

1	STATE OF MISSOURI
2	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3	
4	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
5	Procedural Conference
6	December 1, 2017
7	Jefferson City, Missouri
8	Volume 1
9	
10	In The Matter Of The Request)
11	For An Increase In Annual Water) File No. WR-2017-0343
12	System Operating Revenues For)
13	Gascony Water Company, Inc.)
14	
15	MICHAEL BUSHMANN, Presiding, SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE.
16	SENIOR REGULAIORI LAW CODGE.
17	
18	
19	
20	REPORTED BY:
21	Chevon D. McFadden, CVR, CCR NO. 1399 TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC
22	TIGER COORT REPORTING, ELC
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:
2	FOR THE OFFICE OF PUBLIC COUNCIL:
3	MR. RYAN SMITH, Senior Public Counsel Department of Economic Development Office of the
4	Public Counsel 200 West Madison Street, Suite 650
5	Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 573.522.6189
6	ryan.smith@ded.mo.gov
7	
8	FOR STAFF OF MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION:
9	MR. JACOB T. WESTEN, Deputy Counsel Department of Economic Development Public Service
10	Commission Governor Office Building, Suite 800
11	200 Madison Street Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
12	573.751.5472 jacob.westen@psc.mo.gov
13	
14	FOR GASCONY WATER COMPANY, INC:
15	MS. DIANA CARTER
16	Brydon, Swearengen & England 312 East Capitol Avenue
17	P.O. Box 456 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102
18	573.635.7166 dcarter@brydonlaw.com
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	PROCEEDINGS		
2	JUDGE BUSHMANN: Today is December 1st,		
3	2017. It's approximately nine o'clock a.m. The		
4	Commission has set this time for a Procedural		
5	Conference in the case captioned as: In The Matter Of		
6	The Request For An Increase In Annual Water System		
7	Operating Revenues for Gascony Water Company,		
8	Incorporated, File No. WR-2017-0343.		
9	My name is Michael Bushmann. I'm the		
10	Regulatory Law Judge in this matter. Let's have		
11	attorneys making their entries of appearance.		
12	For Gascony Water Company.		
13	MS. CARTER: Diana Carter with Brydon,		
14	Swearengen & England. And I provided a written entry		
15	to the court reporter.		
16	JUDGE BUSHMANN: For Commission Staff.		
17	MR. WESTEN: Jacob Westen representing the		
18	Commission Staff. My information has been provided to		
19	the court reporter.		
20	JUDGE BUSHMANN: And for Office of the Public		
21	Counsel.		
22	MR. SMITH: Ryan Smith, Senior Counsel with		
23	the Office of the Public Counsel. My information has		
24	also been previously provided to the court reporter.		
25	JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay. Thank you. The first		

thing I wanted to talk about -- is somebody on the 1 2 line? 3 MR. RUSSO: Yes, Mr. Russo. 4 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay. Thank you. First 5 thing I wanted to talk about was the partial 6 disposition agreement that was filed by Staff and the 7 Company. And I wanted to talk about this because there's request in here that the Commission approve the 8 9 partial disposition. 10 The reason I wanted to talk about that was 11 Judge Woodruff and I had an extensive conversation 12 yesterday about the Small Rate Case Rule and I won't 13 say anything about what I think about it, but it is 14 complicated. 15 Yes, it is, Judge. MR. WESTEN: 16 JUDGE BUSHMANN: And in my reading of the 17 Rule it's -- and Judge Woodruff agreed, that we didn't 18 think that a partial disposition agreement or any 19 disposition agreement is exactly identical to a 20 stipulation and agreement under Chapter 2. It seems to 21 be its own animal. And -- so I think that there's different 22 23 procedures that apply. In reading through to the Rule, 24 it says that when you have a partial disposition agreement -- the Rule seems to contemplate that the 25

Г

1	parties at that point are going to go into an
2	arbitration process. And I asked Judge Woodruff if
3	that had ever occurred, and to his knowledge, no. No
4	party has ever gone through an arbitration process.
5	But the Rule seems to contemplate that when you have a
6	partial disposition agreement, that that's what's going
7	to happen, because it has extensive procedures for what
8	should happen if you have a partial disposition
9	agreement and arbitration process at the same time.
10	But the Rule says that the parties may
11	contain provisions for an arbitration procedure; it
12	doesn't say "shall," so it's not mandatory.
13	MR. WESTEN: Right.
14	JUDGE BUSHMANN: So there's no there's
15	no the Rule is silent about what you do in a
16	situation like we have here where we have a partial
17	disposition agreement but without a request for
18	arbitration.
19	But it's my understanding, because of
20	Ms. Mers has cited to Section 21, which talks about
21	when the staff and the utility can't agree, then they
22	want to elect to go and to use contested case
23	procedures. And I'm assuming that what that's what the
24	parties want to do at this point and not go to
25	arbitration, but instead go to

