Exhibit No:

Issue: Depreciation
Witness: Gregory E. Macias
Sponsoring Party: MOPSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony
Case No.: WR-2007-0216

Date Testimony Prepared: June 05, 2007

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION **UTILITY SERVICES DIVISION**

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

GREGORY E. MACIAS

MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

CASE NO. WR-2007-0216 et al.

Jefferson City, Missouri June 2007

STAFF-13

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Missouri-American Water) Company's request for Authority to) Case No. WR-2007-0216, et al Implement a General Rate Increase for) Water Service provided in Missouri) Service Areas)
AFFIDAVIT OF GREGORY E. MACIAS
STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss. COUNTY OF COLE)
Gregory E. Macias, of lawful age, on his oath states: that he has participated in the preparation of the foregoing Direct Testimony in question and answer form, consisting pages to be presented in the above case; that the answers in the foregoing Direct Testimony were given by him; that he has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true and correct to the best of his knowledge arbelief.
Gregory E. Macias
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 4th day of
ASHLEY M. HARRISON My Commission Expires August 31, 2010 Cole County Commission #06898978

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	DIRECT TESTIMONY
3	OF
4	GREGORY E. MACIAS
5	MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY
6	CASE NO. WR-2007-0216
7	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY2
	EAECOTIVE SOMMANT
8	DEPRECIATION ISSUES
9	DEPRECIATION STUDY
10	DEPRECIATION RESERVE ANALYSIS
11	RECOMMENDATION10

1		DIRECT TESTIMONY
2		OF
3		GREGORY E. MACIAS
4		MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY
5		CASE NO. WR-2007-0216
6	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
7	A.	Gregory E. Macias, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, MO 65102.
8	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
9	A.	I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission (PSC or
10	Commission)	as a Utility Engineering Specialist II in the Engineering and Management
11	Services Depa	urtment.
12	Q.	Please describe your educational background.
13	Α.	I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Civil Engineering from the
14	University of	Missouri-Columbia.
15	Q.	Please describe your work background.
16	A.	I began working for the Commission in September 1997 as an Engineering
17	Specialist in	the Gas Safety Department. In December 2001, I joined the Engineering and
18	Management	Services Department in my current position.
19	Q.	Please describe your duties while employed by the Commission.
20	A.	While working in the Gas Safety Department, I conducted safety inspections
21	and incident i	investigations of natural gas local distribution companies and intrastate pipeline
22	companies. I	am currently responsible for depreciation calculations and studies of companies
23	regulated by t	he Commission.

- Q. Have you previously filed testimony before this Commission?
- A. Yes. See Schedule 1, attached to my testimony, for a list of cases in which I have previously filed testimony.
 - Q. What matters will you address in your testimony?
- A. I will address the Commission Staff's (Staff's) recommendation regarding depreciation rates.
- Q. What knowledge, skill, experience, training and education do you have in these matters?
- A. In addition to my Bachelor of Science degree in Civil Engineering from the University of Missouri-Columbia, I have made on-site visits to the operating facilities of several Missouri-regulated electric, natural gas, telecommunications, water, and sewer companies. I have gained work-related experience and training from the Engineering and Management Services Department's engineering staff regarding concepts of depreciation. I have completed the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (NARUC) Utility Rate School administered by the University of Florida and the NARUC Water Committee. I have also completed the New Mexico State University Basic NARUC Course. I have reviewed prior Commission decisions and portions of the testimony regarding depreciation issues in previous cases.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?
- A. The purpose of my testimony is to recommend depreciation rates for Missouri-American Water Company (MAWC or Company). Staff's proposal in this case is:

- 1. The depreciation rates, as well as the associated average service life and net salvage percentage, presented in Schedule 2 become effective for MAWC on the date of the Commission's order in this case; and
- 2. The Company be ordered to record the amount of annual depreciation accrual segregated by the amounts for return of investment (life portion) and collection for net salvage/cost of removal.
 - Q. Please summarize your Direct testimony in this proceeding.
- A. The Staff conducted a depreciation study of MAWC's capital assets and has recommended depreciation rates which, when applied to the plant-in-service as of December 31, 2006, generated the depreciation expense used in the Staff's Accounting Schedules (revenue requirement run) to determine the Staff's revenue requirement recommendation. The depreciation rates determined in this study would increase the currently-ordered annual depreciation accrual by approximately \$4 million.

