FILED
August 28, 2007
Data Center
Missouri Public
Service Commission

Exhibit No.:

Issue(s):

District Cost of Service/

Class Cost of Service/

Rate Design

Witness/Type of Exhibit: Meisenheimer/Rebuttal

Public Counsel

Sponsoring Party: Case No.:

WR-2007-0216

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

BARBARA A. MEISENHEIMER

Submitted on Behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel

Missouri-American Water Company

Case No. WR-2007-0216

July 13, 2007

Exhibit Exhibit

Case No(s)

__Rptr_

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Water Conto Implement))))	WR-2007-0216
	AFFIDAVIT OF BA	RBARA A.	MEISENHEIMER
	F MISSOURI)	SS	
COUNTY	OF COLE)		İ
Barbara A.	Meisenheimer, of lawful age ar	nd being first duly	sworn, deposes and states:
1.	My name is Barbara A. Meise of the Public Counsel.	enheimer. I am th	he Chief Utility Economist for the Office
2.	Attached hereto and made a p	art hereof for all	purposes is my rebuttal testimony.
3.	I hereby swear and affirm that true and correct to the best of	at my statements my knowledge a	contained in the attached testimony are delief.
		/	Barbara A. Meisenheimer
Subscribed	A and sworn to me this 13th day KENDELLE R. STRATTON My Commission Expires February 4, 2011 Cole County Commission #07004782		ndelle R. Stratton, Notary Public

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF BARBARA A. MEISENHEIMER

MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

CASE NO. WR-2007-0216

Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME	TITLE, AND	BUSINESS A	ADDRESS
~		,		TO COUR

A. Barbara Meisenheimer, Chief Economist, Office of the Public Counsel, P. O. Box 2230, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.

Q. HAVE YOU TESTIFIED PREVIOUSLY IN THIS CASE?

A. Yes, I submitted direct testimony on the issues of district rate design for the Missouri American Water Company (MAWC or the Company) on June 12, 2007.

Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?

A. The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is: (1) to update Office of the Public Counsel (OPC or Public Counsel)'s rate design recommendation based on the revised and updated Class Cost of Service (CCOS) studies prepared by Public Counsel and the Public Service Commission Staff (Staff); and (2) to respond to certain rate design proposals made by the Company, Michael Gorman on behalf of Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers (MIEC) and Donald Johnstone on behalf of the City of Parkville and AG Processing, Inc.

1

3

2

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

8

9 10

12

11

13 14

15 16

17

18

I. Updated Rate Design

- PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PURPOSE OF YOUR UPDATE TO THE RATE DESIGN Q. RECOMMENDATION.
- The OPC CCOS study that was filed in the direct testimony was based on A. Company and Staff provided accounting data, demand data and billing determinants. After the filing of OPC's direct testimony, updated information I have revised OPC's CCOS study with the updated became available. information.
- HOW HAVE THE RESULTS OF YOUR STUDIES CHANGED SINCE DIRECT Q. **TESTIMONY?**
- In most cases, the changes to the OPC studies caused only minimal change in the A. class cost allocation percentages and my rate design recommendation. I have adjusted St. Louis County costs and revenues to reflect rate groups "A and Others", J&D, B, Private Fire and Public Fire. A summary of OPC's CCOS study results and the workpapers for individual districts are provided in Schedule BAM I also need to clarify that I did not REB-1 through Schedule BAM REB-9. prepare a CCOS for the Warren County Water because the district has such a small number of customers, only two rate groups and uniform rates.

WR-2007-0216 Rebuttal Testimony of Barbara A. Meisenheimer

II. Responses to other parties

- Q. PLEASE COMPARE THE RESULTS OF THE CCOS STUDIES FILED BY OPC, THE STAFF.
- A. Table R1 summarizes the Staff and Public Counsel CCOS and Current Revenue Percentages by customer class.

La	ible KI - CI	ass Cost OI .	Service and R	evenue i cic	PUBLIC	SALES FOR	PRIVATE
		RESIDENTIAL	COMMERCIAL	INDUSTRIAL	AUTHORITY		
					AUTHORITY	RESALE	FIRE
	OPC Cost	43.29%	11.67%	0.18%	2.37%	42.48%	NA
Brunswick	OPC Revenue	55.08%	13.52%	0.29%	1.99%	29.13%	NA
	Staff Cost	76.80%	19.40%	0.20%	2.10%	0.00%	1,50%
District		75.10%	18.70%	0.40%	2.30%	0.00%	3.60%
	Staff Revenue	/5.10%	18.7076	0.4076	2.3070	0.0070	5.0070
	OPC Cost	50.74%	28.97%	6.11%	14.19%	0.00%	NA
Jefferson City	OPC Revenue	55.75%	29.88% :	5.06%	9.31%	0.00%	NA
District	Staff Cost	53.50%	25.70%	4.10%	15.60%	0.00%	1.00%
	Staff Revenue	54.80%	29.90%	5.70%	6.70%	0.00%	3.00%
	OPC Cost	48.43%	17.72%	26.98%	2.27%	4.60%	NA
	OPC Revenue	54.01%	22.67%	18.11%	2.50%	2.71%	NA
Joplin District	Staff Cost	49.50%	22:30%	20.10%	2.50%	3.90%	1.50%
	Staff Revenue	54.10%	23.40%	17.10%	2.60%	2.80%	0.00%
	Start Revenue	34.1070	25.4076	17.1070	2.0070	2.0070	010070
	OPC Cost	44.26%	14.25%	15.45%	9.56%	16.48%	NA
Mexico	OPC Revenue	51.20%	13.78%	14.82%	7.7 7%	12.44%	NA
District	Staff Cost	50.30%	13.60%	14.20%	7.80%	13.00%	1.20%
	Staff Revenue	47.50%	13.30%	15.50%	7.60%	12.10%	4.00%
	OPC Cost	48.70%	38.42%	0.93%	1.66%	10.29%	NA
Parkville	OPC Revenue	73.04%	19.70%	0.68%	1.50%	5.07%	NA
District	Staff Cost	72.60%	17.40%	0.60%	1.30%	6.60%	1.50%
District	Staff Revenue	73.50%	18.80%	0.70%	1.50%	5.20%	0:00%
	0000	D3 (10)	5 ()9/	0.019/	0.77%	0.00%	NA
	OPC Cost	93.61%	5.61%	0.01%	1.81%	0.00%	NA NA
St Charles	OPC Revenue	87.77%	10.40%	0.03%	1.40%	0.00%	1.60%
District	Staff Cost	88.20%	8.90%			0.00%	1.50%
	Staff Revenue	86.70%	10.40%	0.00%	1.40%	0.00%	1.3076
	OPC Cost	43.35%	15.33% l	21.58%	4.06%	15.68%	NA
St Joseph	OPC Revenue	50.97%	18.40% ;	14.66%	3.86%	12.11%	NA
District	Staff Cost	50.90%	16.60%	14.40%	3.80%	13.30%	1.00%
	Staff Revenue	49.80%	18.20%	14.10%	3.90%	12.70%	1.30%
	OPC Cost	60.60%	10.69%	3.87%	13.99%	10.84%	NA
Warrensburg	OPC Revenue	56.89%	20.22%	2.30%	13.43%	7.16%	NA
_	Staff Cost	61.00%	16.90%	2.00%	12.00%	6.80%	1.30%
District	Staff Revenue	54.70%	20.30%	2.30%	13.00%	7.10%	2.70%
	Statt Revenue	34.1070	20.3070	2.5070	15.0070	7.1070	 , 0, 0
	OPC Cost	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Warren	OPC Revenue	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA 1 2021
County Water	Staff Cost	61.00%	16.90%	2.00%	12.00%	6.80%	1.30%
	Staff Revenue	54.70%	20.30%	2.30%	13.00%	7.10%	2.70%
		RATE A, K, H	RATEJ&D	RATE B	RATE F&E		
	OPC Cost	82.26%	17.10%	0.64%	0.00%		
St. Louis	OPC Revenue	89.79%	9.32%	0.89%	0.00%		
District	Staff Cost	88.40%	8.60%	2.50%	0.40%		
Distill	Staff Revenue	89:90%	7.30%	1.70%	1.10%		

Q. PLEASE COMMENT ON THE CCOS RESULTS.

A. The Public Counsel and Staff CCOS studies indicate that the Residential Class revenue percentage is close to cost of service percentage for almost all districts. While the other classes tend to show greater differences between revenue and cost percentage, I hesitate to rely to heavily on the results for these other classes due in part to greater sensitivity to small changes in allocations that they tend to exhibit and due to lingering questions related to the quality of data available.

