FILEDO JUN 8 2007 Service Commission

Exhibit No.:

Issues:

Other Telephone Specific

Issues

Witness:

Michael S. Scheperle

Sponsoring Party: Type of Exhibit: MO PSC Staff **Direct Testimony** 

Case No.:

TO-2006-0360

Date Testimony Prepared:

March 30, 2007

## MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION UTILITY OPERATIONS DIVISION

**DIRECT TESTIMONY** 

**OF** 

MICHAEL S. SCHEPERLE

NUVOX COMMUNICATIONS OF MISSOURI, INC.

CASE NO. TO-2006-0360

Jefferson City, Missouri March 2007

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

## OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Appli Communications of Misse Investigation into the Wir AT&T Missouri Asserts a Under the TRRO.	ouri, Inc., for an	) Case No. ) )	TO-2006-0360
	AFFIDAVIT OF	Michael S. Scheperle	·
STATE OF MISSOURI	) ) ss:		·
COUNTY OF COLE	)		
Michael S. Scheperle, of preparation of the following Lopages of Direct Testimo forth in such answers; and belief.	ing Direct Testimon timony to be presen ny were given by h	ry in question and answer ted in the above case, tha im; that he has knowledg	form, consisting of at the answers in the e of the matters set knowledge and
Subscribed and swo	rn to before me this		March 2007
Missouri		vithin the County of Calls	away, State of
NOTARY CO. My	NN L. SUNDERIMEYER Commission Expires	1 L	
SCAL	eptember 21, 2010 Catlaway County nmission #06942086	Musan / Sur. NOTARY PUBLIC	ndermeyer

1 2	TABLE OF CONTENTS
3	DIRECT TESTIMONY
5	OF
6 7	MICHAEL S. SCHEPERLE
8 9 10	NUVOX COMMUNICATIONS OF MISSOURI, INC.
11	CASE NO. TO-2006-0360
12 13	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY2
14	BACKGROUND2
15	DEDICATED INTEROFFICE TRANSPORT AND STAFF INVESTIGATION
16	TIER 1 WIRE CENTER INVESTIGATION
17	TIER 2 WIRE CENTER INVESTIGATION
18	LOOPS AND STAFF INVESTIGATION
19	SUMMARY16

1	DIRECT TESTIMONY
2 3 4	OF
5	MICHAEL S. SCHEPERLE
6 7	NUVOX COMMUNICATIONS OF MISSOURI, INC.
8 9 10	CASE NO. TO-2006-0360
11	Q. Please state your name and business address.
12	A. My name is Michael S. Scheperle. My business address is Post Office Box
13	360, Governor Office Building, 200 Madison Street, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0360.
14	Q. By whom are you employed?
15	A. I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission) as
16	a regulatory economist for the Telecommunications Department Staff (Staff) of the
17	Commission.
18	Q. Please describe your current responsibilities as a Regulatory Economist.
19	A. I am responsible for reviewing and writing recommendations for controversial
20	or contested tariff and case filings. I am also responsible for reviewing Missouri Universal
21	Service Fund activities and assisting in Relay Missouri meetings and activities. Also, I have
22	been appointed by arbitrators to advisory staff status to assist the arbitrator in the decision-
23	making process on unresolved issues in the negotiation of interconnection agreements
24	between ILECs and various Competitive Local Exchange Carriers (CLECs).
25	Q. Please describe your educational background and employment history.
26	A. I hold a Bachelor of Science degree in Mathematics from Lincoln University
27	in Jefferson City, Missouri. I was employed by Missouri Power and Light Company from
28	1973 to 1983 as Supervisor of Rates, Regulations and Budgeting. I was employed by United

2

ŝ

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

Water Missouri as Commercial Manager from 1983 to 2000. I began employment at the Commission in June 2000.

Q. Have you previously testified before the Commission?

A. Yes. I have testified in ten other cases (TO-98-329, TT-2000-527/513, TT-2001-139, TT-2001-298, TT-2001-440, TO-2001-455, TC-2002-57, TC-2002-190, TC-2002-1077 and TO-2005-0144).

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

The purpose of my testimony is to present Staff's perspective and Α. investigation concerning provisions of the Triennial Review Remand Order (TRRO) issued by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) on February 4, 2005. On March 17, 2006, NuVox Communications of Missouri, Inc. (NuVox) filed an application for an investigation(s) into the wire centers that AT&T Missouri asserts are non-impaired under the TRRO. My investigation to date demonstrates that through CLEC verification, all of the wire centers identified by AT&T meet the non-impaired criteria as defined in the TRRO for interoffice dedicated transport and loops. Through CLEC data request responses, at least one wire center (Springfield Tuxedo) designation is disputed; however, Staff's initial investigation indicates that the Springfield Tuxedo wire center is properly classified.

