FILED October 19, 2018 **Data Center**

Exhibit No.:

Missouri Public Issue: Transource; FERC Incentive Commission

Transmission Revenue

Witness: Don A. Frerking

Type of Exhibit: Surrebuttal Testimony

Sponsoring Party: Kansas City Power & Light Company

and KCP&L Greater Missouri

Operations Company

Case Nos.: ER-2018-0145 and ER-2018-0146

Date Testimony Prepared: September 4, 2018

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NOS.: ER-2018-0145 and ER-2018-0146

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

DON A. FRERKING

ON BEHALF OF

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY and KCP&L GREATER MISSOURI OPERATIONS COMPANY

> Kansas City, Missouri September 2018

> > Date 9-25-18 Reporter TVI File No. 52-2018-0145 +0146

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	TRANSOURCE ADJUSTMENT - RESPONSE TO THE REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF STAFF WITNESS KEITH MAJORS	
II.	WHOLESALE TRANSMISSION REVENUE ADJUSTMENT - RESPONSE TO THE REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF STAFF WITNESS KEITH MAJORS	4

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

DON A. FRERKING

Case Nos. ER-2018-0145 and ER-2018-0146

Please state your name and business address.

1

Q:

2	A:	My name is Don A. Frerking. My business address is 1200 Main, Kansas City, Missouri
3		64105.
4	Q:	By whom and in what capacity are you employed?
5	A:	I am employed by Kansas City Power & Light Company ("KCP&L") as Regulatory
6		Analyst—Lead.
7	Q:	On whose behalf are you testifying?
8	A:	I am testifying on behalf of KCP&L and KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company
9		("GMO") (collectively, the "Company").
10	Q:	Are you the same Don A. Frerking who filed Rebuttal Testimony in both ER-2018-
11		0145 and ER-2018-0146?
12	A:	Yes, I am.
13	Q:	What is the purpose of your testimony?
14	A:	I will respond to the Transource Missouri Adjustments discussion in the Rebuttal testimony
15		of the Staff ("Staff") of the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission" or
6		"MPSC") witness Keith Majors. In the Transource Missouri Adjustments section of his
17		Rebuttal testimony (pages 13-19), Mr. Majors discussed how Staff proposes to address the
8		Company's adjustments KCP&L CS-108 and GMO CS-108.

ı		I will also respond to Mr. Majors discussion related to wholesale Transmission
2		Revenue. In the Wholesale Transmission Revenue section of his Rebuttal testimony (pages
3		19-22), Mr. Majors discussed how Staff proposes to address the Company's adjustments
4		KCP&L R-80 and GMO R-80.
5 6		I. TRANSOURCE ADJUSTMENT - RESPONSE TO THE REBUTTAL <u>TESTIMONY OF STAFF WITNESS KEITH MAJORS</u>
7	Q:	You state that you will respond to the Transource Adjustment discussion in the
8		Rebuttal testimony of Staff witness Majors. Did you also address the Transource
9		Adjustment in your Rebuttal testimony in these proceedings?
10	A:	Yes. I responded to Staff's proposal regarding the Transource Adjustments (KCP&L
11		Adjustment CS-108 and GMO CS-108) in my Rebuttal testimony at pages 24-27. Staff
12		had previously addressed the Transource Adjustments in the Staff Revenue Requirement
13		Cost of Service Report ("Staff Report"), which contained Staff's Direct testimony in these
14		cases.
15	Q:	Are there any substantive differences between Staff's proposal in the Staff Report
16		and Mr. Majors' discussion in his Rebuttal testimony with regard to the Transource
17		Adjustments?
18	A:	No.
9	Q:	Do you then believe that your Rebuttal testimony adequately addresses any response
20		that you would have to Mr. Majors' Rebuttal testimony on this topic?
21	A:	Yes.

2	11.	WHOLESALE TRANSMISSION REVENUE ADJUSTMENT - RESPONSE TO THE REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF STAFF WITNESS KEITH MAJORS
3	Q:	You state that you will respond to the Wholesale Transmission Revenue Adjustment
4		discussion in the Rebuttal testimony of Staff witness Majors. Did you also address
5		the Wholesale Transmission Revenue Adjustments in your Rebuttal testimony in
6		these proceedings?
7	A:	Yes. I responded to Staff's proposal regarding the Wholesale Transmission Revenue
8		Adjustments (KCP&L Adjustment R-80 and GMO R-80) in my Rebuttal testimony at
9		pages 8-20. Staff had previously addressed the Company's proposed Adjustments R-80 in
10		the Staff Report, which contained Staff's Direct testimony in this case.
11	Q:	Are there any substantive differences between Staff's proposal in the Staff Report
12		and Mr. Majors' discussion in his Rebuttal testimony with regard to the Company's
13		Adjustments R-80?
14	A:	No.
15	Q:	Do you then believe that your Rebuttal testimony adequately addresses any response
16		that you would have to Mr. Majors' Rebuttal testimony on this topic?
17	A:	Yes.
18	Q:	Does that conclude your testimony?
19	A:	Yes, it does.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

AFFIDAVIT OF DON A. FRERKING				
In the Matter of KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company's Request for Authority to Implement A General Rate Increase for Electric Service)))	Case No. ER-2018-0146		
In the Matter of Kansas City Power & Light Company's Request for Authority to Implement A General Rate Increase for Electric Service)))	Case No. ER-2018-0145		

STATE OF MISSOURI COUNTY OF JACKSON

Don A. Frerking, being first duly sworn on his oath, states:

- 1. My name is Don A. Frerking. I work in Kansas City, Missouri, and I am employed by Kansas City Power & Light Company as Regulatory Analyst – Lead.
- 2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my Surrebuttal Testimony on behalf of Kansas City Power & Light Company and KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company consisting of four (4) pages, having been prepared in written form for introduction into evidence in the above-captioned docket.
- 3. I have knowledge of the matters set forth therein. I hereby swear and affirm that my answers contained in the attached testimony to the questions therein propounded, including any attachments thereto, are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Don A. Frerking

Subscribed and sworn before me this 4th day of September 2018.

ANTHONY R WESTENKIRCHNER Notary Public, Notary Seal