Q. BASED ON THE CCOS RESULTS DO YOU RECOMMEND SIGNIFICANT SHIFTS IN CLASS REVENUE RESPONSIBILITY?

A. No. Since the Residential Class appears to be fairly well aligned with cost of service I do not recommend significant changes in this case. While Public Counsel may agree to limited adjustments based on other parties' rebuttal testimony, we can support equal percentage class revenue adjustments within each district as a reasonable outcome in this case.

Q. ARE THERE STILL UNRESOLVED ISSUES WITH YOUR CCOS STUDIES?

A. Yes. Although Public Counsel is not proposing to use the CCOS study results in setting specific rates, the studies are helpful as a guide. I anticipate making additional adjustments as better information is available or as corrections are needed.

Q. YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY INDICATED THAT PUBLIC COUNSEL GENERALLY SUPPORTS DISTRICT SPECIFIC PRICING. ARE THER EXCEPTIONS IN THIS CASE?

A. Yes. Customers in the Brunswick and Warren County Water Districts may experience rates that more than double if full movement to district cost of service were ordered in this case. In Case WR-2003-0500 (prior to the acquisition of Warren County Water) Staff proposed that to make meaningful movement toward cost of service for the Brunswick district, the customer charge and commodity charges for Brunswick should be set at the level of the related rate in the next highest district. I believe that a similar proposal should be implemented in this case because such a proposal for Brunswick and Warren County would help to mitigate potentially detrimental rate shock while reducing the subsidy burden of other districts. I recommend that the subsidy needed to cover Brunswick's undercollection be collected in St. Louis rates and that the subsidy needed to cover St. Charles under-collection be collected in St. Charles rates. Schedule BAM REB-2 illustrates my proposal for each district based on the Staff's updated revenue requirement.

Q. HOW DO YOU RECOMMEND THAT CLASS RATES BE SET?

A. Generally, I believe it would be reasonable to increase the customer charge and volumetric rate elements by an equal percent. There is a proposal to develop uniform rates for the classes in the St. Joseph district which I address later in this testimony.

- Q. DO YOU BELIEVE THE DISTRICT REVENUE ADJUSTMENTS AND CLASS RATE DESIGN YOU HAVE DESCRIBED ABOVE IS PREFERABLE TO THE THOSE ADVOCATED BY OTHER PARTIES?
- A. Yes. The Company proposes to adjust the revenue requirement of all districts by an equal percent. This proposal does not reflect cost causation and makes no meaningful movement toward district cost of service. The Company's districts are not interconnected and in many cases have significant differences in the type of plant and the cost of plant used to provide service. It is reasonable that to the extent possible, while mitigating potential rate shock, districts should pay the district cost of service.

With respect to class rate design, the Staff appears to propose to take all classes to the level of cost recovery suggested by the Staff CCOS studies for each district. I am concerned that a number of considerations make equal percentage changes in class rates preferable. These considerations include the proximity of the Residental revenue percentage to the Residental cost percentages, the quality of information that both the Staff and Public Counsel relied on in order to perform CCOS studies and the potentially significant overall increase that may be approved in this case.

- Q. PLEASE COMMENT ON THE CONSOLIDATED BILLING PROPOSAL DESCRIBED ON PAGE 4 OF MR. GORMAN'S DIRECT TESTIMONY.
- A. MIEC does not state the number of customers that would qualify for consolidated billing or the class revenue impacts of such a proposal. Since the proposal would allow qualified customers to aggregate volumetric use from multiple meters for

WR-2007-0216 Rebuttal Testimony of Barbara A. Meisenheimer

billing purposes, I expect that the impact would be to shift billing units from the higher cost lower block levels into lower cost higher block levels resulting in bill reductions for those customers. Without evidence of corresponding cost savings to support the bill reductions, the Company will likely look to other customers to assume greater cost responsibility. At this time, Public Counsel opposes the proposal unless it can be designed and implemented in a manner that avoids shifts in cost recovery between classes.

- Q. PLEASE COMMENT ON THE UNIFORM BLOCK RATE PROPOSAL DESCRIBED ON PAGE S 4-7 OF MR. JOHNSTONE'S DIRECT TESTIMONY.
- A. In general, Public Counsel does not oppose designing uniform block rates across customer classes. Currently, the Parkville and Warren County Water Districts have uniform rates for all classes and the Jefferson City District has uniform rates for all classes except the interruptible classes.

I do not agree entirely with the method of developing uniform rates that Mr. Johnstone proposes because his method of developing rates for the highest two blocks shifts the proportion of revenue collected between blocks creating significant benefits for large use customers at the expense of smaller customers. Instead, to accomplish a uniform block rate design that also maintains the proportion of revenue collected from each block, I would propose to simply divide the combined revenue from all classes use in a block by the combined use from all classes in the block. This averaging within a block is the same method Mr. Johnstone used to calculate a uniform rate for the first two blocks and will produce uniformity in the higher blocks without adversely shifting revenue responsibility between blocks. Schedule BAM REB-3 illustrates my proposal.

Q

10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

19

18

Q. PLEASE COMMENT ON THE STRAIGHT FIXED VARIABLE RATE DESIGN DISCUSSION INCLUDED ON PAGE 7 OF MR. JOHNSTONE'S DIRECT TESTIMONY.

A. Public Counsel opposes implementing a straight fixed variable (SFV) rate design for many reasons.

First, Public Counsel strongly opposed the Commission's decision to implement a SFV rate design for the Atmos and MGE gas distribution rates based on evidence that a SFV rate design was not representative of cost causation. Application of a SFV method in designing water rates is likewise inappropriate based on cost causation for distribution plant and introduces the additional complexity of how to handle water production costs and treatment costs. Mr. Johnstone offers no recommendations on these issues.

Second, as was true for Atmos, MAWC has many districts and implementing a SFV rate design will likely have tremendously differing impacts on customers within each district. Mr. Johnstone has not attempted to quantify these impacts on a revenue neutral basis let alone in conjunction with the substantial increases in total revenue requirement proposed for the districts.

Third, in the Atmos and MGE cases, the Commission conditioned approval of the SFV rate design on implementation of efficiency initiatives. Mr. Johnstone offers no such recommendations in this case.

WR-2007-0216 Rebuttal Testimony of Barbara A. Meisenheimer

10

11

Finally, it is important to note that following the Atmos and MGE decisions, the parties to both the AmerenUE Gas and Laclede Gas Stipulations agreed to more traditional rate designs. While the parties committed to a more traditional rate design solely for purpose of settling those cases, it does demonstrate that a more traditional rate design can be considered a reasonable outcome. Public Counsel urges the Commission to reject extending the SFV rate design beyond the cases in which it has already approved such a rate design and before being presented with reasonable justification for applying the SFV rate design to water rates.

Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?