### **BACKGROUND**

Q. Please explain the scope of Staff's initial investigation to verify wire centers identified by AT&T as meeting the non-impairment criteria.

# Direct Testimony of Michael S. Scheperle

÷

- A. The scope of Staff's investigation involved the fourteen wire centers identified by AT&T as meeting or exceeding the non-impairment criteria established by the FCC for loops and dedicated interoffice transport.
- Q. What is your understanding of the TRRO issued by the FCC on February 4, 2005 as it relates to this case?
- A. The FCC, in the TRRO, relieves an incumbent local telephone company from the obligation to provide certain unbundling obligations under Section 251 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Act) if certain non-impairment triggers are met on a wire center basis. If the non-impairment triggers are met, then AT&T Missouri is no longer required to provide certain high capacity loops and/or dedicated interoffice transport facilities as a Section 251 Unbundled Network Element (UNE) obligation under the Act.
  - Q. In practical terms, what does "non-impairment" mean?
- A. The concept of "non-impairment" means a CLEC would not be harmed or impaired if it was not provided unbundled access to the requested ILEC network element. In other words, if a wire center meets the criteria of "non-impairment", sufficient competitive alternatives should be available to allow the CLEC to obtain comparable facilities from providers other than the ILEC.
- Q. In this proceeding what type of facilities are the focus of non-impairment review?
- A. The focus of this case is on DS1 and DS3 dedicated interoffice transport facilities and DS1 and DS3 loops.
  - Q. What is DS1 and DS3 dedicated interoffice transport?

# Direct Testimony of Michael S. Scheperle

î

Ţ,

A. 47 C.F.R. § 51.319 (e)(1) defines dedicated transport "Definition. For purposes of this section, dedicated transport includes incumbent LEC transmission facilities between wire centers or switches owned by incumbent LECs and switches owned by requesting telecommunications carriers, including, but not limited to, DS1, DS3, and OCn-capacity level services, as well as dark fiber, dedicated to a particular customer or carrier." DS1 interoffice transmission facilities have a total digital signal speed of 1.544 megabytes per second. DS3 interoffice transmission facilities have a total digital signal speed of 44.736 megabytes per second.

- Q. What are DS1 and DS3 local loops?
- A. 47 C.F.R. § 51.319 (a) states "The local loop network element is defined as a transmission facility between a distribution frame (or its equivalent) in an incumbent LEC central office and the loop demarcation point at an end-user customer premises." A DS1 loop is a digital local loop having a total digital signal speed of 1.544 megabytes per second. A DS3 loop is a digital local loop having a total digital signal speed of 44.736 megabytes per second. DS1 and a DS3 circuits have 24 and 672 voice grade channels, respectively.
  - Q. What are the non-impairment criteria established by the TRRO?
- A. The FCC has established different non-impairment criteria for dedicated interoffice transport facilities versus high capacity loops. In basic terms, the non-impairment criteria focuses on the number of fiber-based collocators and/or a certain number of business access lines located within a particular wire center as those terms are defined in the TRRO. The specific criteria will be discussed in greater detail later in my testimony.

### DEDICATED INTEROFFICE TRANSPORT AND STAFF INVESTIGATION

- Q. How did the FCC define the impairment criteria for dedicated interoffice transport facilities?
- A. The non-impairment criteria for dedicated interoffice transport facilities is described in 47 C.F.R. § 51.319(e)(3) and basically categorizes wire centers into three tiers.
  - Tier 1 wire center the wire center has at least four fiber-based collocators or
    at least 38,000 business access lines. A tandem switching location is also
    defined as a Tier 1 wire center if the wire center has no line-side switching
    facilities but nevertheless serves as a point of traffic aggregation accessible by
    CLECs.
  - Tier 2 wire center the wire center has at least three fiber-based collocators or at least 24,000 access lines.
  - Tier 3 wire center the wire center is not classified as either a Tier 1 or Tier 2 wire center. (Tier 3 wire centers are not in dispute in this case.)

Put simply, the FCC found that ILECs are not obligated to provide unbundled DS1 transport on any route connecting two Tier 1 wire centers. The FCC also found ILECs are not obligated to provide unbundled DS3 transport on routes connecting either Tier 1 or Tier 2 wire centers.

