# NEWMAN, COMLEY & RUTH

Robert K. Angstead Mark W. Comley Cathleen A. Martin Stephen G. Newman John A. Ruth PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW MONROE BLUFF EXECUTIVE CENTER 601 MONROE STREET, SUITE 301 P.O. BOX 537 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102-0537 www.nctpc.com November 17, 2003

TELEPHONE: (573) 634-2266 Facsimile: (573) 636-3306

The Honorable Dale Hardy Roberts Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0360 NOV 17 2003

#### Missouri Public Service Commission

Re: Case No. TO-2004-0207

Dear Judge Roberts:

Please find enclosed for filing in the referenced matter the original and five copies of AT&T's Response to SBC Missouri's and CenturyTel's Response to Commission Order Directing Filing - Loop and Transport issues.

Would you please bring this filing to the attention of the appropriate Commission personnel.

Please contact me if you have any questions regarding this filing. Thank you.

Mark W

Very truly yours,

comlevm@ncrpc.com

l. Comlev

NEWMAN, COMLEY & RUTH P.C.

By:

Comlen

MWC:ab

Enclosure

cc: Office of Public Counsel General Counsel's Office Rebecca B. DeCook Patrick R. Cowlishaw All parties of record

# BEFORE THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

)

)

)

NOV 1 7 2003

Missouri Public Frice Conints, ion

FILED

IN THE MATTER OF A COMMISSION INQUIRY INTO THE POSSIBILITY OF IMPAIRMENT WITHOUT UNBUNDLED LOCAL CIRCUIT SWITCHING WHEN SERVING THE MASS MARKET

Case No. TO-2004-0207

# AT&T'S RESPONSE TO SBC MISSOURI'S AND CENTURYTEL'S RESPONSE TO COMMISSION ORDER DIRECTING FILING – LOOP AND TRANSPORT ISSUES

AT&T Communications of the Southwest, Inc., AT&T Local Services on behalf of TCG St. Louis, Inc. and TCG Kansas City, Inc. (collectively "AT&T") submit this response to SBC Missouri's and CenturyTel's initial filings<sup>1</sup> in the above-styled proceeding with respect to dedicated transport and enterprise loop issues.

1. AT&T expects it willcontest these ILECs' assertions of non-impairment, but at this early stage cannot take definitive positions on particular routes and customer locations because of the lack of detail provided by the ILECs in their initial filings and the potential need for discovery. AT&T will concentrate its comments here on matters of the scope and structure for this proceeding. Importantly, the Commission's November 5, 2003 Order Creating Case and Establishing Initial Filing Deadlines required ILECs to identify the "specific routes" where they "will be challenging the finding of impairment for dedicated transport," the "identify of the competitor(s)" that they assert satisfy the impairment triggers defined by the FCC, and the "specific customer locations" where the ILECs will challenge the finding of impairment for enterprise loops. Review of SBC and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> SBC Missouri's Response to Order Directing Filing (Nov. 10, 2003) ("SBC Filing"); CenturyTel's Response to Order Directing Filing (Nov. 12, 2003)("CenturyTel Filing").

CenturyTel's initial filings reveals that they have failed to meet these requirements in certain respects. Further, both the contents and the omissions of the ILECs' initial filings underscore the difficulty of managing these proceedings and underscore the importance of establishing clear and firm limits on any additional opportunity that may be provided for the ILECs to supplement their identification of routes and locations under challenge, and for specifying the basis on which the ILEC will seek to challenge the finding of impairment for each of those routes and customer locations.

# **Dedicated Transport**

2. SBC's summary description of the triggers adopted by the FCC for evaluating dedicated transport on a route-specific basis oversimplifies the required inquiries. Showing that three or more competing carriers have "placed facilities" along a route, SBC Filing at ¶ 10, would not satisfy the self-provisioning trigger. The trigger analysis requires, *inter alia*, a determination that each of the self-provisioned facilities is "operationally ready" to provide transport over the route (TRO ¶ 406) and that the competitors are unaffiliated with the ILEC and with one another. (TRO ¶ 400). Similarly, a mere showing that two or more carriers "offer transport" to other carriers on the subject route, SBC Filing at ¶ 10, would not satisfy the wholesale trigger. Again there must be a finding that the competitive providers are "operationally ready" to provide the particular capacity transport on a wholesale basis along the specific route, that they are willing to provide it, and that they make this particular wholesale transport service "widely available." TRO ¶ 414.

