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(Exhi bit Numbers 1 through 34 were marked for identification by the court reporter.)

J UDGE PRI DG N : Good morning. We are on the record. This is the evi dentiary hearing in Case Number EA- 2015-0146 In The Matter Of The Application Of Ameren Transmission Company Of Illinois For Other Relief Or, In The Alternative, A Certificate Of Public Conveni ence And Necessity Authorizing It To Construct, Install, Own, Operate, Mai ntai n, And Otherwi se Control And Manage A 345, 000-Volt Electric Transmission Li ne From Pal myra, Mssouri To The Iowa Border And An Associ ated Substation Near Kirksville, Mssouri.

I am Ron Pridgin. I amthe regul at ory I aw judge assigned to preside over this hearing. We are hol ding this hearing in the Governor Office Building in Jefferson City, Mssouri. The time is approxi matel y 8: 45 in the morning. It's January 25th, 2016.

If I could get oral entries of appearance from Counsel, please, begi nni ng with ATXI.

MR. LOWERY: Thank you, Your Honor. James B. Lowery and M chael R. Tripp with the Iaw firm of Smith Lewi s, LLP, P. O. Box 918, Col umbia, M ssouri, 65205 on behal f of ATXI.

J UDGE PRI DG N: Mr. Lowery, thank you.
Mr. Tripp, thank you.
On behalf of Staff, please.
MR. LOWERY: Your Honor, we have a coupl e of ot her entries as well.

J UDGE PRI DG N: I apol ogize. I'msorry, go ahead.

MR. ROSENCRANTS: No probl em Jeffrey K. Rosencrants, al ong with Ed Fitzhenry and Eric Dear mont, on behal f of Ameren Transmissi on Company of IIIInois, P. O. Box 66149.

JUDGE PRI DGI N: All right. Thank you. On behalf of the Staff of the Comission, please.

MR. W LLI AMB: Kevi $n$ Thompson, St even Dot thei m Mark Johnson, Jame Myers, Jacob Westen, Hampt on Willians, and Nathan Willians are appearing on behal f of the Staff of the Mssouri Public Service Commíssion, P. O. Box 360, Jefferson City, M ssouri, 65102.

JUDGE PRI DGI N: Mr. Willians, thank you. On behal f of Public Counsel, please.

MR. OPI TZ: Thank you, Judge. For Public Counsel, I'm Tim Opitz, P. O. Box 2230, Jefferson City, Mssouri 65102.

J UDGE PRI DG N: Mr. Opitz, thank you.

On behal f of MSO, please.
MR. SMALL: Your Honor, on behal f of M SO, Jeffrey L. Small, 720 Carmel Drive, Carmel, I ndi ana.

And Your Honor, l'd like to make a small correction in our Mbtion Pro Hac Vice, my name was i nadvertently misspelled, which was carried through into your Order, your entry granting the motion. So the correct spelling is Small, S-ma-I-I, without the S. We have additional counsel to put on appearance as well. Thank you.

J UDGE PRI DGI N: Thank you.
MR. HARDEN: Thank you, Your Honor.
Joshua Harden with Dent ons Law Firmat 4520 Main Street, Kansas City, Mssouri 64111, representing midconti nent ISO.

J UDGE PRI DG N: M. Har den, thank you.
On behalf of United for Mssouri, please.
MR. LI NTON: Good morni ng, Your Honor.
On behalf of United for Mssouri, David Li nton, 314
Romai ne Spring Vi ew, Fent on, M ssouri. Thank you.
J UDGE PRI DG N: I BEW?
Appearance for Nei ghbors United, pl ease.
MB. HERNANDEZ: Good morning. Jennifer Hernandez and Art Hernandez with Hernandez Law Firm

LLC, our address is 1802 Sun Valley Drive, Jefferson City, M ssouri 65109, appearing on behal f of Nei ghbors United agai nst Ameren's power line. Thank you.

J UDGE PRI DG N: Thank you. Have I overlooked anyone? All right. Anything bef ore we proceed to openi ng?

MR. LOWERY: Your Honor, I want ed to inquire of the Commission. We filed a request on Friday to ask the Commissi on to take up and deal with the four motions to strike that we had filed last week. The reason we, despite the notice that you had issued, had brought that up is that we were concerned about the practical problens that we felt would exist if testimny that ultimatel y was going to be properly found objectionable and stricken was stricken after the evi dentiary record was made.

A couple of issues with that. One of the issues is that if the -- if the testimony and some of the schedul es are going to come in, sort of on a conditional basis subject to those motions, then there will probably be additional cross-examination, there may be redirect based on that cross-examination. Then we have a situation where a record's bei ng made that's essentially based on testimny that shoul dn't have been in.

After the hearing's over, the concern is then we're going to have to unwi nd that, depending on what the Commission's ruling is, which could del ay briefing and certainly makes it cumbersome for the Commission and the parties to deal with. So I guess effectivel $y$, we're asking you to reconsi der the notice that you had gi ven and to go ahead and take up those notions to strike.

We think that it's very clear that there are significant foundational hearsay problens that are common to most of them There's a couple of other things, and to take them up and rule on them so that we can have a cleaner record and a more efficient process. And obvi ously, that's up to you, but l'msuggesting to you to consider doing that because of these practical concerns that we' re concerned about.

J UDGE PRI DG N: Mr. Lowery, thank you. And I've certainly heard your comments and read over your motion, but l'm going to deny them so we take those objections with the case.

Anything further bef ore we go to openi ng?
MR. LOWERY: Your Honor, if I could just clarify, you're going to take the objections with the case, meani ng that the -- well, let me just ask you froma process perspective. Do we need to renew those
obj ections to the pre-filed testi mony on the record or to oral testimny that may be elicited based on that as we go forward, or will you just simply treat those as standing objections and then after the hearing's over, you will take those up and deal with the record as need be?

J UDGE PRI DG N: l'I| be glad to treat those as standi ng objections. You don't need to orally rai se them again. You certainly can if you want to, just to remind me, but you don't have to. You've made your record with your written motion.

MR. LOWERY: Okay.
J UDGE PRI DGI N: It will be subj ect to those obj ections.

MR. LOWERY: And the same thing would apply to if you do sustain in whole or in part some of those and we have transcript -- we have live testimony that essentially was the fruit of those, the objection to that testimony is preserved by the filing of those motions as well?

J UDGE PRI DG N: Correct.
MR. LOWERY: All right. Thank you for the clarification.

J UDGE PRI DG N: You' re wel cone. Anyt hing further?

MS. HERNANDEZ: Yes, Your Honor.
J UDGE PRI DGI N: ME. Hernandez.
MS. HERNANDEZ: I woul d just like to bring up a few motions that we had filed and renew those at this time for the record. The first one being our Mbtion to Dismiss that was filed, l believe in October. The two points being respectfully that this Commission does not have the authority to deci de the Constitutional issues in this case. Those being the taking of property that this transmission line will be routed across. Second is the -- that the Commission cannot rule in this case because ATXI has failed to show that they have the County Commission authority or assents necessary to route this line over the roads in each county. ATXI has still failed to make that showing and I would rai se that issue at this time, as well as our Mbtion to Stay the Evi dentiary Hearing based on our sunshi ne request.

Agai $n$, for the record, we made a good faith request that we believe the Cormission has documents in its possession that would be rel evant to this case. I made that on a good faith basis that those documents do exist. I made it when l made it because of my recollection in preparing for the hearing, so l would like to renew those two motions at
this time.
J UDGE PRI DGI N: Well, your Mbtion to Dismiss is denied. The motion to deny -- excuse me, the Mbtion to Stay the Evi dentiary Hearing is al so deni ed. Anything further bef ore openi ng?

MR. LOWERY: Very briefly, Your Honor. I did forget something. I sent an e-mail to Your Honor and to the parties last week indi cating that Dr. Bailey, because of the two-pl us feet of snow, was unable to get here today and we suggested that he be taken tonorrow and then we suggest we move Mr. Endorf fromtonorrow to today, assuming we can get there, and put himbefore Mr. Hackman to sort of -- and I didn't hear any objection from anybody. I assume that's okay with Your Honor.

J UDGE PRI DGI N: That's certainly fine with me. All right. Anything further bef ore opening? All right. Mr. Lowery or whoever is doing the opening for your side.

MR. LOWERY: Thank you, Your Honor.
J UDGE PRI DGI N: You' re wel come.
MR. LOWERY: Good morni ng. And may it pl ease the Commission. My name is Jim Lowery, and I al ong with M ke Tripp, as well as Jeff Rosencrants, Ed Fitzhenry, and Eric Dearmont are representing ATXI in
this certificate of public conveni ence or necessity case.

And it i nvol ves a project called the Mark Twai $n$ transmissi on line project. So what is the project? The Mark Twai $n$ project consists of approxi matel y 95 miles of new 345 kV transmi ssi on line whi ch starts at a new switching station called Maywood in Marion County, M ssouri. The Maywood switching station is part of the IIIi nois Ri vers project, which is -- consists of about three of the 17 MNP projects that have been approved by MSO , and l'II talk about MNs more in a moment.

And you actually approved the M ssouri portion of the lllinois Ri vers project, l believe it was back in June when you granted a CCN for that project. The Mark Twai $n$ line moves fromthe i nterconnection with Maywood to the west and where it will interconnect with a new 345 kV substation to be called the Zachary substation, and that's going to be near Kirksville. And then it proceeds to the I owa border where it will interconnect with an MNP project that is bei ng constructed in I owa by $M$ d-American Ener gy.

The project al so consists of approxi matel y two miles of 161 kV connector that
connects that Zachary substation to the exi sting Ameren M ssouri Adai r substation near Kirksville. And that connection provides an additional source of supply to the Ameren systemthat doesn't exi st today, and it fully resol ves some reliability issues that exists in northeast Mssouri that Ameren Mssouri would otherwise have had to address if the project were not built.

The line al so on its stretch from Kirksville north, it runs through an energy zone M SO, and we'll talk about this as the case goes on, M SO identified a number of energy zones throughout its foot print and those energy zones drove in a si gnificant part the routing and location of the transmission lines. And in that energy zone exists si gnificant and hi gh- quality wi nd resources in the north part of Mssouri and ATXI witness Vosberg will talk about those in his testimony.

Now, having described the physical nature of the project, let me talk a little bit about the kind of case that's before you. This is a CCN case, a

Certificate of Public Conveni ence or Necessity case. And this case is what has long been referred to at the Cormíssion as a line certificate case that arises under subsection one of the CCN statute 393. 170.

This is not an area certificate case. In
an area certificate case, you have a load-serving entity that has end-use customers come in and ask you to certify a geographic area that allows themto construct their facilities in that area and al so obl igates themto serve all those customers as well as give them the right to do so.

ATXI is not that kind of company. ATXI is a transmission-only company, a transmission service company that provides transmission service under a FERC- approved tariff to load-serving entities and other users of the system incl uding, for example, Arreren Mssouri. That's why it's agreed in this case that ATXI need not file rate schedules, need not file depreci ation studi es because you won't be setting ATXI's rate.

So how did this case -- how did this project come about? As explained in the details in the pre-filed testimonies of ATXI witnesses Maureen Borkowski and Dennis Kramer, and al so by M SO witness Jamison Smith, the Mark Twain line was devel oped through the M SO transmission expansi on process, whi ch is part of its tariff. It's part of a FERC-approved transmission planning process in the tariff. It goes by MTEP for short.

M SO, through its ongoi ng, open, and
transparent stakehol der process whi ch incl udes active partici pation by utilities, by state regul ators, by state consumer advocates like OPC, by utilities, power producers and ot hers, incl udi ng for example the or ganization of MSO states in which this Cormi ssion has I ong been an active partici pant, examine, modelize, and ot her wi se anal yze the current near, intermedi ate, and Iong-termneeds of the transmission system and how those rel ate to the operation of the energy markets that regi onal transmission organi zations like MSO operate with the design to ultimtely ensure reliability service to end users and the most efficient and economical use of the transmission system Some of those I aws are, for example, renewable energy standards like we have in Mssouri, like I think exi sts in all of the MSO states, and most recently, the US EPA' s Clean Power Plan whi ch became Iaw in June of Iast year.

Now, through the NTEP process starting in around 2008, M SO devel oped a portfolio of 17 new 345 kV projects, and those projects are called multi-val ue transmission Iine projects, MPs as I referenced before. Sometimes the 17 projects together are called the MP portfolio.

As Messrs. Kramer and Smith expl ai n, the
projects are called multi-val ue because they are specifically designed to provi de val ue to the transmission system and the operation of the markets in multiple ways. To qualify as an MN, you must meet three criteria. First the project must be designed to del iver bulk power economically and reliably in support of state or federal mandates or policies, like renewable energy standards, like the Cl ean Power Pl an. Another criteria is that the project's benefits must exceed the cost; and finally, the project must address at least one NERC, that stands for North American Reliability Corporation. NERC has been del egated by the FERC responsi bility to ensure their reliability of the transmission systemin the country, and must address at least one NERC reliability issue.

M SO has determined through its
stakehol der and its NTEP process that the MNP portfolio, each of the projects meet those criteria. That includes the Mark Twain project and the portfolio as a whole has significant benefits in excess of its costs.

Those benefits have been denonstrated in multiple ways at multiple time. In Iate 2011, M SO issued its initial MNP report that approved the MNP portfolio. At that time, the anal yses showed overal l
cost benefit ratios ranging from 1.6 to 3.3 times the benefits over costs, including ratios for M ssouri specifically of 1.8 to 3.2 times benefits over costs. That's fromthe 2011 anal ysis.

And then in 2014 as requi red by M SO s tariff, M SO updated those anal yses in what was called its trienni al revi ew and the costs -- or the benefit cost ratios improved to 1.8 to 4.5 benefits over cost overall and for Mssouri, 2.3 to 3.3 times the benefits over costs.

Now, these benefits are driven by a number of factors, incl udi ng lower production cost per Ioad- serving entities in M SO, savi ngs due to reduced capacity reserve margins. There's a cost to keeping capacity in reserve, savi ngs due to reduce transmission line losses, and savings due to the ability to utilize additional low-cost wi nd energy that is enabled by these projects, anong other things.

Now, thus far, l've been tal king about the overall benefits fromthe project itself. But in this case, ATXI is presenting a specific anal ysis from Dr. Todd Schatzki, which determines the benefits to M ssouri specifically arising fromthe Mark Twain line.

And what he did was he looked at -- he I ooked at the projects with Mark Twain in service and
all of the other MPs in service, and with Mark Twain not built and all of the other MPPs in service, and inci dentally his assumption that all the other MWs would be in service is a good one because only this project and one segment in Wisconsin of the 17 MNS have any further state regul at ory approvals pending and some of these projects, many of them are al ready under construction.

What Dr. Schatzki's anal ysis shows is the Mark Twain project is the lynch pin of the MP portfolio. And that's because you' ve got the 345 kV systemto the north and the west of Mssouri in M SO, incl udi ng new MNs. You' ve got the 345 kV system to the east and al so northeast of M ssouri, incl udi ng new MNPs, and this project is the one that ties those together. And that includes the IIlinois Rivers project that I mentioned a minte ago.

Because of the importance of the project and its location as effectively the link to the interstate hi ghway, if you could anal ogize to that, Dr. Schatzki's anal ysis indi cates that the benefits to Mssouri of Mark Twain are at least 24 times its cost, and as much as 68 times its cost, and that depends on whi ch essentially economic scenario you look at, is it business as usual, is it hi gh growth, is it low growth.

The I ow growth would be the 24 times. So while the portfolio's beneficial overall, this project is even more beneficial to Mssouri.

In this regard, it's important to note that Ameren Mssouri mostly, the city of Col unbia to a small extent because the city of Col unbia is al so a M SO menber in M ssouri, will pay under the filed rate doctrine is going to pay approximatel 8 percent of the transmission charges for the entire portfolio, which is going to cost about $\$ 5.5$ to $\$ 6$ billion, regardless of whether or not Mark Twain were built. Mark Twai n's about $\$ 224 \mathrm{million}$ of that number.

So let's talk a little bit about the construction of the line itself. Unlike most of the transmission lines that we're all familiar with in the state, which consist of H frames, generally two 80-to 100-foot tall wood poles, sometimes with gui de wires or steel lattice towers, this transmission line is going to be built using steel monopoles that sit on a foundation.

ATXI witness, Doug -- this is Doug Brown and David Endorf, tal k about this monopole design in thei $r$ testimny. Mr. Brown includes some photos in his testimony, and l'd like to show you a couple of them They Iook a lot better on -- that is if the screen
comes to life here -- technol ogy is failing me, Judge.
So let me show you a couple of themand these are -- these are part of M . Brown's testimny. The first one -- this is exactly what this line consists of, what it's going to be constructed with. It's going to look essentially exactly like that using the same kind of structures.

You can see -- you can see that the base of these structures is between 8 - and 10 -, 12 -feet in di ameter at the most, and you can see this is a pasture that -- you can see that you can hay, you can graze cattle, you can do just about anything pretty much right up to the base of the pol es with this particular structure. Whereas when you have the H frames and Iattice towers, you have significantly more i nt erfer ence.

This is, and it's a little harder to see, but this is a shot of the same kind of line and this is -- I think those are soybeans. But this shows the compatibility of the line with row cropping operation. Agai $n$, you can essentially till very near the base of these structures.

The company -- the company chose the design in large part because it does mitigate to the maxi mumextent possible the interference that you have
with some interference with land use that you have from any transmission line. To point to just one statistic rel ating to this, if you take the area of the bases and some area around it, because you can't literally touch the concrete essentially, and you do the math in terns of the amount of land actually taken of froduction on the entire 95-mile stretch, it amounts to less than an acre of I and.

The evi dence will show that all of the parties before you, except one, and that incl udes the St aff, incl udes Public Counsel, are recommending that the company's application be approved so that the project can be built. As outlined in our position statement, we do have a legal difference of opi ni on, not a difference of opinion on the merits of the project, with the Staff that effects one of the Staff's seven recommended conditions, but as to all of the ot her six conditions that the Staff recommended, those have been fully resol ved bet ween the company and the St aff.

So as we stand here today, there's really no issue bet ween the Staff and the company and any party except one as to whet her or not the project is necessary or conveni ent for the public service, whet her or not the Tartan factors that you typically rely upon
to support issuance or approve the application.
The opposing I andowners, which cal I
themsel ves Nei ghbors United agai nst Ameren's power line, that was a group that was formed about a month after the public outreach process in this case, when thi s project started, have raised a myriad of specul ative, unsupported concerns in the hopes of stopping the Iine.

But the evi dence shows that these concerns are unsubstantiated and that in the end, respectfully, what the nei ghbors are really asking to you do is el evate thei $r$ interest, thei $r$ percei ved interest over the Iarger public interest as a whole.

As a statewi de public utility commission charged with, among other thi ngs, taking steps to ensure that the utility service in the state is reliable, that our systens meet our needs today and in the future, your role is primarily to look at the interest of the public as a whol e.

Landowners often oppose particularly maj or utility infrastructure projects. We saw that in the Labadie utility waste landfill case a couple years ago, when over strenuous objections of Iandowners you granted a CCN. We' ve seen it in other cases, but if you look at your decisions, you look at court cases,
it's clear that the interest of indi vi dual I andowners in the area of the project are subservient to the interest of the public as a whole.

Not only are the clains of the nei ghbors unsubstantiated, but most of the bases that they rely upon are either totally outside the scope of this Cormi ssion's real task here, that is is the improvement worth the cost, that's really the question before you, or they are at least at the periphery of the heart of the things you look at.
l'Il acknow edge that you do and you have and you can look at envi ronment al-rel at ed issues, for example, or wildlife issues. You' ve done that, but your decisions make clear that that's not at the core of the inquiry that you make, that's not the core of the factors that lead to your deci si on- making because there's other agencies that really are charged with those areas.

Now, there's no question that
transmission requi res construction and there's no question that easements have to be obtai ned in order to construct a transmission line. There's definitely no question that some Iandowners would rather not be i mpacted by the line. We compl et el $y$ understand that. I have no doubt that you understand that. But that has
al ways been true. It was true when the roughl y 4,000 miles of high-voltage transmission line that al ready exists in Mssouri were built in the 20th Century, it was true when the interstate hi ghway system was built, it's al ways been true and I think it probably will al ways be true.

The evi dence will show that the nei ghbors' arguments, among them are there just won't be anymore need for wi nd power, we' re not going to build anymore wind in the $M$ dwest, that sol ar panels on rooftops and parking lots will solve all of the probl ens, that the rural way of life will effectively cease to exist if this project were built, that MSO is compl etely wrong and its anal yses are wrong, that Dr. Schatzki's anal ysis are wrong, that the Staff is wrong for supporting the project, that the other public utility commissions have approved essentially all the other MNPs have been wrong. The evi dence will show that those clai ms are simply incorrect and l encourage you to ask our witnesses about those clai ms as the case proceeds.

Now, before I sit down, l'd like to go back to the one issue I el uded to a minte ago and that is this legal disagreement that we have with the Staff about one condition. The Staff takes the position that
assents from counties to allow ATXI to hang wi res across the roads, county roads, and just to be clear, in this case, that's all that ATXI will be doing. We're not building al ong county roads, we' re not even putting a single structure in a public right-of-way. There will be a conductor hanging across county roads where they must be crossed.

Staff takes the position that assents fromeach county must be obtai ned before we start construction, at least before we start construction in a gi ven county, or before we start construction on a road crossing. That's a legal difference of opi nion. It's purely a legal difference of opinion. We don't have a substantive di sagreement with the Staff in this case.

It's ATXI's position that the assents whi ch are the subject of the stat ute that Staff points to, 229. 100, whi ch was enacted just as it is today 113 years ago, should not del ay thi s Commi ssi on's permission to construct the project. We believe Staff is si moly mistaken in arguing that it must -- the assents must be obtai ned for at least three reasons.

First, we know in similar cases invol vi ng transmissi on- onl y compani es and invol vi ng transmi ssi on Iine projects where county roads were crossed, that
this Commission did not in any way condition or limit its permission to construct those projects based upon county assents. The most recent example of that was two years ago in the Transource Mssouri case, which was a 345 kV Iine from Kansas City up to l think in northwest Mssouri to Omaha, was an SPP project and it was very much like this one. It arose out of SPP's transmission expansion process, planning process.

Secondly, not only is the Commission not made the effectiveness of its decision in anal ogous cases depending on assents, but this is a subsection one case. It's a line certificate case. And to the extent a franchi se requirement, and Staff says these assents are franchi ses within the meani ng of the statute, to the extent they are franchi ses, that requi rement only exi sts in subsection two area certificate case anyway. And that's not this ki nd of case.

And finally, if you examine the PSC I aw, and specifically the case Iaw as a whole, it indicates that even if there -- that even if there were no di stinction bet ween a subsection one and subsection two case, and I submit to you that there is, whatever may be required under the assent stat ute is not a franchi se within the meani ng of the Public Service Commission

I aw.
The bottomline is that this Commission is not required to nor should it condition or limit its permission to construct this line based upon what five el ected county commissions may or may not do in the fut ure.

We'll address these issues in
si gnificantly more detail in our briefs. As l said, it's a purely legal issue, but l thought it was i mportant that you understand the issue that's been presented and what it would mean for the exercise and effectiveness of your authority if, in fact, Staff was correct about its position.

Now, as I wrap up my openi ng statement, I'd like to leave you with three sort of overarching thi ngs to keep in mind. First, everyone who has an interest in improving the el ectric infrastructure in the state and in the regi on in which your utilities participate are recommending that you approve this project's construction. Secondly, different anal yses performed at different points in time with different Ievel s of granul arity all indi cate the benefits of the project are si gnificantly more than the cost of the project. And finally, the company has done what it can in terns of desi gning the line to mimime impacts on

I and uses. There's going to be some i mpact on the transmission Iine to be sure, but we' ve done what we can to minimize it.

I appreciate your patience and your attention this morning. I know I speak for the Iawers who are going to try the case with me and our witness who are going to try do thei $r$ best to provi de you the information you need in indi cating that we look forward to presenting the case to you. I want to thank you very much.

J UDGE PRI DGI N: Mr. Lowery, thank you.
Mr. Chai rman?
CHAI RMAN HALL: Good morni ng.
MR. LOWERY: Good morning.
CHAI RMAN HALL: You menti oned that there were a number of prior cases before the Commission invol ving line certificates where -- where the Commission did not require county assents before granting the CCN; is that correct?

MR. LOMERY: I know of two.
CHAI RMAN HALL: In either of those cases, was the clai mpresented to the Commission that a-that county assents were required before the CCN could be granted?

MR. LOWERY: I don't bel i eve that the
i ssue came up. And just to el aborate on that, I think the reason there's only a couple of cases is transmission-only entities, they're not very common and they' ve come al ong as the el ectric industry sort of evol ved in the last 10 or 15 years.

CHAI RMAN HALL: Though -- whi ch ki nd of Ieads into another set of questions I had. If -- if ultimately it is determined by the courts that this Commi ssion does not have jurisdiction over a transmissi on- onl y compani es, we woul d expect a lot more of those, woul dn't we?

MR. LOWERY: I don't know that I can answer that question. I think there's a lot of transmission-only compani es devel oping because of FERC Order 1000 and other policies that are taking place in the federal government.

CHAl RMAN HALL: Well, if -- if it's determined that this Commission does not have jurisdiction over -- over transmi ssi on- onl y compani es, woul dn't every -- every LSC in the state create a wholly owned subsidiary and do transmission through that wholly owned subsi di ary, ki nd of like what ATXI di d?

MR. LOWERY: I don't know that I can answer it. There's only three el ectric utilities in
the state, and frankly, two of those hol di ng compani es al ready have hol di ng compani es, as you know.

CHAI RMAN HALL: Concerning the need for -- for county assents, end of the day, that's going to be a decision for -- for an Article lll court, isn't it? As you indi cated, it's strictly a legal issue, it's not a factual issue, there will be no deference gi ven to -- to the Commission's determination if it were to make a determination of that issue. So at the end of the day, it is an issue for the courts, isn't it?

MR. LOWERY: Well, like any legal i ssue that you have to resol ve, as you make deci sions, of course if there's a challenge to your resol ution, ultimately the courts can second guess you about that, that's true.

CHAI RMAN HALL: Yeah, but this is different. If it's a legal determination within our chapter, courts treat that differently than they would a determination of whether these county assents are requi red, woul dn' t they?

MR. LOWERY: Well, I actually di sagree with that, because the question that you have to answer is whether or not 393.170, whether -- A, whether or not thi s kind of -- this assent under 229.100, whether or
not it is a franchi se within the meaning of your stat ute 393. 170. You al so have to make a determination in tern® -- and I -- in fact, l believe that the courts woul d -- would certai nly gi ve the Commi ssi on some deference, just like they did in Harline in 1961, you had a practice for a long time in terns of how you dealt with area certificates and line certificates and what the court said is, that's informative of what the statute means. I think the same thing would apply here.

CHAI RMAN HALL: If we were to determine that -- that a certificate was -- was appropriate in terms of the Tartan factors, is there a reason why we would need to determine the county assent issue? Could we not condition the effectiveness of the CCN on an ultimate determination that the assents were either obtai ned or not requi red?

MR. LOWERY: I'm goi ng to answer that question by saying I will acknow edge you have probably fairly broad discretion in terns of what conditions you can i mpose, and whet her ultimatel y you can impose that condition or not, that ultimately would be up to an Article lll court as well, but l don't want to be inconsistent with what I said. If you interpret your statute in a way that suggests you can, then the
court's probably going to gi ve deference and it's probably the case that such condition would be uphel d. It's an open question because it's never happened.

CHAI RMAN HALL: I guess what I'mtrying
to determine is our Order denying the Mbtion to Dismiss back in Novenber, we determined that -- that the Commission may approve the CCN before assent of the County Commission is shown while conditioning the effectiveness of the CCN on the subsequent submission of proof that the assents have been obtai ned. You don't di sagree with that statement, do you?

MR. LOWERY: I don't thi nk that I do.
And I thi nk what you're essentially saying is the Commissi on can, and we would say that the Commissi on absol utel y should, the Commission ought to make the public conveni ence or necessity determination, and all Tartan does is give you tools to hel p you do that. Tartan's not binding on you, but you use it to make that determination. You're certainly not precluded from deciding the case on the merits, and we don't thi nk you should in any way limit your decision on the merits.

CHAI RMAN HALL: I assume in preparation for this hearing, you spent some time looking at this Commi ssi on's deci si on in the Cl ean Li ne case?

MR. LOVERY: I did.
CHAI RMAN HALL: How woul d -- and it's a matter of record. I was not in the maj ority of that -in that decision, but having said that, how do you di stingui sh this case fromthe maj ority's decision in the Cl ean Li ne case?

MR. LOWERY: Well, I think there's a very i mportant distinction, and I think perhaps there's el uded to certai nly an issue in that case. Thi s project, as I indi cate -- I guess it's actually two of 17 MNPs that were devel oped through M SO s transmission expansion planning process. That process was not a process that was conducted in the dark. It's not a process that even this Commission, at least indirectly, wasn't invol ved in or ot her advocates. That process -the process itself was devel oped through a stakehol der process at M SO, then approved by the FERC, and then the devel opment of the MNS and an examination of the validity of the anal ysis and the trienni al review and so on, al so was devel oped with input froma lot of fol ks, incl uding the OMS and ot her fol ks.

So what we have here is we have the organi zation that is responsible for the functional control of this integrated transmission systemin the M SO foot print that is responsi ble for improving the
efficiency of the M SO capacity and energy markets that compani es like Ameren Mssouri transact in that has I ooked at those issues and anal yzed them under a wi de range of business cases.

Thi s isn't just under a hi gh- growth case or low grouth case. It's under, I believe, four different business cases that cover sort of the gambit of what we would expect the economy and the el ectricity markets to do. And we have anal yses that then tell us these projects and this project in particular are beneficial overall, and they're beneficial to Mssouri.

Cl ean Line, in their application, they were essentially a merchant that says l plan to get wi nd farms to -- to, you know, subscribe to my line, and move power. There were no -- there was no integrated process bet ween -- across the foot print. There was no cost benefit anal ysis of the same kind of nat ure. And I think that is a very significant di stinction.

CHAI RMAN HALL: Is there any ot her way to di stingui sh the two cases with regards to actual provi sion of energy to M ssouri consumers?

MR. LOWERY: Well, and I don't know the details of what is the conversion station, l thi nk is what they called it, that Cl ean Li ne at one point in
that project indi cated they might put in M ssouri. The difference is we have a networked AC systemthat all of our Ioad-serving entities, Ameren Mssouri, everybody, these co-ops and so on, rely upon. This improvement is goi ng to be a part of that networked AC system and that systemis interdependent. When you make changes on one part of it, you effect other parts of it.

That's why the line has reliability benefits overall because you're strengthening the system you're making the hi ghway systemthat is the AC transmission systemstronger when you add a line like this. Cl ean Li ne was sort of a -- I guess l would call it a private toll road going through, but it wasn't really part of that integrated systemthat the I oad- serving entities rely upon.

CHAI RMAN HALL: Okay. I'mjumping around a little bit, but do you believe that the Right to Farm Constitutional Amendment should in any way col or our determination in the public interest

MR. LOWERY: No, I do not.
CHAI RMAN HALL: A Constituti onal
amendment should not in any way shape how we vi ew the publ ic interest?

MR. LOWERY: No, no, it shoul dn' t,
because for one thing, and I think you' ve al ready
concl uded this, that Constitutional amendment does not mean that infrastructure can no Ionger be built on rural properties without the permission of the I andowners. And if it does mean that, then we' ve got si gnificant problems, not just ATXI, but every el ectric gas, water utility, muni ci pality, and so on.

Secondly, as you' ve al so recogni zed, emi nent domai n , you know, compensation for easements, those types of things, that's not -- that's not, frankly, for you to decide. You don't get into those issues. You' ve indi cated that you don't get into those issues. And so --

CHAI RMAN HALL: We don't get into those issues on a -- on a Mbtion to Dismiss, but it seens like it's a somewhat different question as to -- I mean, the public interest is obvi ously a very broad, somewhat nebul ous concept. And so to me, if the people of the state of M ssouri has spoken as to a Constitutional right to farm that should in some way col or our perspective of the public interest.

MR. LOWERY: I guess all I can say is I don't thi nk the people of M ssouri have spoken that any Constitutional right to farmshould interfere with necessary infrastructure that the statewi de utility commission finds ought to be built.

So the scope of that Constitutional amendment । don't think implicates the issue because । don't think it -- I don't think it suggests that infrastructure ought to not be built or limited somehow because of that amendment.

CHAI RMAN HALL: Okay. Last question, and perhaps I should address this question to the counsel for MSO , but do you know what the stat us is of the one W sconsi $n$ case?

MR. LOWERY: I don't, but I suspect
Mr. Small does.
CHAl RMAN HALL: All right. Thank you.
J UDGE PRI DGI N: Chai rman, thank you.
Commi ssi oner Col eman, any questions? Hearing none.
COMM SSI ONER COLEMAN: I'msorry, Judge,
I coul dn't take it all in fast enough.
J UDGE PRI DGI N: Do you have any questions?

COMM SSI ONER COLEMAN: I'msor ry, J udge, I just coul dn't take it of f of mute fast enough.

J UDGE PRI DG N: That's okay. Do you have any questions?

