Exhibit No: Issue: Depreciation Witness: William W. Dunkel Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony Case No.: WR-2008-0311 Date Testimony Prepared: August 18, 2008

### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

### OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Missouri-American Water	)	
Company's Request for Authority to Implement	)	Case No. WR-2008-0311
a General Rate Increase for Water and Sewer	)	
Service Provided in Missouri Service Areas	)	

## DIRECT TESTIMONY AND SCHEDULES

OF

## WILLIAM W DUNKEL

## ON BEHALF OF

# OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC COUNSEL

# OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Missouri-American Water Company's Request for Authority to Implement a General Rate Increase for Water and Sewer Service Provided in Missouri Service Areas

Case No. WR-2008-0311

### **AFFIDAVIT OF WILLIAM DUNKEL**

COUNTY OF SANGAMON ) ) STATE OF ILLINOIS )

SS

William Dunkel, of lawful age and being first duly sworn, deposes and states:

1. My name is William Dunkel. I am a Consultant for the Office of the Public Counsel.

2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my direct testimony.

3. I hereby swear and affirm that my statements contained in the attached testimony are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

William Dunkel

Consultant

Subscribed and sworn to me this  $14^{-th}$  day of August 2008.

Notary Public

My commission expires 2/27/2010.

OFFICIAL SEAL Sarah J. Williams Notary Public, State of Illinois My Commission Exp. 02/27/2010

Direct Testimony of William W. Dunkel Case WR-2008-0311

	euse n		
1	Q.	Please state your name and address.	
2	А.	My name is William W. Dunkel. My business add	ress is 8625 Farmington Cemetery
3		Road, Pleasant Plains Illinois, 62677.	
4	Q.	What is your present occupation?	
5	А.	I am the principal of William Dunkel and Associat	es, which was established in 1980.
6		Since that time, I have regularly provided consultir	ng services in utility regulatory
7		proceedings throughout the country. I have participation	pated in over 200 state regulatory
8		proceedings before over one-half of the state comm	nissions in the United States. I have
9		participated in utility regulatory proceedings for ow	ver 25 years.
10	Q.	Have you prepared an appendix that describes	your qualifications?
11	А.	Yes. My qualifications, including a list of Missour	ri proceedings in which I participated,
12		are shown on Appendix A.	
13	Q.	Have you previously testified in Missouri?	
14	А.	Yes, the previous Missouri proceedings I have part	icipated in are listed below.
15 16 17	-	Empire District Electric Company Depreciation rates AmerenUE	ER-2008-0093
18 19	-	Electric rate proceeding Southwestern Bell	ER-2007-0002
20 21 22 23 24 25 26	_	General rate proceeding General rate proceeding General rate proceeding General rate proceeding General rate proceeding Alternative Regulation United Telephone Company	TR-79-213 TR-80-256 TR-82-199 TR-86-84 TC-89-14, et al. TC-93-224/TO-93-192
27 28	-	Depreciation proceeding All companies	TR-93-181
29	l	Extended Area Service	TO-86-8

Direct Testimony of William W. Dunkel Case WR-2008-0311

1 2		EMS investigation Cost of Access Proceeding	TO-87-131 TR-2001-65	
3	Q.	On whose behalf are you providing testimony?		
4	А.	I am providing this Testimony on behalf of the Offi	ice of the Public Counsel of the State	
5		of Missouri (OPC).		
6	Q.	What is the purpose of this testimony?		
7	А.	The purpose of this testimony is to address an issue	pertaining to the application of	
8		depreciation rates in the Company filing in this pro-	ceeding. The depreciation rates used	
9		in the Company filing are the depreciation rates as	stipulated in WR-2007-0216. The	
10		depreciation rates themselves are not addressed in this testimony, but there is an error in		
11		the way the Company applied those depreciation rates.		
12	Q.	In response to discovery, has the Company ackn	owledged an error in their	
13		application of the depreciation rates?		
14	A.	Yes. The Company response to OPC 5012, in which	h the Company acknowledges this	
15		error, is attached as Schedule WWD-1. In response	to OPC 5012(h) the Company agreed	
16		there was an error in the Company filing.		
17		In response to this OPC discovery, the Company al	so stated the amount of that	
17 18		In response to this OPC discovery, the Company all appropriate depreciation expense adjustment, as fol		

