FILED 5 OCT 3 1 2016

Missouri Public Service Commission Exhibit No.: Issue: Witness: Sponsoring Party: Type of Exhibit: Case No.: Date Testimony Prepared: 101 Certificate of Convenience Claire M. Eubanks, P.E. MoPSC Staff Surrebuttal Testimony EA-2016-0208 September 30, 2016

Date 1017-16 Reporter XF File No. FA - 2016-0208

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMMISSION STAFF DIVISION

ENGINEERING ANALYSIS

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

CLAIRE M. EUBANKS, P.E.

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY, d/b/a AMEREN MISSOURI

CASE NO. EA-2016-0208

Jefferson City, Missouri September 2016

1	SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY		
2	OF		
3	CLAIRE M. EUBANKS		
4 5	UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY, d/b/a AMEREN MISSOURI		
6	CASE NO. EA-2016-0208		
7	Q. Please state your name and business address.		
8	A. Claire M. Eubanks and my business address is Missouri Public Service		
9	Commission, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102.		
10	Q. Are you the same Claire M. Eubanks who has previously provided testimony		
11	in this case?		
12	A. Yes, I submitted rebuttal testimony on September 7, 2016.		
13	Q. What is the purpose of your surrebuttal testimony?		
14	A. I will respond to Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.'s (Walmart) witness Steve W. Chriss		
15	and Brightergy's witness Jessica Oakley regarding the inclusion of lease payments in the		
16	Solar Partnership Pilot.		
17	Q. Please summarize Walmart and Brightergy's positions in regards to lease		
18	payments.		
19	A. Walmart asserts that the Commission should include a provision of lease		
20	payments in the Solar Partnership Pilot. Brightergy also asserts the program would benefit		
21	from inclusion of lease payments but does not object to the Stipulation as filed.		
22	Q. Does Staff agree?		

Surrebuttal Testimony of Claire M. Eubanks, PE

1 A. Staff is sensitive to Walmart and Brightergy's concern that participating 2 customers may not receive a tangible benefit which may limit the interest in the program. 3 However, Ameren has represented in its Direct Testimony they have been contacted by 4 customers who are interested in this type of arrangement. Whether or not there is broad 5 customer interest in supporting solar projects without lease agreements is one question the 6 structure of this program may be able to answer. Further, including lease agreements in the 7 Solar Partnership Pilot would increase costs, particularly if the \$2.20/Watt-DC per site limit 8 was not lowered accordingly or if the lease agreements were not included under the 9 \$2.20/Watt-DC per site limit.

Q, Walmart's witness Mr. Chriss is concerned about "the public policy
implications of the potential expectation that customers give away the use of their property in
order to contribute to renewable development in Missouri." What is your response to
Mr. Chriss' concern?

A. Staff recognizes this program does not necessarily fit every commercial and industrial customer of Ameren's. From Staff's perspective, this program is aimed at customers with property that has little opportunity cost who are interested in promoting renewables in Missouri. Staff also views this pilot as a potential stepping stone for additional solar partnership programs in the future which may be structured to target other subsets of Ameren's customers or a broader set of Ameren's customers.

20 Q. Mr. Chriss discusses the lack of lease payments in regards to a customer costs 21 to operate and maintain their property. Are there instances that a solar facility would benefit 22 certain customers in regards to operation and maintenance of their property? Surrebuttal Testimony of Claire M. Eubanks, PE

1 A. Yes. Staff understands that Ameren would maintain the solar facility, 2 therefore a customer who has property with little opportunity cost yet costs to maintain, such 3 as, mowing, may see the partnership as a benefit in reducing their operational and 4 maintenance costs.

5

6

Q. Does this conclude your surrebuttal testimony?

A. Yes, it does.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

)

)

)

)

In the Matter of the Application of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri for Permission and Approval and a Certificate of) Public Convenience and Necessity Authorizing it to Offer a Pilot Distributed Solar Program and File Associated Tariff

Case No. EA-2016-0208

AFFIDAVIT OF CLAIRE M. EUBANKS, PE

STATE OF MISSOURI)	
)	SS.
COUNTY OF COLE)	

COMES NOW CLAIRE M. EUBANKS, PE and on her oath declares that she is of sound mind and lawful age; that she contributed to the foregoing Surebuttal Testimony and that the same is true and correct according to her best knowledge and belief.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

M. EUBANKS, PE

JURAT

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my office in Jefferson City, on this 304day of September, 2016.

D. SUZIE MANKIN Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Cole County My Commission Expires: December 12, 2016 Commission Number: 12412070

lankin

Notary Public