COMM SSI ONER COLEMAN: I don't have any questions, but I do want to know if the slide that was shown was handed out, and if not, if l would be able to
see that. That was a design of the tower, I believe.
MR. LOWERY: Commi ssi oner Col eman, this
is JimLowery. It is -- both of those pictures are attached to the surrebuttal testimny of Doug Brown as schedul es al ong with two additional pictures.

COMM SSI ONER COLEMAN: Thank you. And Judge, if you have a little patience with me, sometimes my phone goes into a -- some ki nd of dead zone and I have to pull it up and take it of f of mute in order to say something, so gi ve me a couple seconds when you ask, okay?

J UDGE PRI DGI N: Absol utel y. Thank you.
COMM SSI ONER COLEMAN: Thank you.
J UDGE PRI DGI N: Al I right. Thank you.
Openi ng statement from Staff. Mr. Willians, when you' re ready.

MR. W LLI AME: Thank you, J udge. May I
approach?
J UDGE PRI DG N: You may.
MR. WLLI AMS: May it please the
Cormi ssion. My name is Nathan Willians. Jamie Myers, Jacob Westen and Kevin Thompson and Hampton Willians are representing the Staff in this case.

What l've put up on the monitor and handed out is a figure fromthe MTEP11, which is the

M SO transmission expansion pl an 2011, and there are a couple of boxes shown on there that l've added to that figure. One indicates the area that encompasses the Mark Twain project and the other indi cates the area that encompasses the lllinois Ri vers project. This is purel y for demonstrative purposes. This is al so l thi nk several schedules of different witnesses testimony in the case, asi de frommy additions to the figure.

As you can see, the Mark Twai $n$ project that's in front of you today goes from as Mr. Lowery described, northeast $M$ ssouri al ong the border between Illinois and Mssouri, over around Kirksville in the north to the Iowa/M ssouri border. The substation on the east end, Maywood substation, the Commission granted a certificate for that substation, or switching station as ATXI calls it, as part of the IIIinois Ri vers project in Case Number EA- 2015-0145, sort of a compani on case to this one in terns of the timing of the filings.

As you can see, as Mr. Lowery's
indi cated, the 345 lines that are part of the MTEP11, create a network that I think it's a fair characterization to say the Mark Twain project is a backbone of or a ki nd of a bottleneck. We don't have a

I ot of the benefits that would flow from those lines up in the northwest part of that map, woul dn't be as hel pful to the popul ation centers towards the east and the southern part of that map.

Now, in Appendix D to its application, ATXI has incl uded some maps indi cating the routes of the Mark Twai $n$ transmission project. As you can see, this is the route that it's proposed fromthe Maywood switching station over to the new substation as pl anni ng as part of this case, near Kirksville, or the Zachary substation. That route crosses a number of roads and hi ghways.

Si milarly, we' ve included a map showing that proposed route fromthat Zachary substation northward to the Iowa/M ssouri border, and as you can see on that route, it crosses a number of roads and hi ghways. Then for the switching station, the Zachary switchi ng station shown on the right, the 161 kilovolt line that is proposed to go fromthat substation over to the existing Adai r substation will go through a portion of Kirksville across Hi ghway 63, and in addition crossing another public road in Adair County.

Now, Mr. Lowery's described to you his under standing of Staff's position regarding the requi rement of having -- Staff's view that there's a
requi rement of having authorizati on to cross publ ic roadways and hi ghways in the state of Mssouri that emanate fromel sewhere than the commission. In fact, Staff believes the Commission doesn't have the authority to do that authorization. That authorization must cone fromthe state because these lines are in the county and by 229. 100, the legi slat are has gi ven the counties the authority to permit those crossings.

Before the Commission can actually issue a Certificate of Conveni ence and Necessity in this case, ATXI must have those county assents or consents or whatever I abel you want to put on it, but permission to cross those roads.

Rather than advocating that the
Commission dismiss this case because ATXI does not have those consents, Staff is suggesting that the Commission go ahead and make a determination as to whether or not the proposed facilities, which l would describe as two lines and a substation, are conveni ent and necessary to -- for purposes of granting a Certificate of Conveni ence and Necessity, basically a declaratory det er min nation.

And then if ATXI goes out and obtains those consents, Commission could still change its mind, but at least we'll have tol d the company we thi nk
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you've met the requi rements for public necessity and conveni ence.

Now as to conveni ence and necessity, the Staff as a Cormission has done numerous times revi ewed the Tartan factors. As to the first factor, whether as a need for the facilities in service, Staff witnesses Dani el I. Beck and Shawn E. Lange addressed that. Whether the applicant is qualified to own, operate, control, and manage the facilities and provide a service, that is addressed by Staff witness Beck. Whether the applicant has a financial ability for the undertaking, that is addressed by Staff witness David Murray. Whether the proposal is economically feasible is addressed by Staff witness Mchael Stahl man. And ki nd of an overarching, whether the facilities serve and pronote the public interest is really addressed by all of Staff's witness, which include in addition to those l've al ready named, Shawn Lange, Sarah Kl i et hermes, and Natelle Dietrich.

Because there are lines -- because the Iines will cross roads in all of the five counties, Mari on, Shel by, Knox, Adai $r$ and Schuyler County, ATXI needs to have consents or assents fromall of those counties for those road crossings before the Commi ssion can grant it a Certificate of Conveni ence
and Necessity.
It's Staff's recommendation that the
Commi ssion impose some conditions on any grant of a Certificate of Conveni ence and Necessity that it were to issue in this case. Those incl ude notice to the Commission that ATXI has obtai ned required permits and approval s before constructions that are necessary before it begins that construction in Mssouri, and we' re tal ki ng about things like I and di st urbance permits, those sorts of thi ngs.

Requi rements regarding the process for minor route deviations, condition that ATXI will not force the removal or rel ocation of occupi ed residential structures fromel ectric line easements, the filing of final line route easements of the project with the Commission, construction clearing mai ntenance repai r and right-of-way practices, and that ATXI file with the Commission its annual FERC reports.

Staff has nothing further to add in an openi ng statement at this time, and happy to try to answer any questions the Commission may have.

J UDGE PRI DG N: Mr. Willians, thank you. Mr. Chai rman.

CHAI RMAN HALL: Good morning. I want to make sure l understand Staff's position on the -- on
the county assents. I'mgoing to read the section of our Novenber 2015 Order that I read to Mr. Lowery and make sure that I understand whether you agree with this or not. So the Commission may approve the CCN bef ore assent of the county commissions is shown while conditioning the effectiveness of the CCN on the subsequent submi ssi on of proof that the assents have been obt ai ned.

And that Ianguage, I believe, is based on
-- on accurate reading of the statute and our rule.
But I want to make sure I understand Staff's position on that. Do you agree with that statement?

MR. W LLI AME: No.
CHAI RMAN HALL: Okay. Can you expl ai n to
ne why?
MR. W LLI AME: Basi cally, in order to cross roadways, you need to have state authorization to do that. This Cormi ssi on --

CHAI RMAN HALL: Excuse me, state authorization to do that?

MR. W LLI AMS: Yes. Somebody fromthe state has to authorize you to across the roadways, if you're a public utility. Before there was a Commi ssion, in order to provi de utility service, you would have to get authorization to use the public
rights of way. That didn't change by the creation of the Commi ssi on. 393. 170, that stat ute, is a recognition that you need to meet those requi rements bef ore the Commission can then lift the inhi bition that got i moosed by the Public Service Commission Iaw that did not allow you to engage in utility service unl ess you were doing so prior to the Public Service Cormi ssi on I aw.

CHAI RMAN HALL: I'mlooking at 229. 100.
And it says no person or persons, associ ation, compani es, or corporations shall erect pol es. So arguably, the assent is requi red bef ore the erection of pol es. And then I'mlooking at our rule, and it says, and this concerns the assents, if any of the itens requi red under this rule are unavailable at the time the application is filed, they shall be furni shed prior to the granting of the authority sought.

So why -- why is it inappropriate, then, if we were to determine that a CCN is -- should be granted, that we condition the effectiveness that -- of that on obtai ni ng the assents?

MR. WLLI AME: Because it doesn't arise under the conditioning portion of the stat ute. But if you take a look at 229.100, and I have basi cally a Staff meno regarding its position on thi s issue, if you
want to see that now or wait until briefing, doesn't matter to me, but, if you look at 229.100, it says no person or persons, association, compani es, or corporation shall or allay and maintain pi pes, conductors, means and conduits for the purpose whatever through on, under, or across the public roads or hi ghways of any county of this state.

CHAI RMAN HALL: Correct. I read that.
MR. WLLIAME: It's not limited to poles.
CHAI RMAN HALL: Ri ght, but it's -- it's
the same point, though, you can't physically -- under thi s stat ute, you can't physically erect poles or power wires or any of that without the assent. So how is that inconsistent with granting a CCN and conditioning the effectiveness of it upon obtaining those assents? And maybe we're just going in circles and we'll wait for briefing on it.

MR. W LLI AMB: Wel I, the only difference, I thi nk, is that the Commission explicitly has another opportunity to revi ewit after the assents are obtai ned. In other words, it's not an automatic, just filing the assents doesn't mean you have a valid certificate. There needs to be some kind of an affirmative act by the Commission.

CHAI RMAN HALL: So does St aff bel i eve
that if we were to grant the CCN, that we have to make a determination of whet her the assents are requi red or not, or could we grant the CCN, condition it upon obtai ni ng the assents if the assents are required and rel $y$ on an Article lll court to tell us whether or not the assents are required?

MR. W LLI AME: I thi nk you' re going to hear an Article III court regardless of what you do, but I think the Commi ssion --

CHAl RMAN HALL: Probably a coupl e of
them
MR. W LLI AMS: The Commi ssi on shoul d go
forward with what it believes is the correct result and I thi nk it's going to need to make the legal determination in the first instance.

CHAI RMAN HALL: Okay. Thank you.
J UDGE PRI DG N: Commi ssi oner Rupp, any questions? Commi ssi oner Col eman?

COMM SSI ONER COLEMAN: No questions. J UDGE PRI DGI N: All right. Thank you.
Mr. Willians, thank you.
Public Counsel? Mr. Opitz, when you're ready.

MR. OPI TZ: May it pl ease the Cormission. Good morni ng.

Today the Commission, and this week, is presented with four issues for determination in this case. First, does the Cormission possess authority to approve ATXI's application? Public Counsel believes that yes, the Commission has that authority. The Commi ssi on so found in EA- 2015-0145, al so known as the IIIinois Ri vers project, that ATXI is an el ectrical corporation subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.

The second issue is whether the transmission line project is necessary or conveni ent for the public service. Now, the Cormi ssion may grant a CCN after determining that the construction or operation is necessary or conveni ent for the public service, and in making that determination, the Cormi ssion has set forth and applied certain criteria, al so referred to as the Tartan factors or sometimes Tartan criteria. Public Counsel's position is that in this case, the evi dence supports a finding on the merits that the Tartan criteria have been met.

The third issue is whether Sections 393. 170 and Sections 229. 100 require that before the Cormi ssi on can I auf ully issue the CCN, that the evi dence must show that ATXI has recei ved the county -the consent of each county where the transmission Iine will cross public roads and hi ghways.

Public Counsel agrees with the Staff's interpretation. It's our position that the applicant must show it has recei ved the required consent bef ore the Cormis ssion may grant a CCN. Granting the -gai ni ng the required county assents is not a condition and should not be a condition on the effectiveness of a CCN, but rather it is a prerequisite.

When the county assent is a precondition, as the Iaw and my interpretation says that it is, the burden is on the applicant. Shifting the requirement to a post-grant condition on the effectiveness essentially shifts the burden to demonstrate compliance with the I aw away fromthe applicant and on to other interested parties. Such a shift is unreasonable, especially when the Iaw places the burden on the company in the first instance.

Public Counsel understands that the Commi ssi on wants to hear the merits of the application, and I recognize that the Commission did not grant an earlier Mbtion to Dismiss the case rel ated to this issue. And so here we are at the hearing. The Commission will have the opportunity to hear the merits on this case throughout the course of this week.

However, ATXI has not shown that it has recei ved the requi red count y assents and so while the

Commission may examine the merits of the application, it cannot grant the requested CCN at this time.

Lastly, should the Commission deci de to grant the CCN, what conditions, if any, should the Cormi ssion pose? Public Counsel believes that in order to protect the effected landowners and minime to the greatest extent possible the di sruption of their property, that the Commission should require ATXI to follow the conditions regarding treatment of Iandowners and I and management outlined in the rebuttal testimony of Mr. Dani el Beck.

And with that, l'm happy to answer any questions that you may have for me.

J UDGE PRI DG N: Mr. Opitz, thank you.
Mr. Chai rman?
CHAI RMAN HALL: No questions, thank you.
J UDGE PRI DGI N: Cormi ssi oner Rupp?
COMM SSI ONER RUPP: No, thank you.
J UDGE PRI DGI N: Commi ssi oner Col eman?
COMM SSI ONER COLEMAN: No questions.
J UDGE PRI DGI N: All right. Thank you.
Mr. Opitz, thank you.
MR. OPI TZ: Thank you.
J UDGE PRI DG N: Opening statement for M SO. Mr. Small, when you're ready, sir.

MR. SMALL: Thank you, Your Honor, and may it please the Commission. My name is Jeff Small and I appear here on behalf of the M dcontinent I ndependent System Operat or, typi cally referred to as M SO.

The Commi ssi on shoul d grant ATXI a CCN as sought in the application in this docket. The application, pre-filed testimonies, and schedules provi ded by ATXI, M SO, Cormi ssi on Staff, and other parti es supporting the issuance a CCN demonstrate that the proposed 345 kV transmission line and rel ated facilities satisfy the criteria that typically are examin ned by the Commission for the granting of a CCN.
M. Lowery has mentioned the MNP projects, the multi-val ue projects, which were planned by M SO through a collaborative process invol ving a range of stakehol ders. The Mark Twain project that is the subject of this docket is part of that MNP portfolio of projects.

The MNP portfolio of transmission projects was approved by the M SO independent board and is under various stages of construction and completion. In response to the Chai rman's question asked earlier, I will respond to the state of completion of the MP projects. Basically, all the approvals of state have
been recei ved for the MP projects of 17 -- the 17 projects that were mentioned by Mr. Lowery.

The only ones it -- the only remai ning state approvals that are required are those who are in M ssouri, invol ving this case, and one in Wisconsin. The MPP five project that, Mr. Chai rman, that you inqui red into, the MP five project is split up into two pieces, whi ch the Wisconsin Commission determined are separate projects from one another and could proceed with separate proceedi ngs.

The first of those projects, the Badger Coul ee line, was the subj ect of a proceeding bef ore the W sconsin Commission that went to hearing last January and subsequently in 2015 was approved by the Commission and is under advanced stage of planning, perhaps construction, l'm not sure exactly what the progress on that line is.

A separate segment of the MNP project, whi ch goes from Madi son to Dubuque, whi ch is part of the MP portfolio, which is -- was di splayed on the screen awhile ago and is part of the MSOtestimony in this case. That Madi son to Dubuque line has not been the subject of an application before the $W$ sconsin Conmi ssi on.

So it has -- it is -- that pl us the

Mssouri portions are the only portions that are remai ned to recei ve state commission approval s. But that portion of the $\mathcal{W}$ sconsin l ine hasn't been deni ed, there just hasn't been an application yet. I anticipate that that application will take place in 2016.

Wth that, M SO supports issuance of the CCN in this case and I'd be happy to answer any further questions that you have on this matter.

J UDGE PRI DG N: Mr. Smal I, thank you.
Mr. Chai rman?
CHAl RMAN HALL: Wel core to M ssouri,
Mr. Small.
MR. SMALL: Thank you.
CHAI RMAN HALL: A coupl e of questions.
One, one of the basis for the MNP projects at issue in this case was the potential to move some wi nd energy from-- from northern M ssouri into the grid; is that correct?

MR. SMALL: That was part of the planning process, correct.

CHAI RMAN HALL: What is the stat us of wi nd devel opment in northern Mssouri, do you know?

MR. SMALL: Well, if you're referring to the immedi ate status, there is -- and there is part of
the pre-filed testimony in this case from M SO that there are no wi nd projects -- wi nd farns in the advanced stages of the MSO queue at this particular time.

CHAI RMAN HALL: Does that effect the cost benefit anal ysis?

MR. SMALL: The maj or benefit of this, and that goes into the benefit costs is the reduction of the production costs for -- after the lines are constructed. So that maj or benefit, and that's, agai n, will be part of the exhi bits in this case, that maj or benefit does not depend upon wi nd farms bei ng constructed in the state of M ssouri. In fact, that maj or benefit to Mssouri doesn't even depend upon having an RES requi rement in the state of Mssouri.

CHAI RMAN HALL: So aml to di scern from that that the ability to move wi nd energy from northern M ssouri into the grid did not play at all into the cost benefit anal ysis?

MR. SMALL: I thi nk that would be an overstatement. Certainly it was part of the planning process and the model ing process. I'mjust saying that the maj or -- maj or.

CHAI RMAN HALL: it wasn't the most si gnificant purpose?

MR. SMALL: I'msorry?
CHAI RMAN HALL: It wasn't the most
si gnificant purpose, it was one of the purposes?
MR. SMALL: It was part of the purpose
for the entire portfolio, yes. But as far as providing the maj or support and the benefit cost anal ysis for the Mssouri portion, it would not change that benefit cost ratio very much.

CHAI RMAN HALL: Okay. I have no further questions. Thank you.

MR. SMALL: Thank you.
J UDGE PRI DG N: Thank you. Commi ssi oner Rupp?

COMM SSI ONER RUPP: No, thank you.
J UDGE PRI DGI N: Commi ssi oner Col eman?
COMM SSI ONER COLEMAN: No questions.
J UDGE PRI DG N: Okay. Thank you.
Opening statement from United for Mssouri, please.
MR. LI NTON: I have no statement, Your Honor.

J UDGE PRI DGI N: Mr. Li nt on, thank you.
IBEWis not present.
Nei ghbors United. ME. Her nandez, when you' re ready.

MS. HERNANDEZ: May I approach?

J UDGE PRI DG N: You mæy.
(NU Exhi bit Number 45 was marked for identification by the court reporter.)

MR. LOWERY: Your Honor, if I may inquire about exactly what the nat ure of this so-called exhi bit. I mean, it's certainly fair in opening statement to tal $k$ about what the evi dence is expected to show, but we' ve just got an amal gamation of news articles and county documents and not sponsored by any witness. That's been basically marked and given to the Commi ssion. l'm not sure what the purpose of this is or the propriety of it.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Sure. I think that's
fair. Chairman Hall at the Kirksville local public hearing, I bel ieve, expressed an interest in acquiring of ATXI interns of the treatment of the Iandowners when they were trying to inquire about how this line intended to be crossed -- put across their property, so this is a compilation of those news articles as well as the indi vi dual s speaking about thei $r$ treat ment in our hope that you would inquire of ATXI on that issue that you had expressed interest in at the local public hearing.

MR. LOWERY: With all -- and Commi ssi oner Hall's free to ask whatever questions he wants and we'd
be happy to answer those questions, but to hand the Chai rman a bunch of hearsay witnesses who aren't going to appear about their perspective of things in news articles, l think is compl et el y inappropriate.

MS. HERNANDEZ: And I'mjust wi shi ng for thi s to be denonstrative.

MR. LOWERY: I don't even thi nk -- । don't thi nk you can just gi ve the Commission demonstrative evi dence with the intention that the Commission then ask questions that become part of the record based on that hearsay. I don't think it's appropriate. I thi nk it's objectionable and I don't thi nk it's an appropriate mechani smfor opening statement or otherwi se.

J UDGE PRI DG N: And I don't thi nk anything's been offered yet, and if and when it's offered, l'll rule on any objections, and then obvi ously if it's admitted, the Commission is free and capable of determining the wei ght of any evi dence that it admits.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Thank you. Good morning, may it please the Commission. I'mJennifer Her nandez, and al ong with my co-counsel, Art Hernandez, we represent Nei ghbors United in this matter. And it's been awhile since l've had the privilege of being
before the Commission, solthank you for your time this morning and the opportunity to be here.

Nei ghbors United is a non- profit or gani zation organi zed in J une 2015. The membership incl udes over 400 members comprised mostly of I andowners that the proposed Mark Twain transmission project would di rectly i mpact. But al so ot her Iandowners that have an interest in protecting the prosperity of the rich farming land in their comminity as well as other interested community mentbers.

Unlike any other party in this case, Nei ghbors United has a true connection with and know edge of the I and that the Mark Twain transmission project will impact. Menbership incl udes indi vi dual s fromall five counties -- those being Marion, Knox, Shel by, Schuyler, and Adai r -- that the proposed Mark Twai $n$ transmissi on project would impact.

They are hard-working farmers and ranchers who depend on the Iand for their livelihoods and to take care of their families. The proposed routes of the Mark Twain transmission project goes through some of the most rich and productive farmand in M ssouri. There are 102 properties the line would cross di agonally. This is not counting if it just clips a corner of the property. There are 41 ponds it
would cross and 78 properties it would di ssect. There are 140 homes within a thousand feet according to the Mark Twain transmissi on project Web site.

It was my intent to al so have copies of these maps for your viewing, and l don't know if l'm goi ng to be able to switch this over to get it to work. And I was not able to copy these because when they were produced to us, they had a copyright, so l could not act ually make copi es for the Commission to vi ew.

But these are some of the examples I just tal ked about in terns of the I and impact and how it's goi ng to cross. This example has a fairly square parcel. The transmission line is going to cut across the parcel di agonally and goes directly over a pond and a livestock waterer. I don't know -- since I don't have copi es, I don't know how you want to deal with ever ybody to look at.

J UDGE PRI DGI N: And Mb. Hernandez, I've asked someone fromlT to come hel p you with that. So they'll be here in just a noment.

Mb. HERNANDEZ: Okay. And then there's another parcel of I and where the transmission line goes across the Iand di agonally. It crosses a pond. And the third example l have is -- there is another parcel that has about 40 acres of CRP I and, and it's this --
transmission line is al so going to cross this parcel in a di agonal matter, which you'll hear evi dence this week, this is much more -- causes much more impact on the farming capability when it crosses directly across the parcel in a diagonal manner versus -- even when it does follow the property boundaries, there is an i mpact, but much less when it follows property boundaries.
l'msure I don't have to remind you, but right behind you on the wall is the great seal of the State of Mssouri. And it is a great reminder that we are charged in this case to deci de whether the Mark Twain transmission project is necessary or conveni ent for the public service. Not necessary or conveni ent for MSO, not necessary or conveni ent for any ot her M SO state, not even necessary or conveni ent for ATXI, but whether the project is necessary and conveni ent for the public service of Mssouri.

Nei ghbors United asked the Commission to deny ATXI's application and this week we'll present seven witnesses for the Cormission's consideration. And I'Il go through who they are as l go through the legal framework that is important to this case.

I want to talk about the M ssouri
Constitution, the County Commission approval
requi rements, and of course the Tartan criteria in determining whether the Mark Twain transmission project is necessary and conveni ent for the public service.

So l'Il back up a little bit. This is the first example l spoke of where you can see outlined in bl ui sh col or the parcel. These are maps that were provi ded to Nei ghbors United through di scovery and it shows how the proposed transmission line is to cross the property. You can see there's been a pond marked here and Iivestock waterer. There's al so a residence on this parcel.

Thi s is another example. And agai $n$, there's l believe 364 maps that we recei ved, so this is just an example of how the Iand is crossing these parcels. But again, in a di agonal matter cutting the parcel in half, where to go from one to the other, you have to work around this transmission line. And again, this one is clipping the edge of a pond here.

In this parcel, an example of again going across in a di agonal manner. The 40 acres here outlined in yellow are CRP I and, whi ch have -- is I and under contract to do certain thi ngs. And then the area that's been hi ghlighted in pink is hay and corn land.

According to the Mssouri Department of Agriculture, M ssouri ranks second in the country in
the number of farms per state and farmand counts for 66 percent of the state's I and use. M ssouri generates over $\$ 10$ billion in agricultural cash recei pts per year. And agriculture is very important to M ssouri. I mportant enough to amend the Constitution to make farming and ranching a Constitutional right.

The M ssouri right to farm Constitutional Amendment 1 was passed by voters on August 5th, 2014. Article I of the Mssouri Constitution contains the Bill of Rights. According to the Mssouri Constitution, farming is a right. Article I, Section 35 of the Mssouri Constitution reads that agriculture whi ch provi des food, energy, health benefits and security is the foundation and stabilizing force of Mssouri's economy. To protect this vital sector of Mssouri's economy, the right of farmers and ranchers to engage in farming and ranching practices shall be forever guaranteed in this state. Subject to the duly authorized powers, if any, conferred by Article XI of the Constitution of Mssouri.

You will hear testimony this week from both ATXI and Nei ghbors United that the Mark Twain transmission project will permently remove some amount of citizen's property fromproduction. That's not a di spute between ATXI or Nei ghbors United.

Nei ghbors United witnesses addressing the farming topics are Noel Pal mer, Janet Akers, and Robert Jackson, and I encourage you to ask questions as they are experts in the area of agricultural and ranching practices in this state.

Regarding the County Commi ssi on approval, it is Nei ghbors United's position, as l believe can be seen through the motions that we filed in this case, that the County Cormission approval is a precondition of granting the CCN, this Commission's granting of the CCN. And we' ve went through the rules, so I won't bother using our time this morni ng on going through what 4 CSR 240-3. 105 provi des as well as 229. 100 that has been di scussed. So l won't waste our time this morni ng goi ng over that again.

But here today from Shel by County are Cormi ssioners Shuck and McCarty, and from Schuyler County, we have Jeff Li ndqui st. From Adai r County we have County Cormíssi oner Stan Pi ckens and Mark Thompson. And di d I miss any other County Commi ssi oners? I'msory?

UNI DENTI FI ED SPEAKER: Carson Adans, Adai r County.

Mb. HERNANDEZ: Carson Adans from Adai $r$ County, and they are here on behalf of all five county
commi ssi ons who oppose the Mark Twai $n$ transmissi on project.

It remai ns Nei ghbors United's position that the Commission may not grant ATXI a Certificate of Conveni ence and Necessity until the required approvals fromthe county commissions are recei ved and subnitted to this Commission for consideration. Staff and OPC have stated that they are al so in agreement with this requi rement.

The testimony in thi s case will show by ATXI's own admissions that in the EA-2015-0145 case, ATXI submitted assents fromthe necessary counties as part of that filing that the Comission approved. It will al so show in this case some documents from counsel, ATXI's counsel, speaki ng with the county commissioners requesting thei $r$ assent for the Mark Twai $n$ transmission project to cross their counties. Again, we feel that it's a precondition of granting the CCN. I will bring this up for your consi deration. If and when ATXI gai ns assents, the needs of ATXI may be totally different than the request that they seek now, so we may be going through a process that once they -- if they recei ve the assents that is necessary in this case, depending on timing, the case that you have deci ded may be moot as things
may have changed in their planning process. And then the county commissioners are here, as l mentioned, if you do have any questions of them

And Iastly, the Tartan criteria, of course the question here is whether the Mark Twain transmission project is necessary and conveni ent for the public service within the meaning of that phrase in Section 393.170 of the M ssouri stat utes. It's been menti oned that the Commission has traditionally used these criteria to determine the question that is here before you today, and ATXI has not met its burden to show that these criteria are satisfied.

I know you are aware of what the five criteria are, sol won't go through and list each one out to you, but l do want to quickly go through a few of them as they rel ate to the testimony that we intend to provi de this week.

There must be a need for the service. To summarize Nei ghbors United's position, there are viable and cost-effective alternatives to constructing the proposed ATXI 345 kilovolt line that achi eved the project objectives described in the ATXI application while avoi ding the economic and environment al impacts to M ssourians that would be caused by this project.

Unf ort unatel y, Nei ghbors United's witness

Wlliam Powers is not available today, he is traveling but he will be here to testify tomorrow on these issues. He's very know edgeable and l urge you to ask of himany questions that you might have on this topic today. He will testify that Ameren Mssouri is the onl y M ssouri investor-owned utility beneficiary of this project and it does not require the Mark Twain transmission project to meet its renewable portfolio standard by 2021.

You will -- he will al so testify that si gni ficant amounts of wi nd power can be accommodated at the Adai $r$ substation and the devel oper pays for these upgrades, if and when they would be put on the system There is al so potential, as he will testify, that this line will never be used for wind, but for natural gas. I'Il note that Ameren Mssouri's IRP states that it has plans to build a natural gas plant.

Whether the applicant is qualified to provi de the proposed service. Nei ghbors United asserts that ATXI has failed to show that it is a qualified entity to provide the proposed service. ATXI has shown difficulty in fostering ongoing rel ationshi ps with the I andowners that it will be required to continually work with. At the Kirksville local public hearing, Chai rman Hall expressed an interest in inquiring of ATXI at the
evi dentiary hearing, and that's part of the information that we provi ded to you about these ongoi ng I andowner i ssues.

Of particular interest in that packet is some media reports that were made by ATXI's former Di rector of Stakehol der Rel ations Peggy Ladd essentially stating this project is novi ng ahead either way, and it's up to the residents to jump on board or jump shi p. Statements like this are hardly supportive of the idea that the utility can work cooperativel y with Iandowners and consider their interests in deci si on- maki ng. There are menbers of Nei ghbors United steering committee here today if you have any questions about this Iandowner/ATXI rel ationship or the experi ences that they' ve encountered.

Whet her the applicant's proposal is economically feasible, another one of the criteria that you'll be considering. It is Nei ghbors United's position that ATXI has failed to show that this project is an economic project standing on its own outside the MP portfolio.

You'll hear evi dence from Mr. Powers that ATXI has used M SO studi es to suggest the economic feasi bility of the project; however, MSO did not conduct independent cost studi es for the specific Mark

Twai $n$ transmission project, which is a specific project that this Commission is bei ng asked to approve, not the entire MNP portfolio.

Si nce the Cormi ssion is being asked to specifically approve the Mark Twain transmission project, it should requi re evi dence of whether the specific project's economic benefits out wei gh the costs and without it the Commission cannot determine whether the project is in the economic interest of Mssouri citizens. This Commission makes decisions for Mssouri ratepayers, not a multi-state regi on as a whole and for that reason, the Commission shoul d deny a project that cannot be supported by its own cost rate anal ysis.

Whet her the service promotes public interest. As I discussed earlier, the Mssouri Constitution states that agriculture is the foundation and stabilizing force of Mssouri's economy. To protect this vital sector of Mssouri's economy, the right of farmers and ranchers to engage in farming and ranching practices shall be forever guaranteed in this state, subject to duly authorized powers, if any, conferred by Article XI of the Constitution of Mssouri.

You'll hear evi dence from Nei ghbors United witnesses that ATXI seeks to build the Mark

Twai $n$ transmission project through approxi matel y 378 properties, majority if not all are engaged in farming and/ or ranching practices. ATXI requests relief that would permanently renove citizen's property from production and prevent these citizen farmers and ranchers fromengaging in farming and/ or ranching practices.

While the extent of the intrusion is a poi nt of argument, even ATXI admits that some Iand will be removed fromproduction. As ATXI's actions infringe on a Constitutional right, the service cannot pronote the public interest.

Further, you'll hear evi dence about how the Mark Twain transmission project is design to cross through envi ronmentally sensitive areas where several endangered speci es are known to reside. You'll hear evi dence that ATXI failed to fully consult with the United States Fish and Wildife Service prior to submitting the application to the Commission containing a final route. The M ssouri Department of Conservation has al so expressed concerns about ATXI's pl anni ng.

Nei ghbors United recommends the
Cormi ssion order ATXI to further consult with Fish and Wildife Service and the Mssouri Department of Conservation prior to granting the certificate in an
effort to minimize envi ronmental impact.
The Cormissi on has the ability through its orders to instill the need for appropriate planning prior to case filings. If authority is granted prior to proper planning, there is a potential for running rough shod through the process because the applicant al ready has what it wants, in this case, the ability to build the Mark Twain transmission project.

Another concern for Nei ghbors United is ATXI's decision to build thi s project through Amish and Mennonite communities. You'll hear testimmy from Nei ghbors United witness Jason Haxton about his expertise in these communities, how the Amish and Mennonites religi ous beliefs keep themfromactivel y opposing the Mark Twain transmission project, a fact that only benefits ATXI. Nei ghbors United argues that building across these communities against the public interest as the project goes agai nst thei $r$ very way of life.

Fi nally, you'll hear evi dence this week from Nei ghbors United's witness Mr. Boyd Harris on the effect of transmission lines on property val ues and Dr. Dennis Smith that will di scuss the potential for EMF exposure and health issues rel ated to transmission I ines.

Overall, Nei ghbors United believes that the evi dence shows that the Mark Twai n transmissi on project is not in the public interest of Mssouri, and as such, is not necessary or conveni ent for the public service. But since it was a question in the position statement, l feel the need to discuss conditions that shoul d be imposed on the certificate if the Commission deci des to grant this CCN.

Nei ghbors United asserts that no condition will completely allevi ate the impacts this project will have on Iandowners. And in no way should this di scussion be vi ewed as a wai ver of Nei ghbors United's argument that this project violates the Mssouri Constitution and the protection it affords the farmers and ranchers.