Direct Testimony of William W. Dunkel Case WR-2008-0311

### Q. What is the impact of this adjustment?

A. The depreciation expense increase shown in the Company Direct Testimony filing is overstated by \$555,394 as result of this error.<sup>1</sup>

**Q.** Can you provide a brief description of this error?

A. Yes. In calculating the claimed increase in depreciation expense, the Company compared
a "per books" depreciation expense which *did not* contain the depreciation expense of
certain Heavy Duty Transportation and Power Operated equipment, to a "Pro forma"
depreciation expense which *did* include the Heavy Duty Transportation and Power
Operated equipment depreciation expense. The fact that these two sets of numbers were
not comparable resulted in overstating the annual depreciation expense increase by
\$555,394.<sup>2</sup>

## Q. What do you recommend on this issue?

A. A consistent treatment of the Heavy Duty Transportation and Power Operated equipment depreciation expense must be used in both the "per books" and "Pro forma" depreciation expense. I recommend the depreciation expense increase shown in the Company Direct

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Company response to discovery request OPC 5012 used the figures from the Company filing. However the Company's filing included Pro Forma data through September 30, 2008. The impact using known and measurable changes just through March 31, 2008 should be the same or very similar. I will review this figure once data using known and measurable changes only through March 31, 2008 is available.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Company's filing shows a claimed depreciation expense increase of \$6,125,580, as shown on Company Schedule CAS-15, page 22 of 26. This would be reduced to \$5,570,186 if there were no other changes (\$6,125,580-\$555,394=\$5,570,186). However the Company's filing included Pro Forma data through September 30, 2008. It is very likely the numbers from the Company Direct testimony will change when the data is adjusted to include only the "known and measurable" changes through March 31, 2008, but there will still be a \$555,394 difference between including or excluding the Heavy Duty Transportation and Power Operated equipment in the 2007 "per book" figures. OPC has filed a data requests to determine the amount of this adjustment using "known and measurable" changes through March 31, 2008.

Testimony Filing be reduced by \$555,394 to correct this error, in addition to any other
 corrections that the Commission may determine to be appropriate.

# 3 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

4 A. Yes.

#### No. OPC 5012

### DATA INFORMATION REQUEST Missouri-American Water Company WR-2008-0311 & SR-2008-0312

Requested From:Edward J. GrubbDate Requested:7/18/08

#### Information Requested:

Company Schedule CAS-1 page 2 on line 9 shows a "Test Year Ended 12/31/2007" "Operating and Maintenance" amount of \$103,588,719, and on line 10 shows a "Test Year Ended 12/31/2007" Depreciation Expense of \$18,287,030.

- (a) In these "Test Year Ended 12/31/2007" amounts was any part of the depreciation expense for any Transportation Equipment included in the "Operating and Maintenance" expense (as opposed to being included in the Depreciation Expense)?
- (b) In these "Test Year Ended 12/31/2007" amounts was any part of the depreciation expense for any Communications Equipment included in the "Operating and Maintenance" expense (as opposed to being included in the Depreciation Expense)?
- (c) In these "Test Year Ended 12/31/2007" amounts was any part of the depreciation expense for any investments included in the "Operating and Maintenance" expense (as opposed to being included in the Depreciation Expense)?
- (d) If the answer to at least one of parts (a), (b) or (c) is "yes", list the investment account numbers for which some (or all) of the associated depreciation expense was included in the "Operating and Maintenance" expense, and for each such account provide the dollars of depreciation expense that was included in the "Test Year Ended 12/31/2007" "Operating and Maintenance" expense.
- (e) If the answer to at least one of parts (a), (b) or (c) is "yes", list the investment account numbers for which some (or all) of the associated depreciation expense was included in the "Operating and Maintenance" expense, and for each such account provide the dollars of investment for which the associated depreciation expense was included in the "Operating and Maintenance" expense.
- (f) Schedule CAS-15, page 22, shows the Pro Forma depreciation expense of \$24,412,610 on line 29. The "JOP" tab of Company Excel workpaper "Depr Exp" includes certain calculations for Joplin that were included in the ProForma depreciation expense. Please indicate where on "JOP" tab of Company Excel workpaper "Depr Exp" any investments were removed from the ProForma depreciation expense calculation for the investments as discussed in part (e) (the investments in which the depreciation expense was included in the "Operating and Maintenance" expense).
- (g) Schedule CAS-15, page 22, shows the ProForma depreciation expense of \$24,412,610 on line 29. Please state the dollar amount of depreciation expense that was excluded from this figure because it is properly included in the "Operating and Maintenance" expense. Explain where in the calculations this amount was excluded, and provide the workpapers which show the exclusion of this amount.
- (h) Does the company agree that an adjustment to the ProForma depreciation expense of figure of \$24,412,610 is appropriate in order to exclude the depreciation expense that is included in the "Operating and Maintenance" expense?
- (i) If the response to part (h) is "no", explain why not and provide the documents and workpapers that support the response.