### TIER 1 WIRE CENTER INVESTIGATION

- Q. Has AT&T classified any wire centers as Tier 1?
- A. Yes. AT&T identified nine (9) wire centers as Tier 1 wire centers. These wire centers are: Hiland, Westport, McGee, Springfield McDaniel, Springfield Temple, Chestnut,

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

Jefferson, Ladue and Creve Coeur. Schedule 1 outlines the nine wire centers classified as Tier 1 wire centers.

- Q. Did Staff conduct an investigation based on the TRRO criteria established by the FCC for Tier 1 wire centers?
- Yes. Through DRs to AT&T, Staff obtained information from AT&T for the A. nine wire centers classified as Tier 1 wire centers. From the TRRO definition of a Tier 1 wire center, AT&T identified eight of the nine wire centers as meeting the TRRO criteria of four or more fiber-based collocators. For the Springfield Temple wire center (CLLI Code SPFDMOTE), AT&T identified the wire center as meeting the definition of a tandem switching center location.
  - Q. Did Staff verify AT&T's responses?
- Yes. After gathering information from AT&T (see Schedule 2B), Staff mailed A. letters requesting affidavit verification from all CLECs identified by AT&T as meeting the definition of a fiber-based collocator. The CLEC identified by AT&T could verify by affidavit that it is a fiber-based collocator in the particular wire center or could dispute that it is a fiber-based collocator. This procedure allowed Staff the opportunity to preliminarily verify AT&T's list of fiber-based collocators with positive verification and/or narrow the scope of this case for any disputed designation. Even if a CLEC disputed verification, followup may not have been necessary. For example, in an identified Tier 1 wire center (Schedule 2A), AT&T identified 11 fiber-based collocators but technically only needs four (4) fiberbased collocators to meet the definition of a Tier 1 designation for non-impairment in that wire center. If at least four CLECs identified that their companies meet the definition of a

7 8

9 10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

21

20

22

23

fiber-based collocator, then any dispute by a CLEC within that wire center is moot since the criteria has been met.

- Q. Summarize the results of Staff's investigation for dedicated interoffice transport for Tier 1 designations for fiber-based collocators.
- A. Schedule 2A outlines the results of CLECs classified by AT&T as fiber-based collocators in the wire centers classified as Tier 1 wire centers. Based on the CLEC responses, the eight wire centers are properly classified as Tier 1 wire centers.
  - Q. You mention Schedules 2A and 2B, please describe Schedules 2A, 2B and 2C.
- Schedules 2A, 2B and 2C outline the number of CLECs classified by AT&T Α. as fiber-based collocators in the eight wire centers classified as Tier 1 wire centers. Schedule 2A is a summary of data contained in Schedule 2B and Schedule 2C. Specifically, Schedule 2A describes the number of fiber-based collocators identified by AT&T as meeting the nonimpairment criteria, the number of fiber-based collocators required to meet the nonimpairment criteria within each wire center and a summary of CLEC affidavit verification of fiber-based collocator designation. Schedule 2B outlines each CLEC response to DRs from Staff where each CLEC either Confirms/Disputes that it is a fiber-based collocator in each wire center. Schedule 2C is the affidavit response by each CLEC.
- Q. Summarize the results of Staff's investigation for dedicated interoffice transport for Tier 1 designations for the definition of a tandem switching location.
- A. As discussed earlier, AT&T identified the Springfield Temple wire center as a Tier 1 wire center meeting the definition of a tandem switching location. 47 C.F.R. § 51.319(e)(3) defined a Tier 1 wire center as follows: ... "also are those incumbent LEC tandem switching locations that have no line-side switching facilities, but nevertheless serve

### Direct Testimony of Michael S. Scheperle

as a point of traffic aggregation accessible by competitive LECs." Schedule 2D outlines AT&T's response to Staff's DR outlining that the Springfield Temple wire center meets the definition of the TRRO. Staff agrees with this designation for the Springfield Temple wire center.

- Q. Please summarize Staff's investigation for non-impairment dedicated interoffice transport for Tier 1 wire centers.
- A. Based on Staff's investigation, Schedule 2A outlines that the nine (Hiland, Westport, McGee, Springfield McDaniel, Springfield Temple, Chestnut, Jefferson, Ladue and Creve Coeur) wire centers identified by AT&T meet the non-impairment criteria for a Tier 1 designation based on the criteria of four or more fiber-based collocators or meeting the TRRO definition of a tandem switching location.