But if the Corminsion does grant this application, we would ask that the conditions set forth in Mr. Dan Beck's surrebuttal testimny be ordered, especially the condition that the certificate is Iimited to the construction of this line and the location specified in the application and as -- as was represented to Iandowners on the aerial photos provided by ATXI, unl ess a written agreement fromthe Iandowner is obtai ned or ATXI gets a variance fromthis Commission for that particular property.

I believe Staff has changed its position on this condition, but that OPC still supports this. It's important and it's rel evant in your decision because thi s protects the landowners and here's why. The farmers and ranchers that are subject to this proposed Iine are making decisions about their li vel i hood everyday. They are maki ng deci si ons such as where to grow crops, where to build barns, and overall where to make investments in their property and livel i hood.

For these reasons, if the Cormission approves the line, it should do so as represented in the application. The I andowners are going to experience impact $j$ ust by this line crossing thei $r$ property. They should not have to wonder whether the decisi ons that they have to make today regarding thei $r$ farmwill be negated by ATXI down the road without an opportunity to def end thei $r$ investment.

I want to end with this thought: ATXI is argui ng that the need for the Mark Twai n transmissi on project should be considered in a vacuum without any of the farming implications or environmental planning or county approval di scussion. You should not deci de this case in this manner.

Anyt hi ng that can negativel y i mpact
agriculture should be a part of the consideration for the public interest. Any of the health and environmental impacts should be a part of the consi deration for the public interest, and any rules or stat utes that should be complied with should be a part of the consideration for the public interest.

I thank you for your time this morning. Unl ess you have questions, l'Il end by stating that we request you deny ATXI's application for the reasons spoken. Thank you.

J UDGE PRI DGI N: MG. Her nandez, thank you. M. Chai rman.

CHAI RMAN HALL: Good morni ng.
MS. HERNANDEZ: Good morni ng.
CHAI RMAN HALL: Pi cking up with an issue you just rai sed, you made the argument that -- that the right to farmprovision should be a part of the consi deration this Commission takes into account in determining public interest; is that correct?

MS. HERNANDEZ: Correct.
CHAI RMAN HALL: Okay. So you' re not
making the argument that the right to farmis an absol ute right, and that as a result, any -- anything that took Iand out of production in and of itself be a viol ation of that constitutional ; right?

MS. HERNANDEZ: If | -- if that's the i mpression, I did not intend to give that impression. I am basing my argument on the Commission's decision to deny our Mbtion to Dismiss. We renewed that motion and that is still a standing objection in our view that there is a constitutional right and that anything that infringes on their right to farm viol ates the Mssouri Constitution. As the --

CHAI RMAN HALL: So you are taki ng the position that is an absol ute right?

MG. HERNANDEZ: Yes.
CHAl RMAN HALL: That it's not bal anced by anything at all?

MS. HERNANDEZ: Not according to Mssouri Constitution.

CHAI RMAN HALL: So the right to free speech is not bal anced by anything el se? The right to worship is not bal anced by anything el se? I find that hard to bel ieve that you take that position.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Well, I haven't looked at those other Constitutional issues, sol don't know if I'meducated to speak to those.

CHAI RMAN HALL: Okay.
MS. HERNANDEZ: But according to the M ssouri Constitution and the way it reads, it's our
interpretation, the case I aw and Constitutional interpretation is that that provi sion should be gi ven broad interpretation, using the plain meaning of those wor ds.

Agai n, I don't mean it in a di srespectful way to argue that we believe the Corminsion doesn't have the authority because of this Constitutional amendment, but we are argui ng this Constitutional amendment applies in this case, and if ATXI is allowed to build on their property, that infringes as ATXI has even admitted there is some portion of the Iand that will be taken out of use.

CHAI RMAN HALL: As a practical matter, would your interpretation of the right to farmresult in -- or would it prevent any further transmission in rural Mssouri?

MS. HERNANDEZ: I -- if they were to cross farmand, yes, I do beli eve so.

CHAI RMAN HALL: So there woul d be a
moratorium on all transmission in the state of Mssouri.

MS. HERNANDEZ: I know this is a difficult issue, it's something that -- I mean, this is literally one of the first cases that has raised this issue. There's not much case Iaw on it. And I
understand the position that the Commission would be in with this Constitutional amendment.

CHAI RMAN HALL: Let me switch gears to the -- to the county assent issue. If -- if the Commi ssi on were to determine that a CCN is -- is appropriate after eval uation of the Tartan factors, do you believe that it would be appropriate -- or explain to me why it would be inappropriate for us to grant the CCN conditioned upon submission of proof that the assents have been obtai ned.

MS. HERNANDEZ: I thi nk as the rules of the Commission state, that this is a precondition of -of the granting of the CCN. In the public interest, I thi nk it's important to have your project planned before you file your application and lthink your orders, even though they don't necessarily have an expressed purpose of setting forth public policy, they do.

And if projects are allowed to be approved when there hasn't been appropriate planning or approval s gai ned before the application is filed, I think that sends a very detrimental message to others coming behi nd us that you don't have to have everything in line before you file an application for consi deration bef ore the Commission.

CHAI RMAN HALL: Do you bel i eve that the Cormi ssion needs to make a legal determination as to whet her the assents are requi red?

MB. HERNANDEZ: I do think so. It's in your rules. It tal ks about the itens that are required for an application. It's a Mssouri statute. It's based on Mssouri statute, your rules are, interns of the requi rements that are necessary for granting, and so I thi nk going through the rules that apply to applications, that would lead you to make a decision on whet her this is required or not.

CHAI RMAN HALL: Thank you.
MS. HERNANDEZ: Thank you for your time.
J UDGE PRI DGI N : Commi ssi oner Rupp.
COMM SSI ONER RUPP: Good morni ng.
MS. HERNANDEZ: Good morning. How are you?

COMM SSI ONER RUPP: I'mwell, and you? You made a comment that MSO did not do a cost benefit study on this MP project. Did they do a cost benefit anal ysis on any of the other MNP projects or did they not do any? I wanted to clarify.

MS. HERNANDEZ: I don't know if they did economic studi es of each project individually. To my know edge, they did one as a whol e.

COMM SSI ONER RUPP: As a whol e. Okay.
MS. HERNANDEZ: But our expert,
Mr. Powers, l'msure he will be able to answer that question probably better.

COMM SSI ONER RUPP: Al I I ask your witness. And then you al so stated that the M ssouri Department of Conservation had some concerns about this project. Where in the record or those concerns that I could make note of them?

MB. HERNANDEZ: Those are brought up in our witness' testimony, Mr. Powers, as well as we do intend to bring out sone more evi dence for the Conmi ssi on's consi deration thi s week.

COMM SSI ONER RUPP: Thank you. That's all I have.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Thank you.
J UDGE PRI DG N: Commi ssi oner Col eman, any questions?

COMM SSI ONER COLEMAN: Yes, one, for clarification. I believe I heard mentioned that there were some requi rements as far as support by county commi ssi oners, and I wanted confirmation on exactly what you said there.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Yes. I was tal king about the requirements in the Commission's rules that the
county commissioners give thei $r$ assent to ATXI's or any Iines crossing their county prior to a certificate being granted in this case. So it's our belief that before the Cormi ssion can grant a certificate inthis case, those assents have to be before the Commission.

COMM SSI ONER COLEMAN: Let me be more specific. I di dn't mean our rules, I wanted to know -I think I heard you say you had county cormi ssi oners there as witnesses and al so that those county commissioners were not in support of the project. I'm asking for clarification if that's what I heard.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Yes, that's correct, Cormi ssioner. We do have several county commissioners here, so if you would have any questions, they are available and they are opposed to this project in their count y .

COMM SSI ONER COLEMAN: Okay. Thank you. Thank you, Judge.

J UDGE PRI DGI N: All right. Thank you. I don't have any questions.

ME. Her nandez, thank you.
MS. HERNANDEZ: And I would like to offer that as a demonstrative exhi bit.

J UDGE PRI DGI N: That woul d be 45?
MS. HERNANDEZ: Forty-five.

J UDGE PRI DG N: All right. Forty-five has been offered. Any objections?

MR. LOWERY: Yes, Your Honor. Forty-five consists of hearsay, it's inadmissible. It's really that si mple. It's inadmissible hearsay. You can't rely upon hearsay to make your decisions. It's a fundamental rule of evi dence.

J UDGE PRI DGI N: The objection is over ruled, Exhi bit 45 is admitted.
( NU Exhi bit Number 45 was recei ved into evi dence by Judge Pridgin.)

J UDGE PRI DGI N: And thi s looks to be a conveni ent time to take a break. I'mshowing the clock here in the roomis not quite 10: 30. Let's go into recess. We will resure at 10: 45 . Thank you. We are of $f t h e ~ r e c o r d$.
( A break was hel d.)
J UDGE PRI DG N: Thank you. We' re back on the record. We have complet ed opening statements and I believe the first witness to take the stand would be ME. Borkowski. Anything el se bef ore she takes the st and.

MR. FI TZHENRY: Not hi ng, Your Honor. For the record, my name is Ed Fitzhenry and ATXI does call ME. Maureen Borkowski to the stand.

J UDGE PRI DG N: Okay. Thank you. Pl ease come forward and be sworn, pl ease.
(The witness was sworn by Judge Pridgin.)
J UDGE PRI DG N: Thank you very much. You may be seated. And when with you're ready, sir.

MR. FI TZHENRY: Thank you, Your Honor.
DI RECT EXAM NATI ON
QUESTI ONS BY MR. FI TZHENRY:
Q. Good norni ng, Ms. Borkowski . Could you pl ease state your name and busi ness address?
A. Maur een A. Borkowski, 1901 Chout eau, St. Loui s, M ssouri 63103.
Q. On whose behalf are you testifying in this proceedi ng?
A. Ameren Transmi ssi on Company of llli nois.
Q. And have you caused to be prepared certain di rect testimony identified for the record as Exhi bit 1, the di rect testimony of Maureen Borkowski ?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you have any additions or corrections to that testi mony?
A. I do not.
Q. If I were to ask you those questions, woul d you gi ve the same answers this norning?
A. Yes.
Q. And have you al so caused to be prepared what's been identified for the record as Exhibit 2, titled the surrebuttal testimony of Maureen Borkowski?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you have any corrections or additions to that testi nony?
A. No.
Q. If I were to ask you those questions, M. Borkowski, would you gi ve the sane answers this nor ni ng?
A. Yes.

MR. FITZHENRY: Your Honor, at this point, I nove for the admission of Exhi bits 1 and 2, and tender ME. Borkowski for cross-exami nation.

J UDGE PRI DGI N: Any obj ections? Hearing none, Exhi bit 1 is admitted. Exhi bit 2 is admitted.
(ATXI Exhi bit Numbers 1 and 2 were recei ved into the record by Judge Pridgin.)

J UDGE PRI DGI N: Cross-examination, St aff.
MR. W LLI AME: Thank you, Judge.
CROSS- EXAM NATI ON
QUESTI ONS BY MR. W LLI AME:
Q. Good morning, Ms. Borkonski.
A. Good morning.
Q. In your testimony, you refer to Aneren

Transmission Company of lliinois as ATXI. If I do the same in my questions, will you understand that l mean Aneren Transmission Company of Illinois?
A. Yes.
Q. On line 18 at page 3 of your direct testimony --
A. Yes, sir.
Q. -- you say that ATXI is seeki ng a Conditional Certificate of Public Conveni ence and Necessity. What is it that you mean by "conditional " in that sentence?
A. l'm not a lawyer, but it's my understanding that there is a question pending in the courts about whether or not the Commission has jurisdiction over an entity like ATXI. So in the event that the certificate is issued, we'd like for the Commi ssion to issue the certificate, but with the under standing that at some point, the courts rule that the Commission does not have jurisdiction, at that point, the certificate woul dn't apply.
Q. So by "conditional," you mean that if the Comm ssi on's found to be without $\mathbf{j u r i s d i c t i o n , ~ t h e n ~}$ there would be no certificate?
A. If there was no ability for the Commi ssion to issue one, l thi nk that would be the
out come. Agai $n$, I'm not an attorney.
Q. Does ATXI have any agreenents of the county comi ssi ons of Marion, Shel by, Knox, Adair, and Schuyl er Counties of Mssouri for ATXI to suspend wi res across the public roads and hi ghways of any of those counties for the Mark Twai n transmissi on project?
A. No, sir, not presently.
Q. Do you know if ATXI has any authorization fromthe state of Mssouri to suspend wires across the publ ic roads or hi ghways anywhere in the state of Mssouri for the Mark Twai n project?
A. Not for the Mark Twai $n$ project at this time.
Q. On page 4 of your di rect testimony at Iines 11 to 14, you stated that ATXI has never held itself out to the general public in Mssouri or any state as an indi scriminate provider of retail el ectric service and has no plans to do so in the future. Will ATX holditself out to the general public as an indi scriminate provider of whol esal e el ectric service?
A. No, ATXI is a transmission-only entity and does not provide el ectric service.
Q. WII ATXI holditself out to the general public as an indiscriminate provider of whol esale el ectric transmission service?
A. Our obligation and ability to provide transmission service is as a part of our menbership of the M dcontinent ISO. So yes, via that tariff mechani $s m$ that's how ATXI offers el ectric transmission service for use by any entities who do provide direct el ectric service.
Q. Is ATXI authorized under that tariff to di scriminate in who it provi des transmission service to?
A. Under FERC rules, that's non- di scriminat ory access.
Q. Thank you, Mb. Borkowski.

J UDGE PRI DGI N: Mr. Willians, thank you.
Any cross from M SO?
MR. SMALL: No, Your Honor.
J UDGE PRI DGI N: United for Mssouri?
MR. LI NTON: No questions, Your Honor.
J UDGE PRI DGI N: Publ ic Counsel ?
MR. OPITZ: No questions, Your Honor.
J UDGE PRI DGI N: Nei ghbors United?
Mb. HERNANDEZ: Yes, thank you.
CROSS- EXAM NATI ON
QUESTI ONS BY MG. HERNANDEZ:
Q. Good morning.
A. Good morning.
Q. M. Borkowski, you are the presi dent of ATXI; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And as president of ATXI, you have the overall management responsibility of ATXI?
A. Yes.
Q. I ncl udi ng oversi ght of the devel opment and pl anni ng of the Mark Tuai n transmissi on project; cor rect?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you agree that your oversi ght incl udes the oversi ght of complying with the applicable rules and requi rements regarding the construction of the Mark Twai $\mathbf{n}$ transmissi on project?
A. Yes.
Q. If I refer to Mark Twain transmission project as MTP, can we have that understanding that those two are the same?
A. That would be fine.
Q. Okay. M ght shorten the record versus me saying that full each time. If you could turn to your di rect testimony at page 7 at lines 13 through 16.
A. Yes.
Q. The question there reads: Will

ATXI obtain the necessary assent fromthe county
commissi ons for Mari on, Shel by, Knox, Adai r and Schuyler Counties bef ore construction? Did I read that correctly?
A. Yes.
Q. And your answer to that question at lines 15 through 16 of page 7 of your di rect testimony reads: Yes, ATXI will obtain the necessary assents bef ore construction in each county. Did I read that correctly?
A. You did read that correctly, but I did clarify that answer in my surrebuttal testimony.
Q. Okay. But the answer was yes, you did state it in your direct testimon?
A. Yes, but it was further clarified.
Q. And just bel ow that question and answer on page 7 at lines 17 through 18 of your di rect testimony, the question asks you: WII ATXI obtain all necessary approval s for crossing railroad lines and state hi ghways before construction; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And your answer to that question at lines 19 through 20 reads: Yes, all necessary approvals or consents requi red to cross railroad lines and state hi ghways within the proposed routes will be obt ai ned bef ore construction; correct?
A. That is a statement and it's my under standi ng that any such requi red approval s have been achi eved.

MS. HERNANDEZ: May I approach?
J UDGE PRI DGI N: Yes, you may.
(NU Exhi bit Number 46 was marked for identification by the court reporter.)

BY ME. HERNANDEZ:
Q. I've just handed you what's been marked as Exhi bit 46. Do you recognize the letters contained in this exhi bit?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you agree that this exhi bit contains five letters, each identical and sent on behal $f$ of ATXI to the presi ding commissi oners of each county through which ATXI is proposing construction in this case?
A. Yes.
Q. And would you agree that each letter is cc' d to the ot her commissi oners in that county; correct?
A. It is. Just to clarify, I don't know whether or not there's been any change in the commi ssi oners since these letters were sent, but certai nl y it was copi ed to the commissioners at that
time.
Q. Okay. Thank you. And woul d you agree that ATXI participated in outreach with the five counti es commi ssi oners, those bei ng Marion, Knox, Shel by, Schuyl er, and Adai r to di scuss their assents to ATXI to construct, di rect, pl ace, mai nt ai $n$, own, and operate lines and conduct ors across and over the public roads and hi ghways of each count y?
A. No, I don't think so. Could you reread the question?
Q. Sure. Wbuld you agree that ATX participated in outreach with the five county Commi ssi ons? Did you contact themto di scuss their assents?
A. No, I bel i eve we cont acted themto di scuss the project.
Q. Okay. If you I ook at the exhi bit that you stated you recogni zed in the second paragraph.
A. Yes.
Q. That reads as part of the project, ATXI anticipates asking for the assents of the first Ietter is Adai $r$ County Commission; is that correct?
A. Yes. This was a notification letter. As I understood your previ ous question, it was about outreach and the outreach that we did in terns of
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meetings with indi vi dual commissioners, again, were to educate them about the project. This letter, I believe, was -- each of these letters was a courtesy notice informing the cormission that we would be ultimatel y seeki ng assents and asking to provide the name of attorneys so that that process could begi $n$.
Q. Okay. And the I ast -- thank you. And the last paragraph, let's see, second to last sentence, it starts: It is our expectation that we will have any required assents prior to the issuance of an MPSC Order, theref ore your prompt response would be appreci ated. Did I read that correct?
A. You did. And it was our expectation at that time.
Q. And this letter was sent on behal f of ATXI from your counsel, M. Jeffrey Rosencrants; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And from that statement, woul d you agree that ATXI expected to recei ve approval fromthe five county comissions prior to the issuance a PSC order in this case?
A. At that time, yes.
Q. And woul d you agree that ATXI began di scussing the request for assents with each of the
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five county commissi ons begi nni ng in June 2014?
A. No, agai n, I don't thi nk so. My under standi ng is that we did commence di scussion with the commi ssi oners with regard to the project, but not specifically with regard to assents. I believe this letter asking for the names of the attorneys was the first specific contact regarding assents.

Mb. HERNANDEZ: May I approach, please?
J UDGE PRI DG N: You mæy.
(Exhi bit Number 47 was marked for identification by the court reporter.) BY ME. HERNANDEZ:
Q. M. Borkonski, would you agree that this is ATXI's response to Data Request 219 asked by Nei ghbors United to ATXI?
A. Subj ect to check, I would.
Q. Okay.
A. Agai $n$, it doesn't have the cover that indi cates it was our response.
Q. Al right. At the top of the attachment, it reads information requested by DR 2-19 A through E; correct?
A. Yes, it does.
Q. Now, would you agree that since June 2014, ATXI has had contact with Knox County Commission
regarding the Mark Twain transmission project either by e- mail, phone call, or in person approxi mately 11 times?

MR. FI TZHENRY: Your Honor, l'm goi ng to object. Ms. Borkowski was not the person that sponsored the information contai ned in this exhi bit believe another witness that's schedul ed for exami nation later in the week is available to talk about the content. There have been no demonstration that ME. Borkowski provi ded the input into this document, so really, she's left to si mply wander through the document as we are right now trying to understand its full content.

MG. HERNANDEZ: And if I could reply. Ms. Borkowski did state that she is in charge of the oversi ght invol ving the applicable rul es and requi rements regarding the construction, so l believe she is qualified and can answer questions about how many times the ATXI contacted the county commissions.

MR. FITZHENRY: One other -- Your Honor, forgi ve me. My understandi ng, too, this document may not be prepared by an ATXI person but was a compilation put together by Nei ghbors United based on information that might have been provi ded by ATXI; is that correct?

MS. HERNANDEZ: No, this is -- this is an
attachment that was sent directly by ATXI to a data request.

MR. FI TZHENRY: Okay.
JUDGE PRI DG N: All right. l'Il overrule the objection and if the witness doesn't know the answer to the question, she can say so.

THE WTNESS: I don't -- agai $n$, as
Mr. Fitzhenry indicated, I haven't had the opportunity to revi ew this document or do a count, but l'm certainly willing to stipul ate that ATXI on numerous occasi ons made outreach to the county commi ssi oners to expl ain the project to them and to make sure that they had necessary information about the project.

BY Mb. HERNANDEZ:
Q. Okay. And would you make that same stipulation for all five counties?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And woul d you agree as of today, ATXI has not obtai ned assents from Knox County Comm ssi on?
A. ATXI has not recei ved assents fromthe Mark Twai $n$ project for any of the counties because we haven't asked for them
Q. If you could turn to page 3 of Exhi bit 47 that was handed to you.
A. I don't have -- whi ch is Exhi bit 47?
Q. It was the chart contacts.
A. Oh, okay. The pages aren't numbered, so is that the one that has number 28 at the top?
Q. Yes, ma' am In col um -- or row 39, woul d you agree that it states there was a phone call bet ween Aneren personnel to county comissioners in Schuyl er, Knox, and Mari on to di scuss comi ssi oners si gni ng a consent?
A. It indicates that on this graph. Mr. Baker is not an Ameren employee. He was a consultant. I'mnot familiar with this particular pi ece of information.
Q. Wbuld you have been contacting the county commissi ons on your behal f?
A. He may have, but he was not authorized to request consents. And I think that's clear from Mr. Rosencrants' letters some months later where he, in order to begin the process, asked for the names of the attorneys, whi ch would have been the appropriate mechani sm
Q. And -- okay. Ubuld you agree with me that all five county commissi ons, those bei ng Marion, Knox, Shel by, Schuyl er, and Adai r have passed resol utions of opposing the MTP in their respective

## count $y$ ?

A. Yes, I have seen those resol utions, and one of the things --

MS. HERNANDEZ: Your Honor, I thi nk that was a yes-or-no question.

J UDGE PRI DGI N: I agree. That si mply asked you if you are aware. If you could just answer yes or no.

THE W TNESS: Yes, I'maware.
BY ME. HERNANDEZ:
Q. Now M. Borkouski, as being presi dent of ATXI, you would agree that it's important for I andowners to recei ve notice from ATXI should ATXI intend to build on their property; correct?
A. We try very hard to gi ve property owners appropriate notice.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Your Honor, I bel i eve again, this is a yes-or-no question.

MR. FI TZHENRY: I compl et el y di sagree. It was an open-ended question. I'd like ME. Borkowski to explain her answer.

J UDGE PRI DG N: I thought you sai d woul d you agree. Wbuld you like to ask the question again, ME. Her nandez?

BY MG. HERNANDEZ:
Q. Wbuld you agree that it is important for I andowners to recei ve notice from ATXI should ATXI i ntend to build on thei $r$ property?
A. Yes.
Q. If you could refer to page 4, foot note 1, of your surrebuttal testimony. And just let me know when you' re there, pl ease.
A. Yes.
Q. And that foot note reads: Al I andowners whose property is impacted by the final routes described and depicted in ATXI witness Chris Wbods' testimony have been notified in writing; is that correct?
A. That's what it says, yes.
Q. And from your verification that all I andowners were cont acted, is it correct that you have know edge of the processes that were used to identify and cont act I andowners?
A. I have some know edge. I woul d say that Mr. Wbods and Mr. Jontry would be more familiar with the specific mechanisms.
Q. Okay. But you do have some know edge of the process?
A. Yes.

Mb. HERNANDEZ: Okay. I woul d ask for the Commission to take judicial notice of its Notice of Extra Record Contact issued January 14th, 2016.

MR. FITZHENRY: Coul d you ask that agai n?
I di dn't catch it.
MS. HERNANDEZ: I would ask for the Commi ssion to take judicial notice of its Notice of Extra Record Contacts that was issued on January 14, 2016.

MR. FITZHENRY: It would strike me as bei ng hearsay, Your Honor, and having no evi dentiary val ue. The company has a right to cl ose the evi dence and now it's being asked to respond or look at evi dence it's not seen before. It's hearsay.

J UDGE PRI DG N: Thank you. l'Il
overrule. The Commission will take notice of that -that notice of extra record commini cation.

BY ME. HERNANDEZ:
Q. Are you familiar with that record or noul d you like a copy of it?
A. I am not familiar.

MR. W LLI AME: Judge, maybe I missed somet hing, but what has on it information requested by DR-219 A through E been marked as an exhi bit?

J UDGE PRI DGI N: Yes, it's 47.

MR. W LLI AME: Okay. Thank you.
(Exhi bit Number 48 was narked for
identification by the court reporter.)
BY MG. HERNANDEZ:
Q. Ms. Borkouski, you have in front of you what's been marked Exhi bit 48, the Notice of Extra Record Contact. Can you turn to page 3 of that notice?
A. Okay. This is the letter signed by M. Haer.
Q. Correct. Wbul d you agree that M. Haer states that we were never contacted face-to-face or gi ven any form of written notification that this property was in the pathway of these power lines?
A. That is what the letter says. I believe that either Mr. Wood or Mr. Jontry plans to address this. I will state that I don't know whether or not this statement is true. I do know that ATXI made every effort to contact property owners based on the tax rol es in the specific counties.

I certai nly would agree that it's possible that someone was missed. We did al so do advertising of our public meetings, which would have certai $n l y$ provided an avenue for people to become aware of the project as well. However, that bei ng said, I don't believe we have any statutory obligation or
regul at ory obl igation to do a 100 percent contact of property owners while the project is still in flight prior to the certificate application. In fact, we do expect to contact every property owner who might be i mpacted by construction in order to get an easement. So certai nl y prior to any construction, every property owner who woul d be i mpacted by that construction will be cont acted.
Q. But you woul d agree, yes, that M. Haer states that he was never contacted face-to-face?

MR. FITZHENRY: Obj ection, it's hearsay. It's one thing for the Court to take judicial notice of the docurent. It's another thing compl etel y to ask the witness to agree to hearsay statement.

MS. HERNANDEZ: I'mjust asking her to agree that that's what it states.

JUDGE PRI DG N: l'Il overrule.
THE WTNESS: I said that that is what it states, but I have no way of verifying whether or not it is true.

BY ME. HERNANDEZ:
Q. Your surrebuttal testimony at pages 18 through 19 refers to customer rel ations. Is that a fair characterization?
A. Yes.
Q. And as president, are you aware of the open houses that were hel d on Oct ober 28th, 29th, and 30th of 2014 regarding the MTP?
A. Yes.
Q. And would you agree that these open houses were times when a landowner could cone and vi ew ATXI's information about the project and ask questions?
A. Not sure I understand the question that they were timed. That was their purpose.
Q. Okay. Are you aware that bef ore interested Iandowners could enter the public house, they had to first sign a sign-in sheet or they would not be allowed to enter?
A. Yes. That was a process that we had utilized during the IIIinois Rivers project which had approxi mately 100 public meetings and we have utilized before in other projects.

The purpose for that is really twof ol d. Number 1, so that we can understand who is attending and in particular be able to cross-reference that agai nst the property records we have to get a good idea of whether or not the property owners are actually in attendance. And secondly, it was recommended by our security people that we have a si gn-in sheet?
(Exhi bit Number 49 was marked for
identification by the court reporter.)
BY MG. HERNANDEZ:
Q. And would you agree this is -- this is ATXI's response to Nei ghbors United Data Request 6-19?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you recognize this as the sign-in sheet that landowners nould have to sign bef ore they noul d be allowed to enter?
A. Yes.
Q. And there's some -- there's a few sentences that appear above the sign-in sheet; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And one reads, "By si gni ng bel ow I acknowl edge that I am at least 18 years of age and I consent to and allow any use and reproduction by Aneren transmission/ ATXI of any and all photographs taken of ne during my partici pation in this Open House."

Did I read that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And the second statenent made there reads, "I do hereby rel ease to ameren/ ATXI and its agents, and empl oyees all rights to exhi bit this work in print and el ectronic formpublicly or privately. I wai ve any rights, clains, or interest I may have to
control the use of $m y$ identity or likeness in whatever media used and I understand there will be no financial or other remuneration for recording me, either for initial or subsequent transmission or playback."

Did I read that I ong sentence correctly?
A. Yes. That is it says.
Q. And woul d you agree that some I andowners that came to the open house were not allowed to attend the open house because they would not sign this sign-in sheet with these statenents above?
A. There were people who were not allowed to participate because they woul dn't sign-in. I actually do agree we' re revi ewing our processes now, that those two statements before the sign-in sheet whi ch were frankly a very, very small part of the purpose for the si gn-in sheet could -- would be found objectionable by property ouners.

I believe that was originally incl uded at the advi ce of our corporate comminications and legal teans because we do take photographs and do vi deo that we use on our heb site and for pronotion of the project. And the idea was to make sure that there wasn't an issue with regard to who the non- Amer en empl oyees were that were in the picture or that they would be entitled to remuneration.

But I can certainly see in reading those two sentences how some people would find that part of it objectionable and may not have obj ected to si gni ng the sign-in sheet if those sentences were removed. So we are revi ewing our processes at this point in time for future public meetings to make sure that that l anguage isn't incl uded.
Q. And -- thank you. On the same day as the open houses, I bel ieve October 28th, 29th, and 30th of 2014, woul d you agree that you al so hel d what ATXI referred to as commity representative for uns?
A. Yes.
Q. And woul d you agree that those for uns -to those foruns, you invited public officials, representatives of local muni ci pal groups, agency representati ves, and ot her commity stakehol ders?
A. Yes, that was the intention.
Q. And during these, l'll refer to them as CRFs, ATXI invited the invitees with information on the MTP and allow themto wal $k$ through the public open house neeting layout and speak to ATXI representatives; is that correct?
A. I believe that's the case. I wasn't present, but that's my understandi ng.
Q. And prior to ATXI sharing any inf or mation
with these attendees of the CRF, were the attendees required to signthis sign-in sheet?
A. I don't know. Mr. Jontry might be able to answer that question.

Q Al right. Thank you. You participated in Case Number EA 2015-0145 bef ore the Public Service Commission; is that correct?
A. If you could please tell me what the Case Number -- besi des the case number, the name of the case or the topic of the case, please.
Q. Sure. I have a copy of the application?
A. Was that the lllinois Rivers project?
Q. Let me make sure that's how you refer to it. It would be the transmissi on line approxi mately seven miles in length, incl uding the switching station that runs generally fromthe new switching station to be constructed at Pal myra through Marion County and extended across the Mssissippi Ri ver to the Mssouri stateline.
A. Yes, that is the M ssouri portion of the IIIinois Ri vers project.
Q. And you participated in that process?
A. Yes.

ME. HERNANDEZ: l'd ask the Commi ssi on to take judi cial notice of the record in Case Number
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EA- 2015- 0145.
MR. FITZHENRY: Your Honor, for what
reason?
MS. HERNANDEZ: For -- to show
Ms. Borkowski's partici pation in that case and al so attached to the application in that case was an assent from Marion County that she si gned, acknow edged, al ong with the commissioner of that county.

J UDGE PRI DG N: Cormi ssi on will take notice of its own record in EA- 2015-0145.

MR. FITZHENRY: Wbuld that be afforded an exhi bit number, Your Honor?

J UDGE PRI DG N: It certainly can if you' d Iike. It would be Exhi bit Number 50.

MS. HERNANDEZ: I made copi es. Wbul d you like for me to make copies to make as an exhi bit?

MR. FITZHENRY: Not for my benefit, unl ess you have further questions of the witness.

MR. LOWERY: Your Honor, if I may for clarity, there's an exhi bit that's being marked, । think, that's the application in the case. It's not the entire record in the case. I don't know what exactly you' ve taken notice of.

J UDGE PRI DG N: I 've taken notice of the entire file.

MR. LOWERY: Okay. Thank you.
(Exhi bit Number 50 was marked for
identification by the court reporter.)
BY Mb. HERNANDEZ:
Q. M. Borkonski, would you agree that the permission ATXI seeks fromthe Commission inthis case to build a transmission line that will connect to the Iine that was approved in the EA- 2015-0146 case? That bei $n g$ the appl $i$ cation.
A. I thi nk you' ve got the case numbers backwar ds.
Q. Oh, yes. Yes, ma' am You're correct. Let me reread that to you, then, with that correction.

Wbuld you agree that the permissi on ATXI seeks from the Comission in this case is to build a transmissi on line that will connect to the line that was approved in EA- 2015-0145?
A. Yes.
Q. And isn'tit true that as part of that case requesting the CCN, the application attached as Appendi x G a copy of the assent of the County Comission of Marion County for the project proposed in EA- 2015-0145?
A. Yes, the assent is attached.
Q. And your name appears on that assent on
behal f of ATXI; correct?
A. Yes.

MS. HERNANDEZ: I have no further
questions of this witness, but l would move for the admission of Exhi bits 46 through 50.

J UDGE PRI DGI N: Those have been offered.
Any obj ections?
MR. FITZHENRY: No obj ection.
J UDGE PRI DG N: Al I right. Exhi bits 46
through 50 are admitted.
( NU Exhi bit Numbers 46 through 50 are admitted into evi dence by Judge Pridgin.)