#### **Missouri-American Water Company** WR-2008-0311 and SR-2008-0312 **OPC Data Request OPC 5012** Page 2

(j) If the response to part (h) is "yes", provide the dollar amount of the adjustment to the ProForma depreciation expense of figure of \$24,412,610, and provide the documents and workpapers that support the response.

Requested By: Bill Dunkel - Office of Public Counsel - WilliamDunkel@lycos.com

#### Information Provided:

- (a) Yes.
- (b) No.
- (c) No.
- (d) See following table:

Account Number	Account Description	12/31/07 Plant Balance	2007 O&M expense
170205.101000.341200	Transp. Equip. – Heavy Duty	\$4,136,718	\$ 472,859
170205.101000.345000	Power Operated Equipment	\$ 818,723	\$ 82,535

Note: In May 2007, depreciation expense and reserve balances were converted to the Company's Power Plant software. Prior to May 2007, depreciation was calculated in the JDE system by individual accounts but that level of detail is no longer available. Actual depreciation expense charged to O&M using the Power Plant system is identifiable by account for the last seven months of 2007. The first five months of transportation depreciation transferred to O&M expense was allocated between the two accounts above, based on actual expense for the seven months ended December 31, 2007.

- (e) See table in (d) above.
- (f) Not Applicable.
- (g) Not Applicable.
- (h) Yes.
- (i) Not Applicable.
- (j) \$ 555,394. This adjustment was not made due to an oversight during the preparation of the Company's filing. Workpapers supporting an appropriate adjustment are embodied in the table in (d) above.

Hyperlink:

amoulter a Signed ByDate Response Provided: 8-608

Prepared By: James Yuan

Appendix A Page 1 of 13

<u>William Dunkel, Consultant</u> 8625 Farmington Cemetery Road Pleasant Plains, Illinois 62677

### Qualifications

The Consultant is a consulting engineer specializing in utility regulatory proceedings. He has participated in over 200 state regulatory proceedings as listed on the attached Relevant Work Experience.

The Consultant has provided cost analysis, rate design, jurisdictional separations, depreciation, expert testimony and other related services to state agencies throughout the country in numerous state regulatory proceedings.

The Consultant made a presentation pertaining to Video Dial Tone at the NASUCA 1993 Mid-Year Meeting held in St. Louis.

In addition, the Consultant also made a presentation to the NARUC Subcommittee on Economics and Finance at the NARUC Summer Meetings held in July, 1992. That presentation was entitled "The Reason the Industry Wants to Eliminate Cost Based Regulation--Telecommunications is a Declining Cost Industry."

The Consultant provides services almost exclusively to public agencies, including the Public Utilities Commission, the Public Counsel, or the State Department of Administration in various states.

