Exhibit No.:

Issues: Rate of Return, Cost of Capital

Witness: Matthew J. Barnes
Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff

Type of Exhibit: True-Up Direct Testimony

Case No.: ER-2007-0291

Date Testimony Prepared: November 2, 2007

## MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION UTILITY SERVICES DIVISION

# TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

**MATTHEW J. BARNES** 

KANSAS CITY POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY

CASE NO. ER-2007-0291

Jefferson City, Missouri November 2007

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
3	MATTHEW J. BARNES
4	CASE NO. ER-2007-0291
5	KCP&L'S CAPITAL STRUCTURE AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2007 1
6	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

1		TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY
2		OF
3		MATTHEW J. BARNES
4		KANSAS CITY POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY
5		CASE NO. ER-2007-0291
6	Q.	Please state your name.
7	A.	My name is Matthew J. Barnes.
8	Q.	Are you the same Matthew J. Barnes who filed direct, rebuttal, and surrebuttal
9	testimony in	this proceeding for the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission (Staff)?
10	A.	Yes, I am.
11	Q.	In your direct testimony, did you provide your expert opinion on what you
12	considered to	be a fair and reasonable rate-of-return on the Missouri jurisdictional electric
13	utility rate ba	se for Kansas City Power & Light (KCP&L or Company)?
14	A.	Yes, I did.
15	Q.	What is the purpose of your True-up Direct testimony?
16	A.	The purpose of my True-up Direct testimony is to provide the Commission the
17	updated capi	tal structure, embedded cost of long-term debt and overall rate-of-return as of
18	September 30	), 2007 for KCP&L.
19	KCP&L'S C	CAPITAL STRUCTURE AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2007
20	Q.	Please explain Staff's updated capital structure.

1	A.	As of September 30, 2007, KCP&L's capital structure consisted of
2	57.62 percent	equity, 1.45 percent preferred stock, and 40.93 percent long-term debt. Please
3	see Schedule	1.
4	Q.	What was KCP&L's previous capital structure that you proposed?
5	A.	KCP&L's previous capital structure as of March 31, 2007 that Staff proposed
6	consisted of 6	66.01 percent equity, 1.67 percent preferred stock, and 32.32 percent long-term
7	debt.	
8	Q.	Did the embedded cost of long-term debt change as of September 30, 2007?
9	A.	Yes. KCP&L's embedded cost of long-term debt as of September 30, 2007,
10	was calculated	d by the company to be 5.93 percent. Please see Schedule 2.
11	Q.	What was KCP&L's previous embedded cost of long-term debt proposed by
12	Staff?	
13	A.	KCP&L's previous embedded cost of long-term debt as of March 31, 2007 as
14	calculated by	the company was 5.77 percent.
15	Q.	Why did KCP&L's embedded cost of long-term debt increase from
16	5.77 percent to	o 5.93 percent?
17	A.	KCP&L issued \$250 million of 5.85 percent Senior Notes on June 4, 2007.
18	Great Plains	Energy issued \$100 million of 6.88 percent Unsecured Senior Notes on
19	September 25	, 2007. These debt issuances increased KCP&L's embedded cost of long-term
20	debt and long	-term debt ratio.
21	Q.	Why did Staff's rate-of-return decrease when the embedded cost of
22	long-term deb	t increased?

A.	The increase in the Company's long-term debt ratio off-set the equity ratio in										
the capital s	tructure. Equity is more expensive than debt, therefore; in this case the lower										
equity ratio i	n the capital structure resulted in a lower rate-of-return for KCP&L.										
Q.	Q. Did the embedded cost of preferred stock change as of September 30, 2007?										
A.	No. The embedded cost of preferred stock as of September 30, 2007 remained										
the same at 4	.29 percent.										
Q.	Does Staff still recommend a return on equity in the range of 9.14 percent to										
10.30 percen	t with a mid-point of 9.72 percent?										
A.	Yes. Please see Schedule 3.										
SUMMARY	AND CONCLUSIONS										
Q.	Please summarize the conclusions of your True-up Direct testimony.										
A.	My recommended cost of common equity, which is in the range of										
9.14 percent	to 10.30 percent, would produce a fair and reasonable rate-of-return of										
7.76 percent	to 8.42 percent for KCP&L's Missouri jurisdictional electric utility rate base.										
Q.	Does this conclude your True-up Direct testimony?										
A.	Yes, it does.										