J UDGE PRI DGI N: Any questions,
Mr. Chai rman?
CHAI RMAN HALL: Just a few.
EXAM NATI ON
QUESTI ONS BY CHAI RMAN HALL:
Q. Good morning.
A. Good morning.
Q. If it is determined that this Comission does not have $\mathbf{j}$ urisdiction over -- over this transmission line, how will ATXI proceed with getting the easements necessary to construct the line?
A. It would be our expectation that we would approach the property owners to vol untarily negotiate
for easements and to move the project forward on that basis.
Q. And if there was a property owner that was not interested in selling his, her, or its property, what would -- what would your next step be?
A. I'm not an attorney, but it's my under standi ng that under M ssouri statute, ATXI would have the ability to seek condemation in the courts.

Q Turning to your di rect testinony, I note that you wear at least two hats. You' re seni or vi ce-presi dent for transmission at Ameren Services Company and al so president of ATXI; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. When you get your paycheck, what letterhead is on that paycheck?
A. I'mactually an empl oyee of Ameren Servi ces Company, so my paycheck comes from Ameren Servi ces Company.
Q. So does -- does ATXI have any empl oyees?
A. No.
Q. Does it -- does Aneren Servi ces own any transmissi on in Mssouri?
A. Ameren Servi ces does not own transmission in M ssouri. Currently, ATXI does and Ameren Mssouri does, of the Ameren affiliates. There are quite a few

Ameren subsi di ary compani es, many of whom do not have empl oyees and whose actions are carried out through thei $r$ agent Ameren Servi ces Company. The services company is established really for that very reason because it's the most efficient way of carrying out services for a number of different operating companies in our subsidiaries.
Q. So you said that there's transmissi on in M ssouri owned by ATXI and by Aneren Mssouri; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. So why -- why did, if you know, why did -- why is it not Aneren Mssouri seeking this certificate as opposed to ATXI?
A. Some time ago, actually, I believe it may have even been prior to when the multi-val ue projects were approved by M SO, so l'mgoing to guess in 2010, we were aware that M SO was likely to be moving forward a portfolio of multi-val ue projects of which a si gni ficant portion of that investment was going to be assi gned to Ameren, and in looking at basi cally the capital needs of the various operating companies, we opt ed to forma transmission-only company to make the investment in these projects that were kind of the regi onal multi-val ue projects so that Ameren Mssouri
and Iikewi se Ameren IIlinois woul dn't have to di vert capital investment that they needed to invest in thei $r$ own -- in the case of Ameren Mssouri generation, reliability-based transmission, and di stribution system

So effectivel $y$, it was a way of getting the investment in the new transmission lines that are the regi onally based Iines of f of Ameren Mssouri's books and on to a different corporate entity that could be financed at the Ameren Corp. Ievel.
Q. Wy coul dn't the invest ment take place through -- through Aneren Mssouri?
A. Again, Ameren Mssouri has a limited amount of capital available. It's been investing in distribution facilities, reliability projects for transmission that are needed to serve Ameren Mssouri load are still al so bei ng invested in by Ameren Mssouri, and there have been quite a few investment requi rements both with regard to the Callaway nucl ear plant and the other fossil generation facilities with regard to envi ronmental investment.

So this was a way of not having to make choi ces bet ween who gets allocated the capital. You remove one big source of capital allocation fromthe Ameren Mssouri picture and put it at the Ameren
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Corp. I evel.
Q. Nowit seens to me that the reason why the -- the entity before us seeking the certificate, ATXI, is here is because there was a hope that if it's -- if ATXI, whi ch doesn't provide retail services in Mssouri, that this Comission nould not have jurisdiction over the line. I think you're telling me that's not correct, that it was strictly an accounting corporate decision and had nothing to do with a strict -- with a strategy to avoid the $\mathbf{j}$ urisdiction of this Commi ssi on.
A. I can tell you absol utel $y$ that at the time Ameren Transmission Company and Ameren Transmission Company of IIlinois were formed, that it was not to avoid Cormission jurisdiction.
Q. Turning to page 18 of your --
A. Can I suppl ement my answer? Do you mind?
Q. No, not at all.
A. Just to kind of do this. Obvi ously we had a similar situation in Ameren Illinois. The way the statutes work in IIIinois, Ameren Transmission Company of Illinois actually is a public utility under the state of lllinois. So the lllinois Commission does have certain authority to regul ate, not rate authority, the rate authority comes at the FERC Ievel, but you
know, agai n, l -- the vast maj ority of ATXI's i nvestment is in lllinois and that is subject tolCC jurisdi ction, so it really woul dn't have made any sense for us to be thi nking we were going to try to avoid one state's jurisdiction and not the others.

I thi nk with regard to the litigation that we have currently pending, agai $n$ l'm not an attorney, but as I understand it, the law is what the I aw is. And either the Commissi on has jurisdiction or it doesn't, and that's what the courts will approve. But our busi ness decisi on wasn't based on, you know, a presumption that there was no jurisdiction.
Q. Okay. I appreci ate that additional inf ornation.

In your surrebuttal on page 18, starting at -- at Iine 18, you say: Our company insists that its empl oyees and agents treat others with di gnity and respect. As presi dent of ATXI, I truly bel ieve that our peopl e work very hard to do so and that we live up to those ideals the vast maj ority of the time. To the extent it is determined that a representative of ATXI has acted in a manner that is inappropriate or does not meet the standards we denand, i will take the appropriate action to address that issue.

Did I read that correctly?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. As you know there nere -- there were a number of complaints at local public hearings about some of the conduct of ATXI representatives. Are you familiar with some of those?
A. Yes, I'maware of that.
Q. All right. So what have you done to date to investi gate those allegations?
A. We have revi ewed the notes that we kept fromthe meeting. I believe there are certain responses in data requests where certain witnesses, incl uding Mr. Jontry, expl ai ned sore of the instances that I think people felt were thi ngs where they were being di srespected when the intention of the Ameren employees was to give every property owner the opportunity to have a chance to visit the stations and ask questions.

And that I think where people became the nost upset was certainly with regard to the issue we just tal ked about with ME. Hernandez with regard to the sign-in sheet, that people weren't allowed in if they di dn't sign in. We' ve taken the action at this point in time to review that process. I myself see why those two sentences people would find objectionable, so we're revi ewing our process in that regard.

Some of the ot her thi ngs we' re trying to do is to make sure that we expl ai $n$ to people that, you know, when you' ve asked your questions and your questions have been answered, that we need to give peopl e -- the other people the opportunity to get the sare attention that you have, and that, you know, ki nd of give them the understanding that if you're not fini shed up, we may need to escort you out.

I think other things were j ust the communi cation about things like the security issues where there was a concern because I do understand that people are very passi onate about their property, and that this is upsetting to them And we want to try to hear those concerns, let them ai $r$ those concerns, and be sensitive to that.

But we al so, gi ven today's world, have concerns about security, so when people are wal king around with backpacks and appear to go into other roons where they're not visible and kind of continue that, it rai ses security concerns.

So I think naybe the process that we need to change is to be much more open in communi cating with peopl e the reason why, okay, you' ve asked thi s question 12 time of six different people, we need to move on, so we need you to do this, or we're really concerned
about that backpack you have, would you mind leaving it with our security people so that, you know, we' re not concerned about it anymore. Those kinds of actions are thi ngs we' ve taken.

And in addition to that, both our empl oyees and our consultants prior to the next set of public hearings will be undergoing more trai ni ng about how to deal with people, and you know, to try to do that in a more sensitive way.

But I can assure you that -- well, first of all, l'mvery, very sorry to the people in this room and other people if you were offended by our actions, if you felt di srespected. That is absol utely contrary to the culture I want to set and Ameren's val ues and I'mvery, very sorry for that. I al so do understand how upsetting this process is for you, and will try to minimze that angst and concern in the future.

But those would be the steps that we' re t aki ng.
Q. Okay. Well, I appl aud your willingness to exam ne the necessity of that language on the -- on the sign-in sheet. And I look forward to hearing the results of that revi ew at some -- some later date.

There were a number of indi vi dual s who compl ai ned about bei ng escorted out when they asked a
question that they bel $i$ eved was not wel cone, and I think at least in a couple of instances, that was questions about the county assent requi rements. So is it -- is it my understanding that from your perspective, those questions had been asked repeat edl $y$ and it -- it became a point of -- of obstructioni sm essentially?
A. That is my understanding. I wasn't present at the meetings, so that's what l heard frommy staff. Mr. Jontry was present, so you can ask him that, but it's my understanding that the question was answered, first of all, because the people there at the meeting were subj ect matter experts about the project, not attorneys. So when people are asking these peopl e about a legal interpretation, I think what they told themis we're not lawers, and you know, we don't bel ieve this is a requi rement but we're not attorneys.

But my understanding is a question was asked repeatedly and that the person would go to ot her representatives in the roomto start the process all over agai n . And agai n , that prevented other property owners from havi ng access to those peopl e who were there to ask -- to answer specific questions about the project.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

CHAI RMAN HALL: I have no further
questions.
J UDGE PRI DG N: Mr. Chai rman, thank you.
Commi ssi oner Rupp.
COMM SSI ONER RUPP: Yes, thank you.
EXAM NATI ON
QUESTI ONS BY COMM SSI ONER RUPP:
Q. Good afternoon -- good morni ng. It's still morning.
A. Good morni ng.
Q. Where can I find in any of the press reports or any of the filed testi nony the compl ai nts where people filed or made comments that they did not get their questions answered because ot her people were asking similar questions? l have not seen that anywhere. Can you point that to ne?
A. I don't know specifically. Agai n, l thi nk Mr. Jontry did respond to some data requests. l'mlooking for hel p frommy counsel.

MR. FITZHENRY: I believe so.
THE WTNESS: I don't, off the top of my head, know what that data request is. If you bear with me, I can flip through my bookl et and locate it. BY COMM SSI ONER RUPP:
Q. Your staff can -- your attorneys can do
that while l continue asking and somebody can just poi nt me to the place where I can find, because I had not heard anybody compl ai $n$ that they had not gotten a chance to talk to representatives because ot her people were asking similar questions.
A. Oh, I misunderstood your question. I thought the question was where in the record did it say that people were asking the same question over and over.
Q. No, my question was: You made the comment to Chai rnan Hall that other peopl e were not being able to speak to the representatives because there was people going and asking the same question to ot hers. I have not seen anybody compl ai $n$, I didn' $\mathbf{t}$ see any press reports of people saying that my questions di dn' $\mathbf{t}$ get answered because of that. So I wanted to see where you coul d show me that actually happened or if that was $\mathbf{j}$ ust your opi ni on.
A. I don't know if there's anything in the record. Mr. Jontry, agai $n$, was present at these meetings. I think the assumption was that the lines at the stations were backing up and that was the issue, so obvi ously --
Q. So it masn't due to the fact that this is an important issue and peopl e are compassi oned. The
fact that the lines were backing up was because people were asking similar questions?
A. The way the public meetings are set up, there's a different station all around the roomfor a different topic, so one might be vegetation management, one might be pole construction, one might be the actual routing stations that shows whi ch properties are being touched, and each of those has subject matter experts staffed by Ameren and by our Burns \& Mt consultants. So dependi ng on what information the people want to find out, they go to different stations or can go to hall the stations.

And my understanding is that the indi vi dual that was asking about the application of the statute was asking that at multiple stations where those people weren't really equi pped to answer it and then would continue asking such that the people who did want to find out information about that particular topic area were kind of having to stand in line and wait and not getting their opportunity in a timely fashi on.
Q. So if someone asked one of your stations a question, and that person said l'munable to ask that question, they' re not allowed to go to the next station and find out is that person able to ask? So l'm
failing to see how that person was wrong by going from station to station asking a question when he was not gi ven, as you said, they weren' $\mathbf{t}$ lawyers, so expl ainto me how that person acted incorrectly by going to each station asking that question trying to get his answer.
A. Because the person was informed that that's a legal question and there aren't lawyers here, these people aren't going to be able to answer your question.
Q. Okay. So he was supposed to $\mathbf{j}$ ust take a bl anket and hall these different stations and you should just take our word for it that nobody here is I awyers, you' re not going to get your question answer ed?
A. I think the people who were running the public meeting were in a good position to know what the subject matter experts at the various stations were equi pped to answer.
Q. Okay. And when we hol d local public hearings here as the commission, do you realize that several hundred people come and a lot of people nake the same poi nt over and over during -- during the time that they' re testifying bef ore our comission?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Have you ever heard any of the
comi ssi oners say, you know, someone' s al ready made that point, we don't want to hear from you now, we' re not goi ng to let you speak?
A. Not in that specific terminol ogy, but yes, I thi nk that has been said that unl ess someone has new points to make, you know, we' ve heard that al ready. I thi nk that has been sai d, yes.
Q. I believe it's been saidif you testified in a previ ous statement. Ue al ways let everyone cone forward and testify if they have rel evant infornation, and I've never seen a judge turn away someone that says, you know, you can't testify.
A. I accept that at your word.
Q. Okay. I'mlooking at the articlein the -- one of the newspapers that was handed out about the case, comments from someone with the last nane of Ladd.
A. Yes.
Q. And whom-- what organi zation does this person work for, this M. or Mrs. Ladd? I'mlooking for the first tine, l'm not seeing it.
A. Her name is Peggy Ladd and she di d work in my organization, but she is no longer employed by Aner en.
Q. And why is she no I onger empl oyed by Amer en?

MR. FITZHENRY: Your Honor, as you can i magine, l'msure the Commission has personnel issues that it takes in-camera or closed session, l'm not sure what you call it, but Ameren's policy would require that any further di scussi on further about Mrs. Ladd's empl oyment or reasons for leaving the company be hel d in confidence, and l'mnot sure what the judge's -- we could go in-camera is my understanding is the appropriate procedure and ask those who were not subj ect to the in-camera rul es would have to leave the room

JUDGE PRI DGIN: If we're going to continue that line of questioning, that might be the wi ser course. Why don't we go in-camera and we'll have to clear the roomto get those answers.

COMM SSI ONER RUPP: All right. Let me save everyone from havi ng to stand up and I eave here. BY COMM SSI ONER RUPP:
Q. Let's look at one of the comments here. Mb. Ladd was quoted in the organization as saying project is noving ahead either way and it's up to the resi dents to $\mathbf{j u m p}$ on board or $\mathbf{j u m p}$ ship. Is that statement a statement that a president of ATXI that you st and behi nd?
A. Absol utely not.

## Q. Okay. And I'msorry, what was

## Ms. Ladd's role -- her title?

A. She was the di rector of stakehol der rel ations -- transmission stakehol der rel ations.
Q. Stakehol der rel ations. Okay.
A. I will say that I don't -- these words are on the paper. I have no way of verifying whether or not this is verbatim what was said.
Q. Okay.
A. In fact, I think if you take it in context with the next sentence, assuming that when these words were said, they were -- happened consecutivel y like that. I think the context of the next sentence says: l'mencouraging people to core out and speak on their behalf, so the jump on board stuff to me means get invol ved. The other part about jump shi p or the proj ect moving ahead either way, I would not support those statements.
Q. I'msorry, M. Borkouski, have you testified bef ore the Mssouri Comission bef ore?
A. Yes.
Q. And when was that?
A. I don't remenber the times. It goes back quite a few years.
Q. Has it been since l've been a
commi ssi oner?
A. No.

Q Okay.
A. I have filed written testimony.
Q. But you have not been here?
A. Yes.
Q. And I Iistened to your response when you were chatting with Chai rman Hall about the people that were asked toleave the hearing and summonsed by the security. And I Iistened to your whole response and I'mtrying to understand it a little, so mabe you can hel p me cl arify. Do you believe that escorting every indi vi dual that was escorted out of one of those public hearings was the correct course of action for ATXI?
A. I bel ieve that at the time, the people present and our security staff bel ieved they were following the procedures we had establ ished. I questi on now whet her or not those procedures are appropri ate and we' re revi ewing them
Q. Okay. Did you have to si gn a sign-in sheet when you wal ked through that door?
A. I did not.
Q. Are you being vi deo recorded?
A. Yes.
Q. You know, you sai d a word when you' re
contacting or chatting with Comissi oner Hall, and the word was "culture." And I ama huge believer in the culture of our organization. Now, I just realized that ATX has no empl oyees, so obvi ously there' s no culture in an empl oyeel ess organization. So you work for Ameren Servi ces. And where is your office located?
A. In the general office building on Chouteau Avenue in St. Loui s, Mssouri.
Q. In St. Louis, Mssouri. So do you -- do you work for Ameren Illinois, Ameren Mssouri, do you work for the nother shi p? Hel $p$ me understand that.
A. Ameren Services is a corporation whose specific intention is to provide services for hall of the operating compani es and subsidiaries of Ameren, so in that role, I manage the transmission assets of Ameren Mssouri and of Ameren IIIinois and of Ameren Transmission Company of IIIinois.
Q. Okay. The indi vi dual sthat were present at hall the local public hearings, whom do they work for? Aner en Servi ces, Aneren Mssouri, Aneren Illinois?
A. The vast maj ority of them were Ameren Servi ces empl oyees. Agai $n$, we did have some of our consultants from Burns \& MEDonal d present as well.
Q. Okay. And this is an Ameren llifinois
ki nd of project, would you say that nore than Aneren Mssouri ?
A. Not the Mark Twai $n$ project, no. Do you mean Ameren Transmissi on Company of lllinois?
Q. Wéll, here's what l'mtrying to figure out. I'mtrying to figure out what is the culture of your organization? And I firmy believe that the culture of an organization is defined by the behavi or that the leadership is willing to tolerate. And if you were able to tol erate the way that people were treated at these local public hearings, the sign-in sheet Ianguage, the yes it was okay to escort people out, yeah, maybe our policy, that makes me call into question the entire culture of your organization.

And I was hoping if this was Areren Illinois, l haven't dealt with that organization, so that's my first impression is wow what's the culture of this organization? And I've dealt with Aneren Mssouri in the past, and nowl'mtrying to figure out why this doesn't $\mathbf{j} i$ ve with the Aneren Services and the Aneren Mssouri organization that has been in here.

And sol'mtrying to figure out what is different, and nowl'mfinding out that it's an empl oyeel ess organi zation, this ATXI, and so now l'm re-questioni ng everything that I know about Aneren

Services culture. And woul d you say that Aneren is a regul at ed nonopol y?
A. Aneren Corpor ation?
Q. Aneren Mssouri and utility, are they regul at ed monopol ies in this country and this state?
A. Areren M ssouri certainly is.
Q. Okay. So Aneren Mssouri is a regul ated monopol $y$. If I had a busi ness that I had basi cally no competition because no one could cone into my area, I was guar anteed certain number of clients if they needed services, they had to cone to me, l've ouned busi nesses bef ore, I woul d have -- I woul d have gi ven my I eft pi nky, probably my left hand to have that. And so when you say the word "culture," when I I ook at an or gani zation that has that arrangenent, I think they should be benevol ent. I think they shoul d be bending over backwards and taking care of the community, taki ng care of the people, the peopl e that are there are the ones, and sol'm-- l'm now-- you brought up the nord "culture," so that -- and l'mtrying to get to the bot tom of what happened in these local public hearings and who were they -- who were the empl oyees, where did they come fromp
A. May I respond?
Q. Sure. I'm wanting to know more about why

# these actions, if you do give training to your people bef orehand, why these happened and what your response was to it after it happened, ot her than we' re revi ewing our processes. 

A. So first of hall, Ameren Corporation and hall of its operating compani es consi der thensel ves to have a single culture that really starts with our leader, Maura Baxter. And as a part of that culture, we subscribe to val ues. Incl uded in those val ues are respect, integrity, stewardshi p, account ability, and ot her s.

But specifically lthink with regard to -- so Ameren Services in and of itself I don't think you would be looking for a specific culture. We do care about our customers and our commities. These same people who conducted the public hearings -- excuse me, the public meetings for the Mark Twain project were invol ved in hundred- pl us public meetings in the IIIinois Ri vers project. Exactly the same people. The same I eadershi p, the same people invol ved. We did have a different project manager, but a lot of the people that manned these stations went place to place.

And certai nl y we encountered people who were impassioned and upset and we tried to deal with themin a way that let them vent their frustration and
feel like they were heard. And I think we did that successfully. And quite frankly, we di dn't have issues like this come up in the lllinois Rivers process.

So coming over to the Mark Twain project, first of hall, l think it's important to say that as a part of our culture, we did this outreach by opening these meetings up to the public and reaching out to the commity leaders of our oun volition. We are not requi red to do that by Commission policy or by stat ut.

So I think the very act of reaching out to the communities, hol ding the meetings, sending information to fol ks, offering themthe opportunity to cone in and give us input, the level of detail we went to in trying to record their input, working with Burns \& MEDonal d, I think speaks to the fact that we do care and we were trying to do the right thing.

I don't know what happened at these meetings. If people were di srespected, as I said, I si ncerel y apol ogize because that is not what we're trying to portray, that is not the culture we want peopl e to see us by. But you know, that being said, I think in many instances, there was miscommini cation and assumptions about the intent that weren't the true int ent.

And some of that may have been because of
the people that were escorted out, and again, I thi nk we're revi siting that, trying to address it. But it was never our intention to di srespect people or not listen to peopl e's concerns.
Q. Al right. I do not believe that it woul d be. That nould be so silly, would not be -- that woul d be self-defeating. But l strongly encourage you to, since you are the presi dent of ATXI, to find out what happened. You sai d you don' $\mathbf{t}$ know what happened. But you videoed it, there was people -- apparently the press, there's numerous press reports. So the press knows what happened, so you need to know what happens.

And I al so don't think from what I took from some of your statement was, that the fact that you hel d a local public hearing was, like, kind of pating yoursel ves on the back that you did some out reach. I thi nk you are a monopoly and you are -- you should be out there hol di ng local public hearings.

So I would hope that you would continue to do that, even if it is not in a Commission rule, you should make that $j$ ust a standard bear of the culture of your organization that we want to be out there and not using it as look how -- we don't have to do this, but we' re doing this because we' re great.
A. No, because we believe it's the right
thing to do, not because we believe we' re great.
Q. Okay. Well, and I would -- when do you thi nk you' re going have a deci si on made on the revi ewing of these processes and the I anguage and si nce it's under review when do you thi nk you' re going to have that?
A. I can tell you that the two sentences that are on the sign-in sheet are gone. I think we will continue to have si gn-in sheets. We're still trying to deci de how we make sure that everyone who's present is safe and accounted for, and so whether or not we make the sign-in sheet completely optional, that's ki nd of a hard thing to do because then we don't know who's been there, we don't even know what property ouners we' ve had input from

So I'mnot goi ng to say we're goi ng to do away with the sign-in sheet, but l think we will al so, agai $n$, in the event where we do have an instance where we feel like a property owner has had their opportunity to have their say and might now either be causing a security issue or creating an issue with other people's ability to have their say, we have to be more open and communi cate with them di rectly about that so that there's no misunderstanding about what's happening, if that action needs to be taken.
Q. Yeah, and I'm-- fully anticipate that when you come to these landowner issues, there will be conf rontation. And I ampleased to hear that you are having extra training for your people when they go into these. I mean, there's five of us on the Commission, four of us used to be senators, and l can tell you I would walkinto neetings knowing l was going to get my hat handed to me and I just had to take it. And just smile and listen to people and try to, you know, gi ve my side, but they have to be aware that a lot of times this is what's going to happen and I encourage you to continue to train people before, because the entire culture of an organization, it takes years to buildit up, sometines it takes $\mathbf{j}$ ust one or two instances to set it back so much into the arrears.
A. Thank you, sir.

COMM SSI ONER RUPP: All right. Thank
you.
J UDGE PRI DGI N: Thank you.
Cormíssi oner Col eman, any questions.
COMM SSI ONER COLEMAN: Yes, thank you,
J udge.

## EXAM NATI ON

QUESTI ONS BY COMM SSI ONER COLEMAN:
Q. One of the -- the recent issue, of course

I'msure you understand, rel ative to the treat ment of those people who attended the local public hearing is important, is because it paints your company in a way that l'msure you-all don't wish to see and that we as Commissi oners don't want to believe is the overall way that we will expect those that you have to interact with to be treated.

So al though you say that you don't know the specifics, but you' ve heard of different things happeni ng, I would like to know what those policies are that you mentioned earlier, that ATXI has a security policy in place as to what constitutes removing a person froma hearing. Can you tell me what those policies are?
A. They are not a written procedure, but prior to each of the public hearings, our security team gi ves us a briefing about where they will be, what actions they will be prepared to take, how we si gnal themif we think we're in trouble, things like that.

And in addition to that, you know, they basically say that one of the thi ngs that are on the I ookout for is -- is large bags that people bring in, whi ch agai $n$ sometimes they bring in, but they will probably watch those people more carefully.

And then the other process is if an --
any of our teamfeel s that an indi vi dual is in some way becoming threatening or, you know, has had their opportunity to have their say and to ask their questions, there would be an instance where the security team would come over and my understanding is that they first tal $k$ with the individual about expected behavi ors, but barring that, would escort someone out if it appeared that the person was becoming di sruptive to the proceeding or was in any way threat eni ng the saf et $y$ of the people, both the Ameren people and the other peopl e there.
Q. So you do look at renoving a person from a hearing if they constitute what you hall think is threatening behavi or?
A. That would be the judgment of our security people, yes.
Q. And are the security people ATXI empl oyees or were these -- are these contract compani es that participated in those hearings with you?
A. There was conbi nation of Ameren Services security empl oyees and then generally speaking, the other fol ks, and I have to ask Mr. Jontry or someone el se to verify this specifically with regard to the Mark Twain meetings, but generally they're of $f$ duty pol icemen or sheriff's deputies in the local area that
cone to provi de additional security.
Q. Okay. So does that mean that you come to these meetings expecting di sruptive behavi or or threateni ng behavi or?
A. No, ma'am lt only means that whenever you have Iarge gatherings of people available, it's the prudent thing to do in this day and age.
Q. My next question would be regarding the comments that you made that people uould ask the same questions over and over at different stations, and then the reason thei $r$ questions could not be addressed is because the fol ks there were not legal staff and could not address those questions.

Certainly when you' re dealing with these types of proceedi ngs or these hearings, you nould expect, l thi nk, that peopl $\mathbf{e}$ might have some legal questions, especialy since we' re dealing with property owners. Is the normal procedure not to have your legal staff or someone in place who could at least say we don' t have the appropriate legal staff here, but would you gi ve us your name and your question and your contact information and we can't serve as a legal advi ser to you, but soneone can get back to you with a general answer to a general question? Wbuld that not make sense to have someone at least in place to offset

## those concerns that people might have?

A. So on a couple of issues. First of hall, with regard to the property owners and issues they may have about real estate questions, we do have people there that are prepared to answer their questions about real estate and about easements and thi ngs like that. So that part of it is addressed.

This particular issue was a gentleman who was asking a question about specifically about a M ssouri statute, and we do offer to take their name and their contact information and have somebody get back to them but in this case, my personal opinion is he was trying to make a point.

We appreci ated that. Agai n, he has the opportunity to have his input and to vent, but after that, it became, you know, ki nd of a constant repetition that was interfering with the ability of the other people at the stations to answer the questions of the people who were there to get specific information about the project.

So we certainly can take it under advi sement about having an attorney present in the future to address legal questions. I thi nk probably hall that would happen is you get into a debate about my legal interpretation versus your legal
interpretation, but we could certai nly have a station for legal questions, if required.
Q. Vell, I don't assume that your legal staff could give legal answers to a property owner unl ess it's something pretty general, such as easenent questions, something like that. But at least if your goal is to not create ani nosity and bad feelings bet ween the people that you have to work with to get your -- get your message over to, you know it seens like an olive branch or at least offering something substantial to cal mpeople's fears or concerns migh be hel pf ul .

And so l'm not suggesting that you provide legal expertise to people, but at least for one to say that's a legal question, let us take it back. I just wonder if in general, you -- you-all thi nk of that idea or you say I can appreci ate what where you' re coming from and your suggestion that naybe this is something you can do in the future.

But I just think that it left people with a bad taste in their nouth. And when I looked at one of the hearings, l believe it was in Shel byville, that was one of the compl ai nts that we heard over and over agai $n$ about the very poor treat ment of the resi dents of those counties that you' re looking at up there in
northern Mssouri.
A. I appreci ate that, Commi ssi oner, and agai $n$, that is -- was not our intention and in fact, was not our practice. In the hundred meetings we had in IIIinois Ri vers, you know, I appreci ate the people's concerns and we're looking to address it. It will never happen agai $n$. Obvi ously we compl et el y understand that if this project recei ves its certificate and moves forward, that these with people we'll continue to deal with, both the property owners and certainly the commissioners and commity leaders in the area.
Q. Okay. Well, you know it's -- I think it's very interesting that of hall the meetings, I think you said about a hundred, naybe, in lliinois and you di dn' $\mathbf{t}$ have those ki nds of concerns; is that correct? Whs it about a hundred neetings?
A. Yes, the project in lllinois crosses 30 counties and is about 385 miles long, so obviously it's a much Iarger, and there is a requirement in lllinois to have public meetings before filing the certificate. Agai $n$, we chose to use the same or similar process over on the M ssouri side just because we thought it was the right thing to do, but certainly we al ways had people who were, you know, pretty upset, or you know, when they got there about the process, but l woul d say, you
know, there were probably thi ngs at the commi ssi on l evel where peopl e compl ai ned about where the route was and things like that, but l honestly don't recall anything in the record in lllinois where people i ndi cated that we di srespected themin any way.
Q. Okay. Well, you know on nore than one occasi on during your testimony today, you said that you had these neetings in Mssouri because it was the right thing to do. I woul d vent ure to suggest that it's really the onl y appropriate thing to do.
A. Yes, ma' am
Q. Because if you don't hol d these hearings, peopl e can only go of $f$ of $t$ hei $r$ speculation and, you know, Mssouri is the Show Me State, and we have that name for a reason, and I thi nk that it's onl y appropri ate that as you go forward, that people do have an opportunity to voi ce their opi ni ons and to get nore i nf or mation.

COMM SSI ONER COLEMAN: Thank you, Judge.
That's hall I have.
J UDGE PRI DGI N : Commi ssi oner, thank you.
Commi ssi oner Rupp, do you have anymore questions?
COMM SSI ONER RUPP: Yeah, I appreci ate that. I have somet hi ng that Commi ssi oner Col eman sai d that got re thi nki ng.

## /// <br> FURTHER EXAM NATI ON

QUESTI ONS BY COMM SSI ONER RUPP:

## Q. When you have the security forces there

 or whatever, walk ne through the process of how someone is -- the deci si on- naking process to renove someone.A. Vell, it would certai $n l y$ depend on the context of the situation. Generally speaking, there's -- really the people that are in charge of the process, if you will, would be our director of stakehol der rel ations, our project manager, Mr. Jontry in this case, and we then usually have one of the lead people from Burns \& Mk on the process.

And they tend to be peopl e who move around the room not assigned to a specific station and try to address issues where someone, you know, needs ki nd of a -- someone to step in. So typi cally what woul d happen is if someone were engaged with someone and getting very upset and potentially threatening something, then one of the three of them would either si gnal to the security guard or if someone was engaged, one of the others would wal $k$ over to the security team and say it looks like we may have a probl em here.

Typi cally, what the security guard does is make themsel ves more present right near the -- you
know, the conversation or inci dent going on to kind of observe and make sure, you know, that they thi nk thi ngs are safe. In the specific instance -- one specific instance in this case where l believe there were people with a backpack goi ng in and out of a restroom that one of the individuals on our teamapproached the security guard and said they were concerned about it. I bel ieve that the security guard then directly approached the people and said, you know, it's time for you to leave.
Q. Okay. So you're telling me that people are being -- are being asked to leave for security reasons, but the three people that you named are not security people. They' re consultants, they' re commity rel ations people.