A. Yes.

Table of Contents

I.	UPDATED RATE DESIGN	2
TT	DECDONCES TO OTHER DARTIES	-

Office of the Public Counsel MAWC Class Cost of Service Summary

Brunswick District

CLASS COS	T OF SERVICE SUMMARY:		TOTAL	RESIDENTIAL	COMMERCIAL.	INDUSTRIAL	OTHER PUBLIC AUTHORITY	SALES FOR RESALE	PRIVATE FIRE SERVICE	PUBLIC FIRE SERVICE
1 O & M Expen	ises		448,417	224,549	54.189	869	8.960	149,814	1,210	8,826
2 Depreciation I	Expenses TOIT Def Tax Exp		80.606	41,894	9,298	124	1.324	22,106	622	5,238
3 Current Incom	ne Taxes		(159.271)	(82,805)	(18.080)	(233)	(2.519)	(41.979)	(1.486)	(12,168)
4 TOT#	AL Expenses and Taxes	_	369,752	183,639	45,407	760	7,765	129,941	345	1.896
Spread of fire	expenses & taxes to others	15	2.241	1.858	321	10	<u>2</u> 9	24	(345)	(1.896)
7 101/	AL Expenses and Taxes after Spread	_	369,752	185,496	45,728	769	7.794	129,965	-	
) Current Revei									_	
	Revenue		188.514	99,879	24.813	523	3.682	54,853	4,764	0
	r Revenue	25	210	111	26	0	4	68	0	0
	revenue to others	15 _	4.764	3,950	682	20	61	. 51	(4,764)	0
	Al. Current Revenues		188,724	103,940	25.521	544	3,747	54,972	0	*
Curre	ent Revenue Percentage		100.00%	55.08%	13.52%	0,29%	1.99%	29.13%	4.764	0.00%
6 Net OPERAT 7	ING INCOME		(181.028)	(81.556)	(20,207)	(226)	(4.047)	(74.993)	0	O
/ 8 TOTAL Rate 9	Base		1,556,347	809,146	176.672	2.278	24.616	410,205	14.524	118,905
	rate base to others	15	133,430	110,625	19,099	570	1.710	1,425	(14,524)	(118,905)
	AL Rate Base ofter Spread		1,556,347	9[9,77]	195,770	2.848	26,327	411,630		
3 Implicit Rate	of Return (ROR)		-11.63°°	-8.87%	-10.32%	-7.92%	-15.37%	-18.22%		
	g Income with Equalized ROR		(181.028)	(106.984)	(22.771)	(331)	(3.062)	(47.879)		
5 7 - Class COS wi	ith Equalized ROR		369,752	160.068	43,163	664	8,779	157,079		
8-Glass GOS-Pe	ercentage — — — · — · — - — — -			43.29%	11.67%	0.18%		42.48%		
9 0 Staff Midpoin	nt RÓR		6.40%	6.40%	6.40%	6,40%	6.40° o	6.40%		
1 2 Net Operating	g Income with Recommended ROR		99,606	58,865	12,529	182	1.685	26,344		
3 True-up plus		25	213,630	113,444	26,568	427	4.211	68.980		
	ith Staff Recommended ROR	-	682,988	357,805	84,825	1,379	13.690	225,289		
Class COS P	Percentage		100.00%	52.39%	12,42%	0.20%		32.99%		
6 7			494,264	253,865	59,304	835	9,942	170,317		
8										
9 Current Reve.			188,724	103,940	25,521	544	3.747	54,972		
0 Class Percen	ntage		100.00%	55.08%	13.52%	0.29%	1.99%	29.13%		
	rith Equalized ROR		369.752	160.068	43,163	664	8.779	157,079		
3 Class COS P			100.00%	43.29%	11.67%	0.18%	2.37%	42.48%		
4 S. Nas Overstin	a feeting to the Employee		(181.028)	(106,984)	(22,771)	(331)	(3.062)	(47,879)		
	g Income with Equalized ROR		(181.028)	(25,428)		(106)		27.114		
	atral Shift to Equalize Class ROR rease Decrease % of Current Revenue		0.00%			-19,42%		49,32%		
8										
	ue Neutral Shift		υ	(12.714)				13,557		
50 Revenue incr	rease Decrease Percentage		6°00.0	-12.23%	-5.02%	-9,71%	13.14%	24,66%	•	
51								10.550		
51	utral Margin Revenue		188,724 100,00%	91.276 48.34%	24.239 12.84%	49] 0,26%	4,239 2.25%	48,529 36,31%		

Office Of Public Counsel MAWC Class Cost of Service Summary

Jefferson City District

	CLASS COST OF SERVICE SUMMARY:		TOTAL	RESIDENTIAL	COMMERCIAL	INDUSTRIAI.	OTHER PUBLIC AUTHORITY	SALES FOR RESALE	PRIVATE FIRE SERVICE	PUBLIC FIRE SERVICE
l	O & M Expenses		3,018,341	1,555,570	869,227	168,610	339,478	0	21,109	64,347
2	Depreciation ExpensesTOIT Def Tax Exp		800.029	382,063	229,731	42,777	80,635	0	12,355	52,468
3	Taxes		(13.661)	(6.474)	(3,950)	(724)	(1,365)	0	(220)	(928)
4 5	10TAL Expenses and Taxes		3,804,709	1.931,158	1,095,008	210,663	418,749	•	33,244	115,887
6	Spread of fire expenses & taxes to others	1.5	149,131	99,499	23.827	1.139	24,665	0	(33,244)	(115,887)
7 8	TOTAL Expenses and Taxes after Spread		3,804,709	2.030,658	1.118.835	211,802	443,414	•		-
9	Current Revenue									
10	Rate Revenue		4,123.965	2,223,984	1,213,984	207,424	364,528	0	114,045	0
t t	Other Revenue	25	27,334	14,280	8,142	1.560	3,089	0	264	0
	Spread of fire revenue to others	15	114,309	76,266	18.264	873	18,906	0	(114,309)	0
13	TOTAL Current Revenues		4,151,299	2.314.530	1,240,389	209,857	386,523	0	0	0
14 15	Current Revenue Percentage		100.00%	55.75%	29.88%	5.06%	9.31%	0.00%	114,309	0.00%
16 17	NET OPERATING INCOME		346,590	283,872	121,554	(1.945)	(56,891)	0	0	0
	TOTAL Rate Base		12.326.935	5,841,894	3,564,600	653,679	1,231,286	-	198.325	837.151
	Spread of fire rate base to others	15	1.035.476	690,862	165,443	7,909	171,261	0	(198,325)	(837.151)
21 22	TOTAL Rate Base after Spread		12,326,935	6,532,757	3,730,043	661.588	1,402,547	-	=	-
23 24	Implicit Rate of Return (ROR)		2.81%	4.35%	3.26%	-0.29%	-4.06%	0.00%		
25 26	Net Operating Income with Equalized ROR		346.590	183,678	104,876	18.602	39,435	-		
	Class COS with Equalized ROR		3.804.709	1,930,463	1,102,157	232,349	539,740	-		
	Class COS Percentage		100.00%	50.74%	28.97%	6.11%	14.19%	0.00%		
	Staff Midpoint ROR		6.40%	6.40%	6.40%	6.40%	6.40%	6.40%		
	Net Operating Income with Recommended ROR		788,924	418,096	238.723	42,342	89,763	_		
	True-up plus add'l taxes	25	475.911	248.623	141.751	27,159	53,777	0		
	Class COS with Staff Recommended ROR		5.069.544	2.697,377	1,499,310	281.303	586.954			
35	Class COS Percentage		100.00%	53.21%	29.57%	5.55%	11.58%	0.00%		
36 37			918.245	382,847	258,920	71,446	200,431	-		
38	Current Revenue		4,151,299	2,314,530	1,240,389	209.857	386.523	0		
	Class Percentage		100.00%	55.75%	29.88%	5.06%	9.31%	0.00%		
41	Class I errement		100,00	2007272	27.007.	1,00,0	10.270	0.007.0		
	Class COS with Equalized ROR		3,804,709	1,930,463	1,102,157	232,349	539,740	-		
	Class COS Percentage		100.00%	50.74%	28.97%	6.11%	14.19%	0.00%		
	Net Operating Income with Equalized ROR		346,590	183,678	104,876	18.602	39,435	-		
46	Revenue Neutral Shift to Equalize Class ROR		(0)	(100.194)	(16,678)	20.547	96.326	0		
47	Revenue Increase/Decrease % of Current Revenue		0.00%	-4.33%	-1.34%	9.79%	24.92%	0.00%		
48	1/2 of Revenue Neutral Shift		(0)	(50,097)	(8,339)	10.273	48,163	0		
50	Revenue Increase/Decrease Percentage		0.00%	-2.16%	-0.67%	4.90%	12.46%	0.00%		
51	Revenue Neutral Margin Revenue		4,151,299	2.264,433	1,232,050	220,130	434,686	0		
	Recommended Class Revenue Percentage		100.00%	54.55%	29.68%	5.30%	10.47%	0.00%		
.,,,	recommended value revenue reconsulte		100.0070		27.0070		1	0.0070		