William Dunkel currently provides, or in the past has provided, services in state utility regulatory proceedings to the following clients:

The Public Utility Commission or the Staffs in the States of:

Arkansas	Maryland
Arizona	Mississippi
Delaware	Missouri
D.C.	New Mexico
Georgia	Utah
Guam	Virginia
Illinois	Washington
Kansas	U.S. Virgin Islands

The Office of the Public Advocate, or its equivalent, in the States of:

Alaska	Maine
California	Maryland
Colorado	Missouri
District of Columbia	New Jersey
Georgia	New Mexico
Hawaii	Ohio
Illinois	Pennsylvania
Indiana	Utah
Iowa	Washington

The Department of Administration in the States of:

Illinois	South Dakota
Minnesota	Wisconsin

The Consultant graduated from the University of Illinois in February, 1970 with a Bachelor of Science Degree in Engineering Physics with emphasis on economics and other business-related subjects. The Consultant has taken several post-graduate courses since graduation.

From 1970 to 1974, the Consultant was a design engineer for Sangamo Electric Company (Sangamo was later purchased by Schlumberger) designing electric watt-hour meters used in the electric utility industry. The Consultant was granted patent No. 3822400 for a solid state meter pulse initiator which was used in metering.

In April, 1974, the Consultant was employed by the Illinois Commerce Commission in the Electric Section as a Utility Engineer. In November of 1975, he transferred to the Telephone Section of the Illinois Commerce Commission and from that time until July, 1980, he participated in essentially all telephone rate cases and other telephone rate matters that were set for hearing in the State of Illinois. During that period, he testified as an expert witness in numerous rate design cases and tariff filings in the areas of rate design, cost studies and separations. During the period 1975-1980, he was the Separations and Settlements expert for the Staff of the Illinois Commerce Commission.

From July, 1977 until July, 1980, he was a Staff member of the FCC-State Joint Board on Separations, concerning the "Impact of Customer Provision of Terminal Equipment on Jurisdictional Separations" in FCC Docket No. 20981 on behalf of the Illinois Commerce Commission. The FCC-State Joint Board is the national board that specifies the rules for separations in the telephone industry.

Appendix A Page 3 of 13

The Consultant has taken the AT&T separations school which is normally provided to the AT&T personnel.

The Consultant has taken the General Telephone separations school which is normally provided for training of the General Telephone Company personnel in separations.

The Consultant has completed an advanced depreciation program entitled "Forecasting Life and Salvage" offered by Depreciation Programs, Inc.

Mr. Dunkel is a senior member of the Society of Depreciation Professionals.

Since July 1980 he has been regularly employed as an independent consultant in state utility regulatory proceedings across the nation.

He has testified before the Illinois House of Representatives Subcommittee on Communications, as well as participated in numerous other schools and conferences pertaining to the utility industry.

# Appendix A Page 4 of 13

## RELEVANT WORK EXPERIENCE OF WILLIAM DUNKEL

# ALASKA

/ L/ L		
-	AWWU	Docket No. U-08-004
-	Enstar Natural Gas Company	Docket No. U-07-174
-	ML&P	Docket No. U-06-006
-	ACS of Anchorage	Docket No. U-01-34
-	ACS	
	General rate case	Docket Nos. U-01-83, U-01-85, U-01-87
	AFOR proceeding	Docket No. R-03-003
-	All Companies	
	Access charge proceeding	Docket No. R-01-001
-	Interior Telephone Company	Docket No. U-07-75
-	OTZ Telephone Cooperative	Docket No. U-03-85
ARIZ	ONA	
-	U.S. West Communications (Qwest)	Cost of Service Study
	Wholesale cost/UNE case	Docket No. T-00000A-00-0194
	General rate case	Docket No. E-1051-93-183
	Depreciation case	Docket No. T-01051B-97-0689
	General rate case/AFOR proceeding	Docket No. T-01051B-99-0105
	AFOR proceeding	Docket No. T-01051B-03-0454
ARK	ANSAS	
-	Southwestern Bell Telephone Company	Docket No. 83-045-U
CALI	FORNIA	
(on be	ehalf of the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA))	
-	Kerman Telephone General Rate Case	A.02-01-004
(on be	ehalf of the California Cable Television Association)	
-	General Telephone of California	I.87-11-033
-	Pacific Bell	
	Fiber Beyond the Feeder Pre-Approval	
	Requirement	
COLO	ORADO	
-	Mountain Bell Telephone Company	
	General Rate Case	Docket No. 96A-218T et al.
	Call Trace Case	Docket No. 92S-040T