Staff is recommending the use of straight-line, whole-life depreciation rates to determine MAWC's depreciation expense. The depreciation rates are based on Staff's estimate of average service life and future net salvage for each capital plant account, and are calculated by the following equation:

Depreciation Rate = $(100\% - Net Salvage) \div Average Service Life$

Staff is recommending that MAWC keep separate accounting of its amounts accrued for recovery of its initial investment in plant from the amounts accrued for net salvage.

Staff is not recommending an adjustment to MAWC's accumulated reserve for depreciation at this time.

DEPRECIATION ISSUES

- Q. When were depreciation rates for the Company last adopted by a Commission Order?
- A. Depreciation rates were last ordered for MAWC in Case No. WR-2003-0500, effective April 16, 2004. The ordered depreciation rates were the result of a stipulation and agreement between the parties.
- Q. Has there been a change in the Staff's approach to determining depreciation rates since MAWC's last rate case proceeding?
- A. Yes. The Staff's recommendation in this case is in conformance with the guidelines set forth in the Commission's Third Report and Order in Case No. GR-99-315, Laclede Gas Company, and the Report And Order in Case No. ER-2004-0570, The Empire District Electric Company, concerning the treatment of salvage costs and cost of removal in depreciation expense.
 - Q. Did you conduct a depreciation study of MAWC's capital plant accounts?
- A. Yes. The recommended depreciation rates, associated average service lives, and net salvage percentages are presented in Schedule 2. The recommended depreciation rates would increase the currently-ordered depreciation accrual by approximately \$4 million per year. In addition, the Staff recommends that the Company be required to record the depreciation accrual separated into its components, i.e. a life accrual and a net salvage accrual, consistent with the Commission's decisions concerning depreciation expense in its January 11, 2005, Third Report and Order in Case No. GR-99-315.

DEPRECIATION STUDY

Q. What is the definition of "depreciation?"

A. Depreciation is the loss of service value of capital assets, not restored by current maintenance, which is due to all factors causing ultimate retirement of the property. These factors include wear and tear, decay, inadequacy, obsolescence, changes in the art, and requirements of public authorities.

The purpose of depreciation in a regulatory setting is to recover the investment in capital assets allocated rationally over the assets' useful lives (return of investment). Annual depreciation expense, when distributed over the life of each asset, yields the recovery of all costs determined to be associated with the utility's assets.

- Q. Please describe the depreciation study that Staff conducted in this case.
- A. Staff performed a broad group-average life depreciation study, where all units of plant within a particular depreciation category are considered to be one group when analyzing mortality data to determine average service lives. The average service life (ASL), expressed in years, is the expected period of useful service of all units of the group, or account, regardless of placement date.
- Q. How did Staff evaluate the retirement experience of the Company's plant accounts?
- A. Staff used the retirement rate method of analysis. Using the retirement rate method, Staff analyzed historical plant data by calculating the ratio of retirements to exposures by age, then solving for the percent surviving by age to develop an original survivor curve for an account.
 - Q. What data are required?
- A. The required data are plant additions in dollars by year, or vintage, and retirements from each vintage in dollars by year.
 - Q. How is this data used to develop an original survivor curve?

A. The exposures at a given age are the dollars remaining from the various vintages that have lived to that age. The dollars retired during an age interval divided by the exposures at the beginning of that interval is the retirement ratio for the age interval. The retirement ratio is subtracted from one to get the survivor ratio for the age interval. Multiplying each successive survivor ratio by the percent surviving of the previous age will generate a life table which can be plotted as a survivor curve. This original survivor curve can then be smoothed or fitted to an empirically developed statistical model known as the lowa-type curves.