Office of the Public Counsel MAWC Class Cost of Service Summary

Joplin District

	CLASS COST OF SERVICE SUMMARY:		TOTAL	RESIDENTIAL	COMMERCIAL	INDUSTRIAL	OTHER PUBLIC AUTHORITY	SALES FOR RESALE	PRIVATE FIRE SERVICE	PUBLIC FIRE SERVICE
1	O & M Expenses		5.526.037	2,534,870	1,109,672	1,297,093	139,403	205,965	33.752	205,282
2	Depreciation Expenses FOLT Def Tax Exp		2.267,837	1,100,371	419,304	447,822	43,870	77,003	28,849	150,618
3	Taxes		(332,540)	(151.904)	(62.898)	(69,670)	(6.642)	(12,107)	(4,441)	(24,878)
4	IOJAL Expenses and Taxes		7.461.334	3,483,336	1.466.077	1,675,245	176.632	270,861	58.161	331,022
6	Spread of fire expenses & taxes to others	15	389.183	339,409	46.035	1,316	2,324	100	(58.161)	(331.022)
7 8	TOTAL Expenses and Taxes after Spread		7,461,334	3,822,746	1,512,112	1,676,561	178,956	270.960	-	•
()	Current Revenue									
10	Rate Revenue		7.598.527	3,930.228	1.702,662	1.362.141	189,072	203,363	211.051	10
11	Other Revenue	25	243.148	118.854	49,979	57.022	5,987	9,251	2.055	0
12	Spread of fire revenue to others	1.5	213.106	185.851	25,207	720	1,273	55	(213.106)	(10)
13	TOTAL Current Revenues		7.841.675	4,234,934	1,777,848	1,419.883	196.331	212,669	0	0
14 15	Current Revenue Percentage		100.00%	54.01%	22.67%	18.11%	2.50%	2,71%	213.106	0.00%
16 17	NET OPERATING INCOME		389,341	412.188	265.736	(256.678)	17.376	(58.291)	0	0
	TOTAL Rate Base		33,874,132	15,473,703	6,407,143	7.096.887	676.537	1.233,303	452.346	2.534,213
30	Spread of fire rate base to others	15	2,986,558	2,604,599	353,266	10,095	17.834	764	(452,346)	(2.534.213)
21	TOTAL Rate Base after Spread		33,874,132	18.078.302	6,760,409	7,106,983	694,371	1.234.067	-	-
23 24	Implicit Rate of Return (ROR)		1.12%	2.28%	3.93%	-3.61%	2.50%	-4.72%		
25 26	Net Operating Income with Equalized ROR		380,341	202.984	75.906	79,798	7.796	13,856		
	Class COS with Equalized ROR	-	7,461,344	3.613.542	1.322.282	2.013.036	169,377	343,108	····	
	Class COS Percentage		100.00%	48,43%	17.72%			4.60%ն		
	Staff Midpoint ROR	'	6.40%	6,40%	6.40%	6.40%	6 40%	6,40%		
32	Net Operating Income with Recommended ROR		2,167,944	1,157,011	432,666	454.847	44,440	78,980		
	True-up plus add'l taxes	25	386.899	189,122	79,527	90.733	9,526	14,721		
	Class COS with Staff Recommended ROR		10,016,177	5.168,879	2.024,305	2,222,141	232,922	364,661		
35			100.00%					3.64%		
36	Old Coo Fertings		2,554,833	1.555.337	702,023	209,105	63,545	21,553		
37			2220	***************************************						
38										
39	Current Revenue		7,841.675	4,234,934	1,777,848	1,419.883	196.331	212.669		
40 41			100.00%	54.01%	22.67%	18.11%	2.50%	2.71%		
42	Class COS with Equalized ROR		7.461.344	3.613.542	1,322,282	2,013,036	169,377	343.108		
43			100.00%					4.60%		
44	Class COS (Creemage		10000174	1102 11. 71	,,	20,70,11				
45	Net Operating Income with Equalized ROR		380.341	202.984	75.906	79.798	7.796	13.856		
46			10	(209,204)	(189,830)	336,475	(9,579)	72.148		
47 48	Revenue Increase Decrease % of Current Revenue		0.00%	-4.94%	-10.68%	23.70%	-4.88%	13,92%		
49			5	(104,602)	(94,915)	168.238	(4,790)	36.074		
50 51			0.00%					16,96°°		
-	Revenue Neutral Margin Revenue		7,841,670	4.130.332	1.682,933	1,588,121	191,542	248.743		
	Recommended Class Revenue Percentage		100.00%					3.17%		

Office of the Public Counsel MAWC Class Cost of Service Summary

Mexico District

	CLASS COST OF SERVICE SUMMARY:		TOTAL	RESIDENTIAL	COMMERCIAL	INDUSTRIAL	OTHER PUBLIC AUTHORITY	SALES FOR RESALE	PRIVATE FIRE SERVICE	PUBLIC FIRE SERVICE
- 1	O & M Expenses		1,434,079	604,962	196.788	223,073	129.691	209,070	10.922	59,574
	Depreciation Expenses TOIT Def Tax Exp		552,980	252.417	72.336	78.367	41,401	74,731	5,487	28,242
.3	Taxes		90.558	40.388	11,956	13.134	6.878	12.622	872	4,707
4	TOTAL Expenses and Taxes		2.077.617	897.766	281.080	314.574	177.970	296,422	17,281	92.523
6	Spread of fire expenses & taxes to others	15	109,804	96,832	10.095	563	2.165	148	(17.281)	(92,523)
7	TOTAL Expenses and Taxes after Spread	_	2,077.617	994,599	291.175	315,137	180,135	296,570	Ţ.,	•
8	Current Revenue									
10	Rate Revenue		2,535,447	1.222.378	341.011	374.721	194,675	314,090	88.572	0
11	Other Revenue	25	48.843	22,264	6.894	7.686	4,289	7,270	439	0
12	Spread of fire revenue to others	1.5	89.011	78,496	8.184	457	1,755	120	(89.011)	0
13	TOTAL Current Revenues	_	2,584,290	1.323.138	356,089	382.864	200.719	321,480	0	0
14 15	Current Revenue Percentage		100.00%	51,20%	13.78%	14.82%	7.77%	12.44%	89.011	0.00%
16	NET OPERATING INCOME		506,673	328,539	64,913	67,727	20,583	24,910	0	0
17 18	(2) 35.615 TOTAL Rate Base		12,633,884	5.634,550	1,668.024	1.832.317	959,627	1.760.915	121.708	656.743
19	Spread of fire rate base to others	15	778.450	686,489	71,571	3,993	15.349	1,048	(121.708)	(656.743)
21	TOTAL Rate Base after Spread	1.5 —	12.633.884	6.321,039	1.739,595	1.836,310	974.976	1,761,963	(121.77.07	(0.00.1427
22	TOTAL teate thise after appeal		1210.751004	0.05010077	1.70.70.70	1.6.00.10	774.770	1,101,110		
	Implicit Rate of Return (ROR)		4.01%	5.20%	3.73%	3.69%	2.11%	1.41%		
	Net Operating Income with Equalized ROR		506,673	253,501	69.765	73,644	39,101	70,662		
-	Class COS with Equalized ROR	_	2,077.617	919,560	296,027	321,055	198.653	342.322		
	Class COS Percentage		100.00%	44,26%	14.25%	15.45%	9.56%	16.48%		
29	Class COS Tercemage		100.0079	44,2070	14,2370	127.42.78	7.5076	10.4019		
30	Staff Midpoint ROR		6.40%	6,40%	6.40%	6.40%	6.40%	6.40%		
31	Net Operating Income with Recommended ROR		808,569	404,546	111,334	117,524	62,398	112,766		
	True-up plus add'l taxes	25	544,243	248.086	76,813	85.649	47.790	81,013		
	Class COS with Staff Recommended ROR		3.430.429	1,647,231	479,322	518,310	290.324	490,349		
	Class COS Percentage		100.00%	48.02%	13,97%	15.11%	8.46%	11.29%		
36			1,352.812	727,671	183.295	197,256	91.671	148.027		
37			70.52.012	721,077	100,275	1771210				
38	Contract		2.584.290	1.323.138	356,089	382.864	200,719	321,480		
	Current Revenue				13.78%		7.77%	12.44%		
	Class Percentage		100.00%	51.20%	13.7874	14.82%	7.77 70	14.44 /0		
41	Class COC and Careful HOD		2,077,617	919.560	296.027	321.055	198,653	342,322		
	Class COS with Equalized ROR		100.00%	44.26%	14.25%	15.45%	9.56%	16.48%		
44	Class COS Percentage		100.00 /4	44.20 /8	14.2.3 /4	15545 78	7,50 74	10.40 /1		
45	Net Operating Income with Equalized ROR		506.673	253,501	69,765	73.644	39.101	70.662		
46	Revenue Neutral Shift to Equalize Class ROR		0	(75.039)	4.852	5,917	18.517	45,752		
	Revenue Increase Decrease % of Current Revenue		0.00%	-5,67%	1.36%	1.55%	9.23%	14,23%		
48										
49	1.2 of Revenue Neutral Shift		0	(37.519)	2,426	2,959	9,259	22.876		
50 51	•		0.00%	-2.84%	0.68%	0.77%	4.61%	7.12%		
	Revenue Neutral Margin Revenue		2.584,290	1.285.619	358,515	385.823	209,978	344,356		
	Recommended Class Revenue Percentage		100.00%	49,75%	13.87%	14.93%	8.13%	13.32%		
	trecommender a mon treveller i aleanings									