#### **BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

### **OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

In the Matter of the Application of
Kansas City Power and Light Company for ) Case No. ER-2007-0291
Approval to Make Certain Changes in its )
Charges for Electric Service To Implement Its )
Regulatory Plan.
AFFIDAVIT OF MATTHEW J. BARNES
STATE OF MISSOURI )
) SS.
COUNTY OF COLE )
Matthew J. Barnes, being of lawful age, on his oath states: that he has participated in the
preparation of the foregoing True-Up Direct Testimony in question and answer form, consisting
Direct Testimony were given by him; that he has knowledge of the matters set forth in such
answers; and that such matters are true and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief.
Λ Λ
$\Delta M = M / M = M / M = M = M = M = M = M =$
VIII (ATTTOLE ) Komen
VI WOWED / DOMES
Matthew J. Barnes
/
154
Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of November, 2007.
D. SUZIE MANKIN
Notary Printic - Notary Seal
State of Missouri Notary Public O
My Commission Exp. 07/01/2008

### Kansas City Power and Light Company Case No. ER-2007-0291

### Capital Structure as of September 30, 2007 Great Plains Energy

Capital Component	Dollar Amount	Percentage of Capital
Common Stock Equity	\$ 1,553,527,000	57.62%
Preferred Stock	\$ 39,000,000	1.45%
Long-Term Debt	\$ 1,103,699,000	40.93%
Short-Term Debt	\$ -	0.00%
Total Capitalization	\$ 2,696,226,000	100.00%

### Electric Financial Ratio Benchmark Total Debt / Total Capital

Standard & Poor's Corporation's RatingsDirect, Revised Financial Guidelines as of June 2, 2004 BBB Credit Rating based on a "6" Business Profile

48% to 58%

Source: Email response from Christine Davidson with Kansas City Power and Light received 10/30/07.

### Kansas City Power and Light ER-2007-0291

#### KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY AND GREAT PLAINS ENERGY

Weighted Average Cost of Long-Term Debt Capital

At September 30, 2007

		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e) Underwriters	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i) Long-term	(j) Annual Cost
		Initial	Date of	Date of	Price to	Discounts &	Issuance	Net Proceeds	Cost to	Debt Capital	of Long-term
Line		Offering	Offering	Maturity	Public	Commissions	Expense	to Company	Company	Outstanding	Debt Capital
KAN	ISAS CITY POWER & LIGHT ONLY										
	Pledged General Mortgage Bonds										
1	EIRR 1992 Series	\$31,000,000	9/15/1992	7/1/2017					4.080%	\$31,000,000	\$1,264,800
2	EIRR Hawthorn 1993 Series - 4.0% Coup	\$12,366,000	10/14/1993	1/2/2012					4.202%	\$12,366,000	\$519,619
3	MATES Series 1993-A	\$40,000,000	12/7/1993	12/1/2023					3.994%	\$40,000,000	\$1,597,600
4	MATES Series 1993-B	\$39,480,000	12/7/1993	12/1/2023					3.984%	\$39,480,000	\$1,572,883
5	EIRR La Cygne 1994 Series - 4.05% Cou	\$13,982,500	2/23/1994	3/1/2015					4.245%	\$13,982,000	\$593,536
6	EIRR La Cygne 1994 Series - 4.65% Cou	\$21,940,000	2/23/1994	9/1/2035					4.813%	\$21,940,000	\$1,055,972
	Unsecured Notes										
7	Senior Notes Due 2017 - 5.85% Coupon (*	\$250,000,000	6/4/2007	6/15/2017	\$250,000,000	\$1,625,000	\$250,000	\$248,125,000	5.951%	\$250,000,000	\$14,876,484
8	Senior Notes Due 2011 - 6.5% Coupon (2)	\$150,000,000	3/20/2001	11/15/2011	\$150,000,000	\$1,198,500	\$50,000	\$148,751,500	6.615%	\$150,000,000	\$9,922,646
9	Senior Notes Due 2035 - 6.05% Coupon (3	\$250,000,000	11/17/2005	11/15/2035	\$250,000,000	\$2,187,500	\$150,000	\$247,662,500	6.118%	\$250,000,000	\$15,296,070
	Environmental Improvement Revenue Re	funding Bonds									
10	Series 1998-A Due 2015-4.75% Coupon	\$56,500,000	8/11/1998	9/1/2015					4.776%	\$56,500,000	\$2,698,440
11	Series 1998-B Due 2015-4.75% Coupon	\$50,000,000	8/11/1998	9/1/2015					4.774%	\$50,000,000	\$2,387,000
12	Series 1998-C Due 2035-4.65% Coupon	\$50,000,000	8/11/1998	9/1/2035					4.837%	\$50,000,000	\$2,418,500
13		\$40,000,000	8/11/1998	10/1/2017					4.774%	\$40,000,000	\$1,909,744
		<b>,</b> ,,								* , ,	+ 1, 2 2 2, 1 1 1
	Other Long-Term Debt										
14	Unamortized Discount on Senior Notes									(1,909,561)	\$0
15	Loss/(Gain) on Reacquired Debt									\$0	\$ 504,094
16	Weighted Cost of Interest Rate Managemer	nt Products								\$0	\$1,728,954
				_		_				*********	
17	Total KCP&L Long-Term Debt Capi	tai		A	t September 30, 20	JU <i>1</i>			:	\$1,003,358,439	\$58,346,344
18	KCP&L Weighted Avg. Cost of Long-To	orm Dobt Conital			At September 30.	2007		5.815%			
10	NOTAL Weighted Avg. Cost of Long-10	erini Debi Capital			At September 30,	2001		5.015%			