- Q. What are the Iowa-type curves?
- A. The Iowa-type curves are widely accepted models of the life characteristics of utility property. The system of Iowa curves is a family of curve shapes empirically derived from analyses of mortality data of 176 types of utility and industrial property. The curves were developed at the Iowa Engineering Experiment Station at what is presently known as Iowa State University. The Iowa curves were first published in 1935 and reconfirmed in 1980.
 - Q. How do the Iowa-type curves help determine an accounts average service life?
- A. Smoothing the original survivor curve by fitting it to an Iowa-type curve eliminates irregularities and extrapolates stub curves to zero percent. The original survivor curve is mathematically and visually matched with various Iowa-type curves to determine which has the most appropriate fit. The average service life of an account's original survivor curve is estimated as the area under the selected Iowa-type curve.
 - Q. What can cause an account's average service life to change over time?
- A. Current developments such as technological changes, environmental regulations, regulatory requirements or accounting changes can all affect the average service

4 5

6

7 8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

19

18

20

22

21

23

life of property in an account. Different vintages of plant being manufactured from different materials, changes in installation practice or the development of a life-extending maintenance procedure are some examples.

- Q. Are there any other elements factored into the depreciation rate calculation?
- A. Yes. Consideration was given to the future net salvage that an account may experience.
 - Q. What is net salvage?
- A. Net salvage is gross salvage, or recovered marketable value of retired plant, less cost of removal, or the cost associated with the retirement from service and disposition of plant. Negative net salvage occurs when the cost of removal exceeds gross salvage; this is sometimes referred to as net salvage expense or net cost of removal.
 - Q. How were net salvage rates calculated in your depreciation study?
- A. To implement Commission policy, the experienced net salvage amount was divided by the original cost of plant retired to calculate the net salvage rate realized by the Company. Annual net salvage rates and five year moving averages were calculated to help identify trends. The realized net salvage rates were used as the basis for the estimation of future net salvage requirements.
 - Q. How did you calculate depreciation rates for MAWC's various plant accounts?
- A. Using the straight line method, average life procedure and whole life technique, the annual depreciation accrual rate for an account is calculated as follows:

Depreciation Rate = (100% – Net Salvage) ÷ Average Service Life where, generally:

Net Salvage % = (Gross Salvage - Cost of Removal) ÷ Original Cost of Plant Retired

This depreciation rate is designed to recover the original cost of an account's assets, less any estimated scrap value, plus an estimate of any cost of removal, over the useful average service life of the assets.

- Q. What are the results of Staff's depreciation study?
- A. The depreciation rates determined in this study would increase the currently ordered annual depreciation accrual by approximately \$4 million based on December 31, 2006, plant in service balances. December 31, 2006, is the end of the Staff's test year update period in this case.
- Q. What is the total amount of depreciation expense included in Staff's revenue requirement?
- A. The Staff's revenue requirement includes annual depreciation expense of approximately \$23 million.
- Q. How much of Staff's annual depreciation expense is for reimbursing the Company's initial investment in plant?
- A. Staff's depreciation expense recommendation includes plant in service reimbursement of approximately \$19 million annually.
 - Q. How much of Staff's annual depreciation expense is for future net salvage?
- A. Staff's depreciation expense recommendation includes an accumulation for future net salvage costs of approximately \$4 million annually.
- Q. Is Staff recommending a continuation of different depreciation rates for several of the Company's districts?
- A. No. Staff is proposing a composite set of depreciation rates for all water districts and a composite set of depreciation rates for all sewer districts. Staff believes composite depreciation rates are appropriate because the same management team operates all

districts, which results in consistent construction and maintenance practices and policies being applied across all districts. Additionally, the type of equipment used throughout the Company is not varied enough to warrant different depreciation rates.