3. The point here is not to exhaustively debate all the specific elements of the triggers. Rather, it is to emphasize that, for each specific transport route placed under challenge, this proceeding will require resolution of several specific fact issues, depending (and multiplying) on whether the ILEC is challenging the route under the self-provisioning trigger, the wholesale trigger, the potential deployment test, or a combination (and recognizing that the TRO provides CLECs the opportunity to show entry barriers that would warrant a petition to the FCC to maintain the unbundling obligation for a route where a trigger has been met; TRO ¶ 336). The ILECs' initial filings can be reviewed against that context. SBC's initial filing identifies 136 routes (SBC end office to SBC end office combinations) for which it intends to challenge impairment. SBC Filing ¶ 11, Ex. B. Yet SBC also asserts the right to supplement this list based on discovery or further analysis. Id. at ¶ 12. CenturyTel identified 3 transport routes for which it will seek a finding of non-impairment, CenturyTel Filing ¶ 8, Ex. B, but also asserts the right to supplement. Id. at ¶ 3. Neither SBC nor CenturyTel has identified the particular type of transport for which it will challenge the impairment finding on each route (DS1, DS3, dark fiber). Neither has stated the basis on which its claim of non-impairment is based for any individual route (self-provisioning trigger, wholesale trigger, or potential deployment). In contrast to mass market switching, SBC has not disclaimed its intent to assert potential deployment claims for dedicated transport. Further, while SBC identified a group of 10 carriers (8 in St. Louis and 6 in Kansas City) that it intends to rely on to demonstrate satisfaction of self-provisioning or wholesale triggers, SBC Filing at ¶ 13, Ex. B-HC, it did not associate individual competing carriers with any specific transport route. And this list, too, is subject to supplementation, according to SBC. Id. at ¶ 13.

4. In short, with the ILECs' initial filings, what is known is that the dedicated transport proceeding will be large in scope, with well over one hundred individual transport routes at issue and the prospect of at least a "mini-trial" for each route. What is unknown are the issues that will have to be decided for each route, and how many additional routes the ILECs may seek to bring into the proceeding. The implication is clear – to manage the conduct of this proceeding within the 9-month schedule prescribed by the FCC, while affording due process to all interested parties, the time to define

the routes that will be the subject of this proceeding, and for the ILECs to identify the basis and theories on which they will challenge the finding of impairment for each route, must quickly come to a close.

## **Enterprise Loops**

5. For loops, too, SBC's summary omits detail regarding the issues to be decided here. For example, SBC characterizes the wholesale facilities trigger as involving "two or more CLECs offering loop facilities to other providers." SBC Filing at ¶ 14. However, that very general statement encompasses several issues identified in the TRO. Actual application of the wholesale trigger will require findings that two or more unaffiliated alternative providers offer an "equivalent wholesale loop product" at a "comparable level of capacity, quality, and reliability," that each provider has "access to the entire multiunit customer premises," and that each offers the "specific type of high-capacity loop over their own facilities on a widely available wholesale basis to other carriers desiring to serve customers at that location." TRO ¶ 337. As with dedicated transport, applying the triggers and/or potential deployment analysis to each customer location for which the finding of impairment is challenged will require resolution of multiple discrete issues. Here, too, it will be important to bring the process of identifying customer locations, and the bases for claims of non-impairment, quickly to a close.

6. Both ILECs' initial filings fall short with respect to identifying customer locations. SBC lists 105 "enterprise customer locations" where it will challenge impairment. SBC Filing ¶ 15, Ex. C.<sup>2</sup> SBC associates these locations with 12 different serving wire centers. *Id.* However, SBC appears to state that it will seek a non-impairment determination more broadly, extending to every

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  AT&T reserves the right to contest whether these addresses constitute "customer locations," as that term is used in the TRO, following an opportunity for discovery and further analysis.

enterprise customer location within these 12 serving wire centers. SBC Filing  $\P$  15. The 105 locations that SBC has identified form only an unspecified fraction of the locations served within these wire centers.

