LAW OFFICES BRYDON, SWEARENGEN & ENGLAND

DAVID V.G. BRYDON (1937-2012) JAMES C. SWEARENGEN WILLIAM R. ENGLAND, III JOHNNY K. RICHARDSON GARY W. DUFFY PAUL A. BOUDREAU CHARLES E. SMARR DEAN L. COOPER PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 312 EAST CAPITOL AVENUE P.O. BOX 456 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102-0456 TELEPHONE (573) 635-7166 FACSIMILE (573) 635-0427

BRIAN T. MCCARTNEY DIANA C. CARTER SCOTT A. HAMBLIN JAMIE J. COX L. RUSSELL MITTEN ERIN L. WISEMAN

COUNSEL GREGORY C. MITCHELL

August 28, 2012

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Morris L. Woodruff Chief Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street Jefferson City, MO 65102

Re: Case No. ER-2012-0166

Dear Judge Woodruff:

The purpose of this letter is to inform you that because Union Electric Company, d/b/a Ameren Missouri ("Ameren Missouri" or "the Company"), and the Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers ("MIEC") have been unable to resolve objections made by MIEC to certain Ameren Missouri data requests, I intend to present at the Discovery Conference scheduled for August 30th Ameren Missouri's arguments as to why MIEC's objections should be overruled.

Copies of the data requests that remain at issue and MIEC's objections and/or responses to those data requests are attached to this letter.

Sincerely, BRYDON, SWEARENGEN & ENGLAND, P.C.

By:

cc: All Parties of Record

Data Information Request From Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE MPSC Case No. ER-2012-0166

Requested From:	Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers
Requested By:	Russ Mitten
Date of Request:	July 25, 2012

Information Requested:

Please provide copies of all data, documents, and information that Mr. Smith relied on as support for the claim, which appears at page 4 of his pre-filed direct testimony, that "Ameren's proposed rate increase threatens the viability of the New Madrid Smelter."

Response:

The attached information provided to Union Electric Company in response to the above Data Information Request is accurate and complete and contains no material misrepresentations or omissions, based upon present facts of which the undersigned has knowledge, information or belief. The undersigned agrees to immediately inform Union Electric Company if, during the pendency of Case No. ER-2012-0166 before the Commission, any matters are discovered which would materially affect the accuracy or completeness of the attached information.

If these data are voluminous, please (1) identify the relevant documents and their location; (2) make arrangements with requestor to have documents available for inspection at a location mutually agreeable. Where identification of a document is requested, briefly describe the document (e.g., book, letter, memorandum, report) and state the following information as applicable for the particular document: name, title, number, author, date of publication and publisher, addresses, date written, and the name and address of the person(s) having possession of the document. As used in this Data Request, the term "document(s)" includes publication of any format, workpapers, letters, memoranda, notes, reports, analyses, computer analyses, test results, studies or data recordings, transcriptions and printed, typed or written materials of every kind in your possession, custody or control within your knowledge. The pronoun "you" or "your" refers to the person identified in the "Requested From" block above and all other employees, contractors, agents or others employed by or acting on behalf of the organization, group or governmental unit associated with that person. When used with respect to a natural person, "identify" means state his or her name, address, telephone number, current employer, job title, and current work telephone number.

Response Provided By:	Date:
	2-0101

Data Information Request From Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE MPSC Case No. ER-2012-0166

Requested From: Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers Requested By: Russ Mitten Date of Request: July 25, 2012

Information Requested:

Please provide copies of all of Noranda's annual and three-year productivity goals for its New Madrid Smelter for the period 2012 through 2015. Please describe in detail how Noranda expects to achieve those productivity goals through that same period.

Response:

- o From Noranda's most recent 10Q (copy attached):
 - "Through this period of raw material inflation, we have maintained our emphasis on driving cost savings through our CORE productivity program-achieving \$15.0 million in cost savings in second quarter 2012, and a total of \$100.9 million since the beginning of 2011."
 - CORE spoken about in Q2 2012 Analyst Presentation (see page 7)
 - Within the script the following statement was made: "We achieved 15 million dollars of productivity gains in second quarter 2012, which puts us at a total of 101 million dollars towards our 140 million dollar goal for the three years ended 2013."