- Q. How did Staff determine depreciation rates for the Company's sewer plant accounts?
- A. Staff used its standard small sewer company depreciation rates for MAWC's sewer plant accounts.
- Q. Please summarize Staff's recommendation for depreciation rates for the Company's plant accounts.
- A Staff's recommended average service lives, net salvage percentages, and depreciation rates are summarized in Schedule 2. A comparison of Staff's depreciation recommendation to the Company's proposed annual accrual and the existing ordered annual accrual is provided in Schedule 3.

DEPRECIATION RESERVE ANALYSIS

- Q. Did Staff analyze the Company's accumulated provision for depreciation?
- A. Yes. The revised estimates of average service life and selected lowa-type curve are used to compute the calculated accumulated depreciation, or theoretical reserve. The theoretical reserve is the amount that would be in the accumulated provision for depreciation, or book depreciation reserve, if the depreciation rate corresponding to the revised estimates had been applied from the original placement of plant to the date of the study. The theoretical reserve can be thought of as the difference between the original cost of plant currently in service and the summation of annual depreciation expense that is to be collected from the study date until the date of final retirement of the account.

Direct Testimony of Gregory E. Macias

- 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
- Order the composite depreciation rates proposed in Schedule 2 for all districts of MAWC; and
- Order MAWC to keep a separate accounting of its amounts accrued for recovery of its initial investment in plant from the amounts accrued for net salvage, consistent with the Commission's Third Report and Order in Case No. GR-99-315.
- Q. Does this conclude your Direct testimony?
- A. Yes, it does.

WR-2007-0216 Missouri-American Water Company

Schedule 1. Case Proceeding Participation Staff Witness Gregory E. Macias

Company Name	Case Number	Testimony Filed	Issue(s)
Missouri-American Water Company	WR-2003-0500	Direct, Rebuttal, Surrebuttal	Depreciation
Osage Water Company	ST-2003-0562 WT-2003-0563	Direct	Depreciation
Fidelity Telephone Company	IR-2004-272	Direct	Depreciation
The Empire District Electric Company	ER-2004-0570	Direct, Rebuttal, Surrebuttal	Depreciation
Aquila Networks, Inc.	ER-2005-0436 HR-2005-0450	Direct	Depreciation
Missouri Gas Energy	GR-2006-0422	Direct, Rebuttal, Surrebuttal	Depreciation

WR-2007-0216 Missouri-American Water Company Schedule 2. Depreciation Rate Recommendation