### TIER 2 WIRE CENTER INVESTIGATION

- Q. Has AT&T classified any wire centers as Tier 2?
- A. Yes. AT&T identified five (5) wire centers as Tier 2 wire centers. These wire centers are: Springfield Tuxedo, Parkview, Prospect, Kirkwood and Bridgeton. Schedule 1 outlines the five wire centers classified as Tier 2 wire centers.
- Q. Did Staff conduct an investigation based on the TRRO criteria established by the FCC for Tier 2 wire centers?
- A. Yes. Through DRs to AT&T, Staff obtained information from AT&T for the five wire centers classified as Tier 2 wire centers.
- Staff then performed the same procedure for Tier 2 wire centers as previously outlined for Tier 1 fiber-based collocators whereby the CLEC could verify by affidavit that it is a fiber-based collocator in the particular wire center or could dispute that it is a fiber-based

collocator. This procedure allowed Staff the opportunity to preliminarily verify AT&T's list of fiber-based collocators with positive verification and/or narrow the scope of this case for any disputed designation for Tier 2 designations.

- Q. Summarize the results of Staff's investigation for dedicated interoffice transport for Tier 2 designations.
- A. Schedule 2A outlines the results of CLECs classified by AT&T as fiber-based collocators in the wire centers classified as Tier 2 wire centers. Based on the CLEC responses, the four wire centers of Parkview, Prospect, Kirkwood and Bridgeton are properly classified as Tier 2 wire centers based on having at least three fiber-based collocators. The Tier 2 wire center of Springfield Tuxedo preliminarily (at least three CLECs did not verify) is disputed and may not meet the TRRO definition of at least three fiber-based collocators.

Even if a wire center does not meet the definition of the number of fiber-based collocators, the wire center may still be classified as a Tier 2 wire center based on the number of business lines as defined in the TRRO. A wire center only needs to meet the criteria on the number of fiber-based collocators or the number of business lines as defined in the TRRO.

- Q. Did AT&T identify the Springfield Tuxedo wire center as meeting the definition of at least 24,000 business line threshold and therefore meeting the definition of a Tier 2 wire center?
- A. Yes. AT&T identified the Springfield Tuxedo wire center as meeting the threshold for at least three fiber-based collocators and meeting the business line threshold (see Schedule 3) of at least 24,000 business lines. As stated earlier, if AT&T meets either criteria (at least 3 fiber-based collocators or at least 24,000 business lines) for the Springfield Tuxedo wire center, then it is properly classified as a Tier 2 wire center.

- Q. Did Staff obtain information on the number of business lines for the Springfield Tuxedo wire center?
- A. Yes. Schedule 4 outlines information supplied to Staff from AT&T for the Springfield Tuxedo wire center. Based on this information and AT&T's interpretation of business line counts, it appears to support that the business line count exceeds the TRRO criteria of 24,000 business lines. However, the interpretation of business line counts has been a disputed issue in other states and CLECs may dispute AT&T's interpretation.
  - Q. How did the FCC define business line counts?
  - A. The FCC defined a business line in 47 C.F.R. § 51.5 as follows:
    - Business line. A business line is an incumbent LEC-owned switched access line used to serve a business customer, whether by the incumbent LEC itself or by a competitive LEC that leases the line from the incumbent LEC. The number of business lines in a wire center shall equal the sum of all incumbent LEC business switched access lines, plus the sum of all UNE loops connected to that wire center, including UNE loops provisioned in combination with other unbundled elements. Among these requirements, business line tallies (1) shall include only those access lines connecting end-user customers with incumbent LEC end-offices for switched services, (2) shall not include non-switched special access lines, (3) shall account for ISDN and other digital access lines by counting each 64 kbps-equivalent as one line. For example, a DS1 line corresponds to 24 64 kbps-equivalents, and therefore to 24 "business lines." (emphasis added)
- Q. What is your understanding of the dispute between AT&T and CLECs in other states for business lines as defined in the TRRO?
- A. My understanding is that the dispute centers around the interpretation of FCC Rule 47 C.F.R. § 51.5, concerning the regulatory definition of a business line. The number of business lines in a wire center is used to establish the threshold for impairment for purposes of UNE access for dedicated interoffice transport and loops. Specifically, the business line

1

5 6

> 8 9

7

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

count is based on ARMIS 43-08 business lines, plus business UNE-P, plus UNE-loops. My understanding is that the parties (AT&T and CLECs) agree on the ARMIS 43-08 definition and the business UNE-P definition. However, the UNE-loops definition is disputed for what should be included in the count (should UNE-loop count include all UNE loops (AT&T position) or only business UNE loop lines (CLECs position)).

