Exhibit No.: Issue: Renewable Energy Program Tariff Witness: Amanda Coffer Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony Case No.: ER-2021-0312 Date Testimony Prepared: December 20, 2021

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

INDUSTRY ANALYSIS DIVISION

ENGINEERING ANALYSIS DEPARTMENT

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

AMANDA COFFER

THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY, d/b/a Liberty

CASE NO. ER-2021-0312

Jefferson City, Missouri December 2021

1	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY		
2	OF		
3	AMANDA COFFER		
4 5	THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY, d/b/a Liberty		
6	CASE NO. ER-2021-0312		
7	Q. Please state your name and business address.		
8	A. My name is Amanda Coffer, and my business address is Missouri Public Service		
9	Commission, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102.		
10	Q. Are you the same Amanda Coffer that provided sections in Staff's Cost of		
11	Service ("COS") report in this proceeding?		
12	A. Yes, I am.		
13	Q. What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?		
14	A. I will be responding to the testimony of The Empire District Electric Company,		
15	d/b/a Liberty ("Empire" or "Company") witness Gregory W. Tillman and Midwest Energy		
16	Consumers Group ("MECG") witness Steve W. Chriss regarding Empire's proposed		
17	Renewable Energy Purchase Schedule.		
18	Q. Why is Empire proposing the Renewable Energy Purchase Schedule?		
19	A. In the Non-Unanimous Stipulation and Agreement, filed April 5, 2019, in Case		
20	No. EA-2019-0010, Empire agreed to allow non-residential customers to purchase a portion of		
21	Renewable Energy Credits ("RECs") received from wind projects that are not needed for		
22	compliance with the Renewable Energy Standard (RES). This Non-Unanimous Stipulation and		
23	Agreement was approved in the Commission's Report and Order on June 19, 2019. Empire is		

proposing a new schedule, Renewable Energy Purchase (REP), in order to comply with the 1 Commission order. 2 3 Q. What are Renewable Energy Credits ("RECs")? A REC is a tradable certificate that represents that one (1) megawatt-hour of 4 A. 5 electricity has been generated from renewable energy resources. 6 Q. How many RECs will customers be allowed to purchase? 7 A. The REP schedule will allow non-residential customers to purchase RECs to 8 offset the carbon emissions of up to 100% of their total monthly billed electricity consumption 9 in increments of 25% (limited by the availability of RECs). RECs will be sold on a first come, 10 first serve basis. 11 Q. What is the term length for customers who wish to participate in the REP? The Company is proposing a minimum term of one year, which will 12 A. 13 automatically renew at the end of each term unless cancellation is specifically requested with 14 at least 30 days' notice. 15 Q. How will customers be billed for their purchased RECs? 16 A. Customers will be purchasing RECs monthly based on current billing month 17 usage, in kWh increments. Customers will be billed for their purchased RECs on their monthly 18 electric billing statement. RECs will be retired in whole REC increments by the Company on 19 behalf of the customer. Once a REC is retired, it can no longer be used. Any partial RECs 20 purchased by the customer and not covered in the annual REC retirement will be credited to the 21 customer's account at the prevailing REC price for the most recent billing month.¹

¹ Staff Data Request No. 0372, Case No. ER-2021-0312.

Q.

1

How will the cost of the RECs be determined?

2 Customers will be purchasing these RECs at the average weighted price for the A. 3 Company's REC sales for the previous calendar year. In his testimony, Mr. Tillman states that 4 the initial price of RECs will reflect the sale of RECs for the 12-months ending December 31, 5 2021. On a quarterly basis, the Company will perform a review of the previous three months' 6 average weighted price ("Quarterly Review") for the Company's REC sales to the schedule's 7 REC Rate. If the REC Rate is outside a five percent threshold as compared to the Quarterly 8 Review, the REC Rate will be recalculated as the average weighted price for the most recent 9 12-month ending period. The proposed tariff states "This updated REC Rate shall become 10 effective with the first billing cycle of the following month." This rate does not include costs 11 associated with the North American Renewables Registry ("NAR") tracking system.