So in your process of deci ding if someone needs to leave, when are you bringing in the security, the hired security people whose professional $\mathbf{j}$ ob is to provide security to hel p with that decision? Because I looked at sone of the testinny and, quote, like an Ameren representative asked a policeman to escort my husband outside. So when -- if it is a security issue, when do the security professi onals, you know, wei gh in on yes, this is someone that is a security threat or is it more a consultant or public rel ations person that
uants to have soneone renoved?
A. The instances l mentioned is where it's truly a concern for a physical threat. The incident you mentioned was the concern was the person was becoming an obstruction to the -- the public meeting achi eving its purpose, whi ch was allowing hall of the people to have access to the stations.
Q. Okay, so --
A. So in that instance, I think the person who was the non-security person said to security, it's time for this person to leave.
Q. Okay. And why -- why was that person escorted -- asked to leave? Why coul dn't the security say okay, you' ve al ready had it, you' ve asked your question. You can stay in the room and sit here with them you do not have to vacate the premises. Why was it a def aul $t$ that they had to be escorted out of the bui I ding?
A. I suggest maybe you ask Mr. Jontry the specifics of the issue. I wasn't present.
Q. Who's Mr. Jontry's boss?
A. Ultimately, I am Mr. Jontry's boss.
Q. Okay. I'mgoing to ask you the question,
then.
A. All l can say is --
Q. How nany people in hall your hearings were asked to leave?
A. I don't know.
Q. Why do you not know that question?
A. I don't know.
Q. If -- if your in the public hearing for your organization and security has to be called and peopl $e$ have to be escorted out of the building, why was that inf ornation not shared up the line somewhere in your organi zation that you should know that at this hearing, we had these three incidences, there was a report filed, why is there not a number that you know of?
A. First of hall, the security isn't called, they're al ready present. Second of hall, they're not hall due to security concerns. I believe that Mr. Jontry answered a data request, whi ch I can ask my counsel to find, but the issues typically are that the person has had thei $r$ questions asked and answered, they're asked courteously do you have any additional questions beyond the one you're currently asking over and over.
Q. You said security's not called, but you said you have peopl e go over and al ert the security guard and call them over?
A. Wen you said security is called, I interpreted that to mean that we make a phone call and call a Sheriff's office.
Q. You al ready have security there?
A. We have security present, yes.
Q. So you do not knowif -- if law enforcement or security personnel have to detain someone or remove someone fromthe premises at Ameren's headquarters, at the Cal laway nuclear plant, there's no record, there's no report filed in your organi zation that those inci dents happened?
A. I can't speak to the other instance that you tal ked about. I'msure if there's a physical threat, there is some sort of report issued. In these instances, we' re not on Ameren property. We do have both Ameren personnel as security and -- and police. We are generally not alleging some sort of breaking of the law, so l don't believe there's a police report necessarily filed. But l do not have the specific count of how many people were escorted fromeach meeting.
Q. Do you get a report on how did the meeting go?
A. Yes.
Q. And it was not mentioned that there was

## peopl e escorted out during the meeting?

A. Generally, there would be something that says we did have to escort someone out. I did not keep a count.

## Q. Thank you.

J UDGE PRI DG N: Thank you. Any further bench questions? All right. Thank you. I normally don't like to break in the middle of a witness being on the stand, but it's about 20 after 12:00 and we're goi ng to recess for I unch here. And when we resume, let's resume at 1:30. And Ms. Borkowski, you'll still be on the stand for recross and redirect. Anything el se before we recess for lunch? All right. Thank you. We will stand in recess until 1:30. Thank you. We're off the record.
(A I unch break was hel d.)
J UDGE PRI DGI N: Good afternoon. We are back on the record. When we broke for lunch, I believe bench questioni ng had ended for Ms. Borkowski. We're back to recross based on bench questions. Anything further from counsel before we proceed with that? All right. Mr. Willians, any questions?

MR. W LLI AME: No, thank you.
J UDGE PRI DGI N: M SO?
MR. SMALL: No, Your Honor.

J UDGE PRI DGI N: Thank you. United for Mssouri.

MR. LI NTON: No questions.
J UDGE PRI DG N: Publ ic counsel ?
MR. OPI TZ: No, Your Honor.
J UDGE PRI DGI N: Nei ghbors United?
ME. HERNANDEZ: No, Your Honor.
J UDGE PRI DG N: Redi rect?
MR. FI TZHENRY: Just a few, Your Honor.
REDI RECT EXAM NATI ON
QUESTI ONS BY MR. FI TZHENRY:
Q. M. Borkowski, you were asked a number of questions by Chai rnan Hall and then later M. Hernandez about the county assents issue. Do you recall those questions?
A. Yes.
Q. And what implications, practical or ot herwise, woul d there be from ATX 's perspective in terns of acquiring those consents as well as getting a certificate in a timel y fashion?
A. I'm very concer ned about the practical i mpl i cati on of conditioning the certificate on having the assents fromthe counties. I -- my opi ni on is it's I i kel y to cause si gni ficant del ays in the project. The certificate is needed as to find a finding in the
public interest that the project, you know, needs to nove forward, it's necessary, it's economical and so forth.

The assents, hall they have to do with is the ability to either put a pole or run conductor across county roadways. By actually conditioning the effectiveness of the certificate on the acquisition of the assent fromthe county, it's effectively del aying our ability to commence construction in the whole county when hall we're trying to do is to make sure that we have an assent to cross a public roadway.

Under normal certificates, if we recei ve the certificate without the condition of the assents, and agai n we' ve al ready agreed or reached agreement with Staff on the other conditions that were di scussed in Staff witness Beck's testimony, but this particular one is troubling because if we did have to proceed with getting those assents, we'd really be unable to commence with the construction schedule that we've currently laid out.

Normally what we would do is upon
recei ving a certificate, begin acquiring the right-of-way and as we acquired right-of-way and had the appropriate situations worked out with hall of the other, you know, envi ronmental issues and what have
you, we woul d commence construction of the foundations and ultimately go to poles and what have you.

If we were having to hol d out that process to get the county assents, it could effectively del ay the project. Mr. Schatzki's testimny indi cates that every year del ay costs M ssouri's ratepayers, customers, \$100 to \$200 million per year and that's not something you will ever get back.

It al so is goi ng to del ay the ability of wi nd to connect. We al ready have a request in the M SO queue for 400 megawatt wi nd farmto connect to the Mark Twai n project near Zachary. All of this is put in jeopardy and potentially del ayed if one were to attach the conditions that Staff has specified to the certificate.

Cl early before we actually move forward with putting any kind of a line across a roadway in a county, we will have to get that county's assent, but to condition the certificate on that, l just thi nk is bad policy, bad energy policy for the state.
Q. Thank you, ME. Borkonski.

MR. FITZHENRY: Your Honor, may I
approach the witness?
J UDGE PRI DG N: You may.
MR. FITZHENRY: This will be marked as

Exhi bit 51.
(Exhi bit Number 51 was marked for identification by the court reporter.)

MR. FITZHENRY: Your Honor, I'm goi ng to ask you to take judicial notice of this Exhi bit 51. Li ke Exhi bit 48, this too was submitted as part of the MPSC public portal for comments and so forth and it was identified as number P201503472, and then I'd like to follow up with a few questions to ME. Borkowski.

J UDGE PRI DG N: Any obj ections? Hearing none, it's noted. We'll take judicial notice of that document, Exhi bit 51.

MR. FITZHENRY: Thank you, Your Honor.
BY MR. FI TZHENRY:
Q. ME. Borkonski, do you still have Exhi bit 48?
A. Yes.
Q. Wbuld you please turn to page 3 of that exhi bit?
A. Okay.
Q. Nowl'dilke you to look at Exhibit 51 as well. What is the date of that exhi bit?
A. $\quad 4 / 28 / 15$.
Q. And who is the purported author of that

I etter?
A. It's signed by Ashl ey Haer, H-a-e-r.
Q. And does $\mathbf{M}$. Haer gi ve an address?
A. Yes, it's 29688 Wite Deer Lane,

La Pl ata, M ssouri 63549.
Q. And does Exhi bit 51 al so show a date when it was recei ved by the Public Service Comm ssion?
A. Yes, May 18th, 2015.
Q. And again, l'dike to you refer back to Exhi bit 48, pl ease.
A. Okay.
Q. What is the date of that letter?
A. January the 13th, 2016.
Q. And who is the purported author of that

I etter?
A. Andrew Haer, H - a-e-r.
Q. And what is M. Haer's address?
A. 29688 White Deer Lane, La Pl ata, M ssouri 63549.
Q. Thank you, Ms. Borkonski. That's hall the questions I have for you.

J UDGE PRI DGI N: Okay. Thank you. You may step down. Thank you very much. The next witness is Dennis Kramer.
(The witness was sworn by Judge Pridgin.)
J UDGE PRI DG N: Mr. Lowery, when you're
ready, sir.
MR. LOWERY: Thank you, Your Honor.
DI RECT EXAM NATI ON
QUESTI ONS BY MR. LOWERY:
Q. Wbuld you pl ease state your name for the record?
A. My name is Dennis Kramer, I ast name spelling K-r-a-me-r.
Q. M. Kramer, on whose behal f are you testifying in this case?
A. ATXI .
Q. Did you cause to be prepared for filing
in this docket direct testimony and surrebuttal testimony that has both an HC and an NP versi on and has been marked for identification as Exhi bits 3 and 4 respect $i$ vel $y$ ?
A. Yes, I dido.
Q. Do you have any corrections to that testimony?
A. No, I do not.
Q. If I were to pose the questions that appear in Exhi bits 3 and 4 to you today, would your answers be the same?
A. Yes, they would.

MR. LOWERY: W'th that, Your Honor, I
offer Exhi bits 3 and 4 -- excuse , yes, 3 and 4 into the record and tender Mr. Kramer for cross-examination.

J UDGE PRI DGI N: All right. Any
objections? Hearing none, Exhi bit 3 is admitted,
Exhi bit 4-NP and HC is admitted.
(ATXI Exhi bit Numbers 3, 4-NP and 4-HC were recei ved into evi dence by Judge Pridgin.)

J UDGE PRI DGI N: Cross-examination, St aff?
MS. MYERS: No questions, Your Honor.
J UDGE PRI DGI N: M SO?
MR. SMALL: Thank you, Your Honor. I
have a few questions.
CROSS- EXAM NATI ON
QUESTI ONS BY MR. SMALL:
Q. Good afternoon, M. Kramer.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. Jeff Snall, representing MSO.
M. Kramer, do you have the pre-filed surrebuttal testinony of Bill Powers with you on the stand? I believe that's Exhi bit 43.
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Could you pl ease turn to page 5 of that testimony?
A. I amthere.
Q. And I'mlooking at lines 5 and 6 of -- on
page 5. The answer to the question says: 100
megavatts of demand response is more than four times the $\mathbf{2 4}$ megauatts of increased import capacity and so forth and so on on line 6 . Do you see the reference to 24 megawatts?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And there's a foot note there, foot note 10. It's reference to Exhi bit PE-42. Do you see that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And are you familiar with Exhi bit PE-42 fromthe testimony of M. Powers? And if you have it on the stand, l'd appreciateit if you'd open up to that.
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And is that a request fromthe Comission Staff to ATX, a data request to which you are the responsi ble ATXI witness for responding?
A. Yes, I am

MR. SMALL: Your Honor, I'm not going to mark this as an exhi bit because it's already part of the testimony, but for everybody's conveni ence, I have copies of it, if that would be the procedure.

J UDGE PRI DGI N: That's certai nly fine.
Thank you.

BY MR. SMALL:
Q. And M. Kramer, you have PE- 42 with you;
is that correct?
A. Yes, I do. l'mlooking at it now.
Q. M. Kramer, well, let's make sure.

MR. SMALL: If I may, Your Honor,
approach?
J UDGE PRI DG N: You may.
BY MR. SMALL:
Q. Just to make sure, M. Kramer, the handout that I just gave you is the same response not marked as an exhi bit, but is the same data response that you just mentioned you are responsible for?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Okay. And so that is identified as a response to Mchigan Public Service Comission Request 25 identified in connection with Staff Shawn Lange; is that correct?
A. I believe it's the Mssouri Public Servi ce Commission, but it is Shawn Lange, yes.
Q. What did I just say?
A. M chi gan.
Q. I'mvery sorry. Now, if you could keep your -- your place in the -- in the surrebuttal
testimony, and go to your testimony, your direct testimony at the bottom of page 14 and the top of page 15.
A. Okay. I amthere.
Q. And at that point in your testimony, you are di scussing, anong ot her places in your testimony, the benefit costs or benefits and the costs of the MP portfolio; is that correct?
A. Yes, I am
Q. And have you revi ewed the MSO reports on the benefits and costs associ ated with the M/P portfolio?
A. I amfamiliar with them yes.
Q. Okay. Now with the 24 meganatt answer in mind we $\mathbf{j}$ ust looked at in M. Powers' testinony in whi ch he referenced this data response that you're responsiblefor, are the M/P benefits that you revi ewed as part of the MSO reports, would they be consistent with a -- the 24 neganatt added capacity if that 24 megawatts was available during all hours of the year?
A. The 24 megawatts that was quoted and provi ded in the data request is MPSC 0025 was for a specific scenario whi ch would be at system peak, whi ch occurs for so many hours in a day -- in a year, rather, and not an extremely large period of time, but several
days of the year.
When I realized from Mr. Powers'
surrebuttal testimny and other data requests that that 24 megawatts as far as import capability was being construed as a -- the Iimit on the amount of wi nd that wi nd energy that Ameren Mssouri would be importing or could import through the Mark Twain project, I realized that my answer was actually incomplete in MPSC 0025.

Subsequent, then, to that, I directed my Staff under my direction to performanother anal ysis, whi ch was a suppl emental support or sendi ng of suppl ement al response to MPSC 0025, whi ch expl ai ns that what the actual numbers should be, if you look at wi nd i mport capability from other regi ons of MSO, especially the upper $M$ dwest, whi ch is where the wind is located in MSO, more so than you would expect i mporting fromthe east, when we ran that scenario for the shoul der months, it's very important to distingui sh bet ween on peak and shoul der periods of time. Shoul der periods 70 to 80 percent of peak and that is when the wi nd generation is at its hi ghest, whi ch is assumed to be 90 percent of the namepl ate capacity.

So as opposed to on peak, when it's only at most 20 percent. On peak hot days, you don't get very much wi nd because there's not much wi nd bl owi ng.

On shoul der hours of the year, you get a lot of wi nd bl owing because it's in the eveni ngs or in the mornings before sun up and it's al so in the spring and fall.

The results of that anal ysis using shoul der periods of time and with the wind at 90 percent indi cated that with the Mark Twain project in service as opposed to out of service, in other words the system being identical but for the Mark Twain project being in service, that brought into Mssouri at a minimum 290 additional megawatts of energy fromthe upper $M$ dwest regi on where the wi nd is goi ng to be gener ated. That would flow into Mssouri that would not be flowing if Mark Twain was not there.

MR. SMALL: Your Honor --
THE WTNESS: And I guess in summary, to summarize, therefore my 24 megawatts is not indicative of the val ue of the MP for the val ue proposition that you described -- that I describe on pages 14 and 15 of my direct testimony.

MR. SMALL: If I may, Your Honor, approach.

J UDGE PRI DG N: Yes, you may.
MR. SMALL: And l'd like to mark an
exhi bit. Is that 52 that we're up to?
J UDGE PRI DG N: Yes, sir.
(M SO Exhi bit Number was marked for identification by the court reporter.) BY MR. SMALL: .
Q. M. Kramer, the response that you gave essentially was recorded in a suppl enental data response; is that correct?
A. Yes, it was, to MPSC 0025.
Q. Okay. So did I underst and that you' ve -in order to have the response properly reflect the connection with the benefit of the MPs, your suppl emental response shoul d be understood to be your answer and ATXI's answer?
A. That's correct. The original question MPSC 0025 was on a specific instance. Adding to this is what fills out the full record, so to speak, of the benefit of the MP and is reflective of its full capability.

MR. SMALL: Your Honor, I have no further questions.

J UDGE PRI DGI N: All right. Thank you. United for M ssouri?

MR. LI NTON: I have no questions.
J UDGE PRI DGI N: Publ ic Counsel ?
MR. OPI TZ: No questions, Your Honor.
J UDGE PRI DGI N: Nei ghbors United?

Mb. HERNANDEZ: Thank you.
CROSS- EXAM NATI ON
QUESTI ONS BY ME. HERNANDEZ:
Q. Good afternoon.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. Wbuld you agree that the Mark Tuai $n$
transmission project will be in SERC's area of jurisdi ction? For the court reporter, S-E-R-C?
A. ATXI is a menber of SERC and so facilities owned by -- owned by ATXI will be under or in the SERC ERO, energy regul at ory organi zation. If ATXI is a menber of SERC, if it is, then you're under its area; correct.
Q. Okay. So you nould agree that SERC is a regi onal entity that has been del egated authority by NERC to monitor and enforce NERC reliability standards?
A. It is one of several. There is

Reliability First, there's l think three, actually, that MSO menbers are menbers of, but yes, it's one of sever al.
Q. Okay. And SERC covers the sout heast US, i ncl udi ng M ssouri?
A. Yes. Well, it includes the section of Mssouri that's Ameren, yes.
Q. Okay. And you nould agree that WECC is a
regi onal entity that has al so been del egated authority by NERC to monitor and enf orce NERC reliability st andards?
A. I'm not really familiar with WECC. l'm aware it's Western Energy -- Western some counsel, but l'm not personally involved or familiar with them
Q. Wbuld you agree that Aneren Mssouri's not requi red by NERC to devel op mitigation measures for Cat egory D contingenci es?
A. I woul d have to look. I'm not aware of a Cat egory D contingency that requires immedi ate mitigation, but l would have to -- l'd have to look at the document.
Q. Okay.
A. Category Ds are usually consi dered I ess severe.
Q. Wbuld you agree with me that a definition of a Category $D$ contingency is, let's see, a Category D contingency is an extreme event with no specific performance requi rements or the ot her than eval uation for risk and consequences?
A. I woul d have to revi ew the NERC transmission planning standards before l could agree. I do not know.
Q. Okay. Did you I earn as part of this
proceeding that WECC had categorically recl assified in 2012 all NR events for hi gh voltage transmission lines not on the same tower or in the same right-of-way? MR. LOWERY: Obj ection, assumes facts not in evi dence. I don't know that there's any pre-filed testimony that establishes that all of anything was recl assified.

J UDGE PRI DGI N: Coul d you ask the question agai $n$, ME. Her nandez? BY Mb. HERNANDEZ:
Q. Admit that you learned in this proceeding that WECC had categorically recl assified in 2012 all NR events for high- voltage transmission lines not on the same tower or on the same right-of-way.

MR. LOWERY: Same objection, I think it assumes facts not in evi dence.

J UDGE PRI DG N: l'Il overrule. He can answer, if he knows. If he doesn't know, he can say so.

THE WTNESS: Mr. Powers had several exhi bits. I do not know off memory exactly what all of them said. Could you refer me to the exhi bit in whi ch that was expressed? BY ME. HERNANDEZ:
Q. Your stating you're not avare is fine.
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## Do you know if SERC has adopted WECC' s

 criterion by reference?A. I'm not aware that they have.
Q. Okay. Do you know if SERC has an established protocol for adopting reliability criterion approved by other regi onal entities like WECC?
A. Each regi onal energy -- entity comes up with their own criteria, all the way fromauditing to what they will and will not accept or agree with. So I'm not aware that there was a bl anket agreement gi ven or acceptance by SERC of WECC or -- or FC or MRO, or any of the other regi onal entities.
Q. Okay.
A. Each one is rather independent, in al l honesty.
Q. Wbuld you agree that the regi onal consistency reporting tool is a reporting protocol to assure consi stency among the regi onal entities like SERC and MECC?
A. l'm not familiar with their internal processes of ERO members.
Q. So you don't know what the regi onal consistency reporting tool is?
A. I do not know what -- if it's a tool that's used bet ween the EROs, l'm not aware of it.
Q. Okay. You state in your surrebuttal testimony at pages 33 and 34 that Aneren Services was di scussing possi ble installation of a voltage support devi ce, such as a static VAR compensator, to hel $p$ address the probl em of NERC Cat egory C events in northeast Mssouri that would result in Iow voltage; is that correct?
A. Can you show me the page and line numbers, pl ease?
Q. Sure. It's on page 33, surrebuttal. It would be, let's see -- it would be -- nost of the question and answer starts on line 8 -- line 8 all the way through 33 and your answer continues to page 34, line 10. To be more specific, your whole conversation tal ks about options, but line 14 and 15 on page 33 talk about the installation of voltage support devi ces.
A. Okay. Could you restate the question, pl ease?
Q. I was $j$ ust asking you to acknow edge that you -- that you di scuss possible installation of a voltage support device, such as the static VAR compensator, to hel $p$ address the probl em of NERC Category C events in northeast Mssouri that woul d result in low voltage.
A. Well, the testimony says it's various
hi gh-I evel sol ution options were di scussed, which incl uded a new 345 kV Iine to supply Adai r substation, as well as possi ble installation of voltage support devi ces such as static VAR compensators to hel p address the probl em
Q. And then would you agree that when MSO approved the M/P portfolio, that Aneren Services no I onger -- no I onger studi ed that alternative as a possi bility?
A. That is correct. In 2011, the M SO board of di rectors approved the MNP portfolio, whi ch then put in motion the construction of the Mark Twain project in the MNPs. Subsequent to that, the Mark Twain project is added to the MSO system model because we now have a reason to believe that it will be built and we know that Mark Twain will address these probl ens compl et el y ; therefore, there was no reason to seek a sol ution to a probl emthat al ready had a sol ution that had been approved by the M SO board. In other words, we didn't want to waste time sol ving something that al ready was going to be sol ved with the Mark Twain project.
Q. So is it your position -- or is it the position of ATXI that if the MSO board of directors approves a project in Mssouri, that there should be no ot her al ternatives consi dered by ATXI ?
A. Well, when the M SO board approves a project, they're an independent board. They do not work for Ameren or anyone el se, they're totally independent, it puts in place, like l said, the motion of getting a line built. The transmission owner who's desi gnated, in this case Ameren, has a responsibility per the MSO tariff to try to construct that project. We have an obligation to make a good faith effort to construct.

If Ameren is unable due to financial difficulty or other issues beyond their control, so to speak, then someone el se woul d be desi gnated per the MSO tariff to try to build it. And if they can't find someone, then they'll go to external third parties, so we had every confidence that the M.Ms, because all ot her transmission owners have this same obligation, were going to be built and we had the same confidence that the Mark Twain project was going to be built, so at this point, we updated the model appropriatel y so everyone would be planning the systemfromthe year 2021 on with the Mark Twain and MPs in service.
Q. So let me make sure I underst and your answer. It's your position that once MSO approves a project, that ATXI has no responsi bility to consi der any other alternatives that may be less costly to

## Mssouri ratepayers?

A. You're assuming that there will be an alternative that is less costly. In this case, the Mark Twain project is a multi-val ue project and cost val ue has to enter the equation. In our transmission pl anning criteria, we explicitly say we look for cost as part of the inputs into sel ecting the best sol ution.

The Mark Twai $n$ project, in our opi ni on, is the best sol ution for providing the full best set of benefits of increased access to wi nd, access to lower cost energy, support wi nd devel opment in northeast M ssouri, and address the reliability issues identified in northeast Mssouri area. So we thi nk it is the lowest cost for the full sol ution set and the full benefit set.
Q. Okay. Does -- does ATXI have, in your opi ni on, any responsibility to look at projects that woul d have less envi ronment al impact?
A. Well, the envi ronment al impact, I bel ieve, is part of the routing process, whi ch Ameren or any other transmission owner would go through in how the line is actually routed. The M SO charge, so to speak, fromthe board approval is basically a connection points that we then have to build a line or we have responsi bility to try to build a line to
connect the dots, so to speak.
The envi ronmental issues are captured in
the actual routing details where each transmission owner, ATXI in this case, would incorporate envi ronmental issues, concerns, things of that nature, Iimitations into the actual detailed routing.
Q. On pages 40 and 41 of your surrebuttal testimony, you state in your surrebuttal testimony that the --
A. Could you wait a second until I get there?
Q. Oh, I apol ogize.
A. Okay. I'mthere. Thank you.
Q. You state that the --
A. Which lines, please?
Q. Sure. One noment. Li nes 21 through 23 and continuing on to 41 there. You state that the Mark Twai n project is being located al ong an exi sting nat ural gas pi peline to improve access for a variety of different generation types; is that correct?
A. Yes, that's correct.

MR. LOWERY: I guess I'm goi ng to obj ect that it mischaracterizes what he said. He di dn't say it would be located al ong an exi sting gas pipeline.

J UDGE PRI DG N: I'mgoing to overrule. ।
mean, he can answer if that's what the question says or not.

THE WTNESS: Well, the actual text of my testi mony says exi sting infrastructure such as transmission, natural gas pi pelines influenced the sel ection of the zones, even though they were desi gned -- the energy zones were primarily -- hel ped to address renewable mandates, they improve access to a wi de variety of generation types.

And in the MSO process and in the MSO docurentation, it mentions that the -- the attempt was to truly make these multi-val ue projects which could support a wi de variety of future scenarios, incl uding busi ness as usual with low growth, business as usual with historical growth patterns, carbon-constrai ned future with carbon caps, and then an even more extreme carbon future whi ch is carbon caps pl us renewable portfolio standard fromthe federals of 20 percent, whi ch is roughl y equi val ent to the Cl ean Power Pl an. And under each of those scenarios, the MPPs were shown to become -- or provi de the val ues to the footprint as shown in the MSO documents. Which I think were a min mum of 1.8 in M ssouri in all scenarios. So it wasn't -- the energy zone was not I ocated specifically adjacent to a natural gas
pi peline, but it was taken into consi deration of where the energy zones would be located, yes.
Q. Thank you. So then the new power that Mark Tuai $n$ and other MP portfolio projects may be nat ural gas-fired power. Wbuld you agree with that?
A. The FERC tariff requires open access to anyone who wi shes to use the transmission system regardless of fuel type, so yes.
Q. And I guess you would agree that the new power carried on these lines could be excl usi vel $y$ nat ural gas-fired power, not that the tariff prohi bits it -- or open access, but it could be all natural gas that prescribes to that line?
A. Well, you asked me two different questions. One is subscribe to the line and one is flow across the line. That's two different scenarios. One being flow across the line is indi scriminate. That's going to be based upon MSO market, pricing. In other words, the generator with the lowest price will generally get to use the system unl ess they' ve paid for access to get to the system which would be network resource. The other one you're tal king -- you said was I thi nk access to the system Could you repeat your second question?
Q. Oh, l'd have to -- l'd have to have the
court reporter do that. I thi nk you answered -- well, if you woul dn' $\mathbf{t}$ mind reading it back?

COURT REPORTER: "And I guess you would agree that the new power carried on these lines could be excl usi vel y natural gas-fired power, not that the tariff prohi bits it -- or open access, but it could be all natural gas that prescribes to that line?"

THE WTNESS: The probability of it being all natural gas-powered energy that flows across the line is tremendously -- l'd say practically zero. The person that would subscribe, in other words pay to get access to the transmission line for network resources, in other words having a ticket to ride, so to speak, to get access to the freeway, they would have a right to use in the same power across.

In other words, if you pay to use it, you get to use it. If not, you just go with everyone el se and hope that you're the Iow price bi dder, so to speak. So if natural gas were to pay for access, then they would get an access right the same as wi nd or any ot her energy source.
BY Mb. HERNANDEZ:
Q. Do you consider lowa a state with stronger wi nd power resources generally than Mssouri?
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A. I'mnot a wi nd devel oper and I can't really comment about that. That's not my area of expertise.
Q. Okay. Are you aware that Aneren Mssouri has one wind power contract with a wind farmin lowa?
A. I am not -- it could. I am aware that Ameren M ssouri just issued an RFP within the I ast week or two with another up to 200 megawatts of wind energy, but their existing contracts, I amnot ware of.
Q. Okay.
A. Now, Ameren M ssouri may choose to buy part of the 400 megawatts that they' ve announced they want to connect to the Mark Twain project that was just announced a couple weeks ago that they want to interconnect in northeast Mssouri to Mark Twai n in Schuyl er County for 400 megawatts.
Q. Al right. Thank you. I do have some questions that will probably draw out some highly confidential information, so l would request that we go in- camera.

J UDGE PRI DG N: I will have to cl ear the room

MS. HERNANDEZ: I under stand.
J UDGE PRI DGI N: Okay. We'll go
in- camera.
( REPORTER ${ }^{\text {TNS }}$ NOTE: At thi s poi nt, an i $n$ - camera sessi on was hel d, whi ch is contai ned in Vol ure 3, pages 184 to 191 of the transcript.)

J UDGE PRI DGI N : And questions from the bench, Mr. Chai rman.

THE WTNESS: Your Honor, if these questions are going to be around highly confidentials, I i ke we just di scussed, then it would be subject, I think --

CHAI RMAN HALL: I don't think mi ne will
be.
THE WTNESS: Okay, I'msorry. Thank you.

## EXAM NATI ON

QUESTI ONS BY CHAI RMAN HALL:
Q. Good afternoon.
A. Good afternoon, sir.
Q. My understanding is that the in-service date for this project is 2018; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. The target?
A. Correct.
Q. And in order to meet that goal, ATXI has
requested an Order fromthis Comissi on by January 31st of ' 16?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. I hate to break it to you, that's unl i kel $\mathbf{y}$.
A. Yes, sir, l know.
Q. So my question is: How important is 2018? If it's three nonths later, six nonths later, what happens?
A. Meeting the in-service date of 2018 is extremel y important for several reasons. Number 1, for the Mssouri customers, every day that the Mark Twain project is not in service after the 2018 date is economic benefits and efficiency benefits that are lost forever and are never recovered. Secondly --
Q. Let me stop you. What does that mean?
A. What that means is in witness Schatzki's testimony, he has identified millions of dollars, hundreds of millions of dollars of benefits each year to Ameren M ssouri customers fromthe Mark Twain project being in service. If that's del ayed, pro rata portion of those benefits or savi ngs, so to speak, would be lost because you can't recover time.
Q. Meani ng that -- how does that effect actual ratepayers in Aneren's service territory?
A. l'mnot a rate expert, and l'mnot an expert on Mssouri's rates, sol can't specifically address, you know, the rate cal culations. But froman energy standpoint, if the Mark Twai n project provi des an access to the wi nd, like I just said additional 290
regawatts of flow, and if that wi nd is a cheaper market price than you can buy wi thout Mark Twai n, you're paying more than you should without Mark Twain in servi ce because you don't have access to the cheaper power.
Q. So Aneren Mssouri woul d -- woul d need to pay nore for that -- for that power and at least in theory pass that increase on to customers at some point in time?
A. It would be a market-based rate and it would be a constraint that -- or sone limitation that the Mark Twai n project would allow or eliminate and would allow you to have additional lower-priced energy access.
Q. Did the MSO anal ysis, which resulted in the identification of these 17 M/P projects, take the Cl ean Power Plan into account or was that way bef ore the $\mathbf{C l}$ ean Power Pl an was on the horizon?
A. Well, the Cl ean Power Pl an was j ust promul gated last year, so specifically that document wasn't around. However, one of the goals of the MNP process was to create a set of projects that specifically we' ve determined and M SO determined to be no regrets. In other words, these projects would provi de the indi cated val ue and benefits to the
consumers in a very wi de range set of possible future scenarios.

And that's why -- remember I tal ked about the four: Business as usual with recession-type Ioad grouth, which is kind of what l think-- l'mnot an economist, but seens to be where we' re at right now. Business as usual was another case with historical load growth. There's a business as usual with a carbon cap, and then finally on the other end of the bookend was, you know, no carbon constraints all the way to carbon constraints. The far end of the bookend was al so a carbon constraint with a 20 percent federal renewable portfolio standard and a carbon cap and hi gh penetration of el ectric vehicles.

That is the future that l believe the Cl ean Power Plan is going to drive us to, in effect, so it was maybe not called the Cl ean Power Pl an when we did the anal ysis; however, I think it's very similar to what the future hol ds has been tested by this fourth scenario that we looked at.
Q. So if the -- if the rule is uphel d by the courts, it makes, from your perspective and from ATXI's perspective, it nakes this project even more val uable?
A. Absol utely. It gi ves optionality, and that's one of the keys you'll hear fromthe market
guys. It gi ves you optionality to have access to wind, access to whatever may come about fromthe Cl ean Power Plan. Ameren is -- M ssouri is heavily coal, whi ch l'm sure you're very well aware of.
Q. You mentioned that there was an RFP recently sent out for -- I can't remenber if you said 200 or 400.
A. Ameren Mssouri has recently issued an RFP for bet ween 100 and 200 --
Q. I'msory, bet ween what?
A. One hundred and 200 megawatts of renewable wi nd energy. And in that RFP, there is their preference. First is an Ameren Mssouri territory. I believe second is in Mssouri, l think thirdis in a state adjacent to Mssouri but in M SO, and fourth is not adjacent but still in M SO.
Q. And is the Adair wind zone, is that in Aneren service territory, if you know?
A. I do not know. I know we have an exi sting -- we have power lines in that area, but l don't knowif it's Ameren Mssouri service territory.

## Q. Okay.

A. I should al so state that M SO does have a 400-megawatt new connection request that was just submitted a coupl e weeks ago for a 400-megawatt wi nd
farmthat was -- al ready said they want to connect to the Mark Twain project in Schuyler County.
Q. On page 8 of your di rect testimony, you Iisted the three criteria for M/P project, and I just want to make sure I understand, because something I think a little different was stated earlier today. To be classified as an MP, it needs to neet just one of those three; is that correct?
A. Yes, sir, that is my understanding in a MSO tariff. It has to meet one of these criteria; however, being a multi-val ue project, effectively most of them meet all three to some degree, but these were -- the MPs were approved based on criteria one.
Q. So on page 11 where you identified the two benefits, both of those benefits line up with criterion one? Or aml doi ng apples and oranges?
A. I'mjust reading, sir, l'msorry.
Q. Okay.
A. Let me refresh my menory. These are two of the benefits that are provided, correct.
Q. Two of the benefits under criterion one?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. There are other benefits, but these are two that I chose to list and yes, it could have been I onger.
Q. Because there's been sone di scussi on about benefits that it would at least appear to me that would fit under criterions two and three?
A. That's correct. And as I said earlier, you know, the MNPs provi de -- this set of MPs particularly provi de a wi de set of benefits, including for Mssouri, access to wi nd, access to lower cost energy, devel opment of wi nd power potential, and al so sol vi ng reliability project.
Q. So then in terns of the cost benefit anal ysis, how important is it that there's ultinately wi nd devel opment in the Adai $\mathbf{r}$ wind zone?
A. It's another benefit, but it's not, as my suppl emental data response indi cated, it provides access to at least an additional 290 megawatts of wi nd power energy from upper M dwest accessing Ameren Mssouri. It al so addresses the reliability issue. It al so provi des access to lower cost energy and renewables. So even if no wi nd is devel oped in the wi nd zone, it still provi des benefits whi ch out wei gh the costs.
Q. Okay. Thank you. I have no further

## questions.

A. Thank you.

J UDGE PRI DGI N: Commi ssi oner Kenney?