VOLUME I WR-2017-0343

1 MS. CARTER: Oh, yeah. 2 JUDGE BUSHMANN: -- use the regular, contested case procedures that we're all familiar with. 3 MR. WESTEN: Yes, Judge, I believe that is 4 5 what Staff intended --6 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Okay. 7 MR. WESTEN: -- and what we'd be happy to do. 8 JUDGE BUSHMANN: And I think that that would 9 be appropriate, because the Rule does provide for that. It also has a provision right before that 10 where Public Counsel -- if Public Counsel can request 11 12 an evidentiary hearing and specify a list of issues that thinks should be resolved. So if that's the 13 14 understanding that I get from the parties, then I think 15 that the appropriate thing to do is just to treat this 16 partial disposition agreement and request for 17 evidentiary hearing as a request by the parties, that 18 at least the Staff and the Company, to kind of get out 19 of the small rate case procedure rule and go instead to 20 the contested case procedures of Chapter 2. And I think if we do that and I could treat 21 22 this as a request to do that, then I think that it 23 wouldn't be appropriate for the Commission to approve a 24 partial disposition agreement at this point. 25 MR. WESTEN: That's correct.

> TIGER COURT REPORTING, LLC WWW.TIGERCR.COM 573.999.2662

6

VOLUME I WR-2017-0343

Г

1	JUDGE BUSHMANN: What would be more			
2	appropriate, I think, is if say we go ahead and get			
3	a procedural schedule and then the parties can follow			
4	along in the normal rate case procedures for a hearing.			
5	You may decide that after that, at this point before			
б	the hearing, you want to submit a stipulation and			
7	agreement under Chapter 2. And it may be it's			
8	the exactly the same thing as this. Or you may			
9	decide that it's not necessary, the parties are just			
10	going to identify disputed issues for the hearing			
11	MR. WESTEN: Right.			
12	JUDGE BUSHMANN: and everything you've			
13	already agreed to gets caught up in the compliance			
14	tariffs and figured out at that point.			
15	MS. CARTER: Yeah.			
16	JUDGE BUSHMANN: So I'll leave that to you to			
17	decide as to whether you think that either one of those			
18	two options is what you want to do. But I'm just not			
19	going to take any action on the disposition agreement			
20	at this point because I think it's premature, and we'll			
21	just go ahead and get a procedural schedule.			
22	Anybody have any objection to any of that?			
23	MR. WESTEN: No, Judge.			
24	MS. CARTER: No, that sounds great.			
25	MR. WESTEN: That is probably one of the most			

Г

1	articulate explanations of the and reasonable		
2	explanations of the small utility rules, and Staff very		
3	much appreciates that, Judge.		
4	JUDGE BUSHMANN: Well, no problem. And I,		
5	unfortunately, well, maybe fortunately, this rule is		
б	going away soon, because there's it's currently in		
7	the works to get it replaced, so we may not have to		
8	deal with it much longer.		
9	MR. WESTEN: I don't know about that, Judge.		
10	JUDGE BUSHMANN: We'll see.		
11	MR. WESTEN: We'll see.		
12	JUDGE BUSHMANN: Strange things can happen in		
13	that process.		
14	MR. WESTEN: That's right.		
15	JUDGE BUSHMANN: So I guess what we need now,		
16	then, is a procedural schedule. I know you've already		
17	started working on one.		
18	MR. WESTEN: Yes, Judge.		
19	JUDGE BUSHMANN: So why don't why doesn't		
20	Staff file a joint proposed procedural schedule no		
21	later than a week from today, which would be		
22	December 7th. You can include all the usual case		
23	events and and we will assume that the I think it		
24	was eight disputed issues between the Company and		
25	Staff, plus the one from Public Counsel would be the		

issues that would be -- the ones that we will be 1 2 dealing with in evidentiary hearing. Now, I've got here -- and I'll leave it for 3 4 you to look at. It's a copy of the adjudication calendar for the -- and my understanding is, from what 5 Staff has filed before on the timeline, that or 6 7 nine-month deadline is March 19th. 8 MR. WESTEN: That's correct, Judge. 9 JUDGE BUSHMANN: So my reading of the Rule is that the reply briefs, which would be the final 10 11 submission for the Commission, we need to happen 12 March 19 or before. 13 MR. WESTEN: Correct. 14 JUDGE BUSHMANN: So we'll need to get a 15 hearing sometime -- sometime. The hearing schedule is extremely crowded at this point. I just looked at it 16 17 before I came down. I wrote a few things in there that are not on the adjudication calendar, like, NARUC is in 18 19 February. That's three days there. The Commissioners 20 are going to be gone. 21 MS. CARTER: How do you feel about 22 February 23rd? It's a Friday. 23 JUDGE BUSHMANN: The 23rd. Well, do you 24 think one day is going to do it? 25 MS. CARTER: It should.

