		Page 1
1	STATE OF MISSO	URI
2	PUBLIC SERVICE COM	MISSION
3		
4		
5	TRANSCRIPT OF PROC	EEDINGS
6	Prehearing Confe	rence
7	August 8, 201	2
8	Jefferson City, Mi	ssouri
9	Volume 1	
10		
11	BIG RIVER TELEPHONE COMPANY, LLC)
12)
13	Complainant,) File No.
14) TC-2012-0284
15	VS.)
16)
17	SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE, L.P.)
18	d/b/a AT&T MISSOURI)
19)
20	Respondent.)
21		
22	MICHAEL BUSHMANN, pr	esiding,
23	REGU	LATORY LAW JUDGE
24		
25		

```
Page 2
 1
    APPEARANCES:
 2
    FOR BIG RIVER TELEPHONE COMPANY, LLC:
    Brian C. Howe, General Counsel
 3
    12444 Powerscourt Drive, Suite 270
     St. Louis, Missouri 63131
    (314) 225-2215
 4
 5
    FOR SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE, L.P. d/b/a AT&T
 6
 7
    MISSOURI (VIA TELEPHONICALLY):
 8
    Robert J. Gryzmala
    909 Chestnut Street, Room 3516
 9
    St. Louis, Missouri 63101
10
    (314) 235-6060
11
12
13
    FOR STAFF OF THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION:
    John Borgmeyer, Legal Counsel
14
    P. O. Box 360
15
    Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
16
17
    (573) 526-7779
18
19
    REPORTED BY:
20
    Patricia A. Stewart
    RMR, RPR, CCR 401
21
22
    Midwest Litigation Services
23
    3432 West Truman Boulevard, Suite 207
24
    Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
25
    (573) 636-7551
```

Page 3 1 P R O C E E D I N G S 2 (WHEREUPON, the Prehearing Conference began 3 at 1:30 p.m.) 4 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Let's go on the record. 5 Today is August 8, 2012 at 1:30 p.m. The Commission has set this time for a prehearing conference 6 7 in the case captioned as Big River Telephone Company, LLC versus Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. d/b/a AT&T 8 9 Missouri, Case No. TC-2012-0284. 10 My name is Michael Bushmann. I'm the regulatory law judge that's been assigned to this 11 12 matter. 13 Let's begin with counsel making their entries 14 of appearance. 15 For Big River Telephone Company. 16 MR. HOWE: Brian Howe. JUDGE BUSHMANN: And for AT&T Missouri. 17 MR. GRYZMALA: Yes, Your Honor. This is Bob 18 19 Gryzmala, G-r-y-z-m-a-l-a. That would be 909 Chestnut Street, Room 3516, St. Louis, Missouri 63101. 20 21 And, Your Honor, the record might reflect that we represent -- I represent Southwestern Bell 22 Telephone Company. It's a Missouri corporation. We 23 24 formerly some years ago were a Texas partnership, which 25 is denominated in the Complaint.

Page 4 1 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay. 2 And for Commission Staff. 3 MR. BORGMEYER: On behalf of the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission, my name is John 4 5 Borgmeyer, P. O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102. 6 7 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay. As far as preliminary 8 matters, let's talk about scheduling a little bit. 9 I show that we have a Staff report coming up due on August 29th, and there is an answer from Big 10 11 River due to the cross complaint on August 30th. 12 What I'd like to get in about a week would be 13 a joint proposed procedural schedule and that Staff should file that on behalf of the parties no later than 14 15 August 16th, and I'll issue a notice later on that date for the record. 16 17 What I'd like to see in the procedural schedule, in addition to the other normal events, would 18 be a joint stipulation of nondisputed facts at some 19 20 point in the proceedings. 21 Also I'll probably go ahead, and when the procedural schedule is issued, I'll be putting in some 22 standard discovery requirements. So if there is 23 anything in particular that the parties would like to 24 see in that as far as discovery, go ahead and put that 25

> MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Page 5 in your joint proposed schedule. I can include that 1 2 along with the other events. 3 Are there any other preliminary matters that need to be discussed today while we're on the record? 4 5 MR. HOWE: Judge, while we're on the schedule, just in terms of that, we are wondering if the 6 7 Staff report due on August 29th and our answer due on August 30th, if the Staff would need more time to file a 8 9 report or if that needs to be pushed back some. 10 MR. BORGMEYER: Yeah, I guess Staff -- Staff would prefer not to file a report until the parties have 11 12 filed their direct testimony. And I don't know, Judge, if you have a 13 preference whether Staff files a written report or 14 15 direct testimony of its own, but I think the main thing is Staff wants to make sure that they have a deadline to 16 17 file their either report or direct after the parties have filed their direct, so that we have the most 18 19 information that we can to work with. 20 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Does anybody have any 21 objection to changing the date of the Staff report, pushing it back? 22 23 Do you know how much time you would like to have? 24 25 MR. BORGMEYER: Well, you know, in previous