COMM SSI ONER KENNEY: No questions.
J UDGE PRI DG N: Cormi ssi oner Rupp?
COMM SSI ONER RUPP: No questions.
J UDGE PRI DG N: Any recross based on
Cormi ssi oner questions? Staff?
MS. MYERS: No questions, Your Honor.
JUDGE PRI DGI N: Thank you. M SO?
RECROSS- EXAM NATI ON
QUESTI ONS BY MR. SMALL:
Q. Kramer, I think you were in the midst of a list of consequences to not building the line by 2018 and you had covered the lack of benefits fromevery year that the project was del ayed. Did you have further listing of difficulties for not completing the Iine by 2018?
A. Yes, there's additional severe difficulties with missing the 2018 date. It's an i mpact on the Ameren Mssouri system The MNPs were st udi ed extensi vely to determine the optimal sequencing of those.

In ot her words, when you're building projects like this, and I don't do the construction, but I do do the planning and the security anal ysis, you have to take lines in and out of service and you have to connect to exi sting lines and exi sting substations.

When you do that, you're taki ng equi pment out of service. If the MNS are in -- they're properly sequenced, you don't cause problens when you're doing this work. When an MN isn't going to be sequenced properly, what you have is the rest of the world moving on. If Mark Twain is del ayed. The rest of the world is moving on. The Illinois Rivers is moving on, the MNPs in I owa, 15 of the 17 al ready have fini shed all thei $r$ regul at ory requi rements.

So they're going to be connecting wind and bringing it to our borders, so to speak, and it's goi ng to cause probl ens on the Ameren Mssouri system as this wind tries to push on to our lower voltage 161 kV system The difficulty it puts Ameren in is as these additional lines are being built and as wi nd hits our border and overloads our system we have to take what I would call stopgap measures.

In other words, we have to do something to prevent the systemfrom being overloaded because that's part of the NERC criteria for reliability. So we may, dependi $n g$ on the sequencing and the del ays, we may be forced to act ually spend money to address a reliability issue caused by the del ay that would then be -- it's called a reliability project and the Ameren M ssouri customers would end up paying for it.
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In other words, a del ay could cause a reliability problem on our system as the rest of the world moves out building and then we have to fix our probl em because our system can't keep up, so to speak. That is -- that's one of the bi gger problens.

The other problemis our impact al so does effect other states because the wind is going to get stuck in lowa and in northern portions of MSO and it's going to cause them problens as well, so they're going to have to make stopgap measures to take care of their probl ens.

The sequencing is generally, if you look at it, east to west for that very reason because we want ed to make sure that we had an outlet for this wind as -- before it got hooked up, so to speak. The -- the concern is that if we don't keep it sequenced, we'll have to go back and do a study, and that's when we'll find out all these problens that we're causing. So that's the kind of the full list. Thank you.

MR. SMALL: And Your Honor, if I may at this time, l'd like to nove -- we kind of moved on qui ckly after my previous cross-examination. l'd like to move Exhi bit 52 into the record.

J UDGE PRI DG N: All right. Any
obj ections? Hearing none, 52 is admitted.
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(M SO Exhi bit Number 52 is recei ved into evi dence by Judge Pridgin.)

MR. SMALL: And with that, I have no further questions, Your Honor.

J UDGE PRI DGI N: All right. Thank you. United for M ssouri?

MR. LI NTON: No questions.
J UDGE PRI DGI N: Publ ic Counsel?
MR. OPITZ: No questions, Your Honor.
J UDGE PRI DGI N: Nei ghbors Uni ted?
Mb. HERNANDEZ: I al so forgot to offer
Exhi bit 53, sol'd like to do so at this time.
JUDGE PRI DG N: Fifty-three is offered. Any objections? Hearing none, it is admitted.
(NU Exhi bit Number 53 is recei ved into evi dence by Judge Pridgin.)

J UDGE PRI DG $\mathrm{N}: ~ A n y$ questions,
ME. Her nandez?
MS. HERNANDEZ: Oh, l'msorry, no.
JUDGE PRI DGI N: Thank you, redirect?
MR. LOWERY: Yes, Your Honor, and I'm goi ng to try to do the non-hi ghl y confidential first, but we may have to go back in-camera to deal with the hi ghly confidential. I thi nk we probably will.
/ / /

REDI RECT EXAM NATI ON
QUESTI ONS BY MR. LOWERY:
Q. Let me try to go in reverse order, M. Kramer, and ask you a few questions and follow up on to Chai rman Hall's questions.

I think this was clear, but l'mnot a hundred percent sure that it was. There's three MP criteria, and I think I misspoke this morning and said it has to neet all three to be an M/P and you' ve corrected ne and indicated it only has to meet one, but in the case of the Mark Tuain project, does it meet all three?
A. I think effectively, it does, yes.
Q. And that's because its benefits are greater than its costs and it's al so addressing NERC issues; right?
A. If you read the three criteria, there's ki nd of an economic clause, which is number two, and there's a reliability clause, which is number three. And this particular MP, Mark Twain, checks all the boxes, yes.
Q. In answering one of the Chai rman' s questions, you mentioned a new 400 megawatt wind project that had been placed in the M SO queue, I think you sai d a coupl e weeks ago?
A. Correct.
Q. Do you know what county that's pl anned for?
A. It is in Schuyl er County. Now, this is information I'mproviding now is directly fromthe MSO generation interconnection queue, which is a public-accessible docurent on their Web site. It's 400 megawatts wi nd. They say they want to have access for 400 megawatts winter and summer. It's at Schuyler. It's connecting to the Mark Twain project, they specified they want to connect to ATXI, and that would be the Mark Twai $n$ project.

It can't really connect to the existing 161 because the I think Iimit of that particular line of avenues to Adair is 233 MNA , so this is al most twi ce as big as that line would carry. So for this wi nd farm to nove forward, it pretty much has to be on Mark Twai n.
Q. And that wind farmis in the -- I think the Chairman referred to it as the Adair wind zone earlier?
A. Yes, it's in the Adai $r$ wi nd zone; correct.
Q. Nows in answering some of the questions, I think you mentioned this $\mathbf{2 9 0}$ megavatt figure. I
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think M. Snall was tal king to you about earlier and Chai rnan Hall asked you about earlier as well; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Now, I think you said that's a minimm that could cone into Aneren Mssouri's control area; is that right?
A. That is correct. That is the flow that we determined would be the min num that would be available to come in.
Q. And you' re tal king about wi nd from outside Aneren Mssouri; right?
A. Correct. This anal ysis did not assume any wind bei ng generated in the Ameren -- the Mssouri, I guess C wind zone, which is in northeast M ssouri. This was all coming fromoutside the M ssouri border.
Q. Can you describe for the Comissi on when you say Mssouri C zone, can you describe for them where that desi gnation Mssouri C cones from and what that is?
A. Well, the desi gnation l believe is from the MSO documentation and the MNP report whi ch identifies the blue jelly beans, so to speak, if you I ook at the map. And shows where the wi nd and ot her energy resource zones are that was sel ected by stakehol ders, incl uding the state input. And it was
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i dentified that these would be the areas that the MPs woul d attempt to traverse or connect to, whi ch gave us the rough routing of them
Q. Do you know, has MSO made a determination about the anount of wind that could be located in MD C, which I think is al so the same thing as the Adair wi nd zone that we' re tal king about, the amount of wind that could be enabled by that zone by this line?
A. Yes, my recollection is the ultimate build-out potential for wind is 1,347 megawatts of wind devel opment in that zone is what MSO determined could be the potential build out, which is -- which hel ped drive or is a commensurate with the Mark Twain rating, whi ch is 1,793 MNA, so they wanted to make sure that the 345 line, that's why we didn't go -- that's why 116 isn't really viable. You have to go with 345 kV line to support that type of wi nd devel opment.
Q. So you could have a conbi nation of several hundred or a thousand or whatever meganatts in the Adai $r$ zone, you could have ot her wi nd outside and the line has the capacity to handle that?
A. That is correct. The -- even if you put 400 megawatts on with this new devel opment that was announced in the MSO queue, you still have al most

1, 400 MNA of capacity that's still available.
Q. Now, in some of the questions that

Ms. Her nandez asked you, she was aski ng you -- she was asking you about gas generation and gas using the line as opposed to ot her generation sources. Do you recall that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. I thi nk what she was -- I think what she was trying to ask you was: Wbuld you expect that the onl y generation to be enabl ed by the Mark Twain line, woul d you expect that to al l be gas or would you expect it to be other sources of generation as well? And do you have an opi ni on about that, an answer to that question?
A. I'm not generation devel oper, so l'm not an expert. That would be something Mr. Vosberg could, but based on my experience, it would be, as l said before, extremely unlikely, hi ghly unlikely, that al l the generation that would be connecting and using the Mark Twai n project would ever be one particular fuel type. In other words, I think it will be a mixture with the vast majority being wi nd.

MR. LOWERY: Your Honor, let me look at one thing and I'mgoing to try to fini sh any public questions before I take us in. I believe, Your Honor,
that the rest of my questions will likely elicit highly confidential information.

JUDGE PRI DGIN: All right. Thank you. We'll go in-camera and then Mr. Lowery, will you have anymore public redirect or is it all --

MR. LOWERY: I'm not intending to.
J UDGE PRI DGI N: Okay. Just to let the fol ks know that need to leave after this we, will take a break after this witness, so hopefully you can have an extended break, you're not just runni ng back and forth. So we will ask for the roomto be cleared, unl ess you're supposed to be in the roomfor highly confidential information. Thank you.
( REPORTER"S NOTE: At thi s point, an in-camera session was hel d, whi ch is contai ned in Vol ure 3, pages 209 to 214 of the transcript.)

J UDGE PRI DGI N: We're back on the record. Correct me if l'murong, I believe Mr. Bailey was the subject of discussi on earlier. He's unable to be here today and we' re moving on to Mr. Silva.

MR. TRI PP: That's correct, Judge.
J UDGE PRI DGI N: Anything further before he takes the stand?

MR. TRI PP: No, Your Honor.
J UDGE PRI DGI N: Okay. M chael Silva, if you could cone forward and be sworn, please.
(The witness was sworn by Judge Pridgin.)
DI RECT EXAM NATI ON
QUESTI ONS BY MR. TRI PP:
Q. M. Silva, would you please state your full name?
A. James M chael Silva.
Q. M. Silva, by whom are you empl oyed?
A. Enertech Consultants.
Q. And you' re offering testimony on this case on behal $f$ of ATXI ?
A. Yes.
Q. And are you the same J. M chael Silva that caused to be prepared surrebuttal testimony of J. M chael Si I va?
A. Yes, I am

## Q. And that's marked as Exhi bit 6?

A. Yes.
Q. Do you have any changes to that
surrebuttal testimony?
A. No.
Q. And if I asked you the same questions that were asked of you in that testimony, woul d your answers be the same today?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And is that surrebuttal testimony gi ven to the best of your know edge and belief?
A. Yes.

MR. TRIPP: Your Honor, at this time, I nove for admission of Exhi bit 6 into the record and tender the witness for cross-exam nation, subject to thi s admi ssion.

J UDGE PRI DG N: Thank you. Any objections? Hearing none, Exhi bit 6 is admitted.
(ATXI Exhi bit Number 6 was recei ved into evi dence by Judge Pridgin.)

J UDGE PRI DG N : Cross-examination, Staf f
MR. WESTEN: Your Honor. Thank you. With your permission, mighty inquire fromthe table.

J UDGE PRI DG N : Certai nl y .

## CROSS- EXAM NATI ON

QUESTI ONS BY MR. WESTEN:
Q. $\quad \mathbf{H}, \mathrm{M}$. Silva. My name is Jacob Westen. I am Staff Counsel and I have just a few quick questions for you, l think will help the parties understand a little bit of your testinony.

The first question l want to ask you is about the research that you identified that you conducted in your testimony, specifically you have a very short summary on page 11 of your testimony, lines 15 through 21.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And it says that you' ve done two anal yses, one is theoretical and one is a practical experi ment, essentially; is that correct?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And I wanted to know the time that you conducted this study. Did you conduct it in response to this particular case or had you al ready conducted these experiments prior to the ATXI case?
A. Well, my paper on this work was published in 2002.
Q. Okay. And you said these were conducted together as part of the same research projects, pl ural projects. Can you just briefly say what the project
was that this research was conducted for?
A. Yes. I was doing an assessment of the use of GPS near el ectric power lines.
Q. Okay.
A. And eval uating was there any potential for interference to GPS.
Q. Okay. There masn't any particular project specifically that this research was conducted?
A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. Does the anount of kilovolts on a line change the hertz cycles per second that is on that line?
A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. And one last question for you from your testimony. On page 4, lines 6 through 7, you have the following sentence: In the United States, transmissi on lines are generally classifiedin the 115-kilovolt to 765-kilovolt range, al though some compani es may incl ude 69-kilovolt lines as well.

I was curious as to where the cl assification for transmission lines came from
A. The transmissi on line reference book, commonly called the red book to engi neers.
Q. The red book?
A. Yes.

## Q. You'll have to forgi ve ne that l'm not

 familiar with the red book.A. Okay. Well, it started life as a reference book on transmission lines by Westinghouse in the ' 40 s and '50s. Subsequent to that, the General Electric High Voltage Lab funded by the nations utility did research to update the book, and in the ' 70 s produced a transmission line -- it's called the transmission line reference book and it's red. It was updat ed again in the '80s and most recently in 2005.
Q. Okay.
A. And in there, they have a di scussi on of this and a graph showing the year of whi ch of these voltages was introduced in the United States.
Q. Does -- is it fair to say that the -- the definitions incl uded in that book are industry definitions or are they regul at ory definitions?
A. The definitions are generally accepted by the Institute of Electrical and Electroni cs Engi neers, whi ch is a professional organization.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

MR. WESTEN: I have no further questions.
Thank you.
J UDGE PRI DG N: Thank you. M SO?
MR. SMALL: No questions, Your Honor.
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J UDGE PRI DGI N: Publ ic Counsel ?
MR. OPITZ: No questions, Your Honor.
J UDGE PRI DGI N: Thank you. Nei ghbors
Uni ted?
ME. HERNANDEZ: Thank you.
CROSS- EXAM NATI ON
QUESTI ONS BY MS. HERNANDEZ:
Q. Hello. I do have a few questions. Your surrebuttal, if you could turn to page 7 of your surrebuttal, lines 21 through 22.
A. Yes.
Q. You state there a popular approach to greatly improving accuracy is accomplished by a nethod called realti me ki nematic, or RTK. Did I pronounce that correctly?
A. You did.
Q. Okay. Great. That's what your testimony states right there; correct?
A. I'msorry, l di dn't hear the question.
Q. Did I read that sentence correctly?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And you're tal king about approving the accuracy of GPS systens?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And on page 8, lines 3 through 11,
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you go through a question and answer about how RTK works. Your understanding is based on research that you' ve conducted; is that correct?
A. Yes, and techni cal papers and books l've read.
Q. Okay.
A. And manufacturers l've worked with.
Q. When you' ve eval uated these RTK systens, have you looked at the -- the owners manual, I guess, if you will, or set up gui delines that come with these pi eces of equi pment?
A. I have seen a number of manufacturer's owner's manuals.
Q. Okay. Are you familiar with Trinble?
A. Yes. Actually, Trimble has many, many ouners manuals.
Q. Okay.

MS. HERNANDEZ: l'msorry, are we on 55?
J UDGE PRI DG N: I show 54.
MS. HERNANDEZ: Okay. My apol ogi es.
(NU Exhi bit Number 54 was marked for identification by the court reporter.)

MS. HERNANDEZ:
Q. If I could have you turn to page 37 of this manual.
A. Yes.
Q. And the last bullet point on that page.
A. Yes.
Q. Wbuld you agree that it reads: Do not use the rover recei ver di rectly beneath or close to overhead power lines or el ectrical generation facilities? The el ectromagnetic fiel ds associ ated with these utilities can interfere with GPS recei ver operation.
A. Yes, l've seen this before.
Q. Okay. And you were aware of this statement when you prepared your testi mony?
A. I was.
Q. Okay. Are you familiar with differential gl obal positioni ng systens?
A. I'mfamiliar with the termdifferential GPS.
Q. Okay. Wbul d you agree that it's -- and I'II refer to that shortened DGPS, but DGPS is an enhancement to global positioning system-- systens that provi des an improved location accuracy. Ubuld you agree with that?
A. That's what differential GPS does, yes.
Q. Okay. Wbuld you agree that these DGPS systens are often used in satellite-assisted steering
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systens and nodern agricultural practices?
A. No, I woul dn't agree with that. If you mean the nationwi de differential GPS system it's not anymore -- it doesn't provi de the precisi on that preci sion agriculture needs. And starting January 15th of this year, the stations are being closed.
Q. Okay. Do some -- I guess do sone farmers still have this technol ogy that could be used?
A. They might, but they would not be able to achi eve the accuracy that's needed for modern precision agriculture. And after January 15th, they're not going to be able to use them Or starting this year, they're cl osing 62 of the 84 systens down except for the Coast.
Q. Except for the Coast. Okay.
A. They' ve been surpassed by far superior systems, as l mentioned in my testimony.
Q. If you go to your Schedule JME-SR1.
A. Yes.
Q. Let ne see what page number it is.

Page 4 at the top, you mention that you' ve done a paper called, The St udy of the Potential for El ectric Power Facilities to Effect Use of the G obal Positioning System is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And that paper was published in

I on Publications; is that correct?
A. It was provided with my work papers. It was fromthe Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engi neers in 2002, in October of 2002.
Q. All right. Let me hand you 55.
( NU Exhi bit Number 55 was narked for
identification by the court reporter.)
BY ME. HERNANDEZ:
Q. Have you had a chance to look over what's been narked Exhi bit 55?
A. Yes.
Q. And is this -- when it announces the author there in the midde of the page, is this -- are you the author that they' re referencing?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Is it correct in this paper, you state, "It should be expected that DGPS signals, in a band $\mathbf{j}$ ust bel ow AM radio band, could express similar probl ens."
A. Do you have a copy of the paper?
Q. I have -- the paper was not -- I could not find it publicly available online, but this is an abstract of the paper.
A. Okay. Is it the abstract you gave me?
Q. Yes, this sheet.
A. Oh, okay. Where are you reading from then?
Q. The paragraph that's probably three-quarters down, states abstract.
A. Yes, oh, I'mwith you now. I understand what you' re reading.
Q. Wbuld you agree that your paper tal ked about these DGPS signals around power lines and since these systens are in a band just bel ow the AMradio band, they could experience similar problens or interference fromelectric power lines?
A. Yes, this is the basis of why l did my research. That was the thi nking.
Q. Okay.
A. This is the systemthat's bei ng closed down that I was explaining to you earlier.
Q. Okay. But you state that, let's see, that would be I guess three sentences after it, you state some agricultural users have reported that power line noi se can be a problemfor these recei vers under certai $n$ conditions.
A. Yes, l've heard that from people from time to time. l've al so heard that it's not. That's why I did my research.

MS. HERNANDEZ: I don't have any further
questions, but 1 would ask for Exhi bit 54 and 55 to be admitted at this time.

J UDGE PRI DG N: All right. Fifty-four and 55 are offered. Any obj ections? Hearing none, 54 and 55 are admitted.
(NU Exhi bit Numbers 54 and 55 were recei ved into evi dence by Judge Pridgin.)

J UDGE PRI DGI N: Any bench questions, Mr. Chai rman?

CHAl RMAN HALL: No questions. Thank you for your testimony.

J UDGE PRI DGI N: Commi ssi oner Kenney?
COMM SSI ONER KENNEY: No questions.
Thank you, sir.
J UDGE PRI DGI N: Any redi rect?
MR. TRI PP: Yes, Your Honor, just
bri efly.
REDI RECT EXAM NATI ON
QUESTI ONS BY MR. TRI PP:
Q. Going to Exhi bit 54 that you were asked some questions about, M. Silva, do you have that there in front of you?
A. Is that the first thing I was handed?
Q. Yeah, it's the Chapter 4.
A. Yeah, one of the Trimble manual s, yes.
Q. Right, the Trimble manual. Now you were asked whether you were aware of this statement when you prepared your testimony and the statement was actually on page -- uhat's marked as 37 of Exhi bit 54. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Does this contradict your testinony?
A. No.
Q. Why not?
A. Well, you can find other Trimble manuals that say power lines are usually not a problem And in particular, I know the people at Trimble and the -- one of the people that hel ped me do my research is a guy that devel oped the Iight bar for Trimble, and I've lectured at Trimble and Trimble people have worked with me, and I know them quite well, and I sort of confronted them about this. Why do some of your manuals have this warning about power lines and other stuff when you know well based on my work -- and they went out with me when I did some of these measurements. They provided the equi pment and the software to do the work. And the engi neer said, well, out of an abundance of caution, our Iawyers won't let us take that statement out.

So my answer is that the GPS compani es
desi gned the good ones, desi gned products to function in the envi ronments for whi ch they're intended to be used. And if you're di vi ding a GPS systemthat is goi $n g$ to be used, for example, agricultural setting, power lines are one of the things that you can find in agricultural environments.

I have taken Trimble engi neers to power Iines so they can test their equi pment and satisfy thensel ves, and they have put in the necessary shi el ding and ot her equi pment so that -- but neverthel ess, you can still find -- l can produce a manual if l had known that this was goi ng to be shown to me that says the opposite, and the same company.
Q. Is your testimony today that there would not be any interference bet ween agricultural precision GPS and the Mark Tuai $n$ transmissi on line?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, if you'll turn to Exhi bit 55, that abstract of that -- that research that you performed?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you have that? And I thi nk you said earlier this has to do with the systemthat's being shut down. Who's mandating the shut down or who' s shutting it down?
A. It's an obsol ete system It's bei ng
mandated by the Department of Transportation and the US Coast Guard who built the system and it was i mmedi at el y used by the farming -- it started as a marine navi gation system and it was so popular farmers on the Coast started using it and it expanded, mai nly because they were going to use it in something called positive train control all over the United States.

It's accuracy, though, you might get five meters, ten meters, you know, five to 30 feet. That is nowhere close. Farmers nowadays want to get within an inch. So they go to -- compaction in their fields, they go down the same track.

So it's been known for some time the systemis obsol ete. The FAA has come out with a system I've tal ked about in my paper where the signals now come from mi crowave, si gnal s fromsatellites in space, so this systemis bei ng shut down and it's in the federal regi ster that effective January 15th, everything but the coastal stations are going to be cl osed.
Q. Does this study that's represented by the abstract that's Exhi bit 55 have any rel evance to your testinony that you' ve provided in this case regarding the agricultural precision GPS systens and the power line in this project?
A. No.
Q. All right.

MR. TRI PP: No ot her questions, Your
Honor.
J UDGE PRI DG N: Mr. Tripp, thank you.
Mr. Silva, you may step down.
And moving on to Mr. Brown, I assume?
MR. FITZHENRY: That's correct, sir.
(The witness was sworn by Judge Pridgin.)
JUDGE PRI DGI N: Counsel, when you're
ready.
MR. ROSENCRANTS: J eff Rosencrants for ATXI .

DI RECT EXAM NATI ON
QUESTI ONS BY MR. ROSENCRANTS:
Q. Can you state your full name for the record?
A. My name is Dougl as J. Brown.
Q. And who are you testifying for today?
A. ATXI .
Q. M. Brown, are you the same Dougl as J. Brown who filed direct testimony in Case Number EA- 2015-0146 consisting of ei ght pages and one schedule and narked as Exhi bit 7?
A. Yes, I am
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Q. And are you al so the same Dougl as J. Brown who filed surrebuttal in Case Number EA 2015-0146 consisting of 20 pages and three schedules marked as Exhi bit 8?
A. Yes, I am
Q. Do you have any corrections to the di rect or surrebuttal testimony that you filedinthis natter, sir?
A. I have no corrections to my testimony. Although I would note that after my surrebuttal testimony, that ATXI and Staff reached an agreement on certain conditions, and those conditions are outlined in the ATXI's position statement.
Q. Are the answers contai ned in your di rect and surrebuttal statement still true and correct?
A. Yes, they are.
Q. If I were to ask you those questions today, would your answers be the same, sir?
A. Yes, they would.

MR. ROSENCRANTS: Your Honor, at this time, ATXI would move for admission of the direct and surrebuttal testimny of Dougl as J. Brown and the schedul es attached thereto marked as Exhi bit 7 and Exhi bit 8 and would tender himfor cross-examination.

J UDGE PRI DG N: Mr. Rosencrants, thank
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you. Any objections? Hearing none, Exhi bits 7 and 8 are admitted.
(ATXI Exhi bit Numbers 7 and 8 were recei ved into evi dence by Judge Pridgin.)

J UDGE PRI DG N: Cross-examination, St af f
MR. WLLI AME: Thank you. Judge, may I
approach? I have a couple of exhi bits.
J UDGE PRI DGI N : Yes, you may. And these have al ready been premarked as Exhi bits Number 31 and

$$
\text { MR. OPI TZ: } 33 \text { and } 34 .
$$

MR. W LLI AME: 33 and 34.
J UDGE PRI DGI N: Okay. Thank you,
Mr. Willians.

## CROSS- EXAM NATI ON

QUESTI ONS BY MR. W LLI AME:
Q. M. Brown, l've handed you two exhi bits, one of which has been narked for identification as Exhi bit Number 33, which is -- or purports to be Aneren Transmission Company of lllinois's response to Staff Data Request Number 69. Do you recogni ze that exhi bit?
A. I do.
Q. And what is it?
A. It's a response to a question that proposed rebuttal testimony from Mr. Beck. It's a
condition tal king about ATXI's process that's agreed by with Staff tal king about how we'll -- how we will negotiate with the property owners if there's a devi ation of $f$ of the existing line route.
Q. So is it ATXI's response to a Staff i nquiry as to what ATXI would propose in Iieu of what Staff had proposed in rebuttal testinony for a particul ar condition?
A. That's correct.
Q. And di d you prepare that response?
A. $\quad$ I did.
Q. And is that a true and accurate copy of the Areren Transmissi on Company' s response to that particul ar data request?
A. Yes, it is.

MR. W LLI AMG: Judge, at this time, I'd
like to offer Exhi bit 33.
J UDGE PRI DGI N: Thirty-three is of fered.
Any objections? Hearing none, 33 is admitted.
(Staff Exhi bit Number 33 was recei ved i nto evi dence by Judge Pridgin.)

BY MR. W LLI AMG:
Q. And then turning to what's been narked for identification as Exhi bit Number 34, which is -purports to be a respond of Aneren Transmissi on Company
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of Illinois to Staff Data Request 70. Do you recognize that response?
A. l do.
Q. Did you prepare it?
A. I did.
Q. And is it also a response to a different condition that Staff proposed in rebuttal testimony?
A. That is correct.
Q. And is that -- let me put it this way -a proposal that's acceptable to ATXI for how to resol ve that particular condition?
A. It is.
Q. And is that Exhi bit 34 a true and accurate, compl ete response?
A. Yes, it is.

MR. WLLI AME: Judge, at this point, l'd al so like to offer Exhi bit 34.

J UDGE PRI DGI N: Thirty-four's been offered. Any objections? Hearing none, 34 is admitted.
(Staff Exhi bit Number 34 was recei ved into evi dence by Judge Pridgin.)

MR. WLLI AME: At this point, I have concl uded my cross-examination.

J UDGE PRI DGI N: Mr. Willians, thank you.

Mr. Small?
MR. SMALL: No questions, Your Honor. J UDGE PRI DGI N : Thank you. United for

M ssouri?
MR. LI NTON: No questions.
J UDGE PRI DGI N: Publ ic Counsel ?
MR. OPITZ: No questions, Your Honor.
J UDGE PRI DGI N: Thank you. Nei ghbors
Uni ted?
MS. HERNANDEZ: Yes, a few questions,
thank you.
J UDGE PRI DGI N: When you' re ready. CROSS- EXAM NATI ON

QUESTI ONS BY MG. HERNANDEZ:
Q. M. Brown, on page 4 of your di rect testi mony --
A. I am on page 4.
Q. Okay. Li nes 15 through 18, let's see, the sentence that starts in that line, as explai ned by M. Endorf, however during the installation of wires, the installation contractor nay have a need to set up equi prent outsi de the permanent easements ATXI obtains for the transmissi on line, depending on where this might occur, there nay be a need to obtain temporary construction easements. Did I read that correctly?
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A. Yes, you did.
Q. And woul d you agree that during that construction time, the landowner could not farm or ranch that pi ece of property where you have the construction easement?
A. Can you repeat the question, please?
Q. Wbuld you agree that during the time-if I could read the sane question agai $n$, but would you agree that during the construction when you have equi pnent on the property doing your construction and you' re in that temporary construction easement, would you agree that the farmer would not be able to grow a crop or a rancher would not be able to graze his or her cattle in that area during that time that you're doing construction?
A. I would agree with that statement.
Q. Okay. If I could have you turn to your surrebuttal testimony now Page 4.
A. I am on page 4.
Q. Li nes 8 -- 8 and 9. The sentence that begi ns on ei ght, the exi stence of the transmission line should not effect their ability to farmor their yield for that matter. Did I read your testimony correct there?

MR. ROSENCRANTS: Where are you at agai $n$,

Counsel ?
MS. HERNANDEZ: My copy, page 4, lines 8 and 9 , the sentence that starts the exi stence of.

THE WTNESS: You read that sentence
correctly.
BY ME. HERNANDEZ:
Q. Okay. Wbuld you agree that there's a difference bet ween "should not" and "will not?"
A. Shoul d not --
Q. Should not and will not effect thei $r$ ability?
A. Yeah, there is a difference.
Q. Okay. Turn to page 6 of your surrebuttal testi mony.
A. l'mon page 6.
Q. Li nes 3 through 5, the sentence there begins with, is necessary for construction, ATXI will rei nburse landowner for their time required to move livestock from one location to another. In the past, we have al so installed temporary fences or gates to keep Iivestock out of construction area. Did I read that correctly?
A. Yes, you did.
Q. If livestock need to be moved out of the area, will ATXI pay for additional grazing Iand?
A. That's something that my opi ni on we would meet with the Iandowner and during the vol untary negotiation phase of the project, that was something we woul d consi der, yes.
Q. But I guess I asked, would you pay for it. I thi nk that's a yes, no, or l don't know question.
A. Can you give me -- can you give me some more details about that situation again?
Q. Well, l'masking you if the landowner has to move their cattle and they have to rent another pi ece of property to graze their cattle while you' re doi ng your construction, will you, will you not, or you don't know whet her you will pay for that particular expense?
A. That seens reasonable, and agai n , we woul d consi der it.
Q. Wbuld consi der it, but you don't know for sure if you would pay for that?
A. Where I sit today, I don't know if we would pay for it.
Q. Okay. Page 6 again. Li nes 8 through 10, you talk about some photographs that you attached to your testi mony showing farming activities around transmissi on lines. Did you speak to anyone to
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determi ne your opinion on how farming activities can occur around these transmissi on lines?
A. As it rel ates to those photos, l did not.
Q. Okay. So let ne $\mathbf{j}$ ust clarify that question. You didn't speak to anyone who ouns property around those transmissi on lines that you incl uded in your testi mony to see whet her they would state that there were farming impacts?
A. Those specific photos, l did not talk to those property owners.
Q. Okay. Thank you. If you could turn to page 9 of your surrebuttal, please.
A. I am on page 9.
Q. Li nes 11 through 15, you talk about center pi vot irrigation, and when ATXI's transmission line is constructed, about compensation offers for -for irrigation application. Did l summarize your testi mony correctly?
A. Can you read that back to me, please?
Q. Well, you' re -- on lines 11 through 15, you're tal king about center pi vot irrigation and once ATXI's transmission line is there, if there's a conflict in the field for continuing that type of irrigation, you state that if the issue cannot be resol ved, that it would be an issue that you say will
be factored into the easement compensation offer. Did I summarize your testi nony correctly there?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you tell me with any certainty whet her ATX will pay for, will not pay for, or you don't knowif ATXI will pay for a change in irrigation systens if the transmission line makes the application that is existing on that property today non- norkable?
A. If I go back to line 11, if after the engi neering revi ew and mitigation efforts that we can't get it resol ved, ATXI would consider paying for the changes to that irrigation system
Q. But you say -- you say that they'll consider it. You can't sit here today and say you will pay for it for certain?
A. As l sit here today, I can't say that for sure.
Q. If you could turn to page 10 of your testimony.
A. I am on page 10.
Q. The first $Q$ and $A$ on that page is a question to you asking you to respond to M. Kruse and Noel Pal mer regarding aerial spray; is that correct?
A. That's correct, that's the question.
Q. And you state, if there's a presence --
on line 15, if the presence of the transmission line on this project impacts the use of aerial application, and if this impact has an effect on the market val ue of the property, then this impact will be reflected in the easement compensation offer.