VOLUME I WR-2017-0343

MR. WESTEN: 1 Yeah. 2 JUDGE BUSHMANN: There is the ATXI hearing the 20th, 21st and 22nd. 3 MS. CARTER: Yeah. 4 5 JUDGE BUSHMANN: So the 23rd -- the 6 Commissioners hate Friday hearings. 7 MS. CARTER: Yes, but you took -- ATXI took 8 our other dates that we were looking at. 9 MR. WESTEN: Right. JUDGE BUSHMANN: And then the week before 10 11 there's the Thursday and Friday the 15th and 16th, and 12 then the week before that is wide open. But I'll let 13 you make your proposal and I'll ask the Commissioners, 14 and we'll just see what they say. 15 MS. CARTER: Okay. 16 MR. WESTEN: Thank you, Judge. 17 MR. SMITH: Thank you. 18 JUDGE BUSHMANN: Anybody have anything else 19 that you need to talk about before we go off the 20 record? 21 MR. SMITH: No, Judge. 22 MR. WESTEN: I don't think so, Judge. 23 JUDGE BUSHMANN: All right. Hearing none. We're off the record. 24 (Record was closed at 9:10 a.m.) 25

1	CERTIFICATE
2	I, Chevon D. McFadden, a Certified Verbatim
3	Reporter, Certified Court Reporter, CCR No. 1399, do
4	hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding had in the
5	above-entitled cause was taken by me to the best of my
6	ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my
7	direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to,
8	nor employed by any of the parties to the action in
9	which this proceeding was taken, and further, that I am
10	not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel
11	employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or
12	otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.
13	
14	
15	
16	Chevon N Mcfadden
17	Chevon D. McFadden, CVR, CCR No. 1399
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

VOLUME I WR-2017-0343 Index: 1399..Friday

	ahead 7:2,21	Certified 11:2,3	decide 7:5,9,17
1	animal 4:21	certify 11:4	Diana 3:13
1399 11:3,17	Annual 3:6	Chapter 4:20 6:20 7:7	direction 11:7
15th 10:11	appearance 3:11	Chevon 11:2,17	disposition 4:6,9,18,
16th 10:11	apply 4:23	cited 5:20	19,24 5:6,8,17 6:16,24 7:19
19 9:12	appreciates 8:3	closed 10:25	disputed 7:10 8:24
19th 9:7	approve 4:8 6:23	Commission 3:4,16,	
1st 3:2	approximately 3:3	18 4:8 6:23 9:11	E
2	arbitration 5:2,4,9, 11,18,25	Commissioners 9:19 10:6,13	elect 5:22
	articulate 8:1	Company 3:7,12 4:7 6:18 8:24	employed 11:8,11
2 4:20 6:20 7:7	assume 8:23	compliance 7:13	employee 11:10
2017 3:3	assuming 5:23	complicated 4:14	England 3:14
20th 10:3	attorney 11:10	Conference 3:5	entries 3:11
21 5:20	attorneys 3:11	contemplate 4:25	entry 3:14
21st 10:3	ATXI 10:2,7	5:5	events 8:23
22nd 10:3 23rd 9:22,23 10:5	В	contested 5:22 6:3, 20	evidentiary 6:12,17 9:2
		conversation 4:11	explanations 8:1,2
7	briefs 9:10	copy 9:4	extensive 4:11 5:7
7th 8:22	Brydon 3:13	correct 6:25 9:8,13	extremely 9:16
	Bushmann 3:2,9,16, 20,25 4:4,16 5:14 6:2,	counsel 3:21,22,23 6:11 8:25 11:7,10	F
9	6,8 7:1,12,16 8:4,10, 12,15,19 9:9,14,23		
9:10 10:25	10:2,5,10,18,23	court 3:15,19,24 11:3 crowded 9:16	familiar 6:3
	C	CVR 11:17	February 9:19,22
Α	C		feel 9:21
a.m. 3:3 10:25	calendar 9:5,18	D	figured 7:14
ability 11:6	captioned 3:5		file 3:8 8:20
above-entitled 11:5	Carter 3:13 6:1 7:15,	dates 10:8	filed 4:6 9:6
action 7:19 11:8,12	24 9:21,25 10:4,7,15	day 9:24	final 9:10
adjudication 9:4,18	case 3:5 4:12 5:22 6:3,19,20 7:4 8:22	days 9:19 deadline 9:7	financially 11:11
agree 5:21	caught 7:13	deal 8:8	follow 7:3
agreed 4:17 7:13	CCR 11:3,17	dealing 9:2	foregoing 11:4
agreement 4:6,18,19,	CERTIFICATE 11:1	December 3:2 8:22	fortunately 8:5
20,25 5:6,9,17 6:16,24 7:7,19		December 5.2 0.22	Friday 9:22 10:6,11