Account Number	Description	Depreciation Rate	ASL (Years)	Net Salvage	Life Only Rate	Net Salvage Rate
303.00	Misc. Intangible Plant	0.00%	30	0%	0.00%	0.00%
311.00	S&I - Source of Supply	2.45%	55	-35%	1.82%	0.63%
312.00	Collecting and Impounding Reserviours	1.25%	80	0%	1.25%	0.00%
313.00	Lake, River and Other Intakes	1.77%	65	-15%	1.54%	0.23%
314.00	Wells and Springs	1.67%	60	0%	1.67%	0.00%
315.00	Infiltration Galleries and Tunnels	1.67%	60	0%	1.67%	0.00%
316.00	Supply Mains	1.60%	75	-20%	1.33%	0.27%
317.00	Misc. Source of Supply - Other	4.00%	25	0%	4.00%	0.00%
321.00	S&I - Power and Pumping	1,73%	75	-30%	1.33%	0.40%
322.00	Boiler Plant Equipment	2.00%	50	0%	2.00%	0.00%
323.00	Power Generation Equipment	2.00%	50	0%	2.00%	0.00%
325.00	Electric Pumping Equipment	2.44%	45	-10%	2.22%	0.22%
331.00	S&I - Water Treatment	1.63%	80	-30%	1.25%	0.38%
332.00	Water Treatment Equipment	2.78%	45	-25%	2.22%	0.56%
332.00	Misc. Water Treatment - Other	3.33%	30	0%	3.33%	0.00%
341.00	S&I - Transmission and Distribution	2.67%	45	-20%	2.22%	0.45%
341.63	S&I - Special Crossing	0.00%		0%	0.00%	0.00%
342.00	Distribution Reserviours and Standpipes	2.25%	60	-35%	1.67%	0.58%
343.00	Mains - Transmission and Distribution	1.50%	90	-35%	1.11%	0.39%
344.00	Mains - Fire	1.50%	90	-35%	1.11%	0.39%
345.00	Services	3.08%	65	-100%	1.54%	1.54%
346/347	Meters and Meter Installations	2.43%	40	3%	2.50%	0.07%
348.00	Fire Hydrants	1.92%	65	-25%	1.54%	0.38%
349.00	Misc. Trans. & Distr Other	2.00%	50	0%	2.00%	0.00%
351.00	WW - Structures & Improvements	2.50%	40	0%	2.50%	0.00%
352.10	WW - Collection Sewers Forced	2.00%	50	0%	2.00%	0.00%
352.20	WW - Collecting Mains	2.00%	50	0%	2.00%	0.00%
353.00	WW - Service to Customers	2.00%	50	0%	2.00%	0.00%
363.00	WW - Electric Pumping Equipment	10.00%	10	0%	10.00%	0.00%
372.00	WW - Treatment & Disposal Equipment	5.00%	20	0%	5.00%	0.00%
374.00	WW - Outfall Sewer Lines	2.00%	50	0%	2.00%	0.00%
390.00	S&I - Shop and Garage	2.40%	50	-20%	2.00%	0.40%
390.10	S&I - Office Building	2.40%	50	-20%	2.00%	0.40%
390.10	S&I - Leasehold	5.00%	20	0%	5.00%	0.00%
390.30	S&I - Miscellaneous	2.40%	50	-20%	2.00%	0.40%
391.00	Office Furniture	4.00%	25	0%	4.00%	0.00%
391.20	Computer Hardware	14.29%	7	0%	14.29%	0.00%
391.25	Computer Software	14.29%	7	0%	14.29%	0.00%
391.30	Other Office Equipment	6.67%	15	0%	6.67%	0.00%
392.11	Transportation Equipment - Light Trucks	0.00%	8	25%	0.00%	0.00%
392.12	Transportation Equipment - Heavy Trucks	8.33%	9	25%	11.11%	-2.78%
392.20	Transportation Equipment - Autos	0.00%	5	25%	0.00%	0.00%
392.30	Transportation Equipment - Other	0.00%	15	0%	0.00%	0.00%
393.00	Stores Equipment	2.86%	35	0%	2.86%	0.00%
394.00	Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment	5.00%	20	0%	5.00%	0.00%
395.00	Laboratory Equipment	4.00%	25	0%	4.00%	0.00%
396.00	Power Operated Equipment	6.82%	11	25%	9.09%	-2.27%
397.00	Communication Equipment - Non-telephone	5.00%	20	0%	5.00%	0.00%
397.20	Communication Equipment - Telephone	6.67%	15	0%	6.67%	0.00%
398.00	Miscellaneous Equipment	5.00%	20	0%	5.00%	0.00%
399.00	Other Tangeble Property	5.00%	20	0%	5.00%	0.00%

Note: MAWC is required to keep separate accounting of its amounts accrued for recovery of its initial investment in plant from the amounts accrued for the cost of removal/ net salvage.