Can you tell me as you -- I think as you' ve been saying, as you sit here today, whet her ATXI will pay for a change in any application method if the transmissi on line makes the application that's in use on the property now non- workable?
A. I think we would go through a lot of efforts tal ki ng about -- tal king back with our engi neering fol ks about the line design. We would al so maybe empl oy an expert to determine the details and around the -- how the aerial application would be changed to determine about a val ue and how that -- that may change the val ue of the property.
Q. But again, as you sit here, you have no certai nty as to whether ATXI would pay for that change in application?
A. As I sit here today without more details about an example, I would say I can't say that we woul d.
Q. Okay. Page 18, if you could turn to page 18 of your surrebuttal, please.
A. I am on page 18.
Q. Li nes 19 through 22. That sentence that starts on line 19, representatives frommy department have al ready responded to several inqui ries from I andowners who have expressed interest in working with ATXI on the easenent agreement requi red for the project. Did l read that correctly?
A. Yes, you did.
Q. Okay. And I believe -- yes, you' re the witness who tal ks about in your direct testinony the number of parcel sthat would be effected or the transmissi on line will cross; is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. Okay. And if I did the math correctly, whi ch I'm hoping I did, 378 parcel s is what you have in your di rect testimony.
A. Do you know what page that is on in my di rect?
Q. I think you would have to add the parcels you talk about on page 7, line 18; page 8, line 7; page 6, line 23 -- line 22 for the parcel s on page 6. So it looks like 224 parcels pl us 12 parcels pl us 142.
A. That is correct.
Q. And that's 378 parcels and your testinony states that's 283 landowners; is that correct?
A. I did not do that math, but...
Q. The Iandowner numbers are right in the lines where the parcels effected, where those numbers are. So if you want to do the math to check me.
A. Two hundred thirty-ei ght property owners.
Q. I can do math today. That's wonderful. So 283 landowners you identified across this -- where the transmission line will cross. And in your testimony on page 18, you state that you recei ved several inqui ries fromlandowners thus far who have expressed an interest; is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And would you agree that your response to data request subnitted to you from-- by Nei ghbors United stated that the number of the several inquiries that you have recei ved was a total of five?
A. Can you show me that data request?
Q. I'm not seeing that I have that here. Are you saying that that's not your response, or you just don't recall?
A. I don't recall. I wanted to confirm
Q. Do you have any idea sitting here how much the several inquiries was, if you di spute the five?
A. I don't recall.
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Q. So you don't recall what several is, but you cited several?

MR. ROSENCRANTS: I'mgoi ng to object.
It's been asked and answered.
J UDGE PRI DGIN: l'I| sustain.
BY ME. HERNANDEZ:
Q. Wbul d you agree that you make planni ng deci si ons in your job every day?
A. Pl anni ng deci sions for real estate, I do, yes.
Q. Ubuld you agree that some of these pl anni ng deci si ons that you make are time sensitive?
A. I would agree with that.
Q. Okay. Ubul d you agree that farners make pl anni ng deci si ons every day?
A. I would agree.
Q. And woul d you agree that some of $t$ hei $r$ deci si ons are al so time sensitive?
A. Yes, I would agree.
Q. Do you know the time frame -- can you state a tine frane fromthe tine if the Commission approves the application to the time that you seek an easenent and build on the Iandowner's property, what time frame that would be from-- fromtoday?

MR. ROSENCRANTS: I'mgoi ng to obj ect.

That calls for speculation. He's not qualified to render an opi ni on as to construction.

J UDGE PRI DG N: l'll overrule it. He can answer, if he knows, and if he doesn't know, he can say so.

MS. HERNANDEZ: I guess maybe l'Il offer some cl arification. BY ME. HERNANDEZ:
Q. You stated that some of your $\mathbf{p l}$ anni ng deci si ons are -- you make planning deci si ons in your j ob; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And that was your testinony? And some of those are time sensitive, and you agree that farmers make those same deci si ons and some of those are time sensitive?
A. I would agree.
Q. Is there a potential for impact -- if you would need to change the routing of the project on any indi vi dual's parcel as you' re building the line, and you altered that line from what you had been shown to them on the plat maps, is there a potential, in your opi ni on, for an effect on any planning or -- any pl anning deci si ons that they' ve made with that property outside of that original right-of-nay?
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A. We would negotiate with the property owner and meet with themseveral times to di scuss if we did want to move of $f$ of the original line route so they woul d know as soon as we bel ieve we wanted to rel ocate the line on their property, so that would give, you know -- that would gi ve them notice and we would spend a series of meetings with the property owners, if indeed we did want to locate off of the original route.
Q. Okay. I understand that answer.
A. Okay.
Q. If you-- if a farner makes a deci si on today and they build outside the right-of-way that you' ve shown them on that parcel map, is there -- in your opi ni on, is there potential to impact an i nvest ment that they' ve made outside of that right-of-way that you' ve al ready shown is where you will build across their land?
A. Pl ease clarify, when you say build a new route.
Q. Put a pole, run a transmission line across there.
A. Oh, yeah, please restate the question. I thought you said they were going to build on the property. Sorry.
Q. Ri ght. I'msorry, maybe I'mjust not
stating the question very clearly.
Farners make deci si ons every day about what to do with thei I Iand. I think we agreed on that. A. Yes.
Q. You' ve shown the indi vi dual s, the I andowners on those pl at maps where you intend to -- to run the right-of-uay and run the transmission line across their property; correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. In the conditions that you' ve agreed to with Staff, you' ve cone to an agreement that you can nove that -- that right-of-way with landowner, I guess, negotiations but not come in and seek the Comission approval to nove that line; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. But the Iandowner may need to make a deci si on today about what they want to do with their property, say, build a barn, and now the line could potentially have a pole where that barn is placed or any ot her structure for that matter, or an invest ment in crops, or whatever the invest ment may be.
A. In my opinion, if it was a barn, we would look to rel ocate the line somewhere el se if we coul dn't live with it on the same path that we showed the property ouner originally or it was at the public
workshop. If we moved it of $f$ of the original route and there was crops, we would compensate the I andowners for that crop Ioss.

ME. HERNANDEZ: That's all the questions
I have. Thank you for your time.
J UDGE PRI DGI N: Mr. Her nandez, thank you.
Questions fromthe bench?
CHAI RMAN HALL: Yes. EXAM NATI ON

QUESTI ONS BY CHAI RMAN HALL:
Q. Good afternoon.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. So my understanding is that you will need to get easements if the project is -- if the -- if the certificate is approved, you will need to get easements from 283 I andowners covering 372 parcel s; is that correct?
A. I know it was -- excuse me -- it was 283

Iandowners. I believe it was 378 parcels.
Q. Okay.
A. I believe.
Q. And as of right now, you had contact with a few landowners, and you don't know the exact number, but it's a rel atively small number?
A. That's correct.
Q. And those contacts were initiated by the I andowners thensel ves?
A. That is correct.
Q. Because it is -- you don't attempt to -attempt to negotiate any easements until after there's a certificate granted in this case?
A. That is correct.
Q. And is that your typical practice?
A. I've only dealt with two others and we did two other similarly needing a certificate, and we did wait until we got a certificate fromthe Staff before we noved forward with negotiating with the property owners.
Q. What is the -- when you are determing a price to offer a landowner, you are basing it on the 150-foot width of the easement, not the much smaller portion of land that will be out of production; is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And so when you negotiate that easement for that 150-foot strip, and then l guess there's al so a 100-foot strip for the $2.2 \mathbf{~ m i l e s , ~ b u t ~ w h e n ~ y o u ' r e ~}$ negotiating for that strip of land, is there -- what is the -- what is your practice as to how you cone up with a price?
A. We would begin the process by employing a third-party independent licensed apprai ser to gi ve us market data studi es of I and val ues across each of the five counties. We would I ook at that. The apprai ser woul d look at some yi el ds, would look at how the -some val ue, some recent comparables in the county, what I and recently sold for, and would gi ve us -- gi ve us some data that would gi ve us an idea about different I and types and what those val ues should be.
Q. So are you trying to determine the fair market val ue in fee si mple for that piece of property or are you trying to determine the reduction in the fair market val ue of the entire property?
A. I think in fee simple is a good example. We want to know what the fee simple is of that property per acre and that would -- recent comparable sal es woul d hel p us determine what that -- what that dollar amount would be per acre.
Q. So your -- because the stat ute, I bel ieve, requi res -- if you were to attempt to condem the property, the stat ute would requi re you to pay an anount equi val ent to the fair market val ue of such -of such property. So is that what you're trying to do or are you -- or are you going above and beyond what the stat ute would require in your negotiations?
A. In my opi ni on, we go above and beyond what the statute requires. We would -- if we did move to condemmation on a particular parcel, we would ask that appraiser to give us a full apprai sal report, kind of like what you described earlier, a before and after val ue of that property, what's the val ue of the property before Ameren's transmission line -- ATXI's transmission line and what's the val ue after ATXI's transmission line has been installed.
Q. And -- and so that nould be a one-time I ump sum payment?
A. That is correct.
Q. Do you ever negotiate monthly payments?
A. We do not.
Q. It's not unusual in the industry to do that, though, is it? Or is it much nore common to do a I ump sum?
A. Much more common -- my opi ni on, much more common to do a lump sum one-time payment.
Q. And then there could be incidentals on the side in terns of expenses to the landowner on top of the change in val ue to the property, such as one of the questions you had was if there -- if the landowner had to -- to graze livestock and there were costs associ ated with grazing it el sewhere, you would
consi der incl udi ng that on top of the change in the fair market val ue?
A. That is correct. Another component of the compensation would be crop loss. So what is -- if you Iost crop in that easement strip, we would pay you for the crop loss during the construction phase if it i mpacted your yi el ds.

A third component would be compaction.
So if we're going to bring equi prent out onto the property, if there's compaction, based on installing the structures and al so pulling the wire, we would pay for -- either compensate the property owner for the compaction or have a restoration contractor bring back to where the yi el ds -- where they're used to having the yi el ds.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

CHAI RMAN HALL: I have no further quest i ons.

J UDGE PRI DGI N: Commi ssi oner Kenney?
COMM SSI ONER KENNEY: Thank you.
EXAM NATI ON
QUESTI ONS BY COMM SSI ONER KENNEY:
Q. I just wanted to follow up on the Chai rman' s questions and al so counsel for Nei ghbors United. Of these 378 parcel s, how many parcel s would
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get away fromthe rural area into more of a country/ city structure, what you might call even a snall suburban -- not suburban, but --
A. I don't have an exact number, but there
Q. Give me an estimate, a guesstimate.

Okay. How nany acres? Of the -- or how nany miles of the 95 and the 2.2, how many miles is that 97.2 miles?
A. If I had to guess on the mileage, l would say, you're tal king about more of a subdi vision setting where --
Q. Yeah.
A. -- people live? I would say best guess would be 20 miles .
Q. You al so mentioned to Chai rman Hall that you do not anticipate any situations where you would pay on an annual basis?
A. That's correct.
Q. What about the situations brought up by counsel on the aerial application where it's more expensi ve for a farmer to apply a different type of chemi cal treat ment to their crops because they can't do an aerial application?
A. I think that's a good issue that would be brought up during the vol untary negotiation phase of
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the property project, and we would work with the property owner to determine if it is a difference. If it's reasonable, we would be able to bring that into the compensation.
Q. Even though it's an annual effect?
A. That would all be part of the
negotiations with the farmer.
Q. Okay. And I was surprised that you could not say that on an irrigation situation, that ATXI woul d not -- not nake sure that situation was taken care of.
A. Well, I think l wanted a little bit more details about the situation.
Q. Okay. A center pi vot, runs in a circle, and you' ve got a big tower right in the middle of it now So that center pi vot, you coul d maybe di vert it, but if you had two pol es in that area, you coul dn't run a center pi vot.
A. I think if we go back a little bit, we -during the routing phase, we avoi ded all the center pi vots that we knew of.
Q. Okay. But the farmer could make a decision, as was mentioned, in the future that they want to put a center pi vot in somewhere el se, they might get a little more money and they thi nk that the

I and will holdit.
A. And again, during the negotiations, if the farmer mentioned in the future, l would like to have a center pi vot in this area, we would look to our engi neering group and say, how can we reroute the line to accommdate for the property owner.
Q. Okay. In your apprai sal process, do you take any -- do you figure that 20 miles that goes through more of a suburb area, do you take into account the fact that that could be considered to -- it will lower future property val ues by putting a transmission line in a nei ghborhood or across a nei ghborhood or backing up to soneone's househol d? Because l've been in real estate for years, it does have a big negative effect on sal es.
A. I thi nk we woul d -- we would leverage the rel ationship we have with our apprai ser contractor to determine that, and that would be part of the narrative in that narket data study would hel p us gi ve gui dance on the val ue of each parcel.
Q. So your apprai sal process does take into account land that may not be in the same vicinity but it has a transmissi on line going through it, comparing those prices al so to the basis of $\mathbf{j}$ ust the ground -the val ue of the property?
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A. Sone of the comparables coul d incl ude those.
Q. Don't you think it should incl ude those in order to get a fair price for the Iandowner?
A. Yeah, and I would agree, that would be a pai red-sal es anal ysis, but that's something that an apprai ser coul d -- could supply to us.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

J UDGE PRI DG N: Thank you. I don't have any questions. Any recross based on bench questions from St af f?

MR. W LLI AME: No.
J UDGE PRI DGI N: M SO?
MR. SMALL: No, Your Honor.
J UDGE PRI DGI N: Thank you. United for
Mssouri?
MR. LI NTON: No.
J UDGE PRI DGI N: Publ ic Counsel ?
MR. OPI TZ: No, Your Honor.
J UDGE PRI DGI N: Nei ghbors United?
Mb. HERNANDEZ: Just one question, Your
Honor.
RECROSS- EXAM NATI ON
QUESTI ONS BY MG. HERNANDEZ:
Q. You stated your rough guesstinate that
rerouting of the line nould go through naybe 20 miles of residential area, if you had to reroute the line.
A. I'msor ry?
Q. Did I understand that correctly?
A. No. The question -- well, no. The
question was tal king about the types of property that the line would cross as it exists today, as the proposal exists today, and how many miles would be in property that would be more of a subdi vision setting or resi dential setting as opposed to farmground. I thi nk that was the di scussi on.
Q. All right. And you said 20 miles -approxi natel y 20 miles i s that correct?
A. That's my best guess.
Q. Okay.
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know what -- what areas are ar ound? I mean, what -- where -- right now as you've pl anned this line, what residential areas there are that you could potentially reroute it to?
A. I' m not cl ear on the question about rerouting. That di scussi on tal ked about just property that would cross as it's pl anned today. Never tal ked about a reroute.
Q. Okay. I misunderst ood. Thank you.
A. Okay.

J UDGE PRI DG N: Thank you. Any redi rect? MR. ROSENCRANTS: Yes.

REDI RECT EXAM NATI ON
QUESTI ONS BY MR. ROSENCRANTS:
Q. Just a few points of clarification,
M. Brown. I think I heard you say in your direct and your surrebuttal that you typically pay fair market val ue for the easement that you purchase from I andowners; is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And you pay that fair market val ue for an easement as if you were purchasing it in fee?
A. That is correct.
Q. And the farmer still gets to utilize the I and around the nono pol es for farming activities?
A. That is correct, they could farm under neath the wire and everything el se, correct.
Q. So these pi ctures that were shown to you, why did you attach those to your -- to your testimony?
A. I think they were a good depiction of what's actually happening in the field. It shows that cropl and within the easement area underneath the wires. It al so showed -- one of the phot os showed some grazing activities in/around the mono pole structure, so it
just -- my poi nt was that the farmers and our transmi ssi on li nes can coexi st.
Q. Mr. Brown, if -- I' m going take you through a coupl e hypot hetical s. Do you mind
A. Sure.
Q. I' mbig on hypotheticals. So l come to you, I'malandowner and I have pl ans for a center pi vot irrigation system l'm negotiating an easement with you, and the center pi vot irrigation systemthat I have pl anned and I have pl anned on instaling a couple years down the road will di rectly interfere with the l ocation of the transmission line. You' re right under one of the structures or right under the Iine. Are you telling this Commissi on that -- that you nould not pay compensation based upon that objective in submittal to you that demonstrates that there will be center pi vot irrigation on the property?
A. If it was negotiated and it demonstrated there was a loss, we woul d consi der it -- consi der payi ng that.
Q. Okay. And if I would denonstrate that to your satisfaction, is that a yes, you woul d pay compensation to ne for the center pi vot irrigation syst em?
A. That is correct.
Q. Is it the same thing with aerial applications, if l would denonstrate to you an actual increased cost associ ated with your transmission line and what it costs me to apply aerial spray to crops in the area, is it your testimony that you would -- you woul d pay compensation for that increased cost?
A. That is correct.
Q. And is it the same thing with pasture I and? I think that was another scenario.
A. That's correct.
Q. Is that a yes?
A. Yes.
Q. I think we tal ked a little bit about ATXI's process for determing the compensation to be paid for the rights it needs. I want to talk to you a little bit about ATXI's process for determining the payment of damages associ ated with its construction activities. Are you familiar with that process?
A. Yes.
Q. Does ATXI pay for the damages it causes associ ated with this construction activities?
A. Yes, we do.
Q. What do you pay?
A. We would actually meet with the Iandowner after the construction of the project and work with the

I andowner to determine what the extent of the damage is, is it rutting, compaction, and work with the Iandowner to come up with an amount to pay for that -that damage. You know, we want to make the I andowner whole. We want to bring those -- if it's crop, we want to bring those lands up to where they have the same ability to grow crops as they did before Ameren's transmissi on line was there.
Q. And that's over and above the anount of compensation that you nould pay for the act ual easement itsel f
A. That is correct.
Q. M. Brown, can you describe the internal process within ATXI which led to Schedule DBR-SR-2, I thi nk these are commonly referred to as the standards and procedures which were a condition in M. Beck's testimony?
A. Yes, I can. We met internally and revi ewed the standards and procedures that were associ at ed with the Call away- Franks transmission Iine in central Mssouri.

We al so revi ewed agricultural mitigation agreement that was part of the Illinois Rivers project, and we al so looked at some concerns, some issues that the I andowners had during the public workshops, ki nd of
brought those three itens together, and came up with a document, the standards and procedures for the Mark Twai $n$ project.

We met internally with legal, real estate department, veget ation department, construction, and engi neering to devel op these standards and procedures. I bel ieve that they go far and above what was in Mr. Beck's origi nal rebuttal testimony.
Q. Thank you, Mr. Brown. I have no further questions.

J UDGE PRI DG N: Thank you, Mr. Brown. You may step down.

This looks to be a good time to recess for the day. I've got a court reporter that's got a local publ ic hearing to work and Commi ssi oners have that to do as well this eveni ng. Let re i nqui re of Counsel. For tomorrow, did you plan on -- well, let me just ask ATXI who did you want to have as your first witness in the morning?

MR. TRI PP: Sorry, Judge?
J UDGE PRI DGI $N$ : That's all right.
MR. ROSENCRANTS: I thi nk we' re goi ng to start, and l want to make sure this is okay with Jennifer, too, because l know you' ve got Powers coming in. At one point, l thought he was going to be the
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first witness on Tuesday.
MS. HERNANDEZ: Ri ght.
MR. ROSENCRANTS: So if he' s flexi ble on that and you don't have a lot of questions for Ms. Turpin, the real estate appraisal pocket, so to speak. We can have her testify first and do Endorf and then Powers, but it's up to you.

MS. HERNANDEZ: He's onl y going to be here tomor row and then he pl ans to fly back, so l mean, as long as there's some flexi bility if we get towards noon and we're still, you know.

MR. ROSENCRANTS: If it's okay with you, ki nd of go with our regul ar game plan, so to speak, our order of witnesses, unl ess $M$ ke you feel strongly --

J UDGE PRI DG N: If it's okay with
Counsel, l just want to gi ve Commi ssi oners a heads up so they will know how to prepare this eveni ng.

MR. ROSENCRANTS: I appreci ate that.
J UDGE PRI DG N: And then l'Il-- so l can I et t hem know.

MR. ROSENCRANTS: That woul d be fine.
Thank you.
J UDGE PRI DG N: Anyt hing el se bef ore we go of $f$ the record? All right. We will adjourn. We will resume at 8: 30 i $n$ the morning. Thank you. We're
off $t$ he record.
( WHEREUPON, the hearing was, adj ourned
until January 26, 2015 at 8: 30 a.m)
( NU Exhi bit Numbers 36 through 44 were marked for identification by the court reporter.)
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| 181:1,3 221:22 | 261:7 | 141:21 143:13 | 161:5,11 | decision-maki... |
| 224:7 262:14 | cross 52:1,13 | cumbersome | 192:16 193:5,8 | 34:16 78:12 |
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| 151:6 | demonstrates | 49:1 80:14 | 72:2 84:19 | 120:6 121:9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| decisions 33:25 | 259:16 | 241:13 | 249:14 260:14 | 125:24 130:4,5 |
| 34:14 41:13 | demonstration | designated | 260:16 | 130:11 131:11 |
| 79:10 83:6,7 | 103:9 | 176:6,12 | detrimental | 137:23 139:21 |
| 83:16 91:6 | demonstrative | designation | 87:22 | 144:9 146:10 |
| 244:8,9,12,15 | 50:6 68:6,9 | 205:18,20 | develop 171:8 | 178:20 180:14 |
| 244:18 245:10 | 90:23 | designed 27:2,5 | 262:6 | 180:16 197:6 |
| 245:10,15,24 | denied 22:3,5 | 179:6 228:1,1 | developed 25:20 | 234:6 250:8 |
| 247:2 | 64:3 | designing 38:25 | 26:20 44:11,16 | 253:21 |
| declarato | Dennis 25:19 | despite 18:11 | 44:20 198:19 | differential |
| 52:21 | 81:23 161:23 | detail 38:8 | 227:14 | 222:14,16,23 |
| Deer 161:3,17 | 162:7 265:12 | 140:13 | developer 77:12 | 223:3 |
| default 153:17 | 266:7,9 268:20 | detailed 178:6 | 182:1 207:15 | differently 41:19 |
| defend 83:18 | Dentons 17:14 | details 25:17 | developing | difficult 86:23 |
| deference 41:7 | deny 19:19 22:3 | 45:24 178:3 | 40:14 | difficulties |
| 42:5 43:1 | 71:20 79:12 | 238:9 241:14 | development | 199:14,17 |
| defined 137:8 | 84:9 85:4 | 241:21 254:13 | 44:18 64:23 | difficulty 77:22 |
| definitely 34:22 | denying 43:5 | detain 155:7 | 97:7 177:11 | 176:11 200:14 |
| definition | department | determination | 198:8,12 | dignity 123:17 |
| 171:17 | 72:24 80:20,24 | 41:8,9,18,20 | 206:12,18,24 | direct 92:7,17 |
| definitions | 89:7 229:1 | 42:2,16 43:16 | deviation 233:4 | 92:18 94:5 |
| 219:16,17,17 | 242:3 262:5,5 | 43:19 46:19 | deviations 54:12 | 95:14 96:5 |
| 219:18 | depend 65:12,14 | 52:17,22 58:2 | device 174:4,21 | 97:22 98:6,13 |
| degree 197:12 | 69:19 151:7 | 58:15 59:2,14 | devices 174:16 | 98:16 100:6 |
| Dejoia 266:18 | depending 19:2 | 88:2 206:5 | 175:4 | 119:9 162:3,13 |
| delay 19:3 36:19 | 37:11 75:24 | determine 42:11 | DGPS 222:19,19 | 166:1 168:19 |
| 159:5,6,9 | 130:10 200:21 | 42:14 43:5 | 222:24 224:17 | 197:3 215:12 |
| 200:23 201:1 | 235:23 | 56:19 76:10 | 225:8 | 230:14,22 |
| delayed 159:13 | depends 29:23 | 79:8 87:5 | diagonal 71:2,5 | 231:6,14,21 |
| 193:16 199:13 | depicted 107:12 | 199:19 239:1 | 72:15,20 | 235:15 242:10 |
| 200:6 | depiction 258:21 | 241:14,16 | diagonally 69:24 | 242:16,18 |
| delaying 158:8 | depreciation | 250:10,12,17 | 70:14,23 | 258:7 265:7,12 |
| delays 157:24 | 25:14 | 254:2 255:18 | diameter 31:10 | 265:16,19 |
| 200:21 | deputies 145:25 | 261:1 | dido 162:17 | 266:4,7,14,23 |
| delegated 27:12 | Deputy 14:4 | determined | Dietrich 53:19 | 267:1,8,11,14 |
| 170:15 171:1 | describe 52:18 | 27:16 40:8,18 | 268:1 | directed 167:9 |
| deliver 27:6 | 168:18 205:16 | 43:6 63:8 | difference 32:14 | direction 167:10 |
| demand 123:23 | 205:17 261:13 | 118:20 123:21 | 32:15 36:12,13 | 270:13 |
| 164:2 | described 24:18 | 194:23,23 | 46:2 57:18 | directly 69:7 |
| demonstrate | 50:12 51:23 | 205:8 206:12 | 237:8,12 254:2 | 70:14 71:4 |
| 60:12 62:10 | 76:22 107:12 | determines | different 38:20 | 104:1 142:23 |
| 259:21 260:2 | 168:18 251:5 | 28:22 | 38:21,21 41:18 | 152:8 204:5 |
| demonstrated | design 26:11 | determining | 45:7 47:15 | 222:5 259:11 |
| 27:22 259:18 | 30:22 31:24 | 59:12 68:19 | 50:7 75:21 | director 78:6 |
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| 134:3 151:10 | distinction | 231:22 265:19 | 225:16 228:22 | effected 10:6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| directors 175:11 | 37:22 44:8 | 266:14,15 | 251:5 | 242:11 243:3 |
| 175:23 | 45:19 | Dr 22:9 28:22 | easement 110:5 | effective 229:18 |
| disagree 41:22 | distinguish 44:5 | 29:9,21 35:15 | 148:5 236:5,11 | effectively 19:6 |
| 43:11 106:19 | 45:21 167:18 | 81:23 102:21 | 240:1 241:5 | 29:19 35:12 |
| disagreement | distribution | 268:20 | 242:6 244:23 | 121:6 158:8 |
| 35:24 36:14 | 121:4,15 | DR-219 108:24 | 249:16,20 | 159:4 197:11 |
| discern 65:16 | disturbance | draw 182:18 | 252:5 258:9,13 | 203:13 |
| discovery 72:7 | 54:9 | drive 13:18,22 | 258:23 259:8 | effectiveness |
| discretion 42:20 | divert 121:1 | 17:3 18:1 | 261:10 | 37:10 38:12 |
| discriminate | 254:16 | 195:16 206:14 | easements 34:21 | 42:15 43:9 |
| 96:8 | dividing 228:3 | driven 28:11 | 47:8 54:14,15 | 55:6 56:20 |
| discuss 81:23 | docket 62:7,18 | drove 24:12 | 118:23 119:1 | 57:15 60:6,11 |
| 82:6 100:5,13 | 162:13 | Ds 171:15 | 147:6 235:22 | 158:7 |
| 100:16 105:8 | doctrine 30:8 | Dubuque 63:19 | 235:25 248:14 | effects 32:16 |
| 174:20 246:2 | document | 63:22 | 248:15 249:5 | efficiency 45:1 |
| discussed 74:14 | 103:11,12,21 | due 28:13,15,16 | east 29:14 50:15 | 193:9 |
| 79:15 158:15 | 104:9 110:13 | 129:24 154:16 | 51:3 167:17 | efficient 19:13 |
| 175:1 192:5 | 160:12 171:13 | 176:10 | 201:13 | 26:12 120:5 |
| discussing | 194:20 204:7 | duly 73:18 79:21 | economic 29:24 | effort 81:1 |
| 101:25 166:6 | 262:2 | 270:10 | 76:23 78:20,23 | 109:18 176:8 |
| 174:3 | documentation | DUSTIN 14:12 | 79:7,9 88:24 | efforts 240:10 |
| discussion 82:1 | 179:11 205:21 | duty 145:24 | 193:9 203:18 | 241:12 |
| 83:23 102:3 | documents |  | economical | eight 230:23 |
| 133:5 198:1 | 21:21,23 67:9 | E | 26:13 158:2 | 236:21 |
| 215:3 219:12 | 75:14 179:22 | E 13:1,1 53:7 | economically | either 34:6 |
| 257:11,22 | doing 19:15 | 102:21 108:2 | 27:6 53:13 | 39:21 42:16 |
| dismiss 21:6 | 22:18 36:3 | e-mail 22:7 | 78:17 | 78:7 103:1 |
| 22:3 43:5 | 56:7 141:24 | 103:2 | economist 195:6 | 109:15 113:3 |
| 47:14 52:15 | 197:16 200:3 | EA-2015-0145 | economy 45:8 | 123:9 133:21 |
| 60:20 85:4 | 218:2 236:10 | 50:18 59:6 | 73:15,16 79:17 | 134:17 142:20 |
| displayed 63:20 | 236:14 238:13 | 75:11 115:6 | 79:18 | 151:20 158:5 |
| dispute 73:25 | dollar 250:17 | 116:1,10 | Ed 13:8 16:9 | 252:12 |
| 243:23 | dollars 193:13 | 117:17,23 | 22:24 91:24 | elaborate 40:1 |
| disrespect 141:3 | 193:14 | 269:11 | edge 72:18 | elected 38:5 |
| disrespected | domain 47:8 | EA-2015-0146 | educate 101:2 | electric 12:16 |
| 124:14 126:13 | door 135:21 | 12:15 15:6 | educated 85:22 | 15:11 38:17 |
| 140:18 150:5 | dots 178:1 | 117:8 230:23 | effect 46:7 65:5 | 40:4,25 47:5 |
| disrespectful | Dottheim 16:15 | 231:2 | 81:22 193:19 | 54:14 95:17,20 |
| 86:5 | doubt 34:25 | earlier 60:20 | 195:16 201:7 | 95:22,25 96:4 |
| disruption 61:7 | Doug 30:21,21 | 62:23 79:15 | 223:22 236:22 | 96:6 195:14 |
| disruptive 145:8 | 49:4 | 144:11 197:6 | 237:10 241:3 | 218:3 219:6 |
| 146:3 | Douglas 230:18 | 198:4 204:21 | 245:23 254:5 | 223:21 225:11 |
| dissect 70:1 | 230:21 231:1 | 205:1,2 215:3 | 255:15 | electrical 59:7 |
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| 219:19 222:6 | encourage 35:19 | 224:4 228:7 | equipped 130:16 | evening 262:16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 224:3 | 74:3 141:7 | enhancement | 131:18 | 263:17 |
| electricity 45:8 | 143:11 | 222:20 | equivalent | evenings 168:2 |
| electromagnetic | encouraging | ensure 26:11 | 179:19 250:22 | event 94:15 |
| 222:7 | 134:14 | 27:13 33:16 | erect 56:11 | 142:18 171:19 |
| electronic | end-use 25:2 | enter 111:11,13 | 57:12 | events 172:2,13 |
| 112:24 | endangered | 112:8 177:5 | erection 56:12 | 174:5,23 |
| Electronics | 80:16 | entire 30:9 32:7 | Eric 13:7 16:9 | everybody 46:3 |
| 219:19 224:3 | ended 156:19 | 66:5 79:3 | 22:25 | 70:17 |
| elevate 33:12 | Endorf 22:11 | 116:22,25 | ERO 170:11 | everybody's |
| elicit 208:1 | 30:22 235:20 | 137:14 143:12 | 173:21 | 164:22 |
| elicited 20:2 | 263:6 266:23 | 250:13 | EROs 173:25 | everyday 83:7 |
| eliminate 194:12 | 266:25 | entities 25:10 | escort 125:8 | evidence 32:9 |
| eluded 35:23 | energy 23:23 | 28:13 40:3 | 137:12 145:7 | 33:9 35:7,18 |
| 44:9 | 24:9,11,12,14 | 46:3,15 96:5 | 152:21 156:3 | 59:18,23 67:7 |
| emanate 52:3 | 26:9,14 27:8 | 173:6,12,18 | escorted 126:25 | 68:9,19 71:2 |
| EMF 81:24 | 28:17 45:1,22 | entitled 113:25 | 135:13 141:1 | 78:22 79:6,24 |
| eminent 47:8 | 64:17 65:17 | entity 25:2 | 153:13,17 | 80:13,17 81:20 |
| employ 241:14 | 73:13 159:20 | 77:21 94:15 | 154:8 155:20 | 82:2 89:12 |
| employed | 167:6 168:10 | 95:21 121:9 | 156:1 | 91:7,11 108:12 |
| 132:22,24 | 170:11 171:5 | 122:3 170:15 | escorting 135:12 | 108:13 118:12 |
| 215:17 270:14 | 173:7 177:11 | 171:1 173:7 | especially 60:15 | 163:7 172:5,16 |
| 270:17 | 179:7,24 180:2 | entries 15:20 | 82:19 146:17 | 202:2,16 |
| employee 105:11 | 181:10,22 | 16:5 | 167:15 | 216:20 226:7 |
| 119:16 270:16 | 182:8 193:24 | entry 17:8 | essentially 18:24 | 232:4 233:21 |
| employeeless | 194:13 196:12 | environmental | 20:18 29:24 | 234:22 |
| 136:5 137:24 | 198:8,16,18 | 76:23 81:1 | 31:6,21 32:5 | evidentiary 12:6 |
| employees | 205:24 | 83:22 84:3 | 35:17 43:13 | 15:5 18:16 |
| 112:23 113:24 | Enertech 215:18 | 121:21 158:25 | 45:13 60:12 | 21:17 22:4 |
| 119:19 120:2 | enforce 170:16 | 177:18,19 | 78:7 127:7 | 78:1 108:11 |
| 123:17 124:15 | 171:2 | 178:2,5 | 169:5 217:15 | evolved 40:5 |
| 126:6 136:4,23 | enforcement | environmenta... | established | exact 248:23 |
| 138:22 145:18 | 155:7 | 34:12 | 120:4 135:17 | 253:4 |
| 145:21 | engage 56:6 | environmenta... | 173:5 | exactly 31:4,6 |
| employing 250:1 | 73:17 79:19 | 80:15 | establishes | 63:16 67:5 |
| employment | engaged 80:2 | environments | 172:6 | 89:22 116:23 |
| 133:6 | 151:18,21 | 228:2,6 | estate 147:4,6 | 139:19 172:21 |
| enabled 28:17 | engaging 80:6 | EPA's 26:17 | 244:9 255:14 | examination |
| 206:8 207:10 | engineer 227:22 | equation 177:5 | 262:4 263:5 | 44:18 92:7 |
| enacted 36:18 | engineering | equipment | estimate 253:6 | 103:8 118:16 |
| encompasses | 240:10 241:13 | 200:1 221:11 | evaluated 221:8 | 128:6 143:23 |
| 50:3,5 | 255:5 262:6 | 227:21 228:8 | evaluating 218:5 | 151:2 157:10 |
| encountered | engineers | 228:10 235:22 | evaluation 87:6 | 162:3 192:11 |
| 78:15 139:23 | 218:23 219:19 | 236:10 252:9 | 171:20 | 203:1 215:12 |
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| 226:18 230:14 | 165:13 168:24 | 204:13 233:4 | 104:12 106:21 | fact 38:12 42:3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 248:9 252:21 | 169:1 172:22 | 240:8 | 125:2 131:3 | 52:3 65:13 |
| 258:4 265:7,9 | 201:23 202:1 | exists 24:5,14 | explained 25:17 | 81:15 110:3 |
| 265:9,10,10,11 | 202:12,15 | 26:15 35:3 | 124:12 235:19 | 129:24 130:1 |
| 265:12,14,15 | 216:1,14,18,19 | 37:16 257:7,8 | explaining | 134:10 140:15 |
| 265:16,18,19 | 221:21 224:6 | expanded 229:5 | 225:16 | 141:14 149:3 |
| 265:21,21,22 | 224:10 226:1,6 | expansion 25:21 | explains 167:12 | 255:10 |
| examine 26:6 | 226:20 227:4 | 37:8 44:12 | explicitly 57:19 | factor 53:5 |
| 37:19 61:1 | 228:18 229:22 | 50:1 | 177:6 | factored 240:1 |
| 126:21 | 230:24 231:4 | expect 40:10 | exposure 81:24 | factors 28:12 |
| examined 62:13 | 231:23,24 | 45:8 110:4 | express 224:18 | 32:25 34:16 |
| example 25:11 | 232:3,19,21 | 144:6 146:16 | expressed 67:15 | 42:13 53:5 |
| 26:4,14 34:13 | 233:17,20,24 | 167:16 207:9 | 67:22 77:25 | 59:16 87:6 |
| 37:3 70:12,24 | 234:13,17,21 | 207:11,11 | 80:21 87:17 | facts 172:4,16 |
| 72:5,12,14,19 | 264:4 266:1,3 | expectation | 172:23 242:5 | factual 41:7 |
| 228:4 241:22 | 266:5,7,8,10 | 101:9,13 | 243:11 | failed 21:12,15 |
| 250:14 | 266:11,13,15 | 118:24 | extended 115:18 | 77:20 78:19 |
| examples 70:10 | 266:16,18,19 | expected 67:7 | 208:10 | 80:17 |
| exceed 27:10 | 266:21,23,24 | 101:20 145:6 | extensively | failing 31:1 |
| Excerpts 269:14 | 267:1,2,4,6,8,9 | 224:17 | 199:19 | 131:1 |
| excess 27:20 | 267:11,12,14 | expecting 146:3 | extent 30:6 | fair 50:23 67:6 |
| exclusively | 267:15,17,20 | expense 238:15 | 31:25 37:13,15 | 67:14 110:24 |
| 180:10 181:5 | 267:22,24 | expenses 251:21 | 61:7 80:8 | 219:15 250:10 |
| excuse 22:3 | 268:1,2,4,5,7,8 | expensive | 123:21 261:1 | 250:13,22 |
| 55:19 139:16 | 268:10,11,14 | 253:21 | external 176:14 | 252:2 256:4 |
| 163:1 248:18 | 268:15,17,18 | experience | extra 108:3,8,17 | 258:8,12 |
| exercise 38:11 | 268:20,21,23 | 83:14 207:17 | 109:6 143:4 | fairly 42:20 |
| exhibit 15:2 | 268:24 269:1,2 | 225:10 | 267:18 269:7 | 70:12 |
| 67:2,6 90:23 | 269:4,5,7,8,10 | experiences | extreme 171:19 | faith 21:20,22 |
| 91:9,10 92:18 | 269:12,13,15 | 78:15 | 179:16 | 176:8 |
| 93:2,16,16,17 | exhibits 65:11 | experiment | extremely | fall 168:3 |
| 99:6,10,11,13 | 93:13 118:5,9 | 217:15 | 166:25 193:6 | familiar 30:15 |
| 100:17 102:10 | 162:15,22 | experiments | 207:18 | 105:12 107:21 |
| 103:6 104:24 | 163:1 172:21 | 217:20 |  | 108:19,21 |
| 105:1 108:24 | 232:1,7,9,17 | expert 89:2 | F | 124:5 164:11 |
| 109:2,6 111:25 | exist 18:13 21:23 | 193:21,22 | FAA 229:14 | 166:13 171:4,6 |
| 112:23 116:12 | 24:4 35:13 | 207:16 241:14 | face-to-face | 173:20 219:2 |
| 116:14,16,20 | existence 236:21 | expertise 81:13 | 109:11 110:10 | 221:14 222:14 |
| 117:2 118:11 | 237:3 | 148:14 182:3 | facilities 25:4 | 222:16 260:18 |
| 160:1,2,5,6,12 | existing 24:1 | experts 74:4 | 52:18 53:6,9 | families 69:20 |
| 160:16,19,21 | 51:20 178:18 | 127:13 130:8 | 53:15 62:12 | far 28:19 66:5 |
| 160:22 161:5,9 | 178:24 179:4 | 131:17 | 121:15,20 | 89:21 167:4 |
| 163:4,5,6,20 | 182:9 196:20 | explain 26:25 | 170:10 222:7 | 195:11 223:15 |
| 164:8,11,21 | 199:25,25 | 55:14 87:7 | 223:22 | 243:10 262:7 |