7. AT&T takes exception to SBC's assertion that it will challenge impairment at unidentified customer locations within identified serving wire centers. If SBC's assertion is intended merely to state that it reserves the right to supplement the 105 locations it has identified on Exhibit C. then the only questions raised are whether the Commission intends to permit such supplementation in this initial proceeding and what deadline should be established for any permitted supplementation. If, however, SBC is asserting that it will challenge impairment with respect to enterprise loops for one or more serving wire centers as a whole, that assertion would fall outside of the impairment challenges permitted under the TRO. The FCC was very clear that the state reviews are to be customer locationspecific. See, e.g., TRO ¶¶ 328 (state commission to consider whether federal triggers have been satisfied "for a specific type of high-capacity loop at a particular customer location"), 329 (FCC establishes two triggers to identify the "specific customer locations" where there may be no impairment for particular high-capacity loops and the ILEC unbundling obligation can be eliminated "at that customer location"), and 339 (states need only address "specific customer locations" for which there is relevant evidence in the proceeding that "the customer location" satisfies a trigger or the potential deployment analysis). The FCC plainly did not authorize a challenge to the impairment finding for enterprise loops on any basis broader than individual customer locations. An ILEC may not assert nonimpairment for some area larger than a particular customer location, such as a wire center or group of wire centers. Both triggers, and the potential deployment analysis, are defined in terms of deployment of particular loop capacity to a particular customer location. None allow for drawing inferences from evidence about one particular location to unspecified locations within the vicinity about which no specific evidence has been adduced. Accordingly, the Commission should make clear that, if SBC is to be permitted to bring into this enterprise loop proceeding any customer locations beyond the 105 addresses listed in Exhibit C to its initial filing, it must specify the additional addresses within such period as the Commission sets for supplementation.

8. CenturyTel did not identify any customer locations in its filing. CenturyTel Filing ¶ 10. CenturyTel asserts the right to supplement. *Id.* For the same reasons discussed above, if supplementation is to be permitted, a deadline should be established that will leave reasonable and fair opportunity to develop the evidence relevant to the issues to be tried for each of those locations, recognizing that SBC already has placed more than one hundred customer addresses (without specification of what loop capacity levels will be challenged at each address) in issue here.

9. AT&T appreciates this opportunity to respond to the initial filings of the ILECs as they relate to dedicated transport and enterprise loops, and looks forward to further discussing these matters at the prehearing conference on November 18, 2003.

Respectfully submitted,

Patrick R. Cowlishaw Kathleen LaValle JACKSON WALKER L.L.P. 901 Main Street, Suite 6000 Dallas, TX 75202 Telephone: 214-953-6000 Fax: 214-953-5822 pcowlishaw@jw.com

6

Mark W. Comley #28847 NEWMAN, COMLEY & RUTH P.C. 601 Monroe Street, Suite 301 P.O. Box 537 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0537 (573) 634-2266 (573) 636-3306 FAX

## **ATTORNEYS FOR AT&T**

## Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing document was sent via e-mail on this 17th day of November, 2003, to General Counsel's Office at gencounsel@psc.state.mo.us; Office of Public Counsel at opcservice@ded.state.mo.us; Paul G. Lane, SBC Missouri, at <u>paul.lane@sbc.com</u>, and via U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, to:

Lisa C Hendricks Sprint MO Inc. d/b/a Sprint 6450 Sprint Parkway Overland Park, KS 66251

Legal Department Accutel of TX Inc. 7900 W. John Carpenter Freeway Dallas, TX 75237

Legal Department Affordable Phone Company 808 S. Baker Street Mountain Home, AR 72653

Legal Department Alltel Communications, Inc. 1705 S. Lillian Ave. P.O. Box 180 Bolivar, MO 65613

Legal Department BarTel Communications, Inc. 333 Leffingwell, Ste. 101 St. Louis, MO 63122 Larry W Dority 101 Madison--Suite 400 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Legal Department ACN Communication Services, Inc. 32991 Hamilton Court Farmington Hills, MI 48333