WR-2007-0216 Missouri-American Water Company Schedule 3. Depreciation Comparison

	3. Depreciation Comparison						Company	Current		
				Ştaf	Proposed		Proposed	Composite	Book	Staff
Account		Plant	lowa Curve	Net	Depreciation	Annual	Annual	Annual	Reserve	Theoretical
Number	Description	12/31/2006	ASL Type	Salvage	Rate	Accrual	Accrual	Accrual	12/31/2005	Reserve
303.00	Misc. Intangible Plant	340,285	30	0%	0.00%	0	0	0	648,245	81,170
311.00	S&I - Source of Supply	10,604,137	55 - R4	-35%	2.45%	259,801	244,956	212,083	2,820,674	3,211,111
312.00	Collecting and Impounding Reserviours	111,066	80 - R2.5	0%	1.25%	1,388	1,688	1,111	81,867	50,733
313.00	Lake, River and Other Intakes	447,399	65 - R2	-15%	1.77%	7,919	47,335	8,948	-604,013	75,716
314.00	Wells and Springs	6,659,423	60 - R1.5	0%	1.67%	111,212	77,118	147,708	810,213	1,043,624
315.00	Infiltration Galleries and Tunnels	1,804	60 - R2.5	0%	1.67%	30	16	0		71
316.00	Supply Mains	16,787,471	75 - R2.5	-20%	1.60%	268,600	270,204	293,632	4,191,425	3,072,643
317.00	Misc. Source of Supply - Other	1,730	25	0%	4.00%	69	37	0		104
321.00	S&I - Power and Pumping	15,485,562	75 - R2.5	-30%	1.73%	267,900	457,243	140,209	3,968,095	3,622,535
322.00	Boiler Plant Equipment	348	50 - R3	0%	2.00%	7	19	0		282
323.00	Power Generation Equipment	332,106	50 - R2.5	0%	2.00%	6,642	8,070	8,967	59,650	73,620
325.00	Electric Pumping Equipment	44,110,325	45 - R1.5	-10%	2.44%	1,076,292	871,966	711,751	17,799,499	13,322,075
331.00	S&I - Water Treatment	74,982,361	80 - R3	-30%	1.63%	1,222,212	1,379,719	832,391	23,830,651	15,665,189
332.00	Water Treatment Equipment	78,571,131	45 - R1.5	-25%	2.78%	2,184,277	1,673,565	1,453,566	28,503,931	21,748,450
332.00	Misc. Water Treatment - Other	1,481,666	30	0%	3.33%	49,339	17,780	0	79,970	178,101
341.00	S&I - Transmission and Distribution	6,974,157	45 - R3	-20%	2.67%	186,210	148,551	126,933	2,648,782	2,643,078
341.63	S&I - Special Crossing	267,357			0.00%	0	16,630	26,736	322,127	
342.00	Distribution Reserviours and Standpipes	24,064,310	60 - R3	-35%	2.25%	541,447	531,420	444,394	8,081,500	9,986,941
343.00	Mains - Transmission and Distribution	662,856,945	90 - R2	-35%	1.50%	9,942,854	9,410,318	9,143,607	137,857,396	128,974,079
344.00	Mains - Fire	529,359	90 - R2	-35%	1.50%	7,940	7,411	6,882	68,565	68,448
345.00	Services	23,175,266	65 - R2.5	-100%	3.08%	713,798	628,050	463,505	4,724,555	7,710,400
346/347	Meters and Meter Installations	56,297,901	40 - R2	3%	2.43%	1,368,039	1,440,092	1,337,621	15,981,347	13,514,677
348.00	Fire Hydrants	48,675,649	65 - R1.5	-25%	1.92%	934,572	764,246	657,198	14,850,351	12,393,813
349.00	Misc. Trans. & Distr Other	35,406	50 - R3	0%	2.00%	708	871	460	7,494	12,300

