

**Title 2—DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**  
**Division 110—Office of the Director**  
**Chapter 4—Registration of Foreign-Owned**  
**Agricultural Land**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Missouri Department of Agriculture under section 442.592, RSMo 2016, the director amends a rule as follows:

**2 CSR 110-4.020 Interest Defined is amended.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on July 2, 2018 (43 MoReg 1418). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty (30) days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 2—DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**  
**Division 110—Office of the Director**  
**Chapter 4—Registration of Foreign-Owned**  
**Agricultural Land**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Missouri Department of Agriculture under section 442.592, RSMo 2016, the director amends a rule as follows:

**2 CSR 110-4.030 Nonfarming Purposes Interpreted is amended.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on July 2, 2018 (43 MoReg 1418). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty (30) days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 2—DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**  
**Division 110—Office of the Director**  
**Chapter 4—Registration of Foreign-Owned**  
**Agricultural Land**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Missouri Department of Agriculture under section 442.592, RSMo 2016, the director amends a rule as follows:

**2 CSR 110-4.040 Procedure for Filing is amended.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on July 2, 2018 (43 MoReg 1418-1419). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty (30) days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: No comments were received.

**Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC**  
**DEVELOPMENT**  
**Division 240—Public Service Commission**  
**Chapter 29—Enhanced Record Exchange Rules**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Public Service Commission under sections 386.040 and 386.250, RSMo 2016, the commission withdraws a proposed rescission of a rule as follows:

**4 CSR 240-29.010 The LEC-to-LEC Network is withdrawn.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on May 15, 2018 (43 MoReg 989). This proposed rescission is withdrawn.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: The public comment period ended July 2, 2018, and the commission held a public hearing on the proposed rescission on July 10, 2018. The commission received timely written comments from the staff of the commission, the Missouri Telecommunications Industry Association (MTIA), and from Windstream Missouri, LLC. Whitney Payne, representing the commission's staff, and Natelle Dietrich, on behalf of staff; Richard Telthorst, on behalf of MTIA; Leo Bub, representing AT&T Missouri; and Brian McCartney, representing the Missouri Small Telephone Company Group, appeared at the hearing and offered comments.

COMMENT #1: Staff explained the Enhanced Record Exchange Rules in Chapter 29, of which this rule is a part, are designed to deal with the problem of uncompensated or unidentified traffic being delivered to Missouri's local exchange carriers (LECs). Staff suggested the rule is unnecessary because wholesale matters are typically addressed in interconnection agreements, tariffs contracts, and other intercompany arrangements. Representatives of the LECs offered comments strongly disagreeing with staff's view of the importance of the rule. They explained this rule is very important in their efforts to reduce the incidence of fraudulent delivery of telecommunications traffic over the network. They urge the commission not to rescind these rules.

RESPONSE: The commission agrees the Enhanced Local Exchange Rules of Chapter 29 remain necessary. The commission will withdraw the proposed rescission of this rule.

**Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC**  
**DEVELOPMENT**  
**Division 240—Public Service Commission**  
**Chapter 29—Enhanced Record Exchange Rules**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Public Service Commission under sections 386.040 and 386.250, RSMo 2016, the commission withdraws a proposed rescission of a rule as follows:

**4 CSR 240-29.020 Definitions is withdrawn.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on May 15, 2018 (43 MoReg 989). This proposed rescission is withdrawn.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: The public comment period ended

July 2, 2018, and the commission held a public hearing on the proposed rescission on July 10, 2018. The commission received timely written comments from the staff of the commission, the Missouri Telecommunications Industry Association (MTIA), and from Windstream Missouri, LLC. Whitney Payne, representing the commission's staff, and Natelle Dietrich, on behalf of staff; Richard Telthorst, on behalf of MTIA; Leo Bub, representing AT&T Missouri; and Brian McCartney, representing the Missouri Small Telephone Company Group, appeared at the hearing and offered comments.

**COMMENT #1:** Staff explained the Enhanced Record Exchange Rules in Chapter 29, of which this rule is a part, are designed to deal with the problem of uncompensated or unidentified traffic being delivered to Missouri's local exchange carriers (LECs). Staff suggested the rule is unnecessary because wholesale matters are typically addressed in interconnection agreements, tariffs contracts, and other intercompany arrangements. Representatives of the LECs offered comments strongly disagreeing with staff's view of the importance of the rule. They explained this rule is very important in their efforts to reduce the incidence of fraudulent delivery of telecommunications traffic over the network. They urge the commission not to rescind these rules.

**RESPONSE:** The commission agrees the Enhanced Local Exchange Rules of Chapter 29 remain necessary. The commission will withdraw the proposed rescission of this rule.

**Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC  
DEVELOPMENT  
Division 240—Public Service Commission  
Chapter 29—Enhanced Record Exchange Rules**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Public Service Commission under sections 386.040 and 386.250, RSMo 2016, the commission withdraws a proposed rescission of a rule as follows:

**4 CSR 240-29.030 General Provisions is withdrawn.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on May 15, 2018 (43 MoReg 989-990). This proposed rescission is withdrawn.

**SUMMARY OF COMMENTS:** The public comment period ended July 2, 2018, and the commission held a public hearing on the proposed rescission on July 10, 2018. The commission received timely written comments from the staff of the commission, the Missouri Telecommunications Industry Association (MTIA), and from Windstream Missouri, LLC. Whitney Payne, representing the commission's staff, and Natelle Dietrich, on behalf of staff; Richard Telthorst, on behalf of MTIA; Leo Bub, representing AT&T Missouri; and Brian McCartney, representing the Missouri Small Telephone Company Group, appeared at the hearing and offered comments.

**COMMENT #1:** Staff explained the Enhanced Record Exchange Rules in Chapter 29, of which this rule is a part, are designed to deal with the problem of uncompensated or unidentified traffic being delivered to Missouri's local exchange carriers (LECs). Staff suggested the rule is unnecessary because wholesale matters are typically addressed in interconnection agreements, tariffs contracts, and other intercompany arrangements. Representatives of the LECs offered comments strongly disagreeing with staff's view of the importance of the rule. They explained this rule is very important in their efforts to reduce the incidence of fraudulent delivery of telecommunications traffic over the network. They urge the commis-

sion not to rescind these rules.

**RESPONSE:** The commission agrees the Enhanced Local Exchange Rules of Chapter 29 remain necessary. The commission will withdraw the proposed rescission of this rule.

**Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC  
DEVELOPMENT  
Division 240—Public Service Commission  
Chapter 29—Enhanced Record Exchange Rules**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Public Service Commission under sections 386.040 and 386.250, RSMo 2016, the commission withdraws a proposed rescission of a rule as follows:

**4 CSR 240-29.040 Identification of Originating Carrier for Traffic Transmitted over the LEC-to-LEC Network is withdrawn.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on May 15, 2018 (43 MoReg 990). This proposed rescission is withdrawn.