Appendix A Page 5 of 13

Caller ID Case	Docket No. 91A-462T
General Rate Case	Docket No. 90S-544T
Local Calling Area Case	Docket No. 1766
General Rate Case	Docket No. 1720
General Rate Case	Docket No. 1700
General Rate Case	Docket No. 1655
General Rate Case	Docket No. 1575
Measured Services Case	Docket No. 1620
- Independent Telephone Companies	
Cost Allocation Methods Case	Docket No. 89R-608T
DELAWARE	
- Diamond State Telephone Company	
General Rate Case	PSC Docket No. 82-32
General Rate Case	PSC Docket No. 84-33
Report on Small Centrex	PSC Docket No. 85-32T
General Rate Case	PSC Docket No. 86-20
Centrex Cost Proceeding	PSC Docket No. 86-34
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA	
- C&P Telephone Company of D.C.	
Depreciation issues	Formal Case No. 926
FCC	
- Review of jurisdictional separations	FCC Docket No. 96-45
- Developing a Unified Intercarrier	
Compensation Regime	CC Docket No. 01-92
<u>FLORIDA</u>	
- BellSouth, GTE, and Sprint	
Fair and reasonable rates	Undocketed Special Project
GEORGIA	
- Southern Bell Telephone & Telegraph Co.	
General Rate Proceeding	Docket No. 3231-U
General Rate Proceeding	Docket No. 3465-U
General Rate Proceeding	Docket No. 3286-U
General Rate Proceeding	Docket No. 3393-U

# HAWAII

- GTE Hawaiian Telephone Company

	Depreciation/separations issues Resale case	Docket No. 94-0298 Docket No. 7702
ILLIN	OIS	
-	Commonwealth Edison Company	
	General Rate Proceeding	Docket No. 80-0546
	General Rate Proceeding	Docket No. 82-0026
	Section 50	Docket No. 59008
	Section 55	Docket No. 59064
	Section 50	Docket No. 59314
	Section 55	Docket No. 59704
-	Central Illinois Public Service	
	Section 55	Docket No. 58953
	Section 55	Docket No. 58999
	Section 55	Docket No. 59000
	Exchange of Facilities (Illinois Power)	Docket No. 59497
	General Rate Increase	Docket No. 59784
	Section 55	Docket No. 59677
-	South Beloit	
	General Rate Case	Docket No. 59078
-	Illinois Power	
	Section 55	Docket No. 59281
	Interconnection	Docket No. 59435
-	Verizon North Inc. and Verizon South Inc.	Docket No. 02-0560
	DSL Waiver Petition Proceeding	
-	Geneseo Telephone Company	
	EAS case	Docket No. 99-0412
-	Central Telephone Company	
	(Staunton merger)	Docket No. 78-0595
-	General Telephone & Electronics Co.	
	Usage sensitive service case	Docket Nos. 98-0200/98-0537
	General rate case (on behalf of CUB)	Docket No. 93-0301
	(Usage sensitive rates)	Docket No. 79-0141
	(Data Service)	Docket No. 79-0310
	(Certificate)	Docket No. 79-0499
	(Certificate)	Docket No. 79-0500
-	General Telephone Co.	Docket No. 80-0389
-	SBC	
	Imputation Requirement	Docket No. 04-0461
	Implement UNE Law	Docket No. 03-0323
	UNE Rate Case	Docket No. 02-0864