Page 6 complaints procedural schedules have put Staff filing 1 2 direct testimony a couple weeks or so after the last 3 party has filed its direct. JUDGE BUSHMANN: So you're proposing to 4 5 substitute a report for the direct testimony? 6 MR. BORGMEYER: Well, I'm not --7 MS. DALE: I didn't have anything to add, but 8 sometimes the direct testimony is in the form of a 9 report instead of a Q&A. 10 MR. GRYZMALA: Is that Colleen? This is Bob 11 Gryzmala. 12 MS. DALE: Yes, it is. 13 MR. BORGMEYER: I can speak to that when you're finished. 14 15 MS. DALE: I am. 16 MR. GRYZMALA: Very good. 17 Your Honor, we would have no objection to substituting -- that is, we, AT&T, would have no 18 objection to a substitution of Staff testimony for and 19 in lieu of a status report. 20 21 I think Cully's point -- and it is quite customary -- that Staff would weigh in after the parties 22 have filed their prefiled direct. 23 24 And I'm wondering if it would be, you know, 25 something we could discuss as to whether we as parties

PREHEARING CONFERENCE 8/8/2012

	Page 7
1	could file a proposed procedural schedule after Big
2	River files its answer to the counterclaim.
3	So that August 30 would be the counterclaim.
4	Say within a few days thereafter a joint proposed
5	procedural schedule, which would include discovery.
6	And for the record we have discovery
7	outstanding already to Big River. We may have more
8	depending upon the nature of the response to our counter
9	claim. So then you have discovery, Big River direct,
10	AT&T direct, Staff, you know, direct, that sort of
11	thing.
12	JUDGE BUSHMANN: What's the reason why you
13	want to delay the procedural schedule?
14	MR. GRYZMALA: I don't want to really, Your
15	Honor, because we have no interest in delaying it. It's
16	obviously our money that is in play here because it's
17	not been paid.
18	But I just think that we don't know the
19	nature of the response that Big River is going to make
20	to our counterclaim. I just want to make sure we offer
21	up enough time to get discovery you know, an
22	opportunity for discovery in.
23	We have already taken advantage of time to
24	get out a first round, so we've moved on that very
25	quickly. It's just that I can foresee a potential for

MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

	Page 8
1	some discovery maybe more than less depending upon the
2	nature of the response to the counterclaim.
3	JUDGE BUSHMANN: Anybody else have any
4	thoughts on it?
5	MR. GRYZMALA: It's just a thought. We can
6	go with the proposed procedural next week. That's okay
7	too.
8	MR. HOWE: I don't see any reason for
9	delaying the proposed schedule for our answer. We can
10	work in discovery time limits when we file our proposed
11	schedule next week.
12	MR. GRYZMALA: Okay. We would acquiesce to
13	that, Your Honor.
14	JUDGE BUSHMANN: And I have no problem
15	with during your conversations here once I leave, I
16	have no problem with having the Staff file testimony in
17	lieu of a report, and you can include that in your list
18	of events, the date when that would occur.
19	I would like to go ahead and have the
20	proposed procedural schedule on the 16th, and then if it
21	turns out later that that needs to be modified, we can
22	do it at that point, but I would rather go ahead and get
23	started at least on that and then change it later rather
24	than late.
25	MR. GRYZMALA: Okay.

Page 9 1 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Anything else? 2 MR. BORGMEYER: No. I just wanted to be 3 clear. So the plan is still to file the proposed procedural schedule on the 16th? 4 5 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Correct. 6 And then you can discuss when you want to 7 file your -- have your testimony filed, and it's fine with me if you want to substitute Staff testimony for a 8 Staff report. 9 10 MR. BORGMEYER: Okay. So the form of the testimony or report doesn't --11 12 JUDGE BUSHMANN: It doesn't matter to me. If 13 the parties are all agreeable, then that's fine to do 14 that. 15 MR. BORGMEYER: Okay. 16 And then as far as discovery goes, Judge, I 17 can't -- I honestly can't remember if this case is on an expedited track. 18 19 I think there are rules for data requests and things like that. I don't know if we want to deviate 20 21 from those rules and set up a specific discovery schedule in the procedural schedule or if the rules can 22 23 dictate --24 JUDGE BUSHMANN: If the parties agree that they want to have certain time limits and deadlines, 25