**SUMMARY OF COMMENTS:** The public comment period ended July 2, 2018, and the commission held a public hearing on the proposed rescission on July 10, 2018. The commission received timely written comments from the staff of the commission, the Missouri Telecommunications Industry Association (MTIA), and from Windstream Missouri, LLC. Whitney Payne, representing the commission's staff, and Natelle Dietrich, on behalf of staff; Richard Telthorst, on behalf of MTIA; Leo Bub, representing AT&T Missouri; and Brian McCartney, representing the Missouri Small Telephone Company Group, appeared at the hearing and offered comments.

**COMMENT #1:** Staff explained the Enhanced Record Exchange Rules in Chapter 29, of which this rule is a part, are designed to deal with the problem of uncompensated or unidentified traffic being delivered to Missouri's local exchange carriers (LECs). Staff suggested the rule is unnecessary because wholesale matters are typically addressed in interconnection agreements, tariffs contracts, and other intercompany arrangements. Representatives of the LECs offered comments strongly disagreeing with staff's view of the importance of the rule. They explained this rule is very important in their efforts to reduce the incidence of fraudulent delivery of telecommunications traffic over the network. They urge the commission not to rescind these rules.

**RESPONSE:** The commission agrees the Enhanced Local Exchange Rules of Chapter 29 remain necessary. The commission will withdraw the proposed rescission of this rule.

**Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC  
DEVELOPMENT  
Division 240—Public Service Commission  
Chapter 29—Enhanced Record Exchange Rules**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Public Service Commission under sections 386.040 and 386.250, RSMo 2016, the commission withdraws a proposed rescission of a rule as follows:

**4 CSR 240-29.050 Option to Establish Separate Trunk Groups for LEC-to-LEC Telecommunications Traffic is withdrawn.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on May 15, 2018 (43 MoReg 990-991). This proposed rescission is withdrawn.

**SUMMARY OF COMMENTS:** The public comment period ended July 2, 2018, and the commission held a public hearing on the proposed rescission on July 10, 2018. The commission received timely written comments from the staff of the commission, the Missouri Telecommunications Industry Association (MTIA), and from Windstream Missouri, LLC. Whitney Payne, representing the commission's staff, and Natelle Dietrich, on behalf of staff; Richard Telthorst, on behalf of MTIA; Leo Bub, representing AT&T Missouri; and Brian McCartney, representing the Missouri Small Telephone Company Group, appeared at the hearing and offered comments.

**COMMENT #1:** Staff explained the Enhanced Record Exchange Rules in Chapter 29, of which this rule is a part, are designed to deal with the problem of uncompensated or unidentified traffic being delivered to Missouri's local exchange carriers (LECs). Staff suggested the rule is unnecessary because wholesale matters are typically addressed in interconnection agreements, tariffs contracts, and other intercompany arrangements. Representatives of the LECs offered comments strongly disagreeing with staff's view of the importance of the rule. They explained this rule is very important in their efforts to reduce the incidence of fraudulent delivery of telecommunications traffic over the network. They urge the commission not to rescind these rules.

**RESPONSE:** The commission agrees the Enhanced Local Exchange Rules of Chapter 29 remain necessary. The commission will withdraw the proposed rescission of this rule.

**Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC  
DEVELOPMENT  
Division 240—Public Service Commission  
Chapter 29—Enhanced Record Exchange Rules**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Public Service Commission under sections 386.040 and 386.250, RSMo 2016, the commission withdraws a proposed rescission of a rule as follows:

**4 CSR 240-29.060 Special Privacy Provisions for End Users Who Block Their Originating Telephone Number is withdrawn.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on May 15, 2018 (43 MoReg 991). This proposed rescission is withdrawn.

**SUMMARY OF COMMENTS:** The public comment period ended July 2, 2018, and the commission held a public hearing on the proposed rescission on July 10, 2018. The commission received timely written comments from the staff of the commission, the Missouri Telecommunications Industry Association (MTIA), and from Windstream Missouri, LLC. Whitney Payne, representing the commission's staff, and Natelle Dietrich, on behalf of staff; Richard Telthorst, on behalf of MTIA; Leo Bub, representing AT&T Missouri; and Brian McCartney, representing the Missouri Small Telephone Company Group, appeared at the hearing and offered comments.

**COMMENT #1:** Staff explained the Enhanced Record Exchange Rules in Chapter 29, of which this rule is a part, are designed to deal with the problem of uncompensated or unidentified traffic being delivered to Missouri's local exchange carriers (LECs). Staff suggested the rule is unnecessary because wholesale matters are typically addressed in interconnection agreements, tariffs contracts, and

other intercompany arrangements. Representatives of the LECs offered comments strongly disagreeing with staff's view of the importance of the rule. They explained this rule is very important in their efforts to reduce the incidence of fraudulent delivery of telecommunications traffic over the network. They urge the commission not to rescind these rules.

**RESPONSE:** The commission agrees the Enhanced Local Exchange Rules of Chapter 29 remain necessary. The commission will withdraw the proposed rescission of this rule.

**Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC  
DEVELOPMENT  
Division 240—Public Service Commission  
Chapter 29—Enhanced Record Exchange Rules**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Public Service Commission under sections 386.040 and 386.250, RSMo 2016, the commission withdraws a proposed rescission of a rule as follows:

**4 CSR 240-29.080 Use of Terminating Record Creation for LEC-to-LEC Telecommunications Traffic is withdrawn.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on May 15, 2018 (43 MoReg 991). This proposed rescission is withdrawn.

**SUMMARY OF COMMENTS:** The public comment period ended July 2, 2018, and the commission held a public hearing on the proposed rescission on July 10, 2018. The commission received timely written comments from the staff of the commission, the Missouri Telecommunications Industry Association (MTIA), and from Windstream Missouri, LLC. Whitney Payne, representing the commission's staff, and Natelle Dietrich, on behalf of staff; Richard Telthorst, on behalf of MTIA; Leo Bub, representing AT&T Missouri; and Brian McCartney, representing the Missouri Small Telephone Company Group, appeared at the hearing and offered comments.

**COMMENT #1:** Staff explained the Enhanced Record Exchange Rules in Chapter 29, of which this rule is a part, are designed to deal with the problem of uncompensated or unidentified traffic being delivered to Missouri's local exchange carriers (LECs). Staff suggested the rule is unnecessary because wholesale matters are typically addressed in interconnection agreements, tariffs contracts, and other intercompany arrangements. Representatives of the LECs offered comments strongly disagreeing with staff's view of the importance of the rule. They explained this rule is very important in their efforts to reduce the incidence of fraudulent delivery of telecommunications traffic over the network. They urge the commission not to rescind these rules.

**RESPONSE:** The commission agrees the Enhanced Local Exchange Rules of Chapter 29 remain necessary. The commission will withdraw the proposed rescission of this rule.

**Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC  
DEVELOPMENT  
Division 240—Public Service Commission  
Chapter 29—Enhanced Record Exchange Rules**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Public Service Commission under sections 386.040 and 386.250, RSMo 2016, the commission withdraws a proposed rescission of a rule as follows:



**4 CSR 240-29.090 Time Frame for the Exchange of Records, Invoices, and Payments for LEC-to-LEC Network Traffic is withdrawn.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on May 15, 2018 (43 MoReg 991-992). This proposed rescission is withdrawn.

**SUMMARY OF COMMENTS:** The public comment period ended July 2, 2018, and the commission held a public hearing on the proposed rescission on July 10, 2018. The commission received timely written comments from the staff of the commission, the Missouri Telecommunications Industry Association (MTIA), and from Windstream Missouri, LLC. Whitney Payne, representing the commission's staff, and Natelle Dietrich, on behalf of staff; Richard Telthorst, on behalf of MTIA; Leo Bub, representing AT&T Missouri; and Brian McCartney, representing the Missouri Small Telephone Company Group, appeared at the hearing and offered comments.

**COMMENT #1:** Staff explained the Enhanced Record Exchange Rules in Chapter 29, of which this rule is a part, are designed to deal with the problem of uncompensated or unidentified traffic being delivered to Missouri's local exchange carriers (LECs). Staff suggested the rule is unnecessary because wholesale matters are typically addressed in interconnection agreements, tariffs contracts, and other intercompany arrangements. Representatives of the LECs offered comments strongly disagreeing with staff's view of the importance of the rule. They explained this rule is very important in their efforts to reduce the incidence of fraudulent delivery of telecommunications traffic over the network. They urge the commission not to rescind these rules.

**RESPONSE:** The commission agrees the Enhanced Local Exchange Rules of Chapter 29 remain necessary. The commission will withdraw the proposed rescission of this rule.

**Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT  
Division 240—Public Service Commission  
Chapter 29—Enhanced Record Exchange Rules**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Public Service Commission under sections 386.040 and 386.250, RSMo 2016, the commission withdraws a proposed rescission of a rule as follows:

**4 CSR 240-29.100 Objections to Payment Invoices is withdrawn.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on May 15, 2018 (43 MoReg 992). This proposed rescission is withdrawn.

**SUMMARY OF COMMENTS:** The public comment period ended July 2, 2018, and the commission held a public hearing on the proposed rescission on July 10, 2018. The commission received timely written comments from the staff of the commission, the Missouri Telecommunications Industry Association (MTIA), and from Windstream Missouri, LLC. Whitney Payne, representing the commission's staff, and Natelle Dietrich, on behalf of staff; Richard Telthorst, on behalf of MTIA; Leo Bub, representing AT&T Missouri; and Brian McCartney, representing the Missouri Small Telephone Company Group, appeared at the hearing and offered comments.

**COMMENT #1:** Staff explained the Enhanced Record Exchange Rules in Chapter 29, of which this rule is a part, are designed to deal with the problem of uncompensated or unidentified traffic being delivered to Missouri's local exchange carriers (LECs). Staff suggested the rule is unnecessary because wholesale matters are typically

ly addressed in interconnection agreements, tariffs contracts, and other intercompany arrangements. Representatives of the LECs offered comments strongly disagreeing with staff's view of the importance of the rule. They explained this rule is very important in their efforts to reduce the incidence of fraudulent delivery of telecommunications traffic over the network. They urge the commission not to rescind these rules.

**RESPONSE:** The commission agrees the Enhanced Local Exchange Rules of Chapter 29 remain necessary. The commission will withdraw the proposed rescission of this rule.

**Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT  
Division 240—Public Service Commission  
Chapter 29—Enhanced Record Exchange Rules**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Public Service Commission under sections 386.040 and 386.250, RSMo 2016, the commission withdraws a proposed rescission of a rule as follows:

**4 CSR 240-29.120 Blocking Traffic of Originating Carriers and/or Traffic Aggregators by Transiting Carriers is withdrawn.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on May 15, 2018 (43 MoReg 992). This proposed rescission is withdrawn.

**SUMMARY OF COMMENTS:** The public comment period ended July 2, 2018, and the commission held a public hearing on the proposed rescission on July 10, 2018. The commission received timely written comments from the staff of the commission, the Missouri Telecommunications Industry Association (MTIA), and from Windstream Missouri, LLC. Whitney Payne, representing the commission's staff, and Natelle Dietrich, on behalf of staff; Richard Telthorst, on behalf of MTIA; Leo Bub, representing AT&T Missouri; and Brian McCartney, representing the Missouri Small Telephone Company Group, appeared at the hearing and offered comments.

**COMMENT #1:** Staff explained the Enhanced Record Exchange Rules in Chapter 29, of which this rule is a part, are designed to deal with the problem of uncompensated or unidentified traffic being delivered to Missouri's local exchange carriers (LECs). Staff suggested the rule is unnecessary because wholesale matters are typically addressed in interconnection agreements, tariffs contracts, and other intercompany arrangements. Representatives of the LECs offered comments strongly disagreeing with staff's view of the importance of the rule. They explained this rule is very important in their efforts to reduce the incidence of fraudulent delivery of telecommunications traffic over the network. They urge the commission not to rescind these rules.

**RESPONSE:** The commission agrees the Enhanced Local Exchange Rules of Chapter 29 remain necessary. The commission will withdraw the proposed rescission of this rule.

**Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT  
Division 240—Public Service Commission  
Chapter 29—Enhanced Record Exchange Rules**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Public Service Commission under sections 386.040 and 386.250, RSMo 2016, the commission withdraws a proposed rescission of a rule as follows:

**4 CSR 240-29.130 Requests of Terminating Carriers for Originating Tandem Carriers to Block Traffic of Originating Carriers and/or Traffic Aggregators is withdrawn.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on May 15, 2018 (43 MoReg 992-993). This proposed rescission is withdrawn.

**SUMMARY OF COMMENTS:** The public comment period ended July 2, 2018, and the commission held a public hearing on the proposed rescission on July 10, 2018. The commission received timely written comments from the staff of the commission, the Missouri Telecommunications Industry Association (MTIA), and from Windstream Missouri, LLC. Whitney Payne, representing the commission's staff, and Natelle Dietrich, on behalf of staff; Richard Telthorst, on behalf of MTIA; Leo Bub, representing AT&T Missouri; and Brian McCartney, representing the Missouri Small Telephone Company Group, appeared at the hearing and offered comments.

**COMMENT #1:** Staff explained the Enhanced Record Exchange Rules in Chapter 29, of which this rule is a part, are designed to deal with the problem of uncompensated or unidentified traffic being delivered to Missouri's local exchange carriers (LECs). Staff suggested the rule is unnecessary because wholesale matters are typically addressed in interconnection agreements, tariffs contracts, and other intercompany arrangements. Representatives of the LECs offered comments strongly disagreeing with staff's view of the importance of the rule. They explained this rule is very important in their efforts to reduce the incidence of fraudulent delivery of telecommunications traffic over the network. They urge the commission not to rescind these rules.

