de	eleted rule text:	

Small Business Regulatory Fairness Board (DED) Stamp

SMALL DUETNESS
REGULATORY FARNESS BOARD

ALITE

RECEIVED

JCAR Stamp

JOINT COMMITTEE ON

AUG 0 7 2013



Commissioners

ROBERT S. KENNEY Chairman

TERRY M. JARRETT

STEPHEN M. STOLL

WILLIAM P. KENNEY

### Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://www.psc.mo.gov JOSHUA HARDEN General Counsel

MORRIS WOODRUFF
Secretary

WESS A. HENDERSON
Director of Administration
and Regulatory Policy

CHERLYN D. VOSS Director of Regulatory Review

KEVIN A. THOMPSON Chief Staff Counsel

August 7, 2013

Jason Kander Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

Re: 4 CSR 240-31.080 Applications for MoUSF Funds

Dear Secretary Kander,

#### CERTIFICATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE RULE

I do hereby certify that the attached is an accurate and complete copy of the proposed rulemaking lawfully submitted by the Missouri Public Service Commission.

The Public Service Commission has determined and hereby certifies that this proposed rulemaking will not have an economic impact on small businesses. The Public Service Commission further certifies that it has conducted an analysis of whether there has been a taking of real property pursuant to section 536.017, RSMo 2000, that the proposed rulemaking does not constitute a taking of real property under relevant state and federal law, and that the proposed rulemaking conforms to the requirements of 1.310, RSMo Supp. 2012, regarding user fees.

The Public Service Commission has determined and hereby also certifies that this proposed rulemaking complies with the small business requirements of 1.310, RSMo Supp. 2012, in that it does not have an adverse impact on small businesses consisting of fewer than fifty full or part-time employees or it is necessary to protect the life, health, or safety of the public, or that this rulemaking complies with 1.310, RSMo Supp 2012, by exempting any small business consisting of fewer than fifty full or part-time employees from its coverage, by implementing a federal mandate, or by implementing a federal program administered by the state or an act of the general assembly.

Woodruff August 7, 2013 Certification of Administrative Rule

Statutory Authority: sections 392.200.2 RSMo Supp. 2004 and 392.248 and 392.470.1, RSMo  $2000\,$ 

If there are any questions regarding the content of this proposed rulemaking, please contact:

Morris L. Woodruff, Chief Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573) 751-2849 morris.woodruff@psc.mo.gov

Morris L. Woodruff

Chief Regulatory Law Judge

**Enclosures** 

# AFFIDAVIT PUBLIC COST

STATE OF MISSOURI	)
	1
COUNTY OF COLE	

I, Mike Downing, Acting Director of the Department of Economic Development, first being duly sworn, on my oath, state that it is my opinion that the cost of proposed rescission, 4 CSR 240-31.080, is less than five hundred dollars in the aggregate to this agency, any other agency of state government or any political subdivision thereof.

Mike Downing Acting Director

Department of Economic Development

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 15 day of 114, 2013, I am commissioned as a notary public within the County of 5, State of Missouri, and my commission expires on 17 14, 2015.

Notary Public

ANNETTE KEHNER
Notary Public - Notary Seal
State of Missouri
Commissioned for Cole County
My Commission Expires: July 17, 2015
Commission Number: 11492656



RECEIVED

# Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT Division 240—Public Service Commission Chapter 31—Missouri Universal Service Fund

AUG 0 7 2013

SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

#### PROPOSED RESCISSION

**4 CSR 240-31.080 Applications for MoUSF Funds.** This rule set out the procedures for eligible telecommunications companies to receive high-cost support.

PURPOSE: This rule is rescinded because the Missouri Universal Service Fund does not currently provide high-cost support and is not expected to provide such funding in the foreseeable future.

AUTHORITY: sections 392.200.2, RSMo Supp. 2004 and 392.248 and 392.470.1, RSMo 2000.\* Original rule filed Aug. 15, 1997, effective April 30, 1998. Amended: Filed June 30, 2005, effective Feb. 28, 2006. **Rescinded:** 

\*Original authority: 392.200, RSMo 1939, amended 1987, 1988, 1996, 2003; 392.248, RSMo 1996; and 392.470.1, RSMo 1987.

PUBLIC COST: Adoption of this proposed rule will not cost affected state agencies or political subdivisions more than \$500 in the aggregate.

PRIVATE COST: Adoption of this proposed rule will not cost affected private entities more than \$500 in the aggregate.

NOTICE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS AND NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING: Anyone may file comments in support of or in opposition to this proposed rule with the Missouri Public Service Commission, Morris L. Woodruff, Secretary of the Commission, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, MO 65102. To be considered, comments must be received at the Commission's offices on or before October 16, 2013, and should include a reference to Commission Case No. TX-2012-0324. Comments may also be submitted via a filing information the Commission's electronic filing and system using <a href="http://www.psc.mo.gov/efis.asp">http://www.psc.mo.gov/efis.asp</a>. A public hearing regarding this proposed rule is scheduled for October 21, 2013 at 10:00 a.m. in the commission's offices in the Governor Office Building, 200 Madison Street, Room 305 Jefferson City, Missouri. Interested persons may appear at this hearing to submit additional comments and/or testimony in support of or in opposition to this proposed rule, and may be asked to respond to commission questions. Any persons with special needs as addressed by the Americans with Disabilities Act should contact the Missouri Public Service Commission at least ten (10) days prior to the hearing at one (1) of the following numbers: Consumer Services Hotline 1-800-392-4211 (voice) or Relay Missouri at 711.

JOINT COMMITTEE ON
AUG 0 7 2013
ADMINISTRATIVE RIVES

### Small Business Regulator Fairness Board Small Business Impact Statement

Date: December 12, 2012

Rule Number: 4 CSR 240-31.080

Name of Agency Preparing Statement: Missouri Public Service

Commission

Name of Person Preparing Statement: Natelle Dietrich

Phone Number: 573-751-7427 Email: natelle.dietrich@psc.mo.gov

Name of Person Approving Statement: Natelle Dietrich

Please describe the methods your agency considered or used to reduce the impact on small businesses (examples: consolidation, simplification, differing compliance, differing reporting requirements, less stringent deadlines, performance rather than design standards, exemption, or any other mitigating technique).

N/A

Please explain how your agency has involved small businesses in the development of the proposed rule.

The Commission held workshops and solicited informal comments from affected entities, including small businesses.

Please list the probable monetary costs and benefits to your agency and any other agencies affected. Please include the estimated total amount your agency expects to collect from additionally imposed fees and how the moneys will be used.

None

Please describe small businesses that will be required to comply with the proposed rule and how they may be adversely affected.

N/A

Please list direct and indirect costs (in dollars amounts) associated with compliance.

Please list types of business that will be directly affected by, bear the cost of, or directly benefit from the proposed rule.

N/A – proposed recission of a rule that has never been exercised.

Does the proposed rule include provisions that are more stringent than those mandated by comparable or related federal, state, or county standards?

Yes\_\_\_ No\_X\_

If yes, please explain the reason for imposing a more stringent standard.

For further guidance in the completion of this statement, please see §536.300, RSMo.