Page 10 then I'd be happy to look at that. 1 2 MR. BORGMEYER: Okay. We'll address that. 3 JUDGE BUSHMANN: I know, Mr. Howe, in your Complaint there was a discussion about not really 4 5 requesting expedited treatment but asking it to move 6 forward quickly and expeditiously. 7 MR. HOWE: That's correct, Your Honor. JUDGE BUSHMANN: So if you want to adjust the 8 9 discovery timelines to meet those, that's fine. You just need to have that in the proposed schedule. 10 MR. BORGMEYER: Okay. We'll hash that out 11 12 then. I don't think I have anything else. 13 14 JUDGE BUSHMANN: All right. If there is 15 nothing else from the parties, you have the room for a couple of hours and the phone bridge is open for a 16 17 couple of hours. I'll be upstairs if you need me. We're off the record. 18 19 THE COURT REPORTER: Does anyone want a copy 20 of the prehearing conference? 21 MR. GRYZMALA: I would, yes. THE COURT REPORTER: And what do you like, 22 the e-tran, full-size, condensed? 23 MR. GRYZMALA: The condensed is fine. 2.4 (WHEREIN, Prehearing Conference concluded at 1:40 p.m.) 25

	Page 11
1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	I, Patricia A. Stewart, RMR, RPR, CCR, a
4	Certified Court Reporter in the State of Missouri, do
5	hereby certify that the testimony that appears in the
6	foregoing transcript was taken by me to the best of my
7	ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting by me;
8	that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed
9	by any of the parties to the action in which this
10	hearing was taken, and further that I am not a relative
11	or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the
12	parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested
13	in the outcome of the action.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	Patricia A. Stewart
20	CCR No. 401
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5 10 05 ((10.05	(500070	6	
A	5:10,25 6:6	10:25	6:5,8,23 7:9	first 7:24	
ability 11:7	6:13 9:2,10	condensed	7:10,10	foregoing 11:6	
acquiesce 8:12	9:15 10:2,11	10:23,24	discovery 4:23	foresee 7:25	
action 11:9,13	Boulevard 2:23	conference 1:6	4:25 7:5,6,9	form 6:8 9:10	
add 6:7	Box 2:15 4:5	3:2,6 10:20	7:21,22 8:1	formerly 3:24	
addition 4:18	Brian 2:2 3:16	10:25	8:10 9:16,21	forward 10:6	
address 10:2	bridge 10:16	conversations	10:9	full-size 10:23	
adjust 10:8	Bushmann	8:15	discuss 6:25	further 11:10	
advantage 7:23	1:22 3:4,10	copy 10:19	9:6	G	
ago 3:24	3:17 4:1,7	corporation	discussed 5:4	$\overline{\mathbf{G}}$ 3:1	
agree 9:24	5:20 6:4 7:12	3:23	discussion 10:4	General 2:2	
agreeable 9:13	8:3,14 9:1,5	correct 9:5	Drive 2:3	go 3:4 4:21,25	
ahead 4:21,25	9:12,24 10:3	10:7	due 4:10,11 5:7	8:6,19,22	
8:19,22	10:8,14	counsel 2:2,14	5:7	goes 9:16	
answer 4:10	<u> </u>	3:13 11:8,11	d/b/a 1:18 2:6	going 7:19	
5:7 7:2 8:9	$\frac{c}{C 2:2 3:1}$	counter 7:8	3:8	good 6:16	
anybody 5:20	captioned 3:7	counterclaim	E	Gryzmala 2:8	
8:3	case 3:7,9 9:17	7:2,3,20 8:2	$\frac{\mathbf{E}}{\mathbf{E} 3:1,1}$	3:18,19 6:10	
appearance	CCR 2:21 11:3	couple 6:2	either 5:17	6:11,16 7:14	
3:14	11:20	10:16,17	employed 11:8	8:5,12,25	
APPEARAN	certain 9:25	Court 10:19,22	11:11	10:21,24	
2:1	CERTIFICA	11:4	employee 11:11	guess 5:10	
appears 11:5	11:1	cross 4:11	entries 3:13	G-r-y-z-m-a	
asking 10:5	Certified 11:4	Cully's 6:21	events 4:18 5:2	3:19	
assigned 3:11	certify 11:5	customary 6:22	8:18	5.17	
attorney 11:11	change 8:23	D	expedited 9:18	<u> </u>	
AT&T 1:18 2:6	changing 5:21	D 3:1	10:5	happy 10:1	
3:8,17 6:18	Chestnut 2:9	DALE 6:7,12	expeditiously	hash 10:11	
7:10	3:19	6:15	10:6	hearing 11:10	
August 1:7 3:5	City 1:8 2:16	data 9:19	e-tran 10:23	honestly 9:17	
4:10,11,15	2:24 4:5	date 4:15 5:21		Honor 3:18,21	
5:7,8 7:3	claim 7:9	8:18	F	6:17 7:15	
<u> </u>	clear 9:3	days 7:4	facts 4:19	8:13 10:7	
back 5:9,22	Colleen 6:10	deadline 5:16	far 4:7,25 9:16	hours 10:16,17	
began 3:2	coming 4:9	deadlines 9:25	file 1:13 4:14	Howe 2:2 3:16	
behalf 4:3,14	Commission	delay 7:13	5:8,11,17 7:1	3:16 5:5 8:8	
Bell 1:17 2:6	1:2 2:13 3:6	delaying 7:15	8:10,16 9:3,7	10:3,7	
3:8,22	4:2,4	8:9	filed 5:12,18		
best 11:6	Company 1:11	denominated	6:3,23 9:7		
Big 1:11 2:2	2:2 3:7,15,23	3:25	files 5:14 7:2	include 5:1 7:5	
3:7,15 4:10	Complainant	depending 7:8	filing 6:1	8:17	
7:1,7,9,19	1:13	8:1	financially	information	
bit 4:8	complaint 3:25	deviate 9:20	11:12	5:19	
Bob 3:18 6:10	4:11 10:4	dictate 9:23	fine 9:7,13 10:9	interest 7:15	
Borgmeyer	complaints 6:1	direct 5:12,15	10:24	interested	
2:14 4:3,5	concluded	5:17,18 6:2,3	finished 6:14	11:12	
, ,			l		