**RESPONSE:** The commission agrees the Enhanced Local Exchange Rules of Chapter 29 remain necessary. The commission will withdraw the proposed rescission of this rule.

**Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT  
Division 240—Public Service Commission  
Chapter 29—Enhanced Record Exchange Rules**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Public Service Commission under sections 386.040 and 386.250, RSMo 2016, the commission withdraws a proposed rescission of a rule as follows:

**4 CSR 240-29.140 Blocking Traffic of Transiting Carriers by Terminating Carriers is withdrawn.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on May 15, 2018 (43 MoReg 993). This proposed rescission is withdrawn.

**SUMMARY OF COMMENTS:** The public comment period ended July 2, 2018, and the commission held a public hearing on the proposed rescission on July 10, 2018. The commission received timely written comments from the staff of the commission, the Missouri Telecommunications Industry Association (MTIA), and from Windstream Missouri, LLC. Whitney Payne, representing the commission's staff, and Natelle Dietrich, on behalf of staff; Richard Telthorst, on behalf of MTIA; Leo Bub, representing AT&T Missouri; and Brian McCartney, representing the Missouri Small Telephone Company Group, appeared at the hearing and offered comments.

**COMMENT #1:** Staff explained the Enhanced Record Exchange Rules in Chapter 29, of which this rule is a part, are designed to deal with the problem of uncompensated or unidentified traffic being

delivered to Missouri's local exchange carriers (LECs). Staff suggested the rule is unnecessary because wholesale matters are typically addressed in interconnection agreements, tariffs contracts, and other intercompany arrangements. Representatives of the LECs offered comments strongly disagreeing with staff's view of the importance of the rule. They explained this rule is very important in their efforts to reduce the incidence of fraudulent delivery of telecommunications traffic over the network. They urge the commission not to rescind these rules.

**RESPONSE:** The commission agrees the Enhanced Local Exchange Rules of Chapter 29 remain necessary. The commission will withdraw the proposed rescission of this rule.

**Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT  
Division 240—Public Service Commission  
Chapter 29—Enhanced Record Exchange Rules**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Public Service Commission under sections 386.040 and 386.250, RSMo 2016, the commission withdraws a proposed rescission of a rule as follows:

**4 CSR 240-29.150 Confidentiality is withdrawn.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on May 15, 2018 (43 MoReg 993). This proposed rescission is withdrawn.

**SUMMARY OF COMMENTS:** The public comment period ended July 2, 2018, and the commission held a public hearing on the proposed rescission on July 10, 2018. The commission received timely written comments from the staff of the commission, the Missouri Telecommunications Industry Association (MTIA), and from Windstream Missouri, LLC. Whitney Payne, representing the commission's staff, and Natelle Dietrich, on behalf of staff; Richard Telthorst, on behalf of MTIA; Leo Bub, representing AT&T Missouri; and Brian McCartney, representing the Missouri Small Telephone Company Group, appeared at the hearing and offered comments.

**COMMENT #1:** Staff explained the Enhanced Record Exchange Rules in Chapter 29, of which this rule is a part, are designed to deal with the problem of uncompensated or unidentified traffic being delivered to Missouri's local exchange carriers (LECs). Staff suggested the rule is unnecessary because wholesale matters are typically addressed in interconnection agreements, tariffs contracts, and other intercompany arrangements. Representatives of the LECs offered comments strongly disagreeing with staff's view of the importance of the rule. They explained this rule is very important in their efforts to reduce the incidence of fraudulent delivery of telecommunications traffic over the network. They urge the commission not to rescind these rules.

**RESPONSE:** The commission agrees the Enhanced Local Exchange Rules of Chapter 29 remain necessary. The commission will withdraw the proposed rescission of this rule.

**Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT  
Division 240—Public Service Commission  
Chapter 29—Enhanced Record Exchange Rules**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Public Service Commission under

sections 386.040 and 386.250, RSMo 2016, the commission withdraws a proposed rescission of a rule as follows:

**4 CSR 240-29.160 Audit Provisions is withdrawn.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on May 15, 2018 (43 MoReg 994). This proposed rescission is withdrawn.

**SUMMARY OF COMMENTS:** The public comment period ended July 2, 2018, and the commission held a public hearing on the proposed rescission on July 10, 2018. The commission received timely written comments from the staff of the commission, the Missouri Telecommunications Industry Association (MTIA), and from Windstream Missouri, LLC. Whitney Payne, representing the commission's staff, and Natelle Dietrich, on behalf of staff; Richard Telthorst, on behalf of MTIA; Leo Bub, representing AT&T Missouri; and Brian McCartney, representing the Missouri Small Telephone Company Group, appeared at the hearing and offered comments.

**COMMENT #1:** Staff explained the Enhanced Record Exchange Rules in Chapter 29, of which this rule is a part, are designed to deal with the problem of uncompensated or unidentified traffic being delivered to Missouri's local exchange carriers (LECs). Staff suggested the rule is unnecessary because wholesale matters are typically addressed in interconnection agreements, tariffs contracts, and other intercompany arrangements. Representatives of the LECs offered comments strongly disagreeing with staff's view of the importance of the rule. They explained this rule is very important in their efforts to reduce the incidence of fraudulent delivery of telecommunications traffic over the network. They urge the commission not to rescind these rules.

**RESPONSE:** The commission agrees the Enhanced Local Exchange Rules of Chapter 29 remain necessary. The commission will withdraw the proposed rescission of this rule.

**Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC  
DEVELOPMENT**

**Division 240—Public Service Commission  
Chapter 34—Emergency Telephone Service Standards**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Public Service Commission under sections 386.040, 386.250, and 392.200, RSMo 2016, the commission withdraws a proposed rescission of a rule as follows:

**4 CSR 240-34.010 General Provisions is withdrawn.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on May 15, 2018 (43 MoReg 1003). This proposed rescission is withdrawn.

**SUMMARY OF COMMENTS:** The public comment period ended July 2, 2018, and the commission held a public hearing on the proposed rescission on July 10, 2018. The commission received timely written comments from the staff of the commission, the Missouri Telecommunications Industry Association (MTIA), and from Windstream Missouri, LLC. Whitney Payne, representing the commission's staff, and Natelle Dietrich, on behalf of staff; Richard Telthorst, on behalf of MTIA; Leo Bub, representing AT&T Missouri; and Brian McCartney, representing the Missouri Small Telephone Company Group, appeared at the hearing and offered comments.