	Alternative Regulation Review	Docket No. 98-0252
-	Ameritech (Illinois Bell Telephone Company)	De aleat No. 04 0215
	Area code split case	Docket No. 94-0315
	General Rate Case	Docket No. 83-0005
	(Centrex filing)	Docket No. 84-0111
	General Rate Proceeding	Docket No. 81-0478
	(Call Lamp Indicator)	Docket No. 77-0755
	(Com Key 1434)	Docket No. 77-0756
	(Card dialers)	Docket No. 77-0757
	(Concentration Identifier)	Docket No. 78-0005
	(Voice of the People)	Docket No. 78-0028
	(General rate increase)	Docket No. 78-0034
	(Dimension)	Docket No. 78-0086
	(Customer controlled Centrex)	Docket No. 78-0243
	(TAS)	Docket No. 78-0031
	(Ill. Consolidated Lease)	Docket No. 78-0473
	(EAS Inquiry)	Docket No. 78-0531
	(Dispute with GTE)	Docket No. 78-0576
	(WUI vs. Continental Tel.)	Docket No. 79-0041
	(Carle Clinic)	Docket No. 79-0132
	(Private line rates)	Docket No. 79-0143
	(Toll data)	Docket No. 79-0234
	(Dataphone)	Docket No. 79-0237
	(Com Key 718)	Docket No. 79-0365
	(Complaint - switchboard)	Docket No. 79-0380
	(Porta printer)	Docket No. 79-0381
	(General rate case)	Docket No. 79-0438
	(Certificate)	Docket No. 79-0501
	(General rate case)	Docket No. 80-0010
	(Other minor proceedings)	Docket No. various
-	Home Telephone Company	Docket No. 80-0220
-	Northwestern Telephone Company	
	Local and EAS rates	Docket No. 79-0142
	EAS	Docket No. 79-0519
DIDI		
<u>INDI</u>	<u>ANA</u> Indiana Michigan Power Company (I&M)	Cause No. 42959
_	Public Service of Indiana (PSI)	Cuuse 110. 72/3/
	Depreciation issues	Cause No. 39584
-	Indianapolis Power and Light Company	
	Depreciation issues	Cause No. 39938
	r	

# IOWA

-	U S West Communications, Inc.	
	Local Exchange Competition	Docket No. RMU-95-5
	Local Network Interconnection	Docket No. RPU-95-10
	General Rate Case	Docket No. RPU-95-11
KANS	AS	
-	Westar Energy, Inc.	
	General rate proceeding	Docket No. 08-WSEE-1041-RTS
-	Midwest Energy, Inc.	
	General rate proceeding	Docket No. 08-MDWE-594-RTS
-	Atmos Energy Corporation	
	General rate proceeding	Docket No. 08-ATMG-280-RTS
-	Sunflower Electric Power Corporation	
	Depreciation rate study	Docket No. 08-SEPE-257-DRS
-	Southwestern Bell Telephone Company	
	Commission Investigation of the KUSF	Docket No. 98-SWBT-677-GIT
-	Rural Telephone Service Company	
	Audit and General rate proceeding	Docket No. 00-RRLT-083-AUD
	Request for supplemental KUSF	Docket No. 00-RRLT-518-KSF
-	Southern Kansas Telephone Company	
	Audit and General rate proceeding	Docket No. 01-SNKT-544-AUD
-	Pioneer Telephone Company	
	Audit and General rate proceeding	Docket No. 01-PNRT-929-AUD
-	Craw-Kan Telephone Cooperative, Inc.	
	Audit and General rate proceeding	Docket No. 01-CRKT-713-AUD
-	Sunflower Telephone Company, Inc.	
	Audit and General rate proceeding	Docket No. 01-SFLT-879-AUD
-	Bluestem Telephone Company, Inc.	
	Audit and General rate proceeding	Docket No. 01-BSST-878-AUD
-	Home Telephone Company, Inc.	
	Audit and General rate proceeding	Docket No. 02-HOMT-209-AUD
-	Wilson Telephone Company, Inc.	
	Audit and General rate proceeding	Docket No. 02-WLST-210-AUD
-	S&T Telephone Cooperative Association, Inc.	
	Audit and General rate proceeding	Docket No. 02-S&TT-390-AUD
-	Blue Valley Telephone Company, Inc.	
	Audit and General rate proceeding	Docket No. 02-BLVT-377-AUD
-	JBN Telephone Company	
	Audit and General rate proceeding	Docket No. 02-JBNT-846-AUD

