Exhibit No.:	
Issue(s):	AvailabilityFees
• •	Capital Structure
Witness Trues of Early it.	Dalamtaan/Tura Hu Dalamta

Witness/Type of Exhibit: Robertson/True-Up Rebuttal Sponsoring Party: Public Counsel Case No.: WR-2013-0461

TRUE-UP REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

TED ROBERTSON

Submitted on Behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel

LAKE REGION WATER & SEWER COMPANY CASE NO. WR-2013-0461

March 11, 2014

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Lake Region Water & Sewer)	
Company's Application to Implement a General)	File No. WR-2013-0461
Rate Increase in Water and Sewer Service)	

AFFIDAVIT OF TED ROBERTSON

STATE OF MISSOURI)	
)	SS
COUNTY OF COLE)	

Ted Robertson, of lawful age and being first duly sworn, deposes and states:

- My name is Ted Robertson. I am a Public Utility Accountant for the Office of the Public Counsel.
- Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my true-up rebuttal testimony.
- I hereby swear and affirm that my statements contained in the attached testimony are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Ted Robertson, C.P.A.

Chief Public Utility Accountant

Jerene A. Buckman

Notary Public

Subscribed and sworn to me this 11th day of March 2014.

JERENE A. BUCKMAN My Commission Expires August 23, 2017 Cole County Commission #13754037

My Commission expires August 23, 2017.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Testimony	Page	
Introduction	1	
Purpose of Testimony	1	
Availability Fees	1	
Capital Structure	3	

1		TRUE-UP REBUTTAL TESTIMONY
2 3		OF
4 5		TED ROBERTSON
6 7		LAKE REGION WATER AND SEWER COMPANY
8 9 10		CASE NO. WR-2013-0461
11	1.	INTRODUCTION
12	Q.	ARE YOU THE SAME TED ROBERTSON THAT HAS PREVIOUSLY FILED TESTIMONY
13		IN THIS CASE?
14	A.	Yes.
15		
16	II.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY
17	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TRUE-UP REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
18	A.	The purpose of this True-Up Rebuttal Testimony is to respond to rate base and capital
19		structure cost of service recommendations proposed by the Missouri Public Service
20		Commission ("MPSC") Staff related to the true-up period (as identified in the True-Up Direct
21		Testimony of MPSC Staff witness, Ms. Kimberly K. Bolin) and how those recommendations
22		affect Public Counsel's position on the ratemaking treatment for availability fees and
23		Company's capital structure.
24		
25	III.	AVAILABILITY FEES
26	Q.	HAS THE MPSC STAFF MODIFIED ITS RATE BASE RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE
27		COMPANY DUE TO TRUE-UP RELATED CHANGES?
28	A.	Yes. As of December 31, 2013, the Company's rate base per the MPSC Staff's True-Up
29		Direct Testimony filing consisted of Horseshoe Bend Sewer - \$1,306,799, Shawnee Bend

1		Sewer - \$121,642, and Shawnee Bend Water - \$1,060,534 for a total rate base of
2		\$2,488,975.
3		
4	Q.	DO THE MPSC STAFF'S MODIFICATIONS TO COMPANY'S RATE BASE IMPACT THE
5		PUBLIC COUNSEL'S RECOMMENDATION FOR THE RATEMAKING TREATMENT OF
6		AVAILABILITY FEES?
7	A.	No. The MPSC Staff's new rate base recommendations do not impact or change the
8		Public Counsel's position that the rate bases of the Shawnee Bend Water and Sewer
9		Systems be further reduced by the excess availability fees I identified in my Surrebuttal
10		Testimony. That is, Public Counsel recommends that the Shawnee Bend Water System
11		rate base be reduced by the inclusion of additional contributions in aid of construction
12		("CIAC") in the amount of \$331,330 and that the Shawnee Bend Sewer System rate base
13		be reduced by the inclusion of additional CIAC in the amount of \$705,843. However, Public
14		Counsel's recommendation for the net rate base for each utility does change.
15		
16	Q.	WHAT IS THE COMPANY'S RATE BASE AFTER MAKING THE PUBLIC COUNSEL'S
17		RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS FOR ADDITIONAL CIAC WITHIN THE SHAWNEE
18		BEND JURISDICTION?
19	A.	After including the Public Counsel's recommended availability fee adjustments discussed
20		above, the rate bases of the three utilities would consist of Horseshoe Bend Sewer -
21		\$1,306,799, Shawnee Bend Sewer - (\$584,201) (i.e., \$121,642 less \$705,843), and
22		Shawnee Bend Water - \$729,204 (i.e., \$1,060,534 less \$331,330).
23		
24	Q.	DOES THE PUBLIC COUNSEL STILL RECOMMEND THAT THE SHAWNEE BEND
25		SEWER SYSTEM RATE BASE BE SET AT ZERO EVEN THOUGH IT IS ACTUALLY
26		CALCULATED AS A NEGATIVE?