**COMMENT #1:** Staff explained the Emergency Telephone Service Standards in Chapter 34, of which this rule is a part, establish detailed guidance for emergency 911 call routing and service related to public safety answering points in Missouri. Staff suggested the rules are unnecessary and obsolete because they do not apply to wire-

less carriers. Representatives of the Local Exchange Carriers that would be affected by the standards offered comments strongly disagreeing with staff's view of the importance of the rule. They explained these rules make clear the obligations of participating carriers in routing 911 calls and in maintaining and updating the E-911 data base. They concede the rules have not been updated since 1999 and suggest a working case be opened to consider updating them, but they indicate the rules still work and should not be discarded.

**RESPONSE:** The commission agrees the Emergency Telephone Service Standards of Chapter 34 remain necessary. The commission will withdraw the proposed rescission of this rule.

**Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC  
DEVELOPMENT**

**Division 240—Public Service Commission  
Chapter 34—Emergency Telephone Service Standards**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Public Service Commission under sections 386.040, 386.250, and 392.200, RSMo 2016, the commission withdraws a proposed rescission of a rule as follows:

**4 CSR 240-34.020 Definitions is withdrawn.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on May 15, 2018 (43 MoReg 1003-1004). This proposed rescission is withdrawn.

**SUMMARY OF COMMENTS:** The public comment period ended July 2, 2018, and the commission held a public hearing on the proposed rescission on July 10, 2018. The commission received timely written comments from the staff of the commission, the Missouri Telecommunications Industry Association (MTIA), and from Windstream Missouri, LLC. Whitney Payne, representing the commission's staff, and Natelle Dietrich, on behalf of staff; Richard Telthorst, on behalf of MTIA; Leo Bub, representing AT&T Missouri; and Brian McCartney, representing the Missouri Small Telephone Company Group, appeared at the hearing and offered comments.

**COMMENT #1:** Staff explained the Emergency Telephone Service Standards in Chapter 34, of which this rule is a part, establish detailed guidance for emergency 911 call routing and service related to public safety answering points in Missouri. Staff suggested the rules are unnecessary and obsolete because they do not apply to wireless carriers. Representatives of the Local Exchange Carriers that would be affected by the standards offered comments strongly disagreeing with staff's view of the importance of the rule. They explained these rules make clear the obligations of participating carriers in routing 911 calls and in maintaining and updating the E-911 data base. They concede the rules have not been updated since 1999 and suggest a working case be opened to consider updating them, but they indicate the rules still work and should not be discarded.

**RESPONSE:** The commission agrees the Emergency Telephone Service Standards of Chapter 34 remain necessary. The commission will withdraw the proposed rescission of this rule.

**Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC  
DEVELOPMENT**

**Division 240—Public Service Commission  
Chapter 34—Emergency Telephone Service Standards**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Public Service Commission under sections 386.040, 386.250, and 392.200, RSMo 2016, the commission



withdraws a proposed rescission of a rule as follows:

**4 CSR 240-34.030 Requirements for E-911 Service Providers is withdrawn.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on May 15, 2018 (43 MoReg 1004). This proposed rescission is withdrawn.

**SUMMARY OF COMMENTS:** The public comment period ended July 2, 2018, and the commission held a public hearing on the proposed rescission on July 10, 2018. The commission received timely written comments from the staff of the commission, the Missouri Telecommunications Industry Association (MTIA), and from Windstream Missouri, LLC. Whitney Payne, representing the commission's staff, and Natelle Dietrich, on behalf of staff; Richard Telthorst, on behalf of MTIA; Leo Bub, representing AT&T Missouri; and Brian McCartney, representing the Missouri Small Telephone Company Group, appeared at the hearing and offered comments.

**COMMENT #1:** Staff explained the Emergency Telephone Service Standards in Chapter 34, of which this rule is a part, establish detailed guidance for emergency 911 call routing and service related to public safety answering points in Missouri. Staff suggested the rules are unnecessary and obsolete because they do not apply to wireless carriers. Representatives of the Local Exchange Carriers that would be affected by the standards offered comments strongly disagreeing with staff's view of the importance of the rule. They explained these rules make clear the obligations of participating carriers in routing 911 calls and in maintaining and updating the E-911 data base. They concede the rules have not been updated since 1999 and suggest a working case be opened to consider updating them, but they indicate the rules still work and should not be discarded.

**RESPONSE:** The commission agrees the Emergency Telephone Service Standards of Chapter 34 remain necessary. The commission will withdraw the proposed rescission of this rule.

**Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT  
Division 240—Public Service Commission  
Chapter 34—Emergency Telephone Service Standards**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Public Service Commission under sections 386.040, 386.250, and 392.200, RSMo 2016, the commission withdraws a proposed rescission of a rule as follows:

**4 CSR 240-34.040 ETS Subscriber Record Information Requirements of Resellers is withdrawn.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on May 15, 2018 (43 MoReg 1004). This proposed rescission is withdrawn.

**SUMMARY OF COMMENTS:** The public comment period ended July 2, 2018, and the commission held a public hearing on the proposed rescission on July 10, 2018. The commission received timely written comments from the staff of the commission, the Missouri Telecommunications Industry Association (MTIA), and from Windstream Missouri, LLC. Whitney Payne, representing the commission's staff, and Natelle Dietrich, on behalf of staff; Richard Telthorst, on behalf of MTIA; Leo Bub, representing AT&T Missouri; and Brian McCartney, representing the Missouri Small Telephone Company Group, appeared at the hearing and offered comments.

**COMMENT #1:** Staff explained the Emergency Telephone Service Standards in Chapter 34, of which this rule is a part, establish detailed guidance for emergency 911 call routing and service related to public safety answering points in Missouri. Staff suggested the rules are unnecessary and obsolete because they do not apply to wireless carriers. Representatives of the Local Exchange Carriers that would be affected by the standards offered comments strongly disagreeing with staff's view of the importance of the rule. They explained these rules make clear the obligations of participating carriers in routing 911 calls and in maintaining and updating the E-911 data base. They concede the rules have not been updated since 1999 and suggest a working case be opened to consider updating them, but they indicate the rules still work and should not be discarded.

**RESPONSE:** The commission agrees the Emergency Telephone Service Standards of Chapter 34 remain necessary. The commission will withdraw the proposed rescission of this rule.

**Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT  
Division 240—Public Service Commission  
Chapter 34—Emergency Telephone Service Standards**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Public Service Commission under sections 386.040, 386.250, and 392.200, RSMo 2016, the commission withdraws a proposed rescission of a rule as follows:

**4 CSR 240-34.050 Subscriber Record Information and Service Order Standards for Facilities Based Companies is withdrawn.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on May 15, 2018 (43 MoReg 1004-1005). This proposed rescission is withdrawn.

**SUMMARY OF COMMENTS:** The public comment period ended July 2, 2018, and the commission held a public hearing on the proposed rescission on July 10, 2018. The commission received timely written comments from the staff of the commission, the Missouri Telecommunications Industry Association (MTIA), and from Windstream Missouri, LLC. Whitney Payne, representing the commission's staff, and Natelle Dietrich, on behalf of staff; Richard Telthorst, on behalf of MTIA; Leo Bub, representing AT&T Missouri; and Brian McCartney, representing the Missouri Small Telephone Company Group, appeared at the hearing and offered comments.