Office of the Public Counsel MAWC Class Cost of Service Summary

Parkville District

CLASS COST OF SERVICE SUMMARY:		TOTAL	RESIDENTIAL	COMMERCIAL	INDUSTRIAL	OTHER PUBLIC AUTHORITY	SALES FOR RESALE	PRIVATE FIRE SERVICE	PUBLIC FIRE SERVICE
O & M Expenses		1.232.581	772.290	257,441	11.361	24.934	92.295	11,403	62.856
Depreciation ExpensesTOIT Def Tax Exp		883.263	480.232	222.917	5.922	10.383	61.763	15,140	86,907
Taxes		271.698	148.138	66.424	1.857	3.162	19.943	4.512	27.662
TOTAL Expenses and Taxes	_	2,387,542	1,400,660	546.783	19,140	38.479	174,000	31.055	177,425
Spread of fire expenses & taxes to others	15	208.480	103.892	103.892	73	498	125	(31.055)	(177,425)
TOTAL Expenses and Taxes after Spread		2.387.542	1.504.552	650.675	19.213	38,977	174,125	-	-
Current Revenue									
Rate Revenue		3.161.898	2,270,945	578.465	21,371	47.177	159,291	84.624	25
Other Revenue	25	37,780	23.707	9.569	317	616	3,000	572	0
Spread of fire revenue to others	15	85.196	42.456	42.456	30	204	51	(85,196)	(25)
TOTAL Current Revenues		3,199,678	2.337.108	630,490	21.718	47.996	162,342	0	0
Current Revenue Percentage		100.00°a	73.04° o	19.70%	0.68%	1.50%	5 07%	85.196	0.00%
NET OPERATING INCOME (305,465) 35.615		812.136	832,556	(20,185)	2.505	9.019	(11.783)	0	0
3 TOTAL Rate Base		12.176.352	6.638.906	2,976,860	83,231	141.704	893.747	202,213	1,239,691
Spread of fire rate base to others	15	1.441,904	718.547	718,547	505	3,445	861	(202.213)	(1.239,691)
TOTAL Rate Base after Spread		12.176.352	7,357,453	3,695,406	83,735	145,149	894.608	-	-
Implicit Rate of Return (ROR)		6.67%	11.32%	-0.55° a	2,99%	6.21%	-1.32%		
Net Operating Income with Equalized ROR		812,136	490.726	246,476	5.585	9.681	59.668		
7 Class COS with Equalized ROR	_	2.387.567	1.162.722	917.336	22,294	39,639	245.577		
8 Class COS Percentage		\$200,009	48.70%	38.42%	0.93%	1.66%	10.29%		
) Staff Midpoint ROR		6 4 0%	6 40%	6,40%	6.40%	6.40%	6.40%		
Net Operating Income with Recommended ROR		779.287	470,877	236.506	5.359	9.290	57,255		
True-up plus add'l taxes	25	746,530	468,447	189.075	6.267	12.170	59,276		
Class COS with Staff Recommended ROR		3.913.359	2.443.876	1.076.256	30,839	60,437	290.656		
Class COS Percentage		100,00%	62.45%	27.50%	0.79%	1.54%	7.43%		
,		1.525.792	1.281.154	158,920	8.546	20,798	45.079		
7 8									
9 Current Revenue		3,199.678	2,337,108	630,490	21.718	47.996	162,342		
O Class Percentage		100.00%	73.04%	19.70%	0.68%	1.50%	5.07%		
1									
2 Class COS with Equalized ROR		2.387.567	1.162.722	917.336	22,294	39,639	245,577		
3 Class COS Percentage		100.00%	48.70%	38.42%	0.93%	1.66%	10.29%		
4									
5 Net Operating Income with Equalized ROR		812,136	490,726	246.476	5,585	9.681	59,668		
Revenue Neutral Shift to Equalize Class ROR		25	(341,830)	266.661	3.080	662	71.452		
Revenue Increase Decrease % of Current Revenue		0.00%	-14.63%				44 01%		
8 D. 1/2 of Barrenson Neutral Shift		12	(170.915)	133,330	1.540	331	35,726		
9 1/2 of Revenue Neutral Shift		0.00%	-7.31%				22.01%		
0 Revenue Increase Decrease Percentage		0.00*9	-7.0170	. ≟1,1,256	1.0970	0.0976	22.0170		
1 2 Revenue Neutral Margin Revenue		3.199.666	2.166.193	763,820	23.258	48,327	198.068		
3 Recommended Class Revenue Percentage		100,00%					6.19%		
								s	chedule BAM REI