Legal Department Affordaphone, Inc. 1703 16th Street P.O. Box 1220 Bridgeport, TX 76426

Legal Department Ameritel, Your Phone Company 1307 Central Ave. Hot Springs, AR 71901

Legal Department Basicphone, Inc. P.O. Box 220 Orange, TX 77631 Legal Department 877-Ring Again P.O. Box 720429 Dallas, TX 75372

Legal Department Advanced Integrated Technologies, Inc. 9855 W. 78th St. ,Ste. 300 Eden Prairie, MN 55344

Mary A Young P.O. Box 104595 Jefferson City, MO 65110-4595

Legal Department Atlas Communications, LTD. 900 Comerica Bldg. Kalamazoo, MI 49007

Legal Department BBC Telephone, Inc. 154 N. Emporia Witchita, KS 67202 Legal Department BTI 4300 Six Forcks Rd, Ste. 400 Raleigh, North Carolina, 27609

Legal Department Buy-Tel Communications, Inc. 6409 Colleyville Blvd. P.O. Box 1170 Colleyville, TX 76034

Legal Department CD Telecommunications, LLC 607 St. Hwy. 165 Ste. #5 Branson, MO 65616

Legal Department Cinergy Communications Company 1419 West Lloyd Expressway Evansville, Indiana, 47710

Legal Department Convergent Communications Services, Inc. P.O. Box 746237 Arvada, CO 80006

Legal Department Delta Phones, Inc. 245 Illinois Street Delhi, LA 71232

Legal Department DSLnet Communications, LLC 545 Long Wharf Dr., 5th Floor New Haven, CT 06511

Legal Department Everest Midwest Licensee LLC 9647 Lackman Road Lenexa, KS 66219

Legal Department FamilyTel of MO L.L.C. 2900 Louisville Ave. Monroe, LA 71201 Legal Department Budget Phone, Inc 6901 W. 70th St. P.O. Box 19360 Shreveport, LA 71129

Legal Department Camarato Distributing, Inc. 900 Camarato Dr. P.O. Box 638 Herrin, IL 62948

Legal Department Chariton Valley Telecom Corp. 109 Butler Macon, MO 63552

Legal Department Concert Communications Sales, LLC 2355 Dulles Corner Blvd. #LBBY Herndon, VA 20171-3428

Legal Department Cox Missouri Telecom, L.L.C. 5428 Florida Blvd. Baton Rouge, LA 70806

Legal Department DMJ Communications, Inc. P.O. Box 12690 Odessa, TX 79768

Legal Department e.spire Communications, Inc. 22685 Holiday Park Dr. Ste. 80 Sterling, VA 20166

Legal Department Excel Telecommunications, Inc. 1600 Viceroy Dr. Dallas, TX 75235

Legal Department Fast Connections, Inc. P.O. Box 40 Hubbard, OR 97032 Legal Department BullsEye Telecom, Inc. 25900 Greenfield Rd., Ste. 330 Oak Park, MI 48237

Legal Department Cbeyond Communications, LLC 320 Interstate N. Pkwy, Ste. 300 Atlanta, GA 30339

Legal Department CI2, Inc. 200 Galleria Pkwy. Ste. 1200 Atlanta, GA 30339

Legal Department Connect! P.O. Box 619 Bryant, AR 72089

Legal Department Davidson Telecom, LLC 19003 Hodestone Mews Court Davidson, NC 28036

Legal Department dPi-Tele-Connect, L.L.C. 1720 Windward Concourse, Ste. 250 Alpharetta, GA 30005

Legal Department Ernest Communications, Inc. 5275 Triangle Pkwy, Ste. 150 Norcross, GA 30092

Legal Department EZ Talk Communications, L.L.C. 4727 S. Main Stafford, TX 77477

Sheldon K Stock 10 South Broadway 2000 Equitable Bldg. St. Louis, MO 63102 Legal Department Global Crossing Local Services, Inc. 1080 Pittsford Victor Road Pittsford, New York, 14534