Response Provided By Diana Vuylsteke. Date: August 15, 2012



Noranda Aluminum Holding Corp July 25, 2012 10:00 AM Eastern / 9:00 AM Central

2nd Quarter 2012 Earnings Conference Call

Forward Looking Statements

stoppages) and labor costs; unexpected issues arising in connection with Noranda's operations outside of the United States; the ability to retain key management personnel; and Noranda's expectations with respect to its acquisition ability to fulfill the business's substantial capital investment needs; labor relations (i.e. disruptions, strikes or work customers, a substantial number of which do not have long-term contractual arrangements with the Company; the aluminum rolled products; the effects of competition in Noranda's business lines; Noranda's ability to retain changes in interest rates, as well as a downturn in the end-use markets for certain of the Company's products; prices, which cause variability in earnings and cash flows; a downturn in general economic conditions, including statements, including, without limitation: the cyclical nature of the aluminum industry and fluctuating commodity any of which could cause the Company's actual results to differ materially from those expressed in forward-looking activity, or difficulties encountered in connection with acquisitions, dispositions or similar transactions fluctuations in the relative cost of certain raw materials and energy compared to the price of primary aluminum and looking statements are statements about future, not past, events and involve certain important risks and uncertainties, "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-The presentation and comments made by Noranda's management on the quarterly conference call contain

costs, expenditures, cash flows, growth rates and financial results or to the Company's expectations regarding future strategy, plans or intentions. All statements Noranda makes relating to its estimated and projected earnings, margins, on which they are made and which reflect management's current estimates, projections, expectations or beliefs forward-looking statement as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as otherwise required by industry trends are forward-looking statements. Noranda undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise any "approximately," "intends," "plans," "estimates," or "anticipates" or similar expressions that relate to Noranda's law. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date Forward-looking statements contain words such as "believes," "expects," "may," "should," "seeks,"

Quarterly Report on From 10-Q. Company's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including its Annual Report on Form 10-K and For a discussion of additional risks and uncertainties that may affect the future results of Noranda, please see the

2nd Quarter 2012 Financial Highlights

Results Overview

- Our 2Q results and 3Q, 4Q outlook track previously communicated 2012 expectations
- We believe demand fundamentals support return to equilibrium price
- We are maintaining our focus on longterm value creation while taking prudent actions to manage through the current price environment.

Financial Highlights

- Excluding special items, diluted EPS— \$0.11
- Total segment profit—\$49.1 million
- Cash used by operating activities— \$1.9 million
- Cash and available revolver— \$216.1 million
- Net Cash Cost—\$0.73 per pound
- Declared \$0.04 per share dividend

2nd Quarter 2012 Results Overview



4

2nd Quarter 2012 Shipments



С

Demand Fundamentals Support Return to Equilibrium Price **Global Supply Factors**

- World production/consumption balance⁽¹⁾
- 0.2 million MT deficit for 2Q-12
- 0.9 million MT surplus for LTM 2Q-12
- Curtailments and disruptions since 3Q-11⁽¹⁾
- 1.6 million MT curtailed; 1.8 million MT disrupted
- LME warehouse inventories—4.8 million MT⁽²⁾
- Cost factors
- T Projected range of increase in marginal cost of production from '12 to '13—5% to $10\%^{(1)}$
- 1 Global average power tariffs CAGR through 2014— 6.7%⁽¹⁾

Macroeconomic Factors

Snapshot of Aluminum and Noranda Fundamentals

- Sovereign debt issues in Europe
- Growth rate for China's economy
- "Fiscal cliff" in the United States