- Q. What is your understanding of CLECs position on business line counts?
- Α. My understanding based on CLEC DR responses, is that the CLECs maintain that the FCC in the TRRO made clear that it did not intend the business line definition to include all lines, but rather only those business lines that would provide a useful proxy for determining where significant revenue opportunities may exist. CLEC(s) also believe that the Rule (47 C.F.R. § 51.5) states that a business line, whether serviced directly by the ILEC or by a CLEC using a leased line from the ILEC, must be providing switched services to a business customer (not a residential customer).
  - Q. What is your understanding of AT&T's position on business line counts?
- A. My understanding based on AT&T DR response, is that AT&T maintains that the number of business lines in a wire center shall equal 1) the sum of all incumbent LEC business switched access lines 2) plus the sum of all UNE loops connected to that wire center, including UNE loops provisioned in combination with other unbundled elements. Furthermore, AT&T points to ¶ 105 of the TRRO for further clarification. The FCC in the TRRO stated in ¶ 105, "business line counts are an objective set of data that incumbent LECs already have created for other regulatory purposes. The Bell Operating Company (BOC) wire center data that we analyze in this Order is based on Automated Reporting Management Information System (ARMIS) 43-08 business lines, plus business UNE-P, plus UNE-loops."

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

AT&T submitted a filing to the FCC for each wire center identified by AT&T as a Tier 1 or Tier 2 wire center. Schedule 3 outlines the wire centers identified by AT&T as exceeding or not meeting the business line criteria for dedicated transport.

Q. What is Staff's position on business line counts?

A. Staff's position is that AT&T's business line count as submitted to the FCC properly identifies the business line criteria. Specifically, the FCC Rule (47 C.F.R. §51.5) is based on the fact that the rule identifies the number of business lines in a wire center as "the sum of all incumbent LEC business switched access lines, plus the sum of all UNE loops connected to that wire center." The rule identifies ILEC business switched access lines and all UNE loops. Additionally, ¶ 105 of the TRRO, states "The BOC wire center data that we analyze in this order is based on ARMIS 43-08 business lines, plus business UNE-P, plus UNE-loops." The FCC calculation of a business line includes using ARMIS 43-08 business lines, plus business UNE-P, plus UNE-loops. The FCC did not use the word business to modify UNE-loops but simply used the term UNE-loops. Furthermore, ¶ 105 of the TRRO, states "Conversely, by basing our definition in an ARMIS filing required of incumbent LECs, and adding UNE figures, which also must be reported, we can be confident in the accuracy of the thresholds, and a simplified ability to obtain the necessary information." The FCC has explained that it relies on data available from the already preexisting FCC filings required of ILECs in determining how to establish the number of business lines in a wire center. If the CLEC definition is adopted, AT&T would not have information readily available on how each CLEC uses its UNE loops. This would contradict the idea that the business line count is an objective set of data that incumbent LECs already have created and also would contradict the idea that the business line count is a simplified ability to obtain the necessary information.

# Direct Testimony of Michael S. Scheperle

Q. Please summarize Staffs investigation for non-impairment dedicated interoffice transport for Tier 2 wire centers.

A. Based on Staff's investigation, Schedule 2A outlines that four (Parkview, Prospect, Kirkwood and Bridgeton) out of the five wire centers identified by AT&T meet the non-impairment criteria for a Tier 2 wire center designation based on the criteria of at least three fiber-based collocators. The Springfield Tuxedo wire center has been disputed as having at least three fiber-based collocators. However, Staff agrees that the Springfield Tuxedo wire center meets the business line threshold of 24,000 or more business lines and is properly classified as a Tier 2 wire center.

### LOOPS AND STAFF INVESTIGATION

- Q. How did the FCC define non-impairment criteria for loops?
- A. The FCC adopted a two-part test to identify non-impairment for DS1 and DS3 capacity loops for a specific wire center. The two-part test is based on the wire center's number of business lines <u>and</u> the presence of fiber-based collocators. According to the TRRO, ¶ 146, non-impairment exists for:
  - DS1 capacity loops at any location within the service area of a wire center containing four or more fiber-based collocators and at least 60,000 business lines.
  - DS3 capacity loops at any location within the service area of a wire center containing four or more fiber-based collocators and at least 38,000 business lines.
- Q. How many wire centers meet the non-impairment criteria for DS1 capacity loops?

# Direct Testimony of Michael S. Scheperle

A. AT&T identified zero wire centers as meeting the defined non-impairment criteria for the DS1 loop determination. Schedule 5 notes that there are no wire centers identified by AT&T as meeting the criteria for DS1 loop non-impairment.