: agence 4:15	matters 4:8 5:3		4.4	2.7.15.4.11	
issue 4:15		P	4:4	3:7,15 4:11	
issued 4:22	meet 10:9	P 2:15 3:1 4:5	pushed 5:9	7:2,7,9,19	
J	Michael 1:22	paid 7:17	pushing 5:22	RMR 2:21 11:3	
$\frac{\mathbf{J}}{\mathbf{J} 2:8}$	3:10	particular 4:24	put 4:25 6:1	Robert 2:8	
J 2.8 Jefferson 1:8	Midwest 2:22	parties 4:14,24	putting 4:22	room 2:9 3:20	
2:16,24 4:5	Missouri 1:1,8	5:11,17 6:22	p.m 3:3,5 10:25	10:15	
,	1:18 2:3,7,10	6:25 9:13,24	Q	round 7:24	
John 2:14 4:4	2:13,16,24	10:15 11:9,12		RPR 2:21 11:3	
joint 4:13,19 5:1 7:4	3:9,17,20,23	partnership	quickly 7:25 10:6	rules 9:19,21	
	4:4,5 11:4	3:24		9:22	
judge 1:23 3:4	modified 8:21	party 6:3	quite 6:21	<u> </u>	
3:11,17 4:1,7	money 7:16	Patricia 2:20	Q&A 6:9		
5:5,13,20 6:4	move 10:5	11:3,19	R	S 3:1	
7:12 8:3,14	moved 7:24	phone 10:16	$\frac{\mathbf{R}}{\mathbf{R} 3:1}$	schedule 4:13	
9:1,5,12,16		plan 9:3	really 7:14 10:4	4:18,22 5:1,6	
9:24 10:3,8	N	play 7:16	reason 7:12 8:8	7:1,5,13 8:9	
10:14	N 3:1	point 4:20 6:21		8:11,20 9:4	
K	name 3:10 4:4	8:22	record 3:4,21	9:22,22 10:10	
	nature 7:8,19	potential 7:25	4:16 5:4 7:6	schedules 6:1	
know 5:13,23	8:2	Powerscourt	10:18	scheduling 4:8	
5:25 6:24	need 5:4,8	2:3	reduced 11:7	see 4:17,25 8:8	
7:10,18,21	10:10,17	prefer 5:11	reflect 3:21	Service 1:2	
9:20 10:3	needs 5:9 8:21	preference	regulatory 1:23	2:13 4:4	
L	neither 11:8	5:14	3:11	Services 2:22	
late 8:24	nondisputed	prefiled 6:23	related 11:8	set 3:6 9:21	
law 1:23 3:11	4:19	prehearing 1:6	relative 11:10	show 4:9	
	normal 4:18	3:2,6 10:20	remember 9:17	sort 7:10	
leave 8:15 Legal 2:14	notice 4:15	10:25	report 4:9 5:7,9	Southwestern	
0		preliminary	5:11,14,17,21	1:17 2:6 3:8	
let's 3:4,13 4:8	0	4:7 5:3	6:5,9,20 8:17	3:22	
lieu 6:20 8:17	O 2:15 3:1 4:5	presiding 1:22	9:9,11	speak 6:13	
limits 8:10 9:25	objection 5:21	previous 5:25	REPORTED	specific 9:21	
list 8:17	6:17,19	probably 4:21	2:19	St 2:3,10 3:20	
Litigation 2:22	obviously 7:16	problem 8:14	Reporter 10:19	Staff 2:13 4:2,3	
little 4:8	occur 8:18	8:16	10:22 11:1,4	4:9,13 5:7,8	
LLC 1:11 2:2	offer 7:20	procedural	represent 3:22	5:10,10,14,16	
3:8	okay 4:1,7 8:6	^	3:22	5:21 6:1,19	
look 10:1	8:12,25 9:10	4:13,17,22	requesting 10:5	6:22 7:10	
Louis 2:3,10	9:15 10:2,11	6:17:1,5,13	requests 9:19	8:16 9:8,9	
3:20	once 8:15	8:6,20 9:4,22	requirements	standard 4:23	
L.P 1:17 2:6	open 10:16	proceedings	4:23	started 8:23	
3:8	opportunity	1:5 4:20	Respondent	State 1:1 11:4	
M	7:22	proposed 4:13	1:20	status 6:20	
	outcome 11:13	5:17:1,48:6	response 7:8,19	Stewart 2:20	
main 5:15	outstanding	8:9,10,20 9:3	8:2	11:3,19	
making 3:13	7:7	10:10	right 10:14	stipulation	
matter 3:12		proposing 6:4	River 1:11 2:2	4:19	
9:12		Public 1:2 2:13			
	-	-	-	-	