**COMMENT #1:** Staff explained the Emergency Telephone Service Standards in Chapter 34, of which this rule is a part, establish detailed guidance for emergency 911 call routing and service related to public safety answering points in Missouri. Staff suggested the rules are unnecessary and obsolete because they do not apply to wireless carriers. Representatives of the Local Exchange Carriers that would be affected by the standards offered comments strongly disagreeing with staff's view of the importance of the rule. They explained these rules make clear the obligations of participating carriers in routing 911 calls and in maintaining and updating the E-911 data base. They concede the rules have not been updated since 1999 and suggest a working case be opened to consider updating them, but they indicate the rules still work and should not be discarded.

**RESPONSE:** The commission agrees the Emergency Telephone Service Standards of Chapter 34 remain necessary. The commission will withdraw the proposed rescission of this rule.

**Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC  
DEVELOPMENT  
Division 240—Public Service Commission  
Chapter 34—Emergency Telephone Service Standards**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Public Service Commission under sections 386.040, 386.250, and 392.200, RSMo 2016, the commission withdraws a proposed rescission of a rule as follows:

**4 CSR 240-34.060 Telecommunications Facilities Standards  
is withdrawn.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on May 15, 2018 (43 MoReg 1005). This proposed rescission is withdrawn.

**SUMMARY OF COMMENTS:** The public comment period ended July 2, 2018, and the commission held a public hearing on the proposed rescission on July 10, 2018. The commission received timely written comments from the staff of the commission, the Missouri Telecommunications Industry Association (MTIA), and from Windstream Missouri, LLC. Whitney Payne, representing the commission's staff, and Natelle Dietrich, on behalf of staff; Richard Telthorst, on behalf of MTIA; Leo Bub, representing AT&T Missouri; and Brian McCartney, representing the Missouri Small Telephone Company Group, appeared at the hearing and offered comments.

**COMMENT #1:** Staff explained the Emergency Telephone Service Standards in Chapter 34, of which this rule is a part, establish detailed guidance for emergency 911 call routing and service related to public safety answering points in Missouri. Staff suggested the rules are unnecessary and obsolete because they do not apply to wireless carriers. Representatives of the Local Exchange Carriers that would be affected by the standards offered comments strongly disagreeing with staff's view of the importance of the rule. They explained these rules make clear the obligations of participating carriers in routing 911 calls and in maintaining and updating the E-911 data base. They concede the rules have not been updated since 1999 and suggest a working case be opened to consider updating them, but they indicate the rules still work and should not be discarded. **RESPONSE:** The commission agrees the Emergency Telephone Service Standards of Chapter 34 remain necessary. The commission will withdraw the proposed rescission of this rule.

**Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC  
DEVELOPMENT  
Division 240—Public Service Commission  
Chapter 34—Emergency Telephone Service Standards**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Public Service Commission under sections 386.040, 386.250, and 392.200, RSMo 2016, the commission withdraws a proposed rescission of a rule as follows:

**4 CSR 240-34.070 Repair of Telecommunications Facilities  
is withdrawn.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on May 15, 2018 (43 MoReg 1005). This proposed rescission is withdrawn.

**SUMMARY OF COMMENTS:** The public comment period ended

July 2, 2018, and the commission held a public hearing on the proposed rescission on July 10, 2018. The commission received timely written comments from the staff of the commission, the Missouri Telecommunications Industry Association (MTIA), and from Windstream Missouri, LLC. Whitney Payne, representing the commission's staff, and Natelle Dietrich, on behalf of staff; Richard Telthorst, on behalf of MTIA; Leo Bub, representing AT&T Missouri; and Brian McCartney, representing the Missouri Small Telephone Company Group, appeared at the hearing and offered comments.

**COMMENT #1:** Staff explained the Emergency Telephone Service Standards in Chapter 34, of which this rule is a part, establish detailed guidance for emergency 911 call routing and service related to public safety answering points in Missouri. Staff suggested the rules are unnecessary and obsolete because they do not apply to wireless carriers. Representatives of the Local Exchange Carriers that would be affected by the standards offered comments strongly disagreeing with staff's view of the importance of the rule. They explained these rules make clear the obligations of participating carriers in routing 911 calls and in maintaining and updating the E-911 data base. They concede the rules have not been updated since 1999 and suggest a working case be opened to consider updating them, but they indicate the rules still work and should not be discarded. **RESPONSE:** The commission agrees the Emergency Telephone Service Standards of Chapter 34 remain necessary. The commission will withdraw the proposed rescission of this rule.

**Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC  
DEVELOPMENT  
Division 240—Public Service Commission  
Chapter 34—Emergency Telephone Service Standards**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Public Service Commission under sections 386.040, 386.250, and 392.200, RSMo 2016, the commission withdraws a proposed rescission of a rule as follows:

**4 CSR 240-34.080 Selective Routing Standards is withdrawn.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on May 15, 2018 (43 MoReg 1005-1006). This proposed rescission is withdrawn.

**SUMMARY OF COMMENTS:** The public comment period ended July 2, 2018, and the commission held a public hearing on the proposed rescission on July 10, 2018. The commission received timely written comments from the staff of the commission, the Missouri Telecommunications Industry Association (MTIA), and from Windstream Missouri, LLC. Whitney Payne, representing the commission's staff, and Natelle Dietrich, on behalf of staff; Richard Telthorst, on behalf of MTIA; Leo Bub, representing AT&T Missouri; and Brian McCartney, representing the Missouri Small Telephone Company Group, appeared at the hearing and offered comments.

**COMMENT #1:** Staff explained the Emergency Telephone Service Standards in Chapter 34, of which this rule is a part, establish detailed guidance for emergency 911 call routing and service related to public safety answering points in Missouri. Staff suggested the rules are unnecessary and obsolete because they do not apply to wireless carriers. Representatives of the Local Exchange Carriers that would be affected by the standards offered comments strongly disagreeing with staff's view of the importance of the rule. They explained these rules make clear the obligations of participating carriers in routing 911 calls and in maintaining and updating the E-911



data base. They concede the rules have not been updated since 1999 and suggest a working case be opened to consider updating them, but they indicate the rules still work and should not be discarded.

RESPONSE: The commission agrees the Emergency Telephone Service Standards of Chapter 34 remain necessary. The commission will withdraw the proposed rescission of this rule.

**Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC  
DEVELOPMENT  
Division 240—Public Service Commission  
Chapter 34—Emergency Telephone Service Standards**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Public Service Commission under sections 386.040, 386.250, and 392.200, RSMo 2016, the commission withdraws a proposed rescission of a rule as follows:

**4 CSR 240-34.090 Database Accuracy Standards is withdrawn.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on May 15, 2018 (43 MoReg 1006). This proposed rescission is withdrawn.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: The public comment period ended July 2, 2018, and the commission held a public hearing on the proposed rescission on July 10, 2018. The commission received timely written comments from the staff of the commission, the Missouri Telecommunications Industry Association (MTIA), and from Windstream Missouri, LLC. Whitney Payne, representing the commission's staff, and Natelle Dietrich, on behalf of staff; Richard Telthorst, on behalf of MTIA; Leo Bub, representing AT&T Missouri; and Brian McCartney, representing the Missouri Small Telephone Company Group, appeared at the hearing and offered comments.

COMMENT #1: Staff explained the Emergency Telephone Service Standards in Chapter 34, of which this rule is a part, establish detailed guidance for emergency 911 call routing and service related to public safety answering points in Missouri. Staff suggested the rules are unnecessary and obsolete because they do not apply to wireless carriers. Representatives of the Local Exchange Carriers that would be affected by the standards offered comments strongly disagreeing with staff's view of the importance of the rule. They explained these rules make clear the obligations of participating carriers in routing 911 calls and in maintaining and updating the E-911 data base. They concede the rules have not been updated since 1999 and suggest a working case be opened to consider updating them, but they indicate the rules still work and should not be discarded. RESPONSE: The commission agrees the Emergency Telephone Service Standards of Chapter 34 remain necessary. The commission will withdraw the proposed rescission of this rule.

**Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC  
DEVELOPMENT  
Division 240—Public Service Commission  
Chapter 36—Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedural  
Rules Governing Filings Made Pursuant to the  
Telecommunications Act of 1996**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Public Service Commission under section 386.410, RSMo 2016, the commission withdraws a proposed rescission of a rule as follows:

**4 CSR 240-36.010 Definitions is withdrawn.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on May 15, 2018 (43 MoReg 1006). This proposed rescission is withdrawn.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: The public comment period ended July 2, 2018, and the commission held a public hearing on the proposed rescission on July 10, 2018. The commission received timely written comments from the staff of the commission, the Missouri Telecommunications Industry Association (MTIA), and from Windstream Missouri, LLC. Whitney Payne, representing the commission's staff, and Natelle Dietrich, on behalf of staff; Richard Telthorst, on behalf of MTIA; Leo Bub, representing AT&T Missouri; and Brian McCartney, representing the Missouri Small Telephone Company Group, appeared at the hearing and offered comments.

COMMENT #1: Staff explained the Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedural Rules Governing Filings Made Pursuant to the Telecommunications Act of 1996 establish procedures to be used if a telecommunications carrier asks the commission to mediate or arbitrate an interconnection dispute with another carrier. Staff suggested the rules are unnecessary because they have not been used for several years. Representatives of the Local Exchange Carriers that would use the procedures established in the rules offered comments strongly disagreeing with staff's view of the importance of the rules. They explained these rules do not impose any regulatory burden on anyone. Rather, they establish procedures that would be very helpful if the commission is again asked to mediate or arbitrate an interconnection dispute. They urge the commission not to rescind the rules.

RESPONSE: The commission agrees the Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedural Rules Governing Filings Made Pursuant to the Telecommunications Act of 1996 remain necessary. The commission will withdraw the proposed rescission of this rule.

**Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC  
DEVELOPMENT  
Division 240—Public Service Commission  
Chapter 36—Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedural  
Rules Governing Filings Made Pursuant to the  
Telecommunications Act of 1996**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Public Service Commission under section 386.410, RSMo 2016, the commission withdraws a proposed rescission of a rule as follows:

**4 CSR 240-36.020 Filing Procedures is withdrawn.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on May 15, 2018 (43 MoReg 1007). This proposed rescission is withdrawn.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: The public comment period ended July 2, 2018, and the commission held a public hearing on the proposed rescission on July 10, 2018. The commission received timely written comments from the staff of the commission, the Missouri Telecommunications Industry Association (MTIA), and from Windstream Missouri, LLC. Whitney Payne, representing the commission's staff, and Natelle Dietrich, on behalf of staff; Richard Telthorst, on behalf of MTIA; Leo Bub, representing AT&T Missouri; and Brian McCartney, representing the Missouri Small Telephone Company Group, appeared at the hearing and offered comments.

COMMENT #1: Staff explained the Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedural Rules Governing Filings Made Pursuant to the Telecommunications Act of 1996 establish procedures to be used if a telecommunications carrier asks the commission to mediate or arbitrate an interconnection dispute with another carrier. Staff suggested the rules are unnecessary because they have not been used for several years. Representatives of the Local Exchange Carriers that would use the procedures established in the rules offered comments strongly disagreeing with staff's view of the importance of the rules. They explained these rules do not impose any regulatory burden on anyone. Rather, they establish procedures that would be very helpful if the commission is again asked to mediate or arbitrate an interconnection dispute. They urge the commission not to rescind the rules.

RESPONSE: The commission agrees the Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedural Rules Governing Filings Made Pursuant to the Telecommunications Act of 1996 remain necessary. The commission will withdraw the proposed rescission of this rule.

#### **Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT**

##### **Division 240—Public Service Commission**

##### **Chapter 36—Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedural Rules Governing Filings Made Pursuant to the Telecommunications Act of 1996**

#### **ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Public Service Commission under section 386.410, RSMo 2016, the commission withdraws a proposed rescission of a rule as follows:

##### **4 CSR 240-36.030 Mediation is withdrawn.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on May 15, 2018 (43 MoReg 1007). This proposed rescission is withdrawn.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: The public comment period ended July 2, 2018, and the commission held a public hearing on the proposed rescission on July 10, 2018. The commission received timely written comments from the staff of the commission, the Missouri Telecommunications Industry Association (MTIA), and from Windstream Missouri, LLC. Whitney Payne, representing the commission's staff, and Natelle Dietrich, on behalf of staff; Richard Telthorst, on behalf of MTIA; Leo Bub, representing AT&T Missouri; and Brian McCartney, representing the Missouri Small Telephone Company Group, appeared at the hearing and offered comments.

COMMENT #1: Staff explained the Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedural Rules Governing Filings Made Pursuant to the Telecommunications Act of 1996 establish procedures to be used if a telecommunications carrier asks the commission to mediate or arbitrate an interconnection dispute with another carrier. Staff suggested the rules are unnecessary because they have not been used for several years. Representatives of the Local Exchange Carriers that would use the procedures established in the rules offered comments strongly disagreeing with staff's view of the importance of the rules. They explained these rules do not impose any regulatory burden on anyone. Rather, they establish procedures that would be very helpful if the commission is again asked to mediate or arbitrate an interconnection dispute. They urge the commission not to rescind the rules.

RESPONSE: The commission agrees the Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedural Rules Governing Filings Made Pursuant to the Telecommunications Act of 1996 remain necessary. The commission will withdraw the proposed rescission of this rule.