•

Demand Factors and Consensus LME Forecasts

- Demand fundamentals
- Projected consumption CAGR through 2016⁽¹⁾
- Global—6.1% with China; 3.9% outside China
- United States—4.0%
- CRU LME cash price forecast (\$ per lb)⁽¹⁾
- \$1.06 for 2013; \$1.14 for 2014; \$1.20 for 2015

1

Noranda

- Demand
- 1 Value-added and fabricated capabilities
- 1 Integration into downstream = security of demand
- Cost
- Second quartile upstream assets
- 1 Smelter power contract through May 2020; curve current rates near middle of global power cost
- Integrated upstream supply chain provides security of supply and ability to manage costs
- 1 Passionate focus on productivity

2nd Quarter 2012 Productivity



nnage – a mar fans diwaled 2014 militer af die Stad Stad Stad. Sterne of Store		u an	ana ana amin'ny fisiana		na aistii aista sissii sa daalaa aasa	udiné un anglo al an ainm coma inter Edwik
2 nd Quarter	2012	Segme	nt Resi	ults		
	Total segment profit	Corporate costs	Flat-Rolled Products segment profit	Integrated upstream segment profit		Segment Profit Summary
	\$88.5	(7.2)	16.2	\$79.5	Q2 2011	
	\$44.6	(8.7)	14.5	\$38.8	Q1 2012	
00	\$49.1	(6.3)	14.6	\$40.8	Q2 2012	

Upstream Segment Profit				
	Q2 2011	Q1	Q1 2012	Q2 2012
Average realized Midwest transaction price	\$ 1.26 \$		1.05 \$	\$ 1.01
Net Cash Cost	0.70		0.78	0.73
Integrated upstream margin per pound	\$ 0.56		0.27	\$ 0.27 \$ 0.28
Total Primary Aluminum segment shipments	144.0	14	142.2	146.2
Integrated upstream segment profit	\$ 79.5	\$ 38.8	8.8	\$ 40.8

2nd Quarter 2012 Segment Results

2nd Quarter 2012 Cash Cost Performance



Cash Cost Performance

Bridge of Segment Profit to Net Income, Excluding Special Items

	Q2 2011	Q1 2012	Q2 2012
Segment profit	\$ 88.5	\$44.6	\$ 49.1
LIFO/LCM	(9.1)	4.9	(0.6)
Other recurring non-cash items	(2.3)	(1.3)	(4.3)
EBITDA, excluding special items	77.1	48.2	44.2
Depreciation and amortization	(24.5)	(22.9)	(23.7)
Interest expense, net	(5.5)	(6.5)	(8.8)
Pre-tax income, excluding special items	47.1	18.8	11.7
Income tax expense	(15.7)	(6.1)	(3.9)
Net income, excluding special items	\$ 31.4	\$12.7	\$ 7.8

2nd Quarter 2012 Segment Profit Bridge

2nd Quarter 2012 Financial Management Review



iquidity and Capitalization Highlights

⁽¹⁾ Represents \$165 million available borrowing capacity under the revolving credit plus cash at June 30, 2012 of \$51 million

5

Investing in Long-Term Value Creation Projects

Rod Mill

- Investment—\$45 million
- Deliverable—state-of-the art mill to produce redraw rod used in electrical wire and cable applications
- Location—specific location in US to be determined
- Value proposition—LME independent; ~40% increase in rod volume annually once fully operational; increase productivity
- Segment effected—Primary Aluminum
- Timing—Majority of spend to occur from early 2013 to early 2015; fully operational in 2015, with some production beginning in 2014

Port Expansion

- Investment—\$11 million
- Deliverable—dredge harbor at Port Rhodes; builds on infrastructure improvements and dredging performed in late 2010-early 2011.
- Location—Port Rhodes
- Value proposition—LME independent; decrease alumina shipping costs; increase productivity
- Segments effected—Alumina, Bauxite
- Timing—Spending to occur from late 2012 to late 2013