1	A.	Yes.
2		
3	IV.	CAPITAL STRUCTURE
4	Q.	DID THE COMPANY'S CAPITAL STRUCTURE CHANGE DURING THE TRUE-UP
5		PERIOD?
6	A.	Yes. In December 2013, Company acquired an additional loan of \$75,000 from Alterra
7		Bank and that loan along with the continued amortization of the previously discussed
8		Alterra debt resulted in a total loan balance of \$1,451,742.85 at December 31, 2013.
9		
10	Q.	DID THE COMPANY RECEIVE COMMISSION AUTHORIZATION TO ENTER INTO THE
11		LOAN FOR THE NEW DEBT?
12	A.	I do not believe that it did. Public Counsel is not aware of any new case filing by the utility
13		requesting Commission authorization for the utility to encumber its assets with new debt.
14		
15	Q.	SHOULD THE COST OF THE NEW DEBT BE INCLUDED IN THE ANALYSIS OF
16		COMPANY'S CAPITAL STRUCTURE?
17	A.	No. Since the Company has not received Commission authorization for the new debt, its
18		actual purpose and status is unknown at this time and it should not be included in the
19		analysis.
20		
21	Q.	WHAT IS THE ALTERRA LOAN BALANCE AT DECEMBER 31, 2013 EXCLUDING THE
22		NEW DEBT?
23	A.	Per the Company's General Ledger (provided by John Summers via an email on February
24		20, 2014), the Alterra Bank loan balance at that date was \$1,376,743.
25		

- 1 2

A.

- ---

- Q. EXCLUDING THE NEW DEBT WHAT IS THE COMPANY'S CAPITAL STRUCTURE AS OF THE END OF THE TRUE-UP PERIOD?
 - As I discussed above, as of December 31, 2013, the Company's rate base per the MPSC Staff's True-Up Direct Testimony filing totals \$2,488,975 (Public Counsel does have a few issues with the MPSC Staff's rate base recommendations and OPC witnesses, Keri Roth and William Addo, have filed testimony addressing those issues, but the issues are relatively immaterial for purposes of this analysis). After including the Public Counsel's recommended availability fee adjustments, the individual rate bases of the three utilities would consist of Horseshoe Bend Sewer \$1,306,799, Shawnee Bend Sewer (\$584,201) (i.e., \$121,642 less \$705,843), and Shawnee Bend Water \$729,204 (i.e., \$1,060,534 less \$331,330). Since the CIAC adjustment drives the Shawnee Bend Sewer rate base negative no return, i.e., neither debt or equity, should be authorized for that system, but the total rate base for the two remaining systems equals \$2,036,003. Thus, \$2,036,003 is the rate base supported by the Commission authorized Alterra Bank December 31, 2013 loan balance of \$1,376,743 and shareholder equity. Dividing the loan balance by the Company's total rate base shows that the Company's capital structure at the end of the true-up period is 67.62% debt and 32.38% equity.
- Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TRUE-UP REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
- A. Yes, it does.