1 2	Exhibit No.:
2	Issue(s): EV Cost Recovery Witness: Noah Garcia
4	Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony
5	Sponsoring Party: NRDC
3 4 5 6 7	File No.: ER-2016-0285
8	Date Testimony Prepared: December 22, 2016
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
15	MISSOCKI I OBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
16	File No. ER-2016-0285
17	THE 140. DR-2010-0205
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY
23	
24	OF
25	
26	NOAH GARCIA
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	ON
33	
34	BEHALF OF
35	
36	
37	
38	NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL

# **Introduction and Qualifications**

2

1

- 3 Q. Please state your name and address.
- 4 A. My name is Noah Garcia and my business address is 20 North Wacker Drive, Chicago,
- 5 Illinois 60606.

6

- 7 Q. What organization are you employed at and what is your position?
- A. I work at the Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC) as a Schneider Fellow. NRDC is a non-profit environmental organization with more than two million members and online activists. NRDC uses law, science, and the support of its members to ensure the rights of all people to clean air, clean water, and healthy communities. One of NRDC's
- top priorities is to reduce transportation sector air pollutants.

13

14

- Q. Please describe your educational background and work experience.
- 15 A. My educational experience includes a Bachelor of Arts in International Relations with a
- concentration in economics from Stanford University and a Master of Arts in Public
- Policy from Stanford University with a concentration in energy and environmental
- policy.

19

- During my time at Stanford, I was a research assistant at the Steyer-Taylor Center for
- 21 Energy Policy and Finance and analyzed the role of policy and market drivers behind
- 22 clean energy development. At NRDC, I have advocated and provided support for state-
- based clean energy policies in various legislative and regulatory environments in Illinois.
- I have also advocated for and collaborated with partners on utility-driven transportation
- electrification programs in several jurisdictions in the Midwest. In Missouri, I
- 26 participated in the Working Case Regarding Electric Vehicle Charging Facilities (File
- No. EW-2016-0123), providing substantive comments and materials on the necessity of
- 28 charging stations to the development of the plug-in electric vehicle (PEV) market and
- 29 how utilities could beneficially engage in this space. As part of the docketed proceeding,
- I presented at the Missouri Public Service Commission's EV workshop on May 25, 2016;
- along with Sierra Club and the Electric Power Research Institute, we expanded on the

1		environmental benefits of vehicle electrification and the need for strategic deployment of				
2		charging infrastructure to realize these benefits. I am currently intervening in ET-2016-				
3		0246 and ER-2016-0179 before the Commission to address electric vehicle charging				
4		station topics.				
5						
6	Pur	pose of Rebuttal Testimony				
7 8	Q.	What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?				
9	A.	The purpose of this rebuttal testimony is to respond to other parties' direct testimony				
10		regarding the cost recovery of Kansas City Power & Light's (KCP&L) Clean Charge				
11		Network (CCN). I recommend that KCP&L be permitted to recover costs associated with				
12		the CCN and propose that future utility charging station programs target long dwell time				
13		locations, such as multi-unit dwellings and workplaces, and highway corridors. I also				
14		recommend KCP&L submit detailed reports to the Commission and relevant stakeholders				
15		on the performance of the CCN.				
16						
17	Response to Staff's Recommendation					
18						
19	Q.	What does Staff recommend regarding the treatment of the Clean Charge Network				
20		in this case?				
21	A.	In direct testimony, Natelle Dietrich explains Staff's position on the cost recovery of the				
22		CCN as follows:				
23						
24		In Staff's opinion, ratepayers should be held harmless from the proposed project;				
25		therefore, Staff recommends all revenues, expenses and investment associated				
26		with the CCN be recorded below-the-line. <sup>1</sup>				

<sup>1</sup> Direct Testimony of Natelle Dietrich p. 5, File No. ER-2016-0285, Filed November 30, 2016

Mr. Murray largely repeats this same recommendation in testimony:

1 2

3

4

5

Staff recommends that the Commission only approve KCPL's proposed tariff sheets subject to revisions addressing the session charge and on the condition that all revenues, expenses and investment associated with the program are recorded below-the-line in order to hold ratepayers harmless.<sup>2</sup>

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

A.

## Q. What is your response to Staff's recommendation?

NRDC appreciates Staff's consideration of these issues, but does not agree that investment associated with the CCN be recorded "below-the-line." As noted in my direct testimony, the public policy rationale for utility investments to accelerate transportation electrification is based upon the benefits that the body of utility customers experience. These include downward pressure on rates through improved grid utilization, net decreases in greenhouse gas emissions, improved air quality, meeting renewable energy procurement targets at lower cost, and decreased dependence on petroleum imports. Sierra Club also makes similar arguments in its direct testimony. 3 KCP&L's CCN is designed to accelerate the electrification of the transportation sector and bring forward the utility customer benefits described above; for that reason, the utility should have the opportunity to recover costs associated with the development of the network. To ensure utility customers realize the full benefits of widespread transportation electrification, NRDC recommends that future utility charging station infrastructure proposals target long dwelltime locations, such as multi-unit dwellings and workplaces, and highway corridor charging to support long-distance electric vehicle travel. In addition to driving additional EV sales, this combination of residential and workplace charging where EVs are parked for the vast majority of the day ensures they are readily available to integrate variable renewable resources and able to charge in a manner that improves the utilization of the grid and puts downward pressure on rates, reducing bills for all utility customers.

28

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Revenue Requirement Cost of Service p. 173-174, File No. ER-2016-0285, Filed November 30, 2016

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Direct Testimony of Douglas Jester, File No. ER-2016-0285, Filed November 30, 2016

1 Q. What else does Staff recommend regarding the Clean Cha	harge Networl	ĸ?
---	---------------	----

2 A. Staff recommends that KCP&L collect data and report annually on the performance of 3 the CCN. This includes but is not limited to: electric vehicle and electric system load profiles, electric vehicle impact on fixed cost recovery of electric grid assets, and load 4 management assessment. 5

6

#### 7 Q. What is your response to this recommendation?

NRDC supports Staff's recommendation that KCP&L report to the Commission and 8 A. 9 relevant stakeholders. Robust reporting will not only allow interested parties to better assess the performance of the CCN, but will also serve as a guide for how to improve 10 future utility charging infrastructure programs. In addition to the topics listed above, 11 NRDC recommends that KCP&L collect and report data on:

12

13

14

- 1) Residential load profiles of known electric vehicle drivers;
- 2) Current and projected future sales of electric vehicles in KCP&L territory; 15
- 3) O&M expenses associated with the CCN; 16
- 4) Prices paid by EV drivers at CCN stations; and 17
- 5) Additional feedback on experience managing the CCN. 18

19

#### 20 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

Yes, it does. A. 21

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of	)	
Kansas City Power & Light Company's	)	File No. ER-2016-0285
Request for Authority to Implement	).	
a General Rate Increase for Electric Service	)	
County of Cook )		
State of Illinois )		

## AFFIDAVIT OF NOAH GARCIA

Noah Garcia, of lawful age, on his oath states: that he has participated in the preparation of this rebuttal testimony in question and answer form to be presented in the above case; that the answers in this rebuttal testimony were given by him; that he has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such answers are true to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Noah Garcia

In witness whereof I have hereunto subscribed my name and affixed my official seal this day of December, 2016.

OFFICIAL SEAL JENNIFER R DALY NOTARY PUBLIC - STATE OF ILLINOIS MY COMMISSION EXPIRES/05/28/17