Key Takeaways



1) Our 2Q results and 2H outlook track previously communicated 2012 expectations

- Second quarter demand was solid for our value added primary and flat-rolled aluminum products
- I Third quarter demand also appears strong; too soon to tell about fourth quarter seasonality
- At 73 cents for quarter and 75 cents year-to-date, cash cost is tracking 2012 expectations

Third quarter cash cost bears full impact of peak power surcharge in New Madrid



We believe demand fundamentals support return to equilibrium price

- Production and consumption are in balance globally, with certain regions in deficit
- I Global macroeconomic conditions may continue to have negative impact on price into early 2013



- Moving forward with 2 capital projects totaling \$56 million investment; projects' value-creation independent of LME
- 1 Year-to-date, CORE has produced \$31 million of productivity gains
- I CORE program supplement by incremental actions to reduce discretionary spending

2nd Quarter 2012 Takeaways

Non-GAAP Measure: Disclaimer

not be comparable to similarly titled non-GAAP financial measures used by other companies. comparing our performance to our peers. However, our non-GAAP financial measures may that these measures are helpful to investors in measuring our financial performance and This presentation contains non-GAAP financial measures as defined by SEC rules. We think

exhibit to the Current Report on Form 8-K to which this presentation is also an exhibit. directly comparable U.S. GAAP measure is available in the Press Release included as an disclose any non-GAAP financial measures, a reconciliation of each measure to the most considered in isolation or as a substitute for U.S. GAAP financial measures. To the extent we As such, this presentation should be read in conjunction with our Press Release These non-GAAP financial measures have limitations as an analytical tool and should not be



Data Information Request From Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE MPSC Case No. ER-2012-0166

Requested From:	Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers
Requested By:	Russ Mitten
Date of Request:	July 25, 2012

Information Requested:

Please provide copies of all annual and multi-year budgets and financial projections that Noranda has prepared for the New Madrid Smelter that encompass any or all of the years 2012 through 2015. Please identify all assumptions regarding Ameren Missouri's electric rates that were made and included in each such budget or financial projection.

Response:

The attached information provided to Union Electric Company in response to the above Data Information Request is accurate and complete and contains no material misrepresentations or omissions, based upon present facts of which the undersigned has knowledge, information or belief. The undersigned agrees to immediately inform Union Electric Company if, during the pendency of Case No. ER-2012-0166 before the Commission, any matters are discovered which would materially affect the accuracy or completeness of the attached information.

If these data are voluminous, please (1) identify the relevant documents and their location; (2) make arrangements with requestor to have documents available for inspection at a location mutually agreeable. Where identification of a document is requested, briefly describe the document (e.g., book, letter, memorandum, report) and state the following information as applicable for the particular document: name, title, number, author, date of publication and publisher, addresses, date written, and the name and address of the person(s) having possession of the document. As used in this Data Request, the term "document(s)" includes publication of any format, workpapers, letters, memoranda, notes, reports, analyses, computer analyses, test results, studies or data recordings, transcriptions and printed, typed or written materials of every kind in your possession, custody or control within your knowledge. The pronoun "you" or "your" refers to the person identified in the "Requested From" block above and all other employees, contractors, agents or others employed by or acting on behalf of the organization, group or governmental unit associated with that person. When used with respect to a natural person, "identify" means state his or her name, address, telephone number, current employer, job title, and current work telephone number.

Rest	oonse Provided By:	Date:	

Data Information Request From Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE MPSC Case No. ER-2012-0166

Requested From: Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers Requested By: Russ Mitten Date of Request: July 25, 2012

Information Requested:

Please provide a detailed description of how the \$38MM in authorized capital, which is referred to at pages 8-9 of Mr. Smith's pre-filed direct testimony, will be used by Noranda to improve New Madrid's electrical efficiency. Please identify the time period over which Noranda expects to expend or invest this capital.