Legal Department GoBeam Services, Inc. 5050 Hopyard Rd., Ste. 350 Pleasanton, CA 94588

Legal Department ICG Telecom Group, Inc. 161 Inverness Drive West Englewood, Colorado, 80202

Legal Department Ionex Communications, Inc. 2020 Baltimore Kansas City, MO 64108

Legal Department KMC Telecom III, LLC 1545 Route 206 Bedminster, New Jersey, 07921

Legal Department Magnus Communications, Inc. 340 S. Broadview Cape Girardeau, MO 63703

Legal Department Maxcom, Inc. 1250 Wood Branch Dr., Ste. 600 Houston, TX 77079

Legal Department Metro Teleconnect Companies, Inc. 2150 Herr Street Harrisburg, PA 17103

Legal Department Missouri State Discount Telephone 804 Elkins Lake Huntsville, TX 77340 Legal Department Global Crossing Telemanagement, Inc. 1080 Pittsford Victor Road Pittsford, New York, 14534

Legal Department Green Hills Telecommunications Services P.O. Box 227 Breckenridge, MO 64625

Legal Department Integrated Telecommunication Services, LLC P.O. Box 892 Jonesboro, AR 72403

Legal Department IPvoice Communications, Inc. 14860 Montfort Dr., Ste. 210 Dallas, TX 75254

Legal Department Level 3 Communications, LLC 1025 Eldorado Blvd. Broomfield, Colorado, 80021

Legal Department Mark Twain Communications Company P.O. Box 128 Hurdland, MO 63547

Legal Department Max-Tel Communications, Inc. 1720 Windward Concourse, Ste. 250 Alpharetta, GA 30005

Legal Department Midwestern Tel 2751 N. Ashland Ave. Chicago, IL 60614

Legal Department Missouri Telecom, Inc. 515 Cleveland, Ste. C Monett, MO 65708 Legal Department Globcom, Incorporated 2100 Sanders Rd. Ste. 150 Northbrook , IL 60062

Legal Department Group Long Distance, Inc. 1 Cavalier Court P.O. Box 534 Ringoes, New Jersey, 08551

Carl J Lumley 130 S. Bemiston, Suite 200 St. Louis, MO 63105

Legal Department KMC Data, L.L.C. 1545 Route 206 Bedminster, New Jersey, 07921

Legal Department Local Line America, Inc. P.O. Box 4551 Akron, Ohio, 44310

Legal Department Maxcess, Inc. P.O. Box 951419 Lake Mary, FL 32795

Legal Department Metro Communications Company P.O. Box 555 Sullivan, IL 61951

Legal Department Missouri Comm South, Inc. 2909 N. Buckner Blvd., Ste. 800 Dallas , TX 75228

Legal Department Navigator Telecommunications, L.L.C. 8525 Riverwood Park Dr. P.O. Box 13860 North Little Rock, AR 72113 Legal Department North County Communications Corporation 3802 Rosecrans Street, Ste. 485 San Diego, CA 92110

Legal Department Omniplex 1250 Wood Branch Park Dr. Suite 600 Houston, TX 77079

Legal Department Popp Telcom Incorporated 620 Mendelssohn Ave. North Golden Valley, MN 55427

Legal Department QuantumShift Communications, Inc. 88 Rowland Way Novato, CA 94945

Legal Department Reliant Communications, Inc. 801 International Parkway, 5th Fl. Lake Mary, FL 32746

Charles B Stewart Sage Telecom, Inc. 4603 John Garry Drive, Suite 11 Columbia, MO 65203

Legal Department Simply Local Services, Inc. 2225 Apollo Dr Fenton, MO 63026

Legal Department Socket Telecom, LLC 811 Cherry St. Ste. 310 Columbia, MO 65201

Legal Department Suretel, Inc. 5 N. McCormick Oklahoma City, OK 73127 Legal Department Now Acquisition Corporation 180 N. Wacker Dr., Ste. 3 Chicago, IL 60606

Legal Department Phone-Link, Inc. 1700 Eastpoint Parkway Suite 270 Louisville, Kentucky, 40223