	1	1		
Street 2:9 3:20	11:7	29th 4:10 5:7		
substitute 6:5				
9:8	U	3		
substituting	upstairs 10:17	30 7:3		
6:18		30th 4:11 5:8		
substitution		314 2:4,11		
6:19	versus 3:8	3432 2:23		
Suite 2:3,23	Volume 1:9	3516 2:9 3:20		
sure 5:16 7:20	vs 1:15	360 2:15 4:5		
T	W	4		
taken 7:23 11:6	want 7:13,14	401 2:21 11:20		
11:10	7:20 9:6,8,20			
talk 4:8	9:25 10:8,19	5		
TC-2012-0284	wanted 9:2	526-7779 2:17		
1:14 3:9	wants 5:16	573 2:17,25		
	week 4:12 8:6	·		
Telephone 1:11	8:11	6		
1:17 2:2,6 3:7	weeks 6:2	63101 2:10		
3:8,15,23	weigh 6:22	3:20		
TELEPHON	West 2:23	63131 2:3		
2:7	We'll 10:2,11	636-7551 2:25		
terms 5:6	we're 5:4,5	65101 2:24		
testimony 5:12	10:18	65102 2:16 4:6		
5:15 6:2,5,8	we've 7:24			
6:19 8:16 9:7	wondering 5:6	8		
9:8,11 11:5	6:24	8 1:7 3:5		
Texas 3:24	work 5:19 8:10	0		
thereto 11:12	written 5:14	9		
thing 5:15 7:11		909 2:9 3:19		
things 9:20 think 5:15 6:21	<u> </u>			
7:18 9:19	Yeah 5:10			
10:13	years 3:24			
thought 8:5				
thoughts 8:4	1			
time 3:6 5:8,23	11:9			
7:21,23 8:10	1:30 3:3,5			
9:25	1:40 10:25			
9:25 timelines 10:9	12444 2:3			
	16th 4:15 8:20			
today 3:5 5:4	9:4			
track 9:18	2			
transcript 1:5 11:6				
	2012 1:7 3:5			
treatment 10:5	207 2:23			
Truman 2:23	225-2215 2:4			
turns 8:21	235-6060 2:11			
typewriting	270 2:3			
		l	l	