12 Q. Is it feasible to have the REC Rate effective with the first billing cycle of the13 following month?

A. No. Changing the REC Rate would require the Company to file the revised tariff
sheet with the Commission with a 30-day effective date. This process would take longer than
Empire's proposed effective date of the next billing cycle.

Q. What would Staff recommend regarding the proposal to have REC rates go into
effect with the first billing cycle of the following month?

A. Staff would recommend that this portion of the proposed program be re-written
to allow for at least a 60-day effective date after the filing to change the rate. This would allow
the Commission enough time to review the proposed change to ensure that the change was
calculated correctly.

1	Q.	How will the REP effect non-participating customers?			
2	A. The tariff states, "Proceeds from the sale of RECs, net of any transaction costs,				
3	will be credited to customers through the Company's fuel adjustment clause rider." This means				
4	that non-participating customers will see benefits from the sale of the RECs net of any				
5	transaction costs.				
6	Q.	What are the transaction costs related to this program?			
7	А.	NAR charges users fees for issuing, transferring, and retiring RECs on a per			
8	REC basis. For this program, Empire will retire RECs on behalf participating customers.				
9	Q.	Does Staff have any other concerns about the proposed REP?			
10	А.	Yes. The REP references a service agreement that has not yet been developed,			
11	and as written, the REP has not detailed exactly how it will determine the amount of available				
12	RECs for the REP while still maintaining enough RECs to comply with RES requirements.				
13	Q.	What are Staff's recommendations?			
14	А.	Staff recommends that any REP schedule approved in this case incorporate the			
15	provisions intended for the service agreement. Further, Staff recommends that the proposed				
16	program be re-written to allow for at least a 60-day effective date after filing to change the rate				
17	in order to allow the Commission enough time to review the proposed change. Additionally,				
18	Staff recommends a percentage cap on the number of RECs available to the program to ensure				
19	REC availability for the statutory RES standard is prioritized. In response to Staff Data Request				
20	No. 0266, the Company stated, "One possible approach to eliminate the ambiguity in REC				
21	availability is to place a limit on RECs available to customers for purchase under Schedule				
22	REP. This limit could be adjusted annually based on demand and expected availability of				
23	RECs" Empire further recommended that the initial cap be set at 15% of the expected RECs				
	I				

1	produced from the three wind projects, North Fork Ridge, Neosho Ridge, and Kings Point Wind		
2	Farms. Staff agrees that this would ensure REC availability for RES requirements. Staff also		
3	recommends that Empire include this in the tariff and provide staff with the cap and all		
4	supporting calculations on an annual basis. This would be an initial cap of 370,000 RECs		
5	for the first year. In Table 1 of its 2021 RES Compliance Plan, Case No. EO-2021-0344,		
6	filed April 15, 2021, Empire had estimated a total anticipated non-solar RES requirement of		
7	629,673 RECs and plans to use RECs from Elk River, Meridian Way, and Ozark Beach to meet		
8	this requirement.		
9	Q. On page 7 of his testimony, Mr. Chriss proposed the REP specify the wind		
10	resources from which the RECs would be sourced, and that the Company be required to provide		
11	an attestation to each participating customer specifically delineating the RECs retired on behalf		
12	of that customer. Would Staff be opposed to these modifications?		
13	A. No. Staff would not be opposed to those modifications as they would add more		
14	transparency to the program. NAR also allows users to designate RECs as public. This would		
15	be another option to add more transparency to the program.		
16	Q. Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?		
17	A. Yes, it does.		

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Request of The Empire District Electric Company d/b/a Liberty for Authority to File Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric Service Provided to Customers in its Missouri Service Area

Case No. ER-2021-0312

AFFIDAVIT OF AMANDA COFFER

)

)

STATE OF MISSOURI)	
)	SS.
COUNTY OF COLE)	

COMES NOW AMANDA COFFER, and on her oath declares that she is of sound mind and lawful age; that she contributed to the foregoing *Rebuttal Testimony of Amanda Coffer;* and that the same is true and correct according to her best knowledge and belief.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

JURAT

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my office in Jefferson City, on this 15^{44} day of December, 2021.

DIANNA L. VAUGHT Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Cole County My Commission Expires: July 18, 2023 Commission Number: 15207377

Dianna L. Vaught Notary Public