Response:

- Attached is the original announcement made on September 3rd, 2010 (see "Governor Jay Nixon Announces New Madrid Smelter Expansion" September 3rd, 2010)
- o From Noranda's 10Q (copy attached):
 - "During late 2010, we re-launched a project at our New Madrid smelter to improve operating reliability and to expand aluminum production capacity by approximately 35 million pounds. With a remaining cost of \$38.0 million at the time it was re-launched, the project involves a combination of additional rectifiers and upgraded equipment allowing for increased amperage and more efficient utilization of electricity. We spent \$2.7 million on the project during the six months ended June 30, 2012, and have spent \$10.3 million since relaunching the project in late 2010. We anticipate the remaining capital spending to be incurred primarily in 2012 and 2013. Reliability improvements are being achieved as rectifiers and equipment upgrades are installed. We expect to achieve increases in production levels beginning in 2014. "

Response Provided By Diana Vuylsteke. Date: August 15, 2012

Print Page | Close Window

News Release

Governor Jay Nixon Announces New Madrid Smelter Expansion

Noranda relaunches with a \$38 million capital investment and \$1 million award from state of Missouri

NEW MADRID, Mo., Sep 03, 2010 (BUSINESS WIRE) -- Noranda Aluminum Holding Corporation ("Noranda") and Missouri Governor Jay Nixon announced that Noranda's New Madrid aluminum smelter has initiated steps to complete a \$38 million capital project. The project will infuse more than \$20 million into the local economy during construction. Noranda expects the project to increase the smelter's annual metal production by approximately 35 million pounds by 2013.

Governor Nixon also announced an additional \$1 million in economic development support for Noranda's New Madrid operations, bringing the total economic development package to \$9 million.

"Noranda's plan to complete its \$38 million expansion program is positive news for Southeast Missouri," said Governor Jay Nixon. "This is my third visit to Noranda's New Madrid smelter, and I am thrilled at the continued reports of growth and expansion. The State of Missouri values Noranda's presence, which provides jobs to more than 850 Southeast Missouri citizens. It is my pleasure to deliver this latest \$1 million installment of an economic development package totaling \$9 million."

"Our New Madrid smelter is critical to building a sustainable, integrated aluminum company founded on growth and successful long-term relationships with our customers, co-workers, suppliers, communities and investors," said Layle K. "Kip" Smith, Noranda's President and CEO. "We appreciate the continued contributions of Governor Nixon and the State of Missouri in supporting our employees and strengthening the sustainability of our operations in New Madrid."

In mid-2008, Noranda launched a \$48 million capital project to increase production capacity at the New Madrid smelter. In early-2009, as a result of declining market conditions, the Company suspended the New Madrid expansion program. Today's announcement marks the re-launch of that program.

About Noranda

Noranda Aluminum Holding Corporation is a leading North American integrated producer of value-added primary aluminum products, as well as high quality rolled aluminum coils. Noranda is a public company (NYSE: NOR) controlled by affiliates of its private equity sponsor.

Noranda is the largest employer in the Southeast Region of Missouri, comprising 15 counties, including New Madrid County. Noranda's New Madrid aluminum smelter has annual production capacity of approximately 580 million pounds (263,000 metric tonnes), which represented more than 15% of total 2009 U.S. primary aluminum production.

Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This press release contains "forward-looking statements." In some cases, you can identify these statements by forward-looking words such as "may," "might," "will," "should," "expect," "plan," "anticipate," "believe," "estimate," "predict," "potential" or "continue," the negative of these terms and other comparable terminology. These statements are not historical facts but instead represent only the Company's belief regarding future results, many of which, by their nature, are inherently uncertain and outside of the Company's control. It is possible that actual results may differ, possibly materially, from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements. For a discussion of some of the risks and important factors that could affect future results, see the discussion in our reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

SOURCE: Noranda Aluminum Holding Corporation

forNoranda Aluminum Holding Corporation Press inquiries: April Lassiter, 310-924-9249 april@mediakreativ.com

or All other inquiries: Robert Mahoney, Chief Financial Officer, 615-771-5752 robert.mahoney@noralinc.com