Office of the Public Counsel MAWC Class Cost of Service Summary

St Charles District

CLASS	COST OF SERVICE SUMMARY:		TOTAL.	RESIDENTIAL	COMMERCIAL	INDUSTRIAL	OTHER PUBLIC AUTHORITY	SALES FOR RESALE	PRIVATE FIRE SERVICE	PUBLIC FIRE SERVICE
1 O&MI	Expenses		3,632,934	2,967.469	297,739	773	54,689	0	37,506	274,758
	ation ExpensesTOIT Def Tax Exp		2,001,331	1,546,858	151,642	295	23,285	0	28,393	250,858
3 Taxes			1,073,713	821,956	87.935	172	13,660	0	15,540	134,451
4	TOTAL Expenses and Taxes	_	6,707,978	5,336,283	537,316	1.239	91,633	0	81,439	660,067
5 6 Spread o	of fire expenses & taxes to others	15	741,506	716.061	23,685	26	1.735		(81,439)	(660.067)
7 8	TOTAL Expenses and Taxes after Spread	_	6.707.978	6.052,345	561.000	1.265	93,368	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	-
-	Revenue									
10	Rate Revenue		9,148,141	7,895,445	951.030	2,427	165,921	0	133.318	0
	Other Revenue	25	292,321	257.352	26.346	58	4,378		4,186	0
	of fire revenue to others	15	137,504	132.786	4.392	5	322		(137.504)	U
,	TOTAL Current Revenues	· -	9,440,463	8,285,583	981,769	2,490	170,621	0	0	0
14	Current Revenue Percentage		100.00%	87,77%	10.40%	0.03%	1.81%		137.504	0.00%
15 16 NET OF 17	PERATING INCOME (2,225,814) 35,615		2,732,485	2,233,238	420,768	1,225	77,253	0	0	0
	Rate Base		38,775,303	29.683,540	3.175,610	6.197	493,294	-	561.186	4.855,476
	of fire rate base to others	15	5.416.662	5,230.788	173.016	186	12,671		(561.186)	(4.855,476)
	TOTAL Rate Base after Spread	_	38,775,303	34,914,328	3.348,627	6.384	505,965		-	-
	Rate of Return (ROR) 162		7.05%	6.40%	12.57%	19.19%	15.27%			
	rating Income with Equalized ROR		2,732,485	2,460,403	235.977	450	35.655	-		
	OS with Equalized ROR	_	6,707,978	6,279,509	376.209	489	51.770	-		
	OS Percentage		100.00%	93.61%	5.61%	0.01%	0.77%	0.00%		
30 Staff Mi	idpoint ROR		6.40%	6.40%	6.40%	6.40%	6.40%	6.40%		
	rating Income with Recommended ROR		2,481,619	2,234.517	214.312	409	32.382	_		
	plus add't taxes	25	1.147,977	1,010.649	103,465	228	17,195			
	OS with Staff Recommended ROR		10,337,574	9,297,511	878,777	1,901	142,945			
	OS Percentage		100.00%	89.94%	8.50%	0.02%	1.38%	0.00%		
36 Ciass C	OS referentage		3,629,596	3,018.001	502,568	1.412	91,174	-		
37			3,023,370	3,010,001	.702,300	1,412	71,174	-		
38 39 Current	Revenue		9,440,463	8,285,583	981,769	2,490	170,621	0		
40 Class Po	ercentage		100.00%	87.77%	10.40%	0.03%	1.81%	0.00%		
	OS with Equalized ROR		6,707,978	6,279,509	376,209	489	51,770	-		
43 Class C	OS Percentage		100.00%	93.61%	5.61%	0.01%	0.77%	0.00%		
44 45 Net Ope	erating Income with Equalized ROR		2,732,485	2,460,403	235,977	450	35,655	-		
	e Neutral Shift to Equalize Class ROR		0	227,165	(184,791)	(775)	(41,598)			
	e Increase/Decrease % of Current Revenue		0.00%	2.74%	-18.82%	-31,14%	-24.38%			
	evenue Neutral Shift		0	113,582	(92,396)	(388)	(20,799)	0		
50 Revenue	e Increase/Decrease Percentage		0.00%	1.37%	-9.41%	-15.57%	-12.19%	*		
51 52 Revenue	e Neutral Margin Revenue		9.440,463	8,399,165	889,373	2,102	149.822	0		
	nended Class Revenue Percentage		100.00%	88.97%	9.42%	0.02%	1.59%	6200.0	Schedul	e BAM REB 1-6

Office of the Public Counsel MAWC Class Cost of Service Summary

St Joseph District

CLASS COST OF SERVICE SUMMARY:	-	TOTAL	RESIDENTIAL	COMMERCIAL	INDUSTRIAL	OTHER PUBLIC AUTHORITY	SALES FOR RESALE	PRIVATE FIRE SERVICE	PUBLIC FIRE SERVICE
O & M Expenses		7,789,711	3.512.092	1.298.682	1.353.324	315.544	1.032.301	34.806	242,962
Depreciation Expenses TOIT Def Tax Exp		3.897.129	1.638,911	642,799	697.686	145.023	548,338	28.541	195.831
Taxes		433,466	176,761	72.497	79.883	16.468	62.959	3.152	21.747
TOTAL Expenses and Taxes	_	12.120.306	5.327.764	2,013,977	2.130.893	477.035	1.643.598	66,500	460.540
Spread of fire expenses & taxes to others	15	527,040	471.965	49.278	2.133	3.333	330	(66,500)	(460,540)
TOTAL Expenses and Taxes after Spread	_	12.120.306	5.799.730	2.063.255	2.133.025	480,368	1.643.928	-	P
Current Revenue									
Rate Revenue		15.142.852	7.577,212	2.772.889	2.207.747	583,103	1.827.213	174.687	1
Other Revenue	25	292,119	131,819	50.657	54.060	11,893	41.902	1.788	0
Spread of fire revenue to others	15	176,475	158.034	16.500	714	1.116	111	(176,475)	<u>(1)</u>
TOTAL Current Revenues	_	15,434,972	7.867.065	2,840,047	2,262,521	596.112	1,869,225	0	0
Current Revenue Percentage		100.00°%	50,97%	18.40°6	14,66%	3.86%	12.11%	176.475	0.00%
6 NET OPERATING INCOME 7 (2.807,995) 35,615		3.314.666	2.067.335	776.792	129,496	115,744	225.298	0	0
TOTAL Rate Base		80.250.069	32,724,837	13,421,719	14.789.164	3.048.738	11.655.925	583.578	4.026.108
Spread of fire rate base to others	15	4.609,686	4,127,984	431.007	18.652	29.153	2.889	(583,578)	(4.026.108)
TOTAL Rate Base after Spread	-	80.250.069	36.852.821	13.852.726	14.807.816	3.077.892	11.658.814		-
! - Implicit Rate of Return (ROR)		4.13%	5.61%	5.61%	0.87%	3.76%	1.93%		
Net Operating Income with Equalized ROR		3.314.666	1.522.177	572.176	611.625	127.130	481.558		
Class COS with Equalized ROR	_	12.120.307	5,254,571	1.858,640	2.615.154	491.753	1,900.188		
Class COS Percentage		100 00%	43 35%	15.33%	21 58%	4.06%	15.68%		
):		6.40%	6.40%	6.40%	6.40%	6.40° o	6,40%		
Net Operating Income with Recommended ROR		5.136,004	2,358.581	886,574	947,700	196.985	746.164		
True-up plus add'l taxes	25	1.860.301	839.463	322,599	344,271	75.740	266.843		
Class COS with Staff Recommended ROR		19.116.611	8,997,774	3,272,429	3,424.996	753,093	2.656.935		
Class COS Percentage		100.00%	47.07%	17.12%	17.92%	3.94%	13.90%		
· ·		6.996.304	3.743,203	1,413,789	809.842	261,340	756.7 4 6		
7 \$									
Current Revenue		15.434.972	7,867,065	2.840.047	2,262,521	596.112	1,869,225		
Class Percentage		100.00%	50.97%	18.40%	14.66%	3,861/4	12.11%		
Class COS with Equalized ROR		12,120,307	5,254.571	1.858.640	2,615.154	491,753	1,900.188		
Class COS Percentage		100.00%	43.35%	15.33%	21.58%	4.06%	15.68%		
Net Operating Income with Equalized ROR		3.314,666	1.522,177	572,176	611.625	127,130	481.558		
6 Revenue Neutral Shift to Equalize Class ROR		1	(545,159)				256,261		
Revenue Increase Decrease % of Current Revenue		0.00%	-6 93%	-7.20%	21.31%	191%	13.71%		
1/2 of Revenue Neutral Shift		0	(272.579)	(102,308)	241.065		128.130		
Revenue Increase Decrease Percentage I		0.00%	-3.46%	-3.60%	10.65%	0.95%	6.X5%	•	
1 2 Revenue Neutral Margin Revenue		15,434,971	7,594,485	2.737.739	2,503,586	601,805	1,997,356		
3 Recommended Class Revenue Percentage		100.00%	49.20%				12.94%	ı	