### Kansas City Power and Light ER-2007-0291

#### KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY AND GREAT PLAINS ENERGY

Weighted Average Cost of Long-Term Debt Capital

At September 30, 2007

At S	eptember 30, 2007										
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e) Underwriters	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i) Long-term	(j) Annual Cost
		Initial	Date of	Date of	Price to	Discounts &	Issuance	Net Proceeds	Cost to	Debt Capital	of Long-term
Line	Issue	Offering	Offering	Maturity	Public	Commissions	Expense	to Company	Company	Outstanding	Debt Capital
GRE	AT PLAINS ENERGY ONLY										
	Unsecured Notes										
1	Senior Notes Due 2017 - 6.875% Coupon	\$100,000,000	9/25/2007	9/15/2017	\$100,000,000	\$650,000	\$500,000	\$98,850,000	7.037%	\$100,000,000	\$7,037,102
	Affordable Housing Notes										
2	Missouri Affordable Housing Fund IX - NDI	\$3,907,767	3/30/1999	10/1/2008					7.600%	\$856,132	\$65,066
	Other Long-Term Debt										
3	Unamortized Discount on Senior Notes									(\$516,000)	
4	Weighted Cost of Interest Rate Managemer	nt Products								<u> </u>	\$7,458
5	Total GPE Only Long-Term Debt Ca	apital		A	t September 30, 20	007				\$100,340,132	\$7,109,627
6	GPE Only Weighted Avg. Cost of Long	-Term Debt Capi	tal		At September 30,	2007		7.086%			
GRE	AT PLAINS ENERGY										
7	Total GPE Long-Term Debt Capital			A	t September 30, 20	007			:	\$1,103,698,571	\$65,455,970
8	GPE Weighted Avg. Cost of Long-Term	n Debt Capital			At September 30,	2007		5.931%			

P:\TESTIMONY\ER-2007-0291\[Barnes True Up Schedules.xls]WCLTD

<sup>(1)</sup> Expenses associated with the Senior Notes issue are being amortized over a 10 year period.

<sup>(2)</sup> Expenses associated with the Senior Notes issue are being amortized over a 10 year period.

<sup>(3)</sup> Expenses associated with the Senior Notes are being amortized over a 30 year period.

<sup>(4)</sup> Expenses associated with the Senior Notes issue are being amortized over a 10 year period.

### Kansas City Power and Light Company Case No. ER-2007-0291

### Weighted Cost of Capital as of September 30, 2007 for Kansas City Power and Light Company

Weighted Cost of Capital Using Common Equity Return of:

			Common Equity			
Capital Component	Percentage of Capital	Embedded Cost	9.14%	9.72%	10.30%	
Common Stock Equity	57.62%		5.27%	5.60%	5.93%	
Preferred Stock	1.45%	4.29%	0.06%	0.06%	0.06%	
Long-Term Debt	40.93%	5.93%	2.43%	2.43%	2.43%	
Short-Term Debt	0.00%					
Total	100.00%		7.76%	8.09%	8.42%	

### Notes:

See Schedule 9 for the Capital Structure Ratios.

Embedded Cost of Long-Term Debt and Embedded Cost of Preferred Stock Taken from Response to DR 0178.1.