- Q. How many wire centers meet the non-impairment criteria for DS3 capacity loops?
- A. AT&T identified three (McGee, Chestnut and Ladue) wire centers as meeting the defined non-impairment criteria for the DS3 loop determination. Schedule 5 notes the three wire centers identified by AT&T as meeting the criteria for DS3 loop non-impairment. Staff notes that the three wire centers designated by AT&T (see Schedule 5 and Schedule 1) are also designated by AT&T as Tier 1 wire centers for dedicated interoffice transport as previously discussed.
- Q. Did Staff conduct an investigation on loops based on the TRRO criteria established by the FCC for DS3 loops?
- A. Yes. As previously discussed, the three wire centers of McGee, Chestnut and Ladue must have at least four or more fiber-based collocators <u>and</u> over 38,000 business lines for a non-impairment finding for loops.

Schedules 6A and 6B outline that CLECs verified the three wire centers meet the criteria for fiber-based collocators of four or more. Part of Schedule 2C are the affidavit response by CLECs for the wire centers of McGee, Chestnut and Ladue.

The second criteria require each wire center to <u>also</u> meet the business line criteria of at least 38,000 business lines. Schedule 7 outlines AT&T's interpretation that the three wire centers exceed the TRRO criteria of at least 38,000 business lines for DS3 loops.

Q. Please explain Schedule 7.

## Direct Testimony of Michael S. Scheperle

22:

A. Schedule 7 outlines information supplied to Staff and the FCC from AT&T on business line counts for the McGee, Chestnut and Ladue wire centers. Based on this information and AT&T's interpretation of business line counts, it appears to support that the business line count exceeds the TRRO criteria of 38,000 business lines for each wire center. There may be a dispute on some of the wholesale numbers for UNE loop information but Staff notes that the McGee and Chestnut wire centers exceed the 38,000 threshold using the ARMIS 43-08 information before any wholesale UNE loop definitional issues are raised.

- Q. What is Staff's position on business line counts?
- A. Staff's position is that AT&T's business line count as submitted to the FCC properly identifies the business line criteria. Specifically, the FCC Rule (47 C.F.R. §51.5) identifies the number of business lines in a wire center as "the sum of all incumbent LEC business switched access lines, plus the sum of all UNE loops connected to that wire center." Staff previously outlined its recommendation on business line definitional issues on pages 12 through 15 of this Direct Testimony. Based on that discussion, Staff agrees that the McGee, Chestnut and Ladue wire centers exceeds the business line criteria of 38,000 or more business lines for DS3 loops.
  - Q. Please summarize Staffs investigation for non-impairment loops.
- A. Based on Staffs investigation, Schedule 6A outlines that AT&T identified no wire centers as meeting the TRRO criteria for DS1 loops.

Schedule 6A outlines that the three (McGee, Chestnut and Ladue) wire centers identified by AT&T meet the first non-impairment criteria of four or more fiber-based collocators for DS3 loops. Also, Staff agrees that the three (McGee, Chestnut and Ladue) wire centers meet the second criteria of 38,000 or more business lines for DS3 loops.

	Michael S. Scheperle
1	
2	Q. What
3	A. Based
4	nine wire centers b
5	Westport, McGee, S
6	Creve Coeur.
7	Likewise, ba
8	five wire centers be
9	Tuxedo, Parkview, I
10	Q. What
11	A. Based
12	Chestnut and Ladue

14

#### **SUMMARY**

- is Staff's recommendation for dedicated transport?
- d on Staff's investigation to date, Staff recommends that the following be designated as Tier 1 wire centers for dedicated transport: Hiland, pringfield McDaniel, Springfield Temple, Chestnut, Jefferson, Ladue and

sed on Staff's investigation to date, Staff recommends that the following e designated as Tier 2 wire centers for dedicated transport: Springfield Prospect, Kirkwood and Bridgeton.

- is Staff's recommendation for loops?
- d on Staff's investigation to date, Staff's recommends that the McGee, Chestnut and Ladue wire centers meet the non-impairment criteria for DS3 loops.
  - Q. Does this conclude your Direct Testimony?
  - A. Yes, it does.