Data Information Request From Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE MPSC Case No. ER-2012-0166

Requested From:	Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers
Requested By:	Russ Mitten
Date of Request:	July 25, 2012

Information Requested:

At page 3 of his pre-filed direct testimony, Mr. Smith states that "[e]lectricity is the single largest operating cost at the New Madrid Smelter, constituting about one-third of its overall cost of producing primary aluminum." In rank order, what are the second through fifth largest elements of operating cost for producing primary aluminum at the New Madrid Smelter, and what percentage of total operating costs does each element represent? Please provide a detailed description of the steps that Noranda has taken in the last two years, and any additional steps it plans to take through 2015, to control the elements of the cost of producing aluminum other than electricity.

Response:

The attached information provided to Union Electric Company in response to the above Data Information Request is accurate and complete and contains no material misrepresentations or omissions, based upon present facts of which the undersigned has knowledge, information or belief. The undersigned agrees to immediately inform Union Electric Company if, during the pendency of Case No. ER-2012-0166 before the Commission, any matters are discovered which would materially affect the accuracy or completeness of the attached information.

If these data are voluminous, please (1) identify the relevant documents and their location; (2) make arrangements with requestor to have documents available for inspection at a location mutually agreeable. Where identification of a document is requested, briefly describe the document (e.g., book, letter, memorandum, report) and state the following information as applicable for the particular document: name, title, number, author, date of publication and publisher, addresses, date written, and the name and address of the person(s) having possession of the document. As used in this Data Request, the term "document(s)" includes publication of any format, workpapers, letters, memoranda, notes, reports, analyses, computer analyses, test results, studies or data recordings, transcriptions and printed, typed or written materials of every kind in your possession, custody or control within your knowledge. The pronoun "you" or "your" refers to the person identified in the "Requested From" block above and all other employees, contractors, agents or others employed by or acting on behalf of the organization, group or governmental unit associated with that person. When used with respect to a natural person, "identify" means state his or her name, address, telephone number, current employer, job title, and current work telephone number.

Response Provided By:

Data Information Request From Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE MPSC Case No. ER-2012-0166

Requested From:	Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers
Requested By:	Russ Mitten
Date of Request:	July 25, 2012

Information Requested:

Does Noranda believe its New Madrid Smelter has any cost advantages over other domestic aluminum smelters in the United States? If the answer to the preceding question is anything other than an unqualified "no," please identify, describe in detail, and quantify each of those cost advantages.

Response:

The attached information provided to Union Electric Company in response to the above Data Information Request is accurate and complete and contains no material misrepresentations or omissions, based upon present facts of which the undersigned has knowledge, information or belief. The undersigned agrees to immediately inform Union Electric Company if, during the pendency of Case No. ER-2012-0166 before the Commission, any matters are discovered which would materially affect the accuracy or completeness of the attached information.

If these data are voluminous, please (1) identify the relevant documents and their location; (2) make arrangements with requestor to have documents available for inspection at a location mutually agreeable. Where identification of a document is requested, briefly describe the document (e.g., book, letter, memorandum, report) and state the following information as applicable for the particular document: name, title, number, author, date of publication and publisher, addresses, date written, and the name and address of the person(s) having possession of the document. As used in this Data Request, the term "document(s)" includes publication of any format, workpapers, letters, memoranda, notes, reports, analyses, computer analyses, test results, studies or data recordings, transcriptions and printed, typed or written materials of every kind in your possession, custody or control within your knowledge. The pronoun "you" or "your" refers to the person identified in the "Requested From" block above and all other employees, contractors, agents or others employed by or acting on behalf of the organization, group or governmental unit associated with that person. When used with respect to a natural person, "identify" means state his or her name, address, telephone number, current employer, job title, and current work telephone number.

