

August 01, 2009 Additional Information WC-2009-0277

I would like the Commission to have this information.

5. A substantial portion of those two (2) days were spent in recess to allow Complainant every opportunity to present his case to the Commission. Then on June 10, 2009, Complainant rested his case-in-chief, with the exception that he would be permitted to submit a written summary of the repairs made by MAWC to its infrastructure in his neighborhood in accordance with a scheduling order entered by the Commission. The Commission continued the evidentiary hearing to a later date which was to be scheduled pursuant to the availability of the parties.

Thanks for the opportunity to address these issues. I have been diagnosed with a biological toxin in my body called Trichothecene. The way I understand it Trichothecene this is water soluble and passes easily across the blood brain barrier. This has greatly affected my cognitive ability. I used to be able to add and subtract numbers in my head very easily. This chemical affected me so much that I could not even do simple arithmetic on paper much less organize. I am cognitively impaired. Many tasks that I have performed easily through out my life have become impossible. Organizing information is one of many tasks that I have difficulty with. I apologize for the disruption this has caused the Commission. I am not cognitively able to write a summery right now. I did the best I could with this complaint with the health problems that I have.

I also understand that recurring exposures to these mold toxins can cause my body to go into anaphylactic shock causing seizures and possibly death. I just don't believe the seriousness of this water issue is understood.

I did not present the health information to "discuss my health problems" Only to shed some light on the seriousness of this and all water leak issues. For anyone that wishes to learn, I will attach chapter 34 of the Chemical and Biological Weapons Manual which talks about Trichothecene.

I know very little about civil procedure and with a cognitive impairment now is not the time for me to learn. I just can't get it, my ability to assimilate new knowledge is greatly diminished along with awareness and foresight. Participating in this hearing took a huge toll on my health and took weeks for me to recover from the set back. My health and life have become unmanageable all because of some water. It was for health reasons that I chose to not continue in this hearing. Last week after several doctors visits I got some medicine and this week I feel a little better than I have in a long while.

If staff has no test equipment to determine the origin of the water how can they determine it is not coming from a MAWC pipe? I repeatedly told Mr Busch and Mr. Reed about the basement at 1201 McQuay filling with water. Below is an excerpt an email to Mr. Busch reiterating the many times that I told him about the basement filling with water at 1201 McQuay. As this shows it was also communicated to him in writing. Why does Mr Busch and staff continue to ignore or refuse to consider information in his investigation.

On May 01, 2009 when MAWC and Staff visited my neighborhood I took Matt Noce, Sam Richie, Steven Reed and Derick Liman to the storm drain in front of 1322 Red River Dr.. I removed the lid and showed them the water flowing through this storm drain. I wonder if anyone bothered to test this water? From the negative comments I received when they looked into this storm drain it would surprise me if any testing was performed. Probably not one of these people would crawl down in that storm drain to get a sample. This look the other way or bury our head in the sand when important information is presented seems to be the flavor of this entire investigation. If the test was performed and it was negative surly we would have seen the results.

Here is the excerpt from a email I sent to Mr. Busch and cc to Janson Thomas, Jack Baker, Natelle Dietrich, and Steven Reed on Monday March 23, 2009

You also have the information about the neighboring house on McQuay that had nearly six feet of water in the basement that was pumped out and then another four feet of water returned.

I told Mr Busch about this problem at least five times, I told Mr. Reed about this problem at least twice when he visited my property. I also sent it in writing. How can Staff deny this information? This selective exclusion of facts began with Mr. Hummel when in our first conversation he refused to acknowledge the water flowing out of the ground in the neighborhood and it continues to this day. What is the purpose of this?

On May 07,2009 I received an email from from Sam Richie subject line said "Staff Responses to Requests for Information" this had two word documents attached to it. Named " Rob Lee - 1st request for info" and "Rob Lee - 2nd Request for info." This email came addressed to me only, without any cc. I can not find where this response was ever posted on EFIS. It seems like an attorney from staff sending me the plaintiff and only the plaintiff a response to discovery requests violates the rules for discovery, does it not? I can forward the email I received if you provide me with an email address.

I believe I have finally realized something that was said to me at the hearing. That the Commission needs to hear about where the water system is leaking today. I recently contacted DNR to once again test the water. Please see the latest test results which clearly show chlorine in the water at each place water is leaking from the ground.

I believe I figured out why DNR always got negative readings for chlorine. It is my recollection that every time I called DNR to test the water, water was running into my basement. That means a high water table. The high water table water (MAWC water mixed with rain water) probably diluted the water main water running out of the ground enough to consume the chlorine in it. Why am I (a citizen left to figure this out on my own?) Where are the professionals and experts from MAWC and staff that are suppose figure this out and protect me? Why have they not figured this out in several years.

I find it odd that MAWC has not done testing on this water running out of the ground in these places, in their state of the art laboratory. Surly if they tested this water and the results were negative they would have included them in this hearing.

I will attach the latest test results from DNR. I understand chlorine does not occur naturally. I believe these test results qualify as my burden of proof. (I finally get it)

I may have been wrong one time. These test results show what I have known all along.

Sincerely

Rob Lee