Missouri Public Service Commission Case No. TO-2006-0360 TRRO Criteria

## **DEDICATED INTEROFFICE TRANSPORT - TRRO Criteria**

Impairment Designation - Tier 1 (Meet at least one criteria)

	<u>-</u>	Fiber-Based	Business	Tandem
Wire Center	Wire Center	Collocator	Line	Switching
CLLI Code	Name	Threshold	Threshold	Location
KSCYMO02	Hiland	Four or more	38,000 or more	
KSCYMO05	Westport	Four or more	38,000 or more	
KSCYMO55	McGee	Four or more	38,000 or more	
SPFDMOMC	Springfield McDaniel	Four or more	38,000 or more	
SPFDMOTE	Springfield Temple			Tandem Switching Location
STLSMO01	Chestnut	Four or more	38,000 or more	
STLSMO05	Jefferson	Four or more	38,000 or more	
STLSMO21	Ladue	Four or more	38,000 or more	
STLSMO27	Creve Coeur	Four or more	38,000 or more	

Impairment Designation - Tier 2 (Meet at least one criteria)

Wire Center CLLI Code	Wire Center Name	Fiber-Based Collocator Threshold	Business Line Threshold
SPFDMOTU	Springfield Tuxedo	At least three	24,000 or more
STLSMO07	Parkview	At least three	24,000 or more
STLSMO08	Prospect	At least three	24,000 or more
STLSMO41	Kirkwood	At least three	24,000 or more
STLSMO42	Bridgeton	At least three	24,000 or more

## Missouri Public Service Commission Case No. TO-2006-0360 Dedicated Transport - Fiber-Based Collocators

**Tier 1 Wire Centers** 

CLLI Code	Wire Center	Identified by AT&T Number of Fiber-Based Collocators	TRRO Fiber-Based Criteria	Fiber-Based CLEC Verification
KSCYMO02	Hiland	4	Four or more	4
KSCYMO05	Westport	4	Four or more	4
KSCYMO55	McGee	11	Four or more	10
SPFDMOMC	Springfield McDaniel	5	Four or more	4
SPFDMOTE	Springfield Temple (Tandem)			
STLSMO01	Chestnut	6	Four or more	6
STLSMO05	Jefferson	6	Four or more	6
STLSMO21	Ladue	5	Four or more	5
STLSMO27	Creve Coeur	5	Four or more	4

## **Tier 2 Wire Centers**

SPFDMOTU	Springfield Tuxedo	3	At least three	2
STLSMO07	Parkview	3	At least three	3
STLSMO08	Prospect	3	At least three	3
STLSMO41	Kirkwood	3	At least three	3
STLSMO42	Bridgeton	3	At least three	3

Schedule 2B Is deemed Highly Confidential In its Entirety

Schedule 2C Is deemed Highly Confidential In its Entirety

#### Missouri Public Service Commission

#### **Data Request**

Data Request No.

0049

Company Name

AT&T Missouri

Case/Tracking No.

TO-2006-0360

**Date Requested** 

10/17/2006

issue

Telephone Specific - Other Telephone Specific Issues

Requested From

Robert Gryzmala

Requested By

Mike Scheperle

**Brief Description** 

NA

See Attachment

Description

See attached

**Due Date** 

11/6/2006

The attached information provided to Missouri Public Service Commission Staff in response to the above data information request is accurate and complete, and contains no material misrepresentations or omissions, based upon present facts of which the undersigned has knowledge, information or belief. The undersigned agrees to immediately inform the Missouri Public Service Commission Staff if, during the pendency of Case No. before the Commission, any matters are discovered which would materially affect the accuracy or completeness of the attached information.

if these data are voluminous, please (1) identify the relevant documents and their location (2) make arrangements with requestor to have documents available for inspection in the AT&T Missouri office, or other location mutually agreeable. Where identification of a document is requested, briefly describe the document (e.g. book, letter, memorandum, report) and state the following information as applicable for the particular document: name, title number, author, date of publication and publisher, addresses, date written, and the name and address of the person(s) having possession of the document. As used in this data request the term "document(s)" includes publication of any format, workpapers, letters, memoranda, notes, reports, analyses, computer analyses, test results, studies or data, recordings, transcriptions and printed, typed or written materials of every kind in your possession, custody or control or within your knowledge. The pronoun "you" or "your" refers to AT&T Missouri and its employees, contractors, agents or others employed by or acting in its behalf.

Security

Public

Rationale

NA

With Proprietary and Highly Confidential Data Requests a Protective Order must be on file.

Missouri Public Service Commission

Data Request Questions – Southwestern Bell Telephone L.P. d/b/a AT&T Missouri
Case No. TO-2006-0360

In Supplemental response to Data Request 28, AT&T identified wire center SPFDMOTL as a tandem switching location and a Tier 1 designation. Please provide supporting documentation for classifying SPFDMOTL as a tandem switching location and a Tier 1 designation.