VOLUME I WR-2017-034dex: Gascony..Strange

		outcome 11:12	reduced 11:6
G	КК		regular 6:2
Gascony 3:7,12	kind 6:18	P	Regulatory 3:10
great 7:24	knowledge 5:3	partial 4:5,9,18,24	related 11:7
guess 8:15		5:6,8,16 6:16,24	relative 11:10
	L	parties 5:1,10,24	replaced 8:7
н	Law 3:10	6:14,17 7:3,9 11:8,11	reply 9:10
happen 5:7,8 8:12	leave 7:16 9:3	party 5:4 point 5:1,24 6:24 7:5,	reporter 3:15,19,24
9:11	list 6:12	14,20 9:16	11:3
happy 6:7	longer 8:8	premature 7:20	representing 3:17
hate 10:6	looked 9:16	previously 3:24	request 3:6 4:8 5:17 6:11,16,17,22
hearing 6:12,17 7:4,		problem 8:4	resolved 6:13
6,10 9:2,15 10:2,23 hearings 10:6	M	procedural 3:4 7:3, 21 8:16,20	Revenues 3:7
	make 10:13	procedure 5:11 6:19	rule 4:12,17,23,25 5:5,
<u> </u>	making 3:11	procedures 4:23 5:7,	10,15 6:9,19 8:5 9:9
identical 4:19	mandatory 5:12	23 6:3,20 7:4	rules 8:2
identify 7:10	March 9:7,12	proceeding 11:4,9	Russo 4:3
include 8:22	matter 3:5,10	PROCEEDINGS 3:1	Ryan 3:22
Incorporated 3:8	Mcfadden 11:2,17	process 5:2,4,9 8:13	S
Increase 3:6	Mers 5:20	proposal 10:13	
information 3:18,23	Michael 3:9	proposed 8:20	schedule 7:3,21 8:16, 20 9:15
intended 6:5	N	provide 6:9	Section 5:20
interested 11:12		provided 3:14,18,24	Senior 3:22
issues 6:12 7:10 8:24	NARUC 9:18	provision 6:10	set 3:4
9:1	nine-month 9:7	provisions 5:11	silent 5:15
J	normal 7:4	Public 3:20,23 6:11 8:25	situation 5:16
	0		small 4:12 6:19 8:2
Jacob 3:17		R	Smith 3:22 10:17,21
joint 8:20	objection 7:22	rate 4:12 6:19 7:4	sounds 7:24
Judge 3:2,10,16,20, 25 4:4,11,15,16,17	occurred 5:3	reading 4:16,23 9:9	staff 3:16,18 4:6 5:21
5:2,14 6:2,4,6,8 7:1,	Office 3:20,23	reason 4:10	6:5,18 8:2,20,25 9:6
12,16,23 8:3,4,9,10, 12,15,18,19 9:8,9,14,	open 10:12	reasonable 8:1	started 8:17
23 10:2,5,10,16,18,21,	Operating 3:7	record 10:20,24,25	stipulation 4:20 7:6
22,23	options 7:18	100010 10.20,27,20	Strange 8:12

submission 9:11	25 8:0 11 14 18 0:8 12	
submit 7:6	25 8:9,11,14,18 9:8,13 10:1,9,16,22	
Swearengen 3:14	wide 10:12	
System 3:6	Woodruff 4:11,17 5:2	
	working 8:17	
т	works 8:7	
talk 4:1,5,7,10 10:19	WR-2017-0343 3:8	
talks 5:20	written 3:14	
tariffs 7:14	wrote 9:17	
thereto 11:11	Υ	
thing 4:1,5 6:15 7:8		
things 8:12 9:17	yesterday 4:12	
thinks 6:13		
Thursday 10:11		
time 3:4 5:9		
timeline 9:6		
today 3:2 8:21		
treat 6:15,21		
typewriting 11:6		
U		
understanding 5:19 6:14 9:5		
usual 8:22		
utility 5:21 8:2		
V		
Verbatim 11:2		
W		
wanted 4:1,5,7,10		
Water 3:6,7,12		
week 8:21 10:10,12		
Westen 3:17 4:15 5:13 6:4,7,25 7:11,23,		