BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Petition of	Socket T	elecom, L	LC for C	ompulsory)	
Arbitration	of Interd	connection	Agreeme	ents with)	
CenturyTel	of Mis	ssouri, L	LC and	Spectra) (Case No. TO-2006-0299
Communica						
the Telecom	ımunicatior	ns Act of 19	96.	. , ,	ĺ	

RESPONSE TO SOCKET TELECOM'S PETITION FOR ARBITRATION

CenturyTel of Missouri, LLC ("CenturyTel") and Spectra Communications Group, LLC ("Spectra") (collectively, the "CenturyTel Parties") file this response to the Petition for Arbitration (the "Petition") of Socket Telecom, LLC ("Socket"), for compulsory arbitration pursuant to Section 252(b)(1) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended by the Telecommunications Act of 1996 ("FTA"), the Missouri Public Service Commission's (the "Commission") rules, and other applicable law. Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252(b)(3), 4 CSR 240-36.040(7) and the Commission's Order Directing Notice of Petition for Arbitration, the CenturyTel Parties now timely file this Response to Socket's Petition. The CenturyTel Parties respectfully request that the Commission arbitrate and determine the contested issues and in the end, determine the appropriate terms, conditions, and prices for the proposed interconnection agreements between Socket and the CenturyTel Parties.

I. INTRODUCTION

1. On January 13, 2006, Socket filed its Petition asking the Commission to decide what appear to be more than 169 substantive issues relating to the development of successor interconnection agreements ("ICAs") between Socket and each of the CenturyTel Parties. Rather than propose interconnection terms that relate to the CenturyTel's Response to Socket Arbitration Petition

CASE NO. TO-2006-0299 MASTER LIST OF ISSUES BETWEEN CENTURYTEL AND SOCKET ARTICLE V – INTERCONNECTION AND TRANSPORT AND TERMINATION OF TRAFFIC

																				***************************************																Issue Statement
																																				Issue S No. N
																												*******					-			Sec. Nos.
TO-92-306 and Case No. TO-99-483	calling scope pursuant to the Case No.	originated by a party providing a local	9.2.1 MCA Traffic is traffic		as MCA traffic and non-MCA traffic.	Transit Traffic shall be further defined	Exchange Traffic ("FX Traffic"), and	Traffic, ISP-Bound Traffic, Foreign	Compensation, Section 251(b) (5)	9.2 For purposes of Intercarrier		local calling scopes.	other like types of mandatory expanded	mandatory Extended Area Service or	as defined in CenturyTel's tariff, e.g.,	common mandatory local calling area,	Century [el Exchanges that share	terminates to an ISP within different	(ii) originates from end users and	the same CenturyTel exchange area; or	end users and terminates to as ISP in	Order") if the call (i) originates from	27, 2001) ("FCC ISP Compensation	Docket Nos. 96-98, 99-68 (rel. April	Bound Traffic, FCC 01-131, CC	Intercarrier Compensation for ISP-	Telecommunications Act of 1996,	Competition Provisions in the	Implementation of the Local	Report and Order, In the Matter of	with the FCC's Order on Remand and	"ISP-Bound Traffic" in accordance	versa) will be classified as compensable	to as ISP served by Socket (or vice	CenturyTel's end users and terminated	Socket Language
																													applies).	Commission has held that bill-and-keep	(even in MCA areas where the	intrastate access charges to FX traffic	CenturyTel erroneously seeks to apply	ISP-Bound Traffic. In addition,	intercarrier compensation, most notably	Socket Preliminary Position
9.2.3 VNXX Traffic. If Socket	remain subject to Bill-and-Keep.	also Information Access Traffic will	otherwise agreed. Local Traffic that is	Term of this Agreement unless	and continue for the duration of the	and following such notice it shall begin	iorin in Appendix A of this Agreement	commence pursuant to the rates set	commence pursuant to the rates set	the other that mutual compensation will	Access Traffic withor Party may notify	I neal Traffic that is also Information	minutes for I ocal Traffic evoluting	(60%) of the Parties, total terminated		the aggregate, that either Party is	Should such traffic study indicate, in	also Information Access Traffic.	Traffic excluding Local Traffic that is	traffic study shall examine all Local	frequently than once a quarter. Such	may initiate a traffic study no more	9.2.2 Bill and Keep. Either Party	appropriate:	annronrigh	intractate or interctate access tariffs as	accordance with the Parties' respective	interexchange traffic shall be in	ontional FAS, intral ATA toll and	the transport and termination of	exempt factor, if any. The Charges for	regulatory conditions, such as a State	Article, subject to any applicable	accordance with Section 3.2.2 of this	Parties' end-user customers in	CenturyTel Language
for arbitrage or for circumvening other	iCAs, of course, should not be vehicles	so-called phantom traffic. Section 252	promote arbitrage and risk increases in	Communications Act and would	which is prohibited by the	would supplant access arrangements,	attempts to expand the agreement so it	numerous provisions, however, Socket	supplant access arrangements. In	interconnection, and are not intended to	sections 251 & 252 apply to local	between the parties. ICAs under	fundamental point of disagreement	whether it is "local" or not—is a	regarding the scope of the agreement—	exchange of local traffic. This question	the parties' successor ICA beyond the	attempt to unduly expand the scope of	The Commission should reject Socket's		operations and offerings.	compel CenturyTel to mirror SBC's	Socket cannot prevail in its effort to	between Socket and CenturyTel.	is irrelevant to resolution of this dispute	to SBC in an entirely different context	may have approved similar language as	M2A for SBC. That the Commission	CenturyTel, it is not about replacing the	developing an ICA for Socket and	capabilities. This proceeding is about	procedures, mechanisms, and	has fundamentally different operations,	with much less population density, and	scale/scope, serves geographic areas	CenturyTel Preliminary Position

Key: Bold language represents language proposed by Socket and opposed by CenturyTel. Underlined language represents language proposed by CenturyTel and opposed by Socket.