#### **Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT**

##### **Division 240—Public Service Commission**

##### **Chapter 36—Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedural Rules Governing Filings Made Pursuant to the Telecommunications Act of 1996**

#### **ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Public Service Commission under section 386.410, RSMo 2016, the commission withdraws a proposed rescission of a rule as follows:

##### **4 CSR 240-36.040 Arbitration is withdrawn.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on May 15, 2018 (43 MoReg 1007-1008). This proposed rescission is withdrawn.

SUMMARY OF COMMENTS: The public comment period ended July 2, 2018, and the commission held a public hearing on the proposed rescission on July 10, 2018. The commission received timely written comments from the staff of the commission, the Missouri Telecommunications Industry Association (MTIA), and from Windstream Missouri, LLC. Whitney Payne, representing the commission's staff, and Natelle Dietrich, on behalf of staff; Richard Telthorst, on behalf of MTIA; Leo Bub, representing AT&T Missouri; and Brian McCartney, representing the Missouri Small Telephone Company Group, appeared at the hearing and offered comments.

COMMENT #1: Staff explained the Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedural Rules Governing Filings Made Pursuant to the Telecommunications Act of 1996 establish procedures to be used if a telecommunications carrier asks the commission to mediate or arbitrate an interconnection dispute with another carrier. Staff suggested the rules are unnecessary because they have not been used for several years. Representatives of the Local Exchange Carriers that would use the procedures established in the rules offered comments strongly disagreeing with staff's view of the importance of the rules. They explained these rules do not impose any regulatory burden on anyone. Rather, they establish procedures that would be very helpful if the commission is again asked to mediate or arbitrate an interconnection dispute. They urge the commission not to rescind the rules.

RESPONSE: The commission agrees the Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedural Rules Governing Filings Made Pursuant to the Telecommunications Act of 1996 remain necessary. The commission will withdraw the proposed rescission of this rule.

#### **Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT**

##### **Division 240—Public Service Commission**

##### **Chapter 36—Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedural Rules Governing Filings Made Pursuant to the Telecommunications Act of 1996**

#### **ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Public Service Commission under section 386.410, RSMo 2016, the commission withdraws a proposed rescission of a rule as follows:

##### **4 CSR 240-36.050 Commission Approval of Agreements Reached by Arbitration is withdrawn.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on May 15, 2018 (43 MoReg 1008). This proposed rescission is withdrawn.

**SUMMARY OF COMMENTS:** The public comment period ended July 2, 2018, and the commission held a public hearing on the proposed rescission on July 10, 2018. The commission received timely written comments from the staff of the commission, the Missouri Telecommunications Industry Association (MTIA), and from Windstream Missouri, LLC. Whitney Payne, representing the commission's staff, and Natelle Dietrich, on behalf of staff; Richard Telthorst, on behalf of MTIA; Leo Bub, representing AT&T Missouri; and Brian McCartney, representing the Missouri Small Telephone Company Group, appeared at the hearing and offered comments.

**COMMENT #1:** Staff explained the Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedural Rules Governing Filings Made Pursuant to the Telecommunications Act of 1996 establish procedures to be used if a telecommunications carrier asks the commission to mediate or arbitrate an interconnection dispute with another carrier. Staff suggested the rules are unnecessary because they have not been used for several years. Representatives of the Local Exchange Carriers that would use the procedures established in the rules offered comments strongly disagreeing with staff's view of the importance of the rules. They explained these rules do not impose any regulatory burden on anyone. Rather, they establish procedures that would be very helpful if the commission is again asked to mediate or arbitrate an interconnection dispute. They urge the commission not to rescind the rules.

**RESPONSE:** The commission agrees the Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedural Rules Governing Filings Made Pursuant to the Telecommunications Act of 1996 remain necessary. The commission will withdraw the proposed rescission of this rule.

**Title 10—DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES**  
**Division 23—Well Installation**  
**Chapter 5—Heat Pump Construction Code**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Well Installation Board under section 256.606, RSMo 2016, the board rescinds a rule as follows:

**10 CSR 23-5.070 Closed-Loop Heat Pump Systems That Use Refrigerants as the Heat Transfer Fluid is rescinded.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the proposed rescission was published in the *Missouri Register* on June 1, 2018 (43 MoReg 1153). No changes have been made in the proposed rescission, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed rescission becomes effective thirty (30) days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

**SUMMARY OF COMMENTS:** No comments were received.

**Title 10—DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES**  
**Division 24—Hazardous Substance Emergency Response Office**  
**Chapter 1—Organization**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Director of the Department of Natural Resources under section 260.520, RSMo 2016, the director amends a rule as follows:

**10 CSR 24-1.010 Authority and Notification Procedures is amended.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on May 1, 2018 (43 MoReg 856). No changes have been made in the text of the proposed amendment, so it is not reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty (30) days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

**SUMMARY OF COMMENTS:** No comments were received.

**Title 10—DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES**  
**Division 60—Safe Drinking Water Commission**  
**Chapter 2—Definitions**

**ORDER OF RULEMAKING**

By the authority vested in the Safe Drinking Water Commission under section 640.100, RSMo 2016, the commission amends a rule as follows:

**10 CSR 60-2.015 is amended.**

A notice of proposed rulemaking containing the text of the proposed amendment was published in the *Missouri Register* on May 15, 2018 (43 MoReg 1047-1050). Those sections with changes are reprinted here. This proposed amendment becomes effective thirty (30) days after publication in the *Code of State Regulations*.

**SUMMARY OF COMMENTS:** A public hearing on this proposed amendment was held June 18, 2018, and the public comment period ended June 25, 2018. At the public hearing, the department's public drinking water branch staff provided testimony on the proposed amendment. The department received two (2) comments during the public comment period.

**COMMENT #1:** Mr. Paul Calamita, with the Association of Missouri Clean Water Agencies (AMCA), commented that the proposed definition of "service connection" would limit the connection to a "single point of use." He also questioned whether such a definition is appropriate because, for example, service to an apartment building master meter may not be construed as a single point of use. AMCA prefers the existing definition (service connection is a "point of use").

**RESPONSE AND EXPLANATION OF CHANGE:** The department proposed to add the word "single" to the definition of "service connection" to clarify a service line connects a water distribution main to one (1) building such as a home, apartment building, or school. However, adding the word "single," as noted by the comment, does not appear to clarify the definition as intended, therefore, the department is removing the word as suggested by AMCA. A change has been made to the rule as a result of this comment.

**COMMENT #2:** Mr. Paul Calamita, with the Association of AMCA, requested the definition of "Water Distribution Main" include the ALL CAPS insert as follows: "A pipe within the COMMUNITY WATER SYSTEM'S water distribution system that delivers finished drinking water..."

**RESPONSE:** The department disagrees with this suggested revision because the definition is intended to apply to all public water systems not just community systems. Noncommunity public water systems such as a state park may have a water distribution main that connects the source to individual service connections. This definition would be applicable to these types of systems as well as community systems and is needed to help clarify appropriate design standards. No changes have been made to the rule as a result of this comment.