Appendix A Page 9 of 13

-	S&A Telephone Company	
	Audit and General rate proceeding	Docket No. 03-S&AT-160-AUD
-	Wheat State Telephone Company, Inc.	
	Audit and General rate proceeding	Docket No. 03-WHST-503-AUD
-	Haviland Telephone Company, Inc.	
	Audit and General rate proceeding	Docket No. 03-HVDT-664-RTS
MAIN	<u>ve</u>	
-	New England Telephone Company	
	General rate proceeding	Docket No. 92-130
-	Verizon	
	AFOR investigation	Docket No. 2005-155
_	Central Maine Power Company	

General rate proceeding Docket No. 2007-125

## MARYLAND

-	Washington Gas Light Company	
	Depreciation rate proceeding	Case No. 9103
-	Baltimore Gas and Electric Company	
	Depreciation rate proceeding	Case No. 9096
-	PEPCO	
	General rate proceeding	Case No. 9092
-	Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company	
	General rate proceeding	Docket No. 7851
	Cost Allocation Manual Case	Case No. 8333
	Cost Allocation Issues Case	Case No. 8462
-	Verizon Maryland	
	PICC rate case	Case No. 8862
	USF case	Case No. 8745
-	Washington Gas Light Company	
	Depreciation Rate Case	Case No. 8960
-	Chesapeake Utilities Corporation	
	General rate proceeding	Case No. 9062

# <u>MINNESOTA</u>

l companies)	Docket No. P-321/CI-83-203
nunications, Inc. (Northwe	stern Bell Telephone Co.)
entron proceeding	Docket No. P-421/91-EM-1002
te proceeding	Docket No. P-321/M-80-306
	l companies) nunications, Inc. (Northwe entron proceeding ate proceeding

Appendix A Page 10 of 13

	Centrex Dockets	MPUC No. P-421/M-83-466 MPUC No. P-421/M-84-24 MPUC No. P-421/M-84-25 MPUC No. P-421/M-84-26
	General rate proceeding General rate proceeding General rate case WATS investigation Access charge case	MPUC No. P-421/GR-80-911 MPUC No. P-421/GR-82-203 MPUC No. P-421/GR-83-600 MPUC No. P-421/CI-84-454 MPUC No. P-421/CI-85-352
	Access charge case Toll Compensation case Private Line proceeding	MPUC No. P-421/M-86-53 MPUC No. P-999/CI-85-582 Docket No. P-421/M-86-508
-	AT&T Intrastate Interexchange	Docket No. P-442/M-87-54
MISSI	<u>SSIPPI</u>	
-	South Central Bell General rate filing	Docket No. U-4415
MISSO	JURI	
-	AmerenUE	
_	Electric rate proceeding American Water Company	ER-2008-0318
_	General rate proceeding Empire District Electric Company	WR-2008-0311
	Depreciation rates	ER-2008-0093
-	AmerenUE Electric rate proceeding	ER-2007-0002
_	Southwestern Bell	Lit 2007 0002
	General rate proceeding	TR-79-213
	General rate proceeding	TR-80-256
	General rate proceeding	TR-82-199
	General rate proceeding	TR-86-84
	General rate proceeding	TC-89-14, et al.
	Alternative Regulation	TC-93-224/TO-93-192
-	United Telephone Company	<b>TD</b> 0.2 101
	Depreciation proceeding	TR-93-181
-	All companies Extended Area Service	TO-86-8
	EMS investigation	TO-80-8 TO-87-131
	Cost of Access Proceeding	TR-2001-65