Office of the Public Counsel MAWC Class Cost of Service Summary WR-2003-0500

	St. Louis District						
	CLASS COST OF SERVICE SUMMARY:	TOTAL	A and Others	J&D	B&C	Private Fire	Public Fire
ı	O & M Expenses	63,871.328	46,117,554	8,252,685	484,730	542,688	8,473.672
2	Depreciation Expenses FOIT Def Tax Exp	23,826,499	17,709,757	2,958,203	176.616	210.363	2,771,560
3	·	8,167,020	6,055,594	1,022,724	61,110	78,972	948,620
4	TOTAL Expenses and Taxes	95.864,847	69,882,905	12,233,612	722,456	832,023	12,193,851
5 6		13,025,874	12,974,657	24,649	26,568	(832.023)	(12,193,851)
7	TOTAL Expenses and Taxes after Spread	95,864.847	82,857,562	12,258,261	749.024	-	-
8 9	Current Revenue						
10		119,752,167	100,936,971	11,079,403	1,053,559	711,978	5,970,256
11		1,302,091	1.099,765	191.018	11,309	711,770	3,770,230
12		6,682,234	6,655,960	12,645	13,629	(711,978)	(5.970,256)
		121,054,259			1,078,497	(/11,3/6)	(3.470,230)
13		121,034,239	108,692,696 89,79%	11.283,066 9.32%		0.00%	0.00%
14 15		100.00%	89.7900	9.32%	0.89%	0.00%	0.00%
16 17	NET OPERATING INCOME	25,189,412	25,835,134	(975,196)	329,473	0	0
18	TOTAL Rate Base	360,679.658	267,432,849	45.166.509	2.698.812	3.487.639	41,893,850
20		45,381,489	45,203,051	85,876	92,562	(3.487.639)	(41.893,850)
21	TOTAL Rate Base after Spread	360,679.658	312.635.899	45,252,385	2,791,373	-	-
22 23 24	Implicit Rate of Return (ROR)	6.98%	8.26%	-2.16° a	11.80%		
	Net Operating Income with Equalized ROR	25.189.412	21.834,096	3,160,369	194.946		
	Class COS with Equalized ROR	95,864,847	78,856,524	16,393,826	614,497		···
28 29	Class COS Percentage	100.00%	82.26%	17.10%	0.64%		
30		6.40%	6.40%	6.40%	6.40%		
31 32	Net Operating Income with Recommended ROR	23,083,498	20,008,698	2,896,153	178.648		
33		9,978.850	8,428,278	1,463,905	86,666		
	Class COS with Staff Recommended ROR	128,927,195	111,294,538	16,618,319	1,014,338		
_	Class COS Percentage	100.00%	86.32%	12.89%	0.79%		
36	•	33,062.348	32,438.014	224,493	399.841		
37							
38							
	Current Revenue	121.054.259	108,692,696	11,283,066	1,078,497		
40 41	Class Percentage	100.00%	89.79%	9.32%	0.89%		
	Class COS with Equalized ROR	95,864,847	78,856,524	16,393,826	614,497		
43	•	100.00%	82.26%	17.10%	0.64%		
44	•						
45	Net Operating Income with Equalized ROR	25,189,412	21,834,096	3,160,369	194.946		
46	Revenue Neutral Shift to Equalize Class ROR	0	(4,001,038)	4.135.565	(134,527)		
47		0.00%	-3.68%	36.65%	-12,47%		
48	1/2 of Revenue Neutral Shift	0	(2,000,519)	2,067,782	(67,264)		
50		0.00%	-1.84%	18.33%	-6.24%		
51							
	Revenue Neutral Margin Revenue	121,054,259	106,692,177	13,350,848	1.011.233		
53	Recommended Class Revenue Percentage	100.00%	88.14%	11.03%	0.84%		

Office of the Public Counsel MAWC Class Cost of Service Summary

Warrensburg District

CLASS COST OF SERVICE SUMMARY:		TOTAL	RESIDENTIAL	COMMERCIAL	INDUSTRIAL	OTHER PUBLIC AUTHORITY	SALES FOR RESALE	PRIVATE FIRE SERVICE	PUBLIC FIRE SERVICE
1 O & M Expenses		1,214,741	599.882	198.403	37.864	182.185	108,259	12.055	76.092
2 Depreciation Expenses FOLI Def Tax Exp		579,500	304.651	84.771	15.915	69,025	48,373	7,233	49,533
3 Taxes		178,235	89,379	26.554	5.078	22.053	15.792	2.395	16.984
4 FOTAL Expenses and Taxes 5		1.972,476	993,912	309.728	58.857	273.263	172,425	21.682	142,609
6 Spread of fire expenses & taxes to others	15	164,291	154,455	9.190	311	335	0	(21.682)	(142,609)
7 TOTAL Expenses and Taxes after Spread 8	_	1.972.476	1.148.367	318.918	59,168	273.598	172,425	-	
9 Current Revenue									
10 Rate Revenue		2,493.543	1.359.577	503,174	56.497	333.934	176.726	63.635	O
11 Other Revenue	25	73.761	40.240	12.414	2.361	10,813	7.009	923	0
12 Spread of fire revenue to others	1.5	64.558	60.693	3.611	122	132	()	(64.558)	0
13 TOTAL Current Revenues		2,567,303	1,460,510	519,199	58.981	344,879	183,735	0	0
14 Current Revenue Percentage 15		100,00%	56.89%	20.22%	2.30%	13.43%	7.16%	64.558	0.00%
16 NET OPERATING INCOME 17		594.827	312,143	200.281	(187)	71.281	11,310	0	0
18 TOTAL Rate Base		10.257.301	5.143.710	1,528,158	292.263	1,269,115	908,833	137.832	977,390
20 Spread of fire rate base to others	15	1,115.222	1.048.454	62.383	2.110	2.275	0	(137.832)	(977.390)
21 TOTAL Rate Base after Spread 22		10,257,301	6.192.164	1.590.542	294.373	1.271.389	908.833	-	
23 Implicit Rate of Return (ROR) 24		5,80%	5.04%	12.59%	-0.06%	5.61%	1.24%		
25 Net Operating Income with Equalized ROR 26		594.827	359.087	92,236	17.071	73.729	52,704		
27 Class COS with Equalized ROR	-	1,972,476	1.195.311	210.874	76,426	276.046	213,818		
28 Class COS Percentage		100.00%		10.69%			10.84%		
29		1110.1117	10.0070				,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
30-Staff Midpoint ROR —— · — - · —		6.40%	· 6.40%		—— — 6.40%	· 6.40%-			
32 Net Operating Income with Recommended ROR		656,467	396,299	101.795	18.840	81.369	58,165		
33 True-up plus add taxes	25	564,210	307,804	94,956	18.063	82.714	53.611		
34 Class COS with Staff Recommended ROR		3.193.153	1.852,470	515.668	96.071	437.681	284.201		
35 Class COS Percentage		100.08%	58.01%	16.15%	3.01%	13.71%	8.90%		
36		1,220,677	657.159	304,794	19.644	161.635	70,383		
37 38									
39 Current Revenue		2,567,303	1,460,510	519.199	58.981	344.879	183.735		
40 Class Percentage 4)		100,00%	56.89%	20,22%	2.30%	13.43%	7,16%		
42 Class COS with Equalized ROR		1.972,476	1,195,311	210.874	76,426	276,046	213.818		
43 Class COS Percentage 44		100.00%	60.60%	10.69%	3.87%	13.99%	10.84%		
45 Net Operating Income with Equalized ROR		594.827	359,087	92.236	17,071	73.729	52,704		
46 Revenue Neutral Shift to Equalize Class ROR		0	46.944	(108.044)		2,448	41,394		
47 Revenue Increase Decrease % of Current Revenue 48		0.00%					22.53%		
49 4/2 of Revenue Neutral Shift		0	23.472	(54.022)	8.629	1.224	20.697		
50 Revenue Increase/Decrease Percentage 51		0.00%	1.61%	-10.40%	14.63%	0.35%	11.26%	ı	
52 Revenue Neutral Margin Revenue		2.567.303	1.483.982	465.177	67,610	346.103	204.432		
53 Recommended Class Revenue Percentage		100,00%					7.96%	,	
			2- 1-11774	270					