Response Provided By: _____ Date: _____

Data Information Request From Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE MPSC Case No. ER-2012-0166

Requested From:	Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers
Requested By:	Russ Mitten
Date of Request:	July 25, 2012

Information Requested:

Has Noranda performed any studies or analyses or has it otherwise investigated the possibility of self-generating the electricity needed at the New Madrid Smelter or of obtaining that electricity from a source other than Ameren Missouri? If the answer to the preceding question is anything other than an unqualified "no," please provide copies of all such studies, analyses, and investigations, and all documents related to them, for the period January 1, 2007, through the present date.

Response:

The attached information provided to Union Electric Company in response to the above Data Information Request is accurate and complete and contains no material misrepresentations or omissions, based upon present facts of which the undersigned has knowledge, information or belief. The undersigned agrees to immediately inform Union Electric Company if, during the pendency of Case No. ER-2012-0166 before the Commission, any matters are discovered which would materially affect the accuracy or completeness of the attached information.

If these data are voluminous, please (1) identify the relevant documents and their location; (2) make arrangements with requestor to have documents available for inspection at a location mutually agreeable. Where identification of a document is requested, briefly describe the document (e.g., book, letter, memorandum, report) and state the following information as applicable for the particular document: name, title, number, author, date of publication and publisher, addresses, date written, and the name and address of the person(s) having possession of the document. As used in this Data Request, the term "document(s)" includes publication of any format, workpapers, letters, memoranda, notes, reports, analyses, computer analyses, test results, studies or data recordings, transcriptions and printed, typed or written materials of every kind in your possession, custody or control within your knowledge. The pronoun "you" or "your" refers to the person identified in the "Requested From" block above and all other employees, contractors, agents or others employed by or acting on behalf of the organization, group or governmental unit associated with that person. When used with respect to a natural person, "identify" means state his or her name, address, telephone number, current employer, job title, and current work telephone number.

Response Provided By:	Date:	



Diana M. Vuylsteke Direct: 314-259-2543 Fax: 314-259-2020 dnwuylsteke@bryancave.com

August 2, 2012

VIA E-MAIL

L. Russell Mitten Brydon, Swearengen & England, P.C. 312 East Capitol Drive Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

Re: Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers' Objection to Ameren Missouri Data Requests, Case No. ER-2012-0166

Dear Russ:

This letter provides the Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers' (MIEC) objections to the Ameren Missouri's Data Requests 9, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18, 19 and 20 dated July 25, 2012.

1. MIEC objects to the Data Requests to the extent that the responses sought are overly broad, and the Data Requests are unduly burdensome, oppressive, and such responses would be cost-prohibitive, impracticable and/or impossible.

2. MIEC objects to the Data Requests on the basis that they seek information that is neither relevant, material, nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in Case No. ER-2012-0166.

3. MIEC objects to these Data Requests on the basis that they seek information and documents protected from discovery by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, or any other privilege or doctrine.

4. MIEC objects to these Data Requests on the basis that they seek information that is a trade secret, commercially sensitive, or confidential financial information, the release of which may be injurious.

5. MIEC objects to the Data Requests on the basis it is overbroad, vague, ambiguous, confusing, or fails to describe the information sought with sufficient clarity or specificity to enable responsive answers.

Bryan Cave LLP One Matropolitan Square 211 North Broadway Suite 3600 St. Louis, MO 63102-2750 Tel (314) 259-2000 Fax (314) 259-2020 www.bryancave.com

Bryan Cave Offices

Atlanta Charlotte Chicago Dallas Hamburg Hong Kong Irvine **Jefferson City** Kansas City London Los Angeles New York Paris Phoenix San Francisco Shanghai Singapore St. Louis Washington, DC

Bryan Cave International Consulting

A TRACE CONSULTING SUBSIDIARY OF NON-LAWYER PROFESSIONALS

www.bryancaveconsulting.com Bengkok Beijing Jakarta Kuala Lumpur Manila Shanghai Singapore Tokyp

Bryan Cave LLP

Russ Mitten August 2, 2012 Page 2

Sincerely,

/s/ Diana M. Vuylsteke

Diana M. Vuylsteke