WR-2007-0216 Missouri-American Water Company Schedule 3. Depreciation Comparison

			r				Company	Current		
Account		Plant	Iowa Curve	Net	f Proposed	Ammunl	Proposed	Composite	Book	Staff
Number	Description	12/31/2006	ASL Type	Salvage	Depreclation Rate	Annual Accrual	Annual Accrual	Annual Accrual	Reserve 12/31/2005	Theoretical
351.00	WW - Structures & Improvements	44,004	40	0%					12/31/2005	Reserve
352.10	WW - Collection Sewers Forced	•			2.50%	1,100	0	1,100		
352.20	WW - Collecting Mains	13,401 517,180	50 50 -	0%	2.00%	268	335	335		
353.00	WW - Service to Customers	49,429		0%	2.00%	10,344	11,169	11,169		
363.00	WW - Electric Pumping Equipment	,	50	0%	2.00%	989	1,196	1,196		
372.00	WW - Treatment & Disposal Equipment	12,173	10	0%	10.00%	1,217	487	487		
374.00	WW - Outfall Sewer Lines	1,017,340	20	0%	5.00%	50,867	43,007	43,007		
374.00	vvvv - Outrail Sewer Lines	33,433	50	0%	2.00%	669	669	669		
390.00	S&I - Shop and Garage	600,046	50 - R3	-20%	2.40%	14,401	32,541	8,378	29,803	163,739
390.10	S&I - Office Building	905,852	50 - R1	-20%	2.40%	21,740	23,824	22,646	201,133	313,140
390.10	S&I - Leasehold	345,524	20	0%	5.00%	17,276	25,468	34,697	204,161	195,343
390.30	S&I - Miscellaneous	3,695,334	50 - R2	-20%	2.40%	88,688	52,703	26,465	1,087,918	713,248
391.00	Office Furniture	2,466,458	25 - L1	0%	4.00%	98,658	87,246	46,527	2,390,596	1,943,162
391.20	Computer Hardware	5,633,417	7 - R3	0%	14.29%	805,015	1,506,787	170,388	377,695	2,125,465
391.25	Computer Software	9,076,240	7 - R5	0%	14.29%	1,296,995	1,987,677	1,013,801	2,173,175	3,585,231
391.30	Other Office Equipment	782,418	15 - L3	0%	6.67%	52,187	48,745	34,035	104,748	158,967
392.11	Transportation Equipment - Light Trucks	786,265	8 - L1.5	25%	0.00%	0	49,142	98,283	1,435,526	358,900
392.12	Transportation Equipment - Heavy Trucks	4,163,904	9 - L2	25%	8.33%	346,853	305,631	520,488	3,219,493	2,172,273
392.20	Transportation Equipment - Autos	538,518	5 - L2.5	25%	0.00%	0	32,040	64,081	660,198	
392.30	Transportation Equipment - Other	78,242	15 - S4	0%	0.00%	0	3,249	3,249	316,553	265,203
393.00	Stores Equipment	333,911	35 - L2	0%	2.86%	9,550	25,110	7,580	-262,638	26,436
394.00	Tools, Shop and Garage Equipment	6,719,170	20 - L1	0%	5.00%	335,959	289,123	308,415	•	85,492
395.00	Laboratory Equipment	2,030,288	25 - L1	0%	4.00%	81,212	164,318	56,345	3,120,035	2,005,628
396.00	Power Operated Equipment	1,226,159	11 - L1	25%	6.82%	83,624	76,635	· ·	871,321	632,212
397.00	Communication Equipment - Non-telephone	1,342,169	20 - L2	0%	5.00%		,	136,226	828,838	513,711
397.20	Communication Equipment - Telephone	133,396	15 - L2.5	0%	6.67%	67,108	77,175	63,887	606,690	417,961
	Miscellaneous Equipment	1,815,067	20 - L1.5	0%		8,898	4,924	3,605	95,027	49,740
	Other Tangeble Property	927,075	20 - L 1.5 20		5.00% 5.00%	90,753	139,472	126,888	181,126	223,636
	- , ,	921,013	∠∪	0%	5.00%	46,354	<u>289,115</u>	<u>42,196</u>	202,100	845,325
	TOTAL DEPRECIABLE PLANT	1,118,079,577				22,681,981	23,275,092	18,863,845	284,575,822	254,243,972