Missouri Case No. TO-2006-0360 PSC Staff Request No. 3 RFI No. 3-49 Page 1 of 2

- Q. IN SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO DATA REQUEST 28, AT&T
  IDENTIFIED WIRE CENTER SPFDMOTL AS A TANDEM SWITCHING
  LOCATION AND A TIER 1 DESIGNATION. PLEASE PROVIDE
  SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION FOR CLASSIFYING SPFDMOTL AS A
  TANDEM SWITCHING LOCATION AND A TIER 1 DESIGNATION.
- A. AT&T Missouri identified SPFDMOTL as a Tier 1 wire center because SPDFMOTL is an AT&T Missouri tandem switching location with no line-side switching facilities (switching facilities that serve an end user loop) that serves as a point of traffic aggregation accessible by CLECs. The FCC rule 47 C.F.R. § 51.319(e)(3)(i) requires that all such locations be classified as Tier 1 wire centers.

As stated above, SPFDMOTL is an AT&T Missouri tandem switching location with no line side switching facilities. This simply means that all of the connections from the switch in this office connect trunk to trunk to other facilities. With trunk to trunk only connectivity, SPFDMOTL serves as a point of traffic aggregation accessible to CLECs and AT&T's network. SPFDMOTL was the only wire center identified as a trunk to trunk wire center by AT&T Missouri in the state of Missouri.

Missouri Case No. TO-2006-0360 PSC Staff Request No. 3 RFI No. 3-49 Page 2 of 2

Responsible Person: Carol Chapman

Associate Director-Witness Support Four AT&T Plaza, Room 2070.06

Dallas, TX 75202

Mark Nevels

Area Manager-Collocation

Three AT&T Plaza, Room 720.G2

Dallas, TX 75202

Missouri Public Service Commission Case No. TO-2006-0360 Business Line information

### **DEDICATED INTEROFFICE TRANSPORT - TRRO Criteria**

Impairment Designation - Tier 1 (Meet one criteria or both)

Wire Center CLLI Code	Wire Center Name	TRRO Business Line Threshold	Identified By AT&T Meeting Threshold
KSCYMO02	Hiland	38,000 or more	No
KSCYMO05	Westport	38,000 or more	No
KSCYMO55	McGee	38,000 or more	Yes
SPFDMOMC	Springfield McDaniel	38,000 or more	No
STLSMO01	Chestnut	38,000 or more	Yes
STLSMO05	Jefferson	38,000 or more	No
STLSMO21	Ladue	38,000 or more	Yes
STLSMO27	Creve Coeur	38,000 or more	No

Impairment Designation - Tier 2 (Meet one criteria or both)

Wire Center CLLI Code	Wire Center Name	TRRO Business Line Threshold	Identified By AT&T Meeting Threshold
SPFDMOTU	Springfield Tuxedo	24,000 or more	Yes
STLSMO07	Parkview	24,000 or more	Yes
STLSMO08	Prospect	24,000 or more	No
STLSMO41	Kirkwood	24,000 or more	No
STLSMO42	Bridgeton	24,000 or more	No

Schedule 4 Is deemed Highly Confidential In its Entirety

Missouri Public Service Commission Case No. TO-2006-0360 TRRO Criteria

### **LOOPS - TRRO Criteria**

Impairment Designation - DS1 Loop (Meet both criteria)

	pa	001911411011 DO 1 200P	(moot boin of itelia)	
	,		Fiber-Based	Business
ļ	Wire Center	Wire Center	Collocator	Line
	CLLI Code	Name	Threshold	Threshold
-	None	None	Four or more	60,000 or more

Impairment Designation - DS3 Loop (Meet both criteria)

Wire Center CLLI Code	Wire Center Name	Fiber-Based Collocator Threshold	Business Line Threshold
KSCYMO55	McGee	Four or more	38,000 or more
STLSMO01	Chestnut	Four or more	38,000 or more
STLSMO21	Ladue	Four or more	38,000 or more

## Missouri Public Service Commission Case No. TO-2006-0360 Loops - Fiber-Based Collocators

		Identified by AT&T	TRRO	Fiber-Based
CLLI	Wire	Number of Fiber-Based	Fiber-Based	CLEC
Code	Center	Collocators	Criteria	Verification

## **DS1 Loops - None**

DS3 Loops

KSCYMO55	McGee	11	Four or more	10
STLSMO01	Chestnut	6	Four or more	6
STLSMO21	Ladue	5	Four or more	5

Schedule 6B Is deemed Highly Confidential In its Entirety

Schedule 7 Is deemed Highly Confidential In its Entirety