FILED October 22, 2018 Data Center Missouri Public

Exhibit No.: Service Commission

Issue: Tax Cut and Jobs Act Bill Credits

Witness: Bradley D. Lutz
Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony

Sponsoring Party: Kansas City Power & Light Company

and KCP&L Greater Missouri

**Operations Company** 

Case Nos.: ER-2018-0145 and ER-2018-0146

Date Testimony Prepared: July 27, 2018

## MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NOS.: ER-2018-0145 and ER-2018-0146

#### REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

#### BRADLEY D. LUTZ

#### ON BEHALF OF

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY and KCP&L GREATER MISSOURI OPERATIONS COMPANY

Kansas City, Missouri July 2018

KCPaL Exhibit No. 150

Date 7/24/18 Reporter 54

File No. 72. 2018:014540144

# REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

## OF

## BRADLEY D. LUTZ

## Case Nos. ER-2018-0145 and ER-2018-0146

1	Q:	Please state your name and business address.	
2	A:	My name is Bradley D. Lutz. My business address is 1200 Main, Kansas City, Missour	
3		64105.	
4	Q:	By whom and in what capacity are you employed?	
5	A:	I am employed by Kansas City Power & Light Company ("KCP&L" or "Company") as	
6		Senior Manager – Regulatory Affairs.	
7	Q:	On whose behalf are you testifying?	
8	<b>A:</b>	I am testifying on behalf of KCP&L and KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company	
9		("GMO") (collectively, the "Company").	
10	Q:	Are you the same Bradley D. Lutz who filed Direct Testimony in both ER-2018-0145	
11		and ER-2018-0146?	
12	A:	Yes, I am.	
13	Q:	What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?	
14	A:	The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to address how the Company proposes that the	
15		cost savings impact of the Tax Cut and Jobs Act ("TCJA") passed on December 22, 2017	
16		- for the period between January 1, 2018 (the effective date of TCJA) and the effective	
17		date of rates to be set in this case (sometimes call the "stub period") - would be returned	
18		to customers. This is in response to the direct testimony of MECG witness Brosch who	
19		recommends that such cost savings be reflected in rates by way of a three-year amortization	

- and OPC witness Riley who recommends implementation of the tax credit as a regulatory liability. Details about TCJA and its impact on the Company are addressed by Company
- 3 witnesses, Melissa K. Hardesty and Ronald Klote.
- 4 Q: What is the amount of the credit to be returned to customers?
- 5 A: Company witness Ronald Klote speaks to the methods proposed to quantify the tax credit
  6 amount once the true-up data is available.
- 7 Q: How does the Company propose to provide the credit to customers?
- A: The Company proposes to utilize a method similar to that used to provide the merger credits
  to customers, identified in the Report and Order dated May 24, 2018 and the Stipulation
  and Agreement dated January 12, 2018, both in Case No. EM-2018-0012, the application
  for approval for merger of Great Plains Energy Incorporated with Westar Energy, Inc.
- 12 Q: Please describe that method?
- A: The jurisdictional amount of stub period TCJA savings for KCP&L's Missouri operations
  and GMO will be sponsored by Company witness Klote in his true-up direct testimony.

  Taking those numbers as a starting point for the respective KCP&L-Missouri and GMO
  jurisdictions, I will now describe how the Company proposes to allocate those amounts
  between and within the GMO and KCP&L-Missouri customer classes.
- 18 Q: Please describe the class allocation process.
- A: The Company proposes to allocate the stub period savings amount between the classes based on the retail revenues for the classes as defined by the revenue summaries supporting the final rates approved in these cases. This approach is more reasonable than a salesbased approach given the more direct relationship between the revenues and the level of income taxes included in cost of service of the Company.

1 Once allocated between the classes, what is the process to allocate the stub period Q: 2 savings amount to the individual customers within those classes? 3 The Company proposes to use the following methods for determining the individual A: 4 customer bill credit attributable to the stub period savings: 5 KCPL – Missouri: 6 7 8 9 10 Residential: Divided equally among the customer class by customer account Small Gen SVC: Divided equally among the customer class by customer account Med. Gen SVC: Divided equally among the customer class by customer account Large Gen SVC: Based on each customer's energy usage within the customer class Large Power: Based on each customer's energy usage within the customer class 11 MO Lighting: Divided equally among the customer class by customer account 12 Greater Missouri Operations: 13 14 Residential: Divided equally among the customer class by customer account Divided equally among the customer class by customer account SGS: 15 Based on each customer's energy usage within the customer class LGS: 16 Based on each customer's energy usage within the customer class LPS: 17 Divided equally among the customer class by customer account Lighting: 18 Thermal: Divided equally among the customer class by customer account 19 TOD: Divided equally among the customer class by customer account 20 What time period will be used to establish the data supporting these customer Q: 21 allocations? 22 The Company proposes to use a twelve-month time period, as near as practicable to the A: 23 time the bill credits are applied to customer bills to support these allocations. This approach 24 will help minimize issues resulting from customer movement and will best ensure a smooth 25 application of the credit. For example, if the Order in these cases is effective at the end of

December and the plan is to start issuing credits with the March billing, the Company

would likely prepare the data and allocations using the twelve-month period ending

26

27

28

January 31.

- 1 Q: How does the Company plan to apply the credit to customer accounts?
- 2 A: The Company proposes to apply the credit to customer bills within a reasonable time
- 3 following the effective date of rates in these cases. More specifically, within the billing
- 4 process bills are sent to customers in a series of cycles that progress through the month.
- 5 The credit would be applied consistent with these cycles, over the course of the billing
- 6 month, such that at the completion of the cycles, all credits would be distributed.
- 7 Q: Does that conclude your testimony?
- 8 A: Yes, it does.

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Kansas City Power & Light Company's Request for Authority to Implement A General Rate Increase for Electric Service	) Case No. ER-2018-0145
In the Matter of KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company's Request for Authority to Implement A General Rate Increase for Electric Service	) Case No. ER-2018-0146 )
AFFIDAVIT (	OF BRADLEY D. LUTZ
STATE OF MISSOURI )	
COUNTY OF JACKSON )	
Bradley D. Lutz, being first duly sworn on	his oath, states:
1. My name is Bradley D. Lutz. I wo	ork in Kansas City, Missouri, and I am employed by Kansas
City Power & Light Company as Manager – Regul	atory Affairs.
2. Attached hereto and made a part he	ereof for all purposes is my Rebuttal Testimony on behalf of
Kansas City Power & Light Company and KC	P&L Greater Missouri Operations Company consisting of
() pages, having been p	repared in written form for introduction into evidence in the
above-captioned docket.	
3. I have knowledge of the matters se	t forth therein. I hereby swear and affirm that my answers
contained in the attached testimony to the question	s therein propounded, including any attachments thereto, are
true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, info	rmation and belief.  Lutz
Subscribed and sworn before me this day of Notary	Ath Rublic
My commission expires: 4/24/2021	ANTHONY R WESTENKIRCHNER Notary Public, Notary Seal State of Missouri Platte County Commission # 17279952 My Commission Expires April 26, 2021