Legal Department Premiere Paging & Cellular, Inc. 1114 Blue Bird Lane Liberty, MO 64068

Legal Department Quick-Tel, Inc. P.O. Box 1220 Bridgeport, TX 76426

Legal Department Ren-Tel Communications, Inc. 33 Black Forest Run Douglasville, GA 30134

Legal Department SBA Broadband Services, Inc. 5900 Broken Sound Pkwy., NW Boca Raton, FL 33487

Legal Department Smoke Signal Communications 8700 S. Gessner Houston, TX 77074

Legal Department Southern Telecom Network, Inc. P.O. Box 1161 Mountain Home, AR 72653

Legal Department Talk America, Inc. 6805 Route 202 New Hope, PA 18938 Carol M Keith NuVox Communications of MO Inc. 16090 Swingley Ridge Chesterfield, MO 63017

Legal Department PNG Telecommunications, Inc. 100 Commercial Dr. Fairfield, Ohio, 45014

Legal Department QCC, Inc. 8829 Bond Street Overland Park, KS 66214

Legal Department Qwest Communications Corp. 1801 California St., 47th Floor Denver, Colorado, 80202

Legal Department Rocky Mountain Broadband, Inc. 999 18th St. #1825 Denver, Colorado, 80202

Legal Department ServiSense.com, Inc. 115 Shawnmut Road Canton, Massachusetts, 02021

Legal Department Snappy Phone 6901 W. 70th Street Shreveport, LA 71129

Legal Department Supra Telecommunications and Information Systems, Inc. 2620 S.W. 27th Ave. Miami, FL 33133

Legal Department Tel Com Plus 2277 19th Ave. SW Largo, FL 33774 Legal Department TelCove 712 N. Main Street Coudersport, Pennsylvania 16915

Legal Department Telera Communications, Inc. 910 E. Hamilton Ave. Ste. 200 Campbell, CA 95008

Legal Department The Cube 7941 Katy Freeway #304 Houston, TX 77024

Legal Department Unite 303 N. Jefferson P.O. Box 891 Kearney, MO 64060

Legal Department Verizon Select Services, Inc. 6665 N. MacArthur Blvd. Irving, TX 75039

Legal Department Atlas Mobilfone, Inc. 1903 S. Glenstone Springfield, MO 65804

Legal Department Logix Communications Corporation 2950 N. Loop W., Ste. #1200 Houston, TX 77092-8839

Legal Department XO MO Inc. 2700 Summit Ave. Plano, TX 75074 Legal Department Telefonos Para Todos 14681 Midway Rd., Ste. 105 Addison, TX 75001

Legal Department Tele-Reconnect, Inc. 16925 Manchester Rd Wildwood, MO 63040

Legal Department Transamerican Telephone 209 E. University Danton, TX 76201

Legal Department Valor Communications CLEC of Mo, LLC 201 E. John Carpenter; Freeway #200 Irving, TX 75062

William D Steinmeier P.O. Box 104595 Jefferson City, MO 65110-4595

Legal Department Bellsouth BSE, Inc. 400 Perimeter Center Terrace, Ste 400 Atlanta, GA 30346

Legal Department O1 Communications of MO LLC 2000 E. Lamar Blvd., Suite 730 Arlington, TX 76006

Legal Department 1-800-Reconex, Inc. 2500 Industrial Avenue Hubbard, OR 97032 Legal Department Telepacific Communications 515 S. Flower St. 47th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071

Legal Department Teligent Services, Inc. 460 Herndon Parkway Ste. 100 Herndon, VA 20170

Legal Department TruComm Corporation 1608 Barclay Blvd. Buffalo Grove, IL 60089

Legal Department VarTec Telecom, Inc. 1600 Viceroy Dr. Dallas, TX 75235

Legal Department American Fiber Network, Inc. 9401 Indian Creek Pkwy., Ste. 140 Overland Park, KS 66210

Legal Department Big River Telephone Co. LLC 24 South Minnesota P.O. Box 1608 Cape Girardeau, MO 63702-1608

Legal Department WTX Communications 11001 Wilcrest Dr., Suite 100 Houston, TX 77099

Mark W. Comley