#### **NEW JERSEY**

-	New Jersey Bell Telephone Company	
	General rate proceeding	Docket No. 802-135
	General rate proceeding	BPU No. 815-458
		OAL No. 3073-81
	Phase I - General rate case	BPU No. 8211-1030
		OAL No. PUC10506-82
	General rate case	BPU No. 848-856
		OAL No. PUC06250-84
	Division of regulated	BPU No. TO87050398
	from competitive services	OAL No. PUC 08557-87
	Customer Request Interrupt	Docket No. TT 90060604
NEW	MEXICO	
-	U.S. West Communications, Inc.	
	E-911 proceeding	Docket No. 92-79-TC
	General rate proceeding	Docket No. 92-227-TC
	General rate/depreciation proceeding	Case No. 3008
	Subsidy Case	Case No. 3325
	USF Case	Case No. 3223
-	VALOR Communications	
	Subsidy Case	Case No. 3300
	Interconnection Arbitration	Case No. 3495
OHIO		
-	Ohio Bell Telephone Company	
	General rate proceeding	Docket No. 79-1184-TP-AIR
	General rate increase	Docket No. 81-1433-TP-AIR

- General rate increase Docket No. 83-300-TP-AIR Docket No. 83-464-TP-AIR Access charges General Telephone of Ohio \_ General rate proceeding Docket No. 81-383-TP-AIR United Telephone Company General rate proceeding -
- Docket No. 81-627-TP-AIR

### **OKLAHOMA**

-	Public Service of Oklahoma	
	Depreciation case	Cause No. 96-0000214

## PENNSYLVANIA

Appendix A Page 12 of 13

Docket No. F-3375

-	GTE North, Inc.	
	Interconnection proceeding	Docket No. A-310125F002
-	Bell Telephone Company of Pennsylvania	
	Alternative Regulation proceeding	Docket No. P-00930715
	Automatic Savings	Docket No. R-953409
	Rate Rebalance	Docket No. R-00963550
-	Enterprise Telephone Company	
	General rate proceeding	Docket No. R-922317
-	All companies	
	InterLATA Toll Service Invest.	Docket No. I-910010
	Joint Petition for Global Resolution of	Docket Nos. P-00991649,
	Telecommunications Proceedings	P-00991648, M-00021596
-	GTE North and United Telephone Company	
	Local Calling Area Case	Docket No. C-902815
-	Verizon	
	Joint Application of Bell Atlantic and	Docket Nos. A-310200F0002,
	GTE for Approval of Agreement	A-311350F0002, A-310222F0002,
	and Plan of Merger	A-310291F0003
	Access Charge Complaint Proceeding	Docket No. C-200271905
COLIT		

### SOUTH DAKOTA

-	Northwestern Bell Telephone Company
	General rate proceeding

# **TENNESSEE**

(on behalf of Time Warner Communications)	
- BellSouth Telephone Company	
Avoidable costs case	Docket No. 96-00067

# <u>UTAH</u>

\_

U.S. West Communications (Mountain Bell Telep	phone Company)
General rate case	Docket No. 84-049-01
General rate case	Docket No. 88-049-07
800 Services case	Docket No. 90-049-05
General rate case/	Docket No. 90-049-06/90-
incentive regulation	049-03
General rate case	Docket No. 92-049-07
General rate case	Docket No. 95-049-05
General rate case	Docket No. 97-049-08
Qwest Price Flexibility-Residence	Docket No. 01-2383-01
Qwest Price Flexibility-Business	Docket No. 02-049-82

Analyzed the local calling areas in the State

Qwest Price Flexibility-Resid Qwest Price Flexibility-Busin - Carbon/Emery General rate case/USF eligibil	ess Docket No. 03-049-50
General face case, obst englos	Docket 110. 03 2302 01
VIRGIN ISLANDS, U.S.	
- Virgin Islands Telephone Company	
General rate case	Docket No. 264
General rate case	Docket No. 277
General rate case	Docket No. 314
General rate case	Docket No. 316
VIRGINIA	
- General Telephone Company of the S	outh
Jurisdictional allocations	Case No. PUC870029
Separations	Case No. PUC950019
WASHINGTON	
- US West Communications, Inc.	
Interconnection case	Docket No. UT-960369
General rate case	Docket No. UT-950200

- General rate case
- All Companies--

# WISCONSIN

- Wisconsin Bell Telephone Company	
Private line rate proceeding	Docket No. 6720-TR-21
General rate proceeding	Docket No. 6720-TR-34