Schedule BAM REB 1-9

Missouri-American Water Company Case No. WR-2007-0216

	В	runswick	Je	efferson City		Joplin		Mexico		Parkville	9	St. Charles
Revenue Requirement Increase (COS)	\$	541,325	\$	1,030,978	s	4,177,354	\$	608,862	\$	375,688	\$	(206,956)
Ситепt Revenue	\$	188,724	\$	4,151,299	\$	7,841,675	\$	2,584,290	\$	3,199,678	\$	9,440,463
COS District % Increase/Decrease		286.83%		24.84%		53.27%		23.56%		11.74%		-2.19%
Proposed District Revenue Requirement												
Capped Increase Percent Capped Increase	\$	53.27% 100.536										
Subsidy Contribution		(440,789)									\$	157,816
District Increase/Decrease	S	100,536	\$	1,030,978	\$	4,177,354	\$	608,862	\$	375,688	\$	(49,140)
Proposed Percent increase		53.27%		24.84%		53.27%		23.56%		11.74%		-0.52%
Proposed Class Revenues based on equal percentage increa												
Current Class Revenues excluding Tax Adjustment and ISF		00.000										
Residential	\$	99,879		2,223,984		3,930,228			\$	2,270,945	\$	7,895,445
Commercial Industrial	S	24,813 523	\$	1,213,984 207,424		1,702,662	\$ \$	341,011 374,721	\$ \$	578,465 21,371	S	951,030 2,427
Public Authorities	S	3,682	-			1,362,141	-	194,675	\$	47,177	S	165.921
Sales For Resale	\$		\$.704,526	5	203,363		314,090	-	159,291		20,977
Private Fire	S	4,764	-	114,045	-	211,051		88.572	\$	84,624	5	133,318
Public Fire	\$	-	S	-	\$	10	\$	0012	\$	25	s	-
Total Revenue	\$	188,514		4,123,965		7,598,527	\$	2,535,447	\$	3,161,898	\$	9,169,118
Share of Current Class Revenue												
Residential		52.98%		53.93%		51.72%		48.21%		71.82%		86.11%
Commercial		13.16%		29.44%		22.41%		13.45%		18.29%		10.37%
Industrial		0.28%		5.03%	-	17.93%		14,78%		0.68%		0.03%
Public Authorities		1.95%		8.84%		2.49%		7.68%		1.49%		1.81%
Sales For Resale Private Fire		29.10% 2,53%		0.00% 2.77%		2.68% 2.78%		12,39% 3,49%		5.04% 2.68%		0.23% 1,45%
Public Fire		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%
Equal Percent Class Revenue Increase/Decrease												
Residential	\$	53,266	s	555,989	s	2,160,676	\$	293,542	s	269,827	s	(42,314)
Commercial	\$	13,233	S	303,492		936,053		81,890		68,732		(5,097)
Industrial	5	279	\$	51,855	\$	748,849	\$	89,985	\$	2,539	\$	(13)
Public Authorities	\$	1,964	\$	91,131		103.944		46,749	\$	5,605	\$	(889)
Sales For Resale	\$	29,253	\$	-	\$	111,801		75,426		18,927	\$	(112)
Private Fire	\$	2,541	\$	28,511		116,027	\$	21,270	\$	10,055	\$	(714)
Public Fire	\$	 -	\$		\$	5	\$		<u>s</u>	3	\$	
Total	\$	100,536	\$	1,030,978	\$	4,177,354	\$	608,862	\$	375,688	\$	(49,140)

Missouri-American Water Company Case No. WR-2007-0216

Based On Staff's Midpoint Revenue Requirement											
		St. Joseph	١	Warren County		Warrensburg			St. Louis		Total
Revenue Requirement Increase (COS)	\$	3,401,655	\$	214.537	\$	355,353		S	2,239,173	\$	12,737,969
Current Revenue	\$	15,434,972	\$	106.477	S	2,567,303		\$	121,039,838	ŝ	166.554.719
COS District % Increase/Decrease		22.04%		201.49%		13.84%			1.85%		7.65%
Proposed District Revenue Requirement											
Capped Increase Percent				53.27%		!					
Capped \$ Increase			S	56,722		1					
Subsidy Contribution			S	(157,816)				s	440,789		
District Increase/Decrease	\$	3,401,655	\$	56,722	\$	355,353		\$	2,679,962	\$	12,737.969
Proposed Percent increase		22.04%		53.27%		13.84%			2.21%		7.65%
Proposed Class Revenues based on equal percentage increase											
Current Class Revenues excluding Tax Adjustment and ISR	٠ \$	7,577,212		104,949		1 1.359.577	A and Others	\$	100,936,971		
Residential Commercia	\$	2,772.889	5	1,360		1 503,174	J&D	\$	11.079.403		
Industrial	5	2,207,747		-	s		B&C	Š	1.053.559		
Public Authorities	\$	583,103		-	\$		Private Fire	S	711.978		
Sales For Resale	\$	1,827.213		-	S		Public Fire	\$	5,970,256		
Private Fire	\$		\$	-	S	63,635					
Public Fire	\$		<u>\$</u>	<u> </u>	<u>s</u>			<u>\$</u>	-		
Total Revenue	\$	15,142,852	\$	106,309	S	2,493,543		\$	119,752,167		
						1					
Share of Current Class Revenue Residential		50.04%		98.72%		54.52%	A and Others		84.29%		
Commercia.		18.31%		1.28%		20.18%	J&D		9.25%		
Industrial		14.58%		0.00%		2.27%	B&C		0.88%		
Public Authorities		3.85%		0 00%		13.39%	Private Fire		0.59%		
Sales For Resale		12.07%		0.00%		I 7.09%	Public Fire		4.99%		
Private Fire		1.15%		0.00%		2.55%					
Public Fire		0.00%		0 00%		: 0.00%					
Equal Percent Class Revenue Increase/Decrease						!					
Residential	\$	1,702.127	\$	55,996	\$	193,752	A and Others	\$	2,258,892		
Commercia'	S	622.895		726	\$	71,707	J&D	\$	247,949		
Industrial	\$	495.943		-	\$		B&C	\$	23,578		
Public Authorities	\$	130.987		-	\$		Private Fire	\$	15,934		
Sales For Resale	S	410.461		-	\$		Public Fire	\$	133,610		
Private Fire	5			-	\$			•			
Public Fire	\$	0	\$		\$			\$	2 / 72 0/2		12 222 000
Total	\$	3,401.655	3	56,722	3	355,353		\$	2,679,962	3	12,737,969

St. Joseph District Uniform Block Rate Proposal

			~		Revenue
			<u>Usage</u>	Present Rates	<u>Amount</u>
Residential					
	For the first	100,000	1,626,028	\$3,1010	\$ 5,042,314
	For the next	1,900,000	15,823	1.7373	\$ 27,490
	For the next	3,000,000	1,319	1.3406	\$ 1,768
	For all over	5,000,000	5,274	0.9028	\$ 4,762
					\$ 5,076,333
Commercial					
	For the first	100,000	467,941	3.5681	\$ 1,669,660
	For the next	1,900,000	319,073	1.9989	\$ 637,796
	For the next	3,000,000	35,453	1.5427	\$ 54,693
	For all over	5,000,000	7,805	1.0388	\$ 8,107
					\$ 2,370,256
Industrial					
	For the first	100,000	50,727	5.0756	\$ 257,470
	For the next	1,900,000	350,557	2.8433	\$ 996,740
	For the next	3,000,000	189,316	1.715	\$ 324,676
	For all over	5,000,000	403,401	1.401	\$ 565,164
					\$ 2,144,051
Public Authority					
	For the first	100,000	62,292	3.9599	\$ 246,671
	For the next	1,900,000	79,094	2.2148	\$ 175,178
	For the next	3,000,000	41,220	1.712	\$ 70,569
	For all over	5,000,000	26,089	1.1528	\$ 30,075
					\$ 522,494
Sale for Resale					
	For the first	100,000	10,873	5,6592	\$ 61,530
	For the next	1,900,000	175,331	3,1703	\$ 555,851
	For the next	3,000,000	237,473	2,4466	\$ 581,001
	For all over	5,000,000	372,395	1.6495	\$ 614,265
					\$ 1,812,648

Uniform Block Rate Development

		Total Usage	Revenue Amount	<u>Uniform Block</u> <u>Rate</u>			
For the first	100,000	2,217,861	\$ 7,439,574	s	3.35		
For the next	1,900,000	939,879	\$ 2,349,844	\$	2.50		
For the next	3,000,000	504,780	\$ 964,009	\$	1.91		
For all over	5,000,000	814,963	\$ 1,269,291	\$	1.56		