TI GER COURT REPORTI NG, LLC

20160125- V5a

| farm 46:17 | 258:13 | 75:13 149:20 | 126:10 127:12 | 194:1 205:7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 47:19,23 73:7 | feel 75:18 82:6 | 162:12 | 132:20 137:17 | flowing 168:13 |
| 83:17 84:17,22 | 140:1 142:19 | filings 50:20 | 139:5 140:5 | flows 181:10 |
| 85:7 86:14 | 263:14 | 81:4 | 145:6 147:2 | fly $263: 9$ |
| 159:11 182:5 | feelings 148:7 | fills 169:15 | 154:14 170:18 | folks 44:21,21 |
| 197:1 204:16 | feels 145:1 | final 54:15 80:20 | 196:13 202:22 | 140:12 145:22 |
| 204:19 236:3 | feet 22:9 70:2 | 107:11 | 217:7 226:23 | 146:12 208:8 |
| 236:22 257:10 | 229:9 | finally $27: 10$ | 240:21 262:18 | 241:13 |
| 258:17 | felt 18:13 124:13 | 37:19 38:24 | 263:1,6 | follow 61:9 71:6 |
| farmer 236:12 | 126:13 | 81:20 195:9 | Fish 80:18,23 | follow-up 160:9 |
| 246:11 253:21 | fences 237:20 | financed 121:10 | fit 198:3 | 203:4 252:23 |
| 254:7,22 255:3 | Fenton 13:13 | financial 53:11 | Fitzhenry 13:8 | following 135:17 |
| 258:15 | 17:21 | 113:2 176:10 | 16:9 22:25 | 218:16 |
| farmers 69:18 | FERC 27:13 | financially | 91:23,24 92:6 | follows 71:7 |
| 73:16 79:19 | 40:14 44:17 | 270:17 | 92:8 93:12 | food 73:13 |
| 80:5 82:15 | 54:18 96:10 | find 85:18 114:2 | 103:4,20 104:3 | footnote 107:6 |
| 83:5 223:7 | 122:25 180:6 | 124:24 128:11 | 104:8 106:19 | 107:10 164:7,8 |
| 229:4,10 | FERC-approv... | 129:2 130:11 | 108:4,10 | footprint 24:12 |
| 244:14 245:14 | 25:10,22 | 130:18,25 | 110:11 116:2 | 44:25 45:16 |
| 247:2 259:1 | field 239:23 | 141:8 154:18 | 116:11,17 | 179:22 |
| farming 69:9 | 258:22 | 157:25 176:13 | 118:8 128:20 | force 54:13 |
| 71:4 73:6,11 | fields $222: 7$ | 201:18 224:22 | 133:1 157:9,11 | 73:14 79:17 |
| 73:17 74:2 | 229:11 | 227:10 228:5 | 159:22,25 | forced 200:22 |
| 79:19 80:2,6 | Fifty-four 226:3 | 228:11 | 160:4,13,14 | forces 151:4 |
| 83:22 229:3 | Fifty-three | finding 59:18 | 230:8 265:11 | foregoing 270:8 |
| 238:24 239:1,8 | 202:13 | 137:23 157:25 | Fitzhenry92 | 270:10 |
| 258:16 | figure 49:25 | finds 47:25 | 265:7 | forever 73:18 |
| farmland 69:22 | 50:3,9 137:5,6 | fine 22:16 97:19 | five $38: 453: 21$ | 79:20 193:10 |
| 73:1 86:18 | 137:19,22 | 164:24 172:25 | 63:6,7 69:15 | forget $22: 7$ |
| farms 45:14 | 204:25 255:8 | 263:21 | 74:25 76:13 | forgive 103:21 |
| 65:2,12 73:1 | file 12:14 25:13 | finish 207:24 | 99:14 100:3,12 | 219:1 |
| fashion 130:21 | 25:13 54:17 | finished 125:8 | 101:20 102:1 | forgot 202:11 |
| 157:20 | 87:15,24 | 200:8 | 104:16 105:23 | form 109:12 |
| fast 48:16,20 | 116:25 | firm 13:17 15:23 | 143:5 229:8,9 | 112:24 120:23 |
| FC 173:11 | filed 18:8,10 | 17:14,25 | 243:16,24 | formed 33:4 |
| fears 148:11 | 21:4,6 30:7 | firmly 137:7 | 250:4 | 122:14 |
| feasibility 78:24 | 56:16 74:8 | first 21:5 27:5 | fix 201:3 | former 78:5 |
| feasible 53:13 | 87:21 128:12 | 31:4 36:23 | flexibility | forth 59:15 |
| 78:17 | 128:13 135:4 | 38:16 53:5 | 263:10 | 82:17 87:17 |
| federal 27:7 | 154:12 155:10 | 58:15 59:3 | flexible 263:3 | 158:3 160:7 |
| 40:16 195:12 | 155:19 230:22 | 60:16 63:11 | flight 110:2 | 164:4 208:11 |
| 229:18 | 231:2,7 | 72:5 86:24 | flip 128:23 | Forty-five 90:25 |
| federals 179:18 | filing 20:19 | 91:20 100:21 | flow 51:1 168:12 | 91:1,3 |
| fee $250: 11,14,15$ | 54:14 57:22 | 102:7 111:12 | 180:16,17 | forums 114:11 |
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| 114:13,14 | 109:5 226:22 | G 117:21 | Geoffrey 267:14 | 181:18 182:19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| forward 20:3 | fruit 20:18 | gained 87:21 | 267:16 | 182:24 201:17 |
| 39:8 58:13 | frustration | gaining 60:5 | geographic 25:3 | 202:23 203:3 |
| 92:2 119:1 | 139:25 | gains 75:20 | getting 118:22 | 206:16,17 |
| 120:18 126:22 | fuel 180:8 | gambit 45:7 | 121:6 130:20 | 208:4 221:1 |
| 132:10 149:9 | 207:20 | game 263:13 | 151:19 157:19 | 223:17 229:11 |
| 150:16 158:2 | full 97:21 103:13 | gas 47:6 77:16 | 158:18 176:5 | 229:12 240:9 |
| 159:16 204:17 | 169:15,16 | 77:17 178:19 | give 25:6 42:4 | 241:11 251:1 |
| 215:10 249:12 | 177:9,14,14 | 178:24 179:5 | 43:1,17 49:10 | 254:19 257:1 |
| fossil 121:20 | 201:19 215:15 | 179:25 180:12 | 68:8 85:2 90:1 | 262:7 263:13 |
| fostering 77:22 | 230:16 251:4 | 181:7,20 207:4 | 92:24 93:9 | 263:24 |
| found 18:15 | fully $24: 532: 19$ | 207:4,11 | 106:15 124:15 | goal 148:7 |
| 59:6 94:22 | 80:17 143:1 | gas-fired 180:5 | 125:4,7 139:1 | 192:20 |
| 113:16 | function 228:1 | 180:11 181:5 | 140:13 143:9 | goals 194:21 |
| foundation | functional 44:23 | gas-powered | 146:21 148:4 | goes 24:10 25:23 |
| 30:20 73:14 | fundamental | 181:10 | 161:2 238:8,8 | 49:8 50:11 |
| 79:16 | 91:7 | gates 237:20 | 246:5,6 250:2 | 52:23 63:19 |
| foundational | funded 219:6 | gatherings | 250:7,7,8 | 65:8 69:21 |
| 19:10 | furnished 56:16 | 146:6 | 251:4 253:6 | 70:14,22 81:18 |
| foundations | further 19:21 | gears 87:3 | 255:19 263:16 | 134:23 255:8 |
| 159:1 | 20:25 22:5,17 | general 95:16,19 | given 19:7 36:11 | going 18:14,19 |
| four 18:10 45:6 | 29:6 54:19 | 95:23 136:7 | 41:8 52:7 | 19:2,19,23 |
| 59:2 143:6 | 64:8 66:9 | 146:24,24 | 67:10 86:2 | 23:19 30:8,10 |
| 164:2 195:4 | 80:13,23 86:15 | 148:5,16 219:5 | 109:12 125:16 | 30:18 31:5,6 |
| fourth 195:19 | 98:14 116:18 | generally 30:16 | 131:3 138:12 | 35:9 39:1,6,7 |
| 196:15 | 118:3 128:1 | 115:16 145:21 | 173:10 216:10 | 41:4 42:18 |
| frame 244:20,21 | 133:5,5 151:2 | 145:24 151:8 | gives 144:17 | 43:1 46:5,13 |
| 244:24 | 156:6,21 | 155:17 156:2 | 195:24 196:1 | 55:1 57:16 |
| frames 30:16 | 169:18 198:22 | 180:20 181:25 | glad 20:7 | 58:7,14 68:2 |
| 31:14 | 199:14 202:4 | 201:12 218:17 | global 222:15,20 | 70:6,12,13 |
| framework | 215:6 219:22 | 219:18 | 223:22 | 71:1 72:19 |
| 71:23 | 225:25 252:17 | generated | go 16:7 19:7,21 | 74:12,15 75:22 |
| franchise 37:13 | 262:9 265:10 | 168:12 205:13 | 20:3 35:22 | 83:13 88:9 |
| 37:24 42:1 | 270:15 | generates 73:2 | 51:19,20 52:17 | 103:4 120:17 |
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| 37:15 | 38:6 95:18 | 121:3,20 | 72:16 76:14,15 | 129:13 131:1,4 |
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| 47:10 113:15 | 147:23 148:19 | 179:9 204:6 | 127:19 130:11 | 133:12 142:3,5 |
| 140:2 | 179:13,16,17 | 207:4,5,10,12 | 130:11,24 | 142:16,16 |
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| 176:17,18 | grant 53:25 54:3 | guaranteed | 55:14,19 56:9 | 147:24 149:7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 178:22,25 | 58:1,3 59:11 | 73:18 79:20 | 57:8,10,25 | 151:18 |
| 180:18 192:4 | 60:4,19 61:2,4 | 138:10 | 58:10,16 61:16 | happened 43:3 |
| 195:16 200:4 | 62:6 75:4 82:8 | guard 151:21,24 | 64:12,15,22 | 129:17 134:12 |
| 200:10,12 | 82:16 87:8 | 152:7,8 154:25 | 65:5,16,24 | 138:21 139:2,3 |
| 201:7,9,9 | 90:4 | 229:2 | 66:2,9 67:14 | 140:17 141:9,9 |
| 202:22 207:24 | granted 23:15 | guess 19:5 41:15 | 77:25 84:13,15 | 141:12 155:11 |
| 223:11 226:20 | 33:24 39:24 | 43:4 44:10 | 84:21 85:9,12 | happening |
| 228:4,12 229:6 | 50:16 56:20 | 46:12 47:21 | 85:16,23 86:13 | 142:24 144:10 |
| 229:19 244:3 | 81:4 90:3 | 120:17 168:15 | 86:19 87:3 | 258:22 |
| 244:25 246:23 | 249:6 | 178:22 180:9 | 88:1,12 118:15 | happens 141:12 |
| 250:24 252:9 | granting 17:8 | 181:3 205:14 | 118:17 128:1 | 193:4 |
| 255:23 259:3 | 39:19 52:20 | 221:9 223:7 | 129:11 130:12 | happy 54:20 |
| 262:22,25 | 56:17 57:14 | 225:18 238:5 | 131:11 135:8 | 61:12 64:8 |
| 263:8 | 60:4 62:13 | 245:6 247:13 | 136:1,13,19 | 68:1 |
| good 15:4 17:19 | 74:10,10 75:19 | 249:21 253:9 | 139:5,6 140:5 | hard 85:19 |
| 17:24 21:19,22 | 80:25 87:13 | 253:13 257:14 | 145:13 147:2 | 106:15 123:19 |
| 22:22 29:4 | 88:8 | guesstimate | 147:24 149:13 | 142:13 |
| 39:13,14 54:24 | granularity | 253:6 256:25 | 150:20 153:6 | hard-working |
| 58:25 68:21 | 38:22 | guidance 255:19 | 154:1,14,15,16 | 69:18 |
| 84:13,14 88:15 | graph 105:10 | guide 30:17 | 157:13 158:4 | Harden 14:1 |
| 88:16 92:9 | 219:13 | guidelines | 158:10,24 | 17:13,14,17 |
| 93:23,24 96:24 | graze 31:11 | 221:10 | 161:19 192:7 | harder 31:17 |
| 96:25 111:21 | 236:13 238:12 | guy 227:13 | 192:12 205:2 | Harline 42:5 |
| 118:18,19 | 251:24 | guys 196:1 | 226:10 248:8 | Harris 81:21 |
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| 170:4,5 176:8 | 141:24 142:1 | H-a-e-r 161:1,15 | Hall's 67:25 | hats 119:10 |
| 192:13,14 | 220:17 | Hac 17:6 | 203:5 | Haxton 81:12 |
| 228:1 248:11 | greater 203:15 | Hackman 22:13 | Hampton 14:6 | 268:19 |
| 248:12 250:14 | greatest 61:7 | 266:20 | 16:16 49:22 | hay 31:11 72:23 |
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| 111:15 115:12 | 29:18 | incident 152:1 | 266:1 | 130:10,18 |
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| installed 237:20 | 47:20 53:16 | 124:8 | 41:10,12 42:14 | Jackson 74:3 |
| 251:9 | 67:15,22 69:8 | investing 121:14 | 44:9 48:2 52:9 | 269:1 |
| installing 252:10 | 77:25 78:4 | investment | 54:5 56:25 | Jacob 14:5 |
| 259:10 | 79:9,15 80:12 | 83:18 120:20 | 59:9,20,22 | 16:15 49:22 |
| instance 58:15 | 81:18 82:3 | 120:24 121:2,7 | 60:21 64:16 | 217:3 |
| 60:16 142:18 | 84:2,4,6,19 | 121:11,18,21 | 67:21 84:15 | James 13:2 |
| 145:4 152:3,4 | 87:13 112:25 | 123:2 246:15 | 86:23,25 87:4 | 15:23 215:16 |
| 153:9 155:12 | 158:1 242:5 | 247:20,21 | 94:17,25 | 267:8,10 |
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| 143:14 153:2 | 119:4 270:18 | 77:6 | 142:21 143:25 | Janet 74:2 |
| 155:15 | interesting | invited 114:14 | 147:8 152:22 | 268:14 |
| instill 81:3 | 149:13 | 114:19 | 153:20 157:14 | January 12:7 |
| Institute 219:19 | interests 78:11 | invitees 114:19 | 198:17 200:23 | 15:18 63:13 |
| 224:3 | interfere 47:23 | involved 44:15 | 239:24,25 | 108:3,8 161:12 |
| integrated 44:24 | 222:8 259:11 | 134:16 139:18 | 253:24 | 192:21 223:5 |
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| integrity 139:10 | 31:16,25 32:1 | involves 23:3 | 27:24 94:16 | 264:3 |
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| responses | 196:9,12 | 246:16 247:7 | rooms 125:18 | 96:10 97:13 |
| 124:11 | rich 69:9,22 | 247:12 | Rosencrants | 103:16 133:10 |
| responsibility | ride 181:14 | rights 56:1 | 13:7 16:8,9 | ruling 19:3 |
| 27:13 97:5 | right 16:12 18:5 | 73:10 112:23 | 22:24 101:16 | run 158:5 |
| 176:6,24 | 20:22 22:17,18 | 112:25 260:15 | 230:12,12,15 | 246:20 247:7,7 |
| 177:17,25 | 25:6 31:13 | risk 171:21 | 231:20,25 | 254:17 |
| responsible | 46:17 47:19,23 | River 115:18 | 236:25 244:3 | running 81:5 |
| 44:23,25 | 48:12 49:14 | Rivers 23:9,14 | 244:25 258:3,5 | 131:15 208:10 |
| 164:18 165:14 | 51:18 57:10 | 29:16 50:5,18 | 262:22 263:3 | runs 24:9 115:16 |
| 166:17 | 58:20 61:21 | 59:7 111:15 | 263:12,18,21 | 254:14 |
| rest 200:5,6 | 71:10 73:6,7 | 115:12,21 | 265:19,22 | Rupp 12:21 |
| 201:2 208:1 | 73:11,16 79:19 | 139:19 140:3 | Rosencrants' | 58:17 61:17,18 |
| restate 174:17 | 80:11 84:17,22 | 149:5 200:7 | 105:18 | 66:13,14 88:14 |
| 246:22 | 84:23,25 85:6 | 261:23 | rough 81:6 | 88:15,18 89:1 |
| restoration | 85:7,10,16,17 | road 36:12 | 206:3 256:25 | 89:5,14 128:4 |
| 252:13 | 86:14 90:19 | 46:13 51:22 | roughly 35:1 | 128:5,7,24 |
| restroom 152:5 | 91:1 102:20 | 53:24 83:17 | 179:19 | 133:16,18 |
| result 58:13 | 103:12 104:4 | 259:11 | route 21:14 51:8 | 143:17 150:22 |
| 84:23 86:14 | 108:12 115:5 | roads 21:14 36:2 | 51:11,14,16 | 150:23 151:3 |
| 174:6,24 | 118:9 124:7 | 36:2,4,6,25 | 54:12,15 80:20 | 199:2,3 265:9 |
| resulted 194:15 | 133:16 140:16 | 51:12,16 52:13 | 150:2 233:4 | Rupp151 265:10 |
| results 126:23 | 141:5,25 | 53:21 57:6 | 246:3,8,19 | rural 35:12 47:3 |
| 168:4 | 143:17 149:23 | 59:25 95:5,10 | 248:1 | 86:16 253:1 |
| resume 91:15 | 150:8 151:25 | 100:8 | routed 21:11 | rutting 261:2 |
| 156:10,11 | 156:7,13,22 | roadway 158:11 | 177:22 |  |
| 263:25 | 163:3 169:20 | 159:17 | routes 51:6 | $\frac{\mathbf{S}}{}$ |
| retail 95:17 | 181:15,21 | roadways 52:2 | 69:21 98:24 | S 13:1 17:10 |
| 122:5 | 182:17 195:6 | 55:17,22 158:6 | 107:11 | S-E-R-C 170:8 |
| reverse 203:3 | 201:24 202:5 | Robert 74:2 | routing 24:13 | S-m-a-I-1 17:9 |
| review 28:7 | 203:16 205:6 | 267:5 269:1 | 130:7 177:20 | safe 142:11 |
| 44:19 57:20 | 205:11 208:3 | role 33:18 134:2 | 178:3,6 206:3 | 152:3 |
| 104:9 124:23 | 220:18 224:5 | 136:15 | 245:19 254:20 | safety 145:10 |
| 126:23 142:5 | 226:3 227:1 | roles 109:19 | rover 222:5 | sales 250:16 |
| 171:22 240:10 | 230:2 243:2 | Romaine 13:13 | row 31:20 105:5 | 255:15 |
| reviewed 53:4 | 246:25 248:22 | 17:21 | RTK 220:14 | Sarah 53:18 |
| 124:9 166:10 | 254:15 257:12 | Ron 15:14 | 221:1,8 | 268:3 |
| 166:17 261:19 | 257:18 259:12 | RONALD 12:19 | rule 19:12 21:12 | satellite-assisted |
| 261:22 | 259:13 262:21 | rooftops 35:11 | 55:10 56:13,15 | 222:25 |
| reviewing | 263:2,24 | room 91:14 | 68:17 91:7 | satellites 229:16 |
| 113:13 114:5 | right-of-way | 126:11 127:20 | 94:18 141:20 | satisfaction |
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| 259:22 | 182:16 197:2 | see 31:8,8,10, 11 | sensitive 80:15 | 82:5 95:18,20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| satisfied 76:12 | 204:4,9 | 31:17 49:1 | 125:15 126:9 | 95:22,25 96:2 |
| satisfy 62:12 | scope 34:6 48:1 | 50:10,21 51:7 | 244:12,18 | 96:5,6,8 115:6 |
| 228:8 | SCOTT 12:21 | 51:16 57:1 | 245:14,16 | 161:6 165:17 |
| save 133:17 | screen 30:25 | 72:5,9 101:8 | sent 22:7 99:14 | 165:21 168:7,7 |
| savings 28:13,15 | 63:21 | 114:1 124:23 | 99:24 101:15 | 168:9 176:21 |
| 28:16 193:17 | seal 71:10 | 129:14,17 | 104:1 196:6 | 193:8,16,20 |
| saw 33:21 | seated 92:5 | 131:1 140:21 | sentence 94:11 | 194:4 196:18 |
| saying 42:19 | second 21:11 | 144:4 164:4,9 | 101:8 113:5 | 196:21 199:24 |
| 43:13 65:22 | 41:15 59:9 | 171:18 174:11 | 134:11,14 | 200:2 267:22 |
| 97:21 129:15 | 72:25 100:18 | 223:19 225:17 | 218:16 220:20 | services 119:11 |
| 133:20 241:7 | 101:8 112:21 | 227:5 235:18 | 235:19 236:20 | 119:17,18,21 |
| 243:19 | 154:15 178:10 | 239:7 | 237:3,4,16 | 119:23 120:3,3 |
| says 37:13 45:13 | 180:24 196:14 | seeing 132:20 | 242:2 | 120:6 122:5 |
| 56:10,13 57:2 | 218:11 | 243:18 | sentences | 136:6,12,13,20 |
| 60:9 107:15 | secondly 37:9 | seek 75:22 119:8 | 112:11 114:2,4 | 136:23 137:20 |
| 109:14 113:6 | 38:20 47:7 | 175:17 244:22 | 124:24 142:7 | 138:1,11 |
| 132:12 134:14 | 111:23 193:10 | 247:13 | 225:18 | 139:13 145:20 |
| 156:3 164:1 | seconds 49:10 | seeking 94:8 | separate 63:9,10 | 174:2 175:7 |
| 174:25 179:1,4 | section 55:1 | 101:5 120:13 | 63:18 | session 133:3 |
| 217:13 228:13 | 73:11 76:8 | 122:3 | sequenced 200:3 | 183:2 208:15 |
| scenario 29:24 | 170:23 | seeks 79:25 | 200:4 201:16 | set 40:7 59:15 |
| 166:23 167:17 | Sections 59:20 | 117:6,15 | sequencing | 82:17 126:6,14 |
| 195:20 260:9 | 59:21 | seen 33:24 74:8 | 199:19 200:21 | 130:3 143:14 |
| scenarios 179:13 | sector 73:15 | 106:2 108:14 | 201:12 | 177:9,14,15 |
| 179:20,24 | 79:18 | 128:15 129:14 | SERC 170:9,11 | 194:22 195:1 |
| 180:16 195:2 | security 73:14 | 132:11 221:12 | 170:12,14,21 | 198:5,6 221:10 |
| Schatzki 28:22 | 111:24 125:10 | 222:10 | 173:1,4,11,19 | 235:21 |
| 267:11,13 | 125:17,20 | segment 29:5 | SERC's 170:7 | setting 25:14 |
| Schatzki's 29:9 | 126:2 135:10 | 63:18 | series 246:7 | 87:17 228:4 |
| 29:21 35:15 | 135:16 142:21 | selected 205:24 | serve 25:5 53:15 | 253:10 257:9 |
| 159:5 193:12 | 144:11,16 | selecting 177:7 | 121:16 146:22 | 257:10 |
| schedule 158:19 | 145:5,16,17,21 | selection 179:6 | service 12:1 14:7 | seven 32:17 |
| 223:17 230:23 | 146:1 151:4,21 | self-defeating | 14:9 16:17 | 71:21 115:15 |
| 261:14 | 151:22,24 | 141:7 | 25:8,9 26:12 | severe 171:16 |
| scheduled 103:7 | 152:7,8,12,14 | selling 119:4 | 28:25 29:1,2,4 | 199:16 |
| schedules 18:19 | 152:17,18,19 | senators 143:6 | 32:24 33:16 | shape 46:22 |
| 25:13 49:5 | 152:22,23,24 | sending 140:11 | 37:25 53:6,10 | shared 154:9 |
| 50:7 62:8 | 153:10,13 | 167:11 | 55:24 56:5,6,7 | sharing 114:25 |
| 231:3,23 | 154:7,14,16,24 | sends 87:22 | 59:11,14 71:14 | Shawn 53:7,18 |
| Schuyler 53:22 | 155:1,4,5,7,16 | senior 12:19 | 71:18 72:3 | 165:18,21 |
| 69:16 74:17 | 199:23 | 14:5,11 119:10 | 76:7,18 77:19 | 268:4,6 |
| 95:4 98:2 | security's | sense 123:3 | 77:21 79:14 | sheet 111:12,24 |
| 100:5 105:8,24 | 154:23 | 146:25 | 80:11,18,24 | 112:7,11 |
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| 113:10,14,16 | showing 21:16 | significant 19:10 | site 70:3 113:21 | 177:7,9,14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 114:4 115:2 | 51:13 91:13 | 24:12,14 27:20 | 204:7 | solve 35:11 |
| 124:21 126:22 | 219:13 238:24 | 45:18 47:5 | sitting 243:22 | solved 175:21 |
| 135:21 137:11 | shown 43:8 | 65:25 66:3 | situation 18:23 | solving 175:20 |
| 142:8,12,17 | 48:25 50:2 | 77:11 120:20 | 122:20 151:8 | 198:9 |
| 224:25 | 51:18 55:5 | 157:24 | 238:9 254:9,10 | somebody 55:21 |
| sheets 142:9 | 60:24 77:21 | significantly | 254:13 | 129:1 147:11 |
| Shelby 53:22 | 179:21,22 | 31:15 38:8,23 | situations | someone's 132:1 |
| 69:16 74:16 | 228:12 245:21 | signing 105:9 | 158:24 253:16 | 255:13 |
| 95:3 98:1 | 246:13,16 | 112:14 114:3 | 253:19 | somewhat 47:15 |
| 100:5 105:24 | 247:5 258:19 | silly 141:6 | six 32:18 125:24 | 47:17 |
| Shelbyville | shows 29:9 | Silva 215:4,9,14 | 193:3 | soon 246:4 |
| 148:22 | 31:19 33:9 | 215:16,17,22 | slide 48:24 | sorry 16:6 48:15 |
| sheriff's 145:25 | 72:8 82:2 | 215:24 217:3 | small 13:21 17:2 | 48:19 66:1 |
| 155:3 | 130:7 205:23 | 226:21 230:6 | 17:3,5,9 30:6 | 74:21 126:11 |
| shielding 228:10 | 258:22 | 265:16 266:12 | 48:11 61:25 | 126:15 134:1 |
| shift 60:14 | Shuck 74:17 | similar 36:23 | 62:1,2 64:10 | 134:19 165:24 |
| Shifting 60:10 | shut 228:23 | 122:20 128:15 | 64:13,14,20,24 | 192:9 196:10 |
| shifts 60:12 | 229:17 | 129:5 130:2 | 65:7,20 66:1,4 | 197:17 202:19 |
| ship 78:9 133:22 | shutdown | 149:21 195:18 | 66:11 96:15 | 220:19 221:18 |
| 134:17 136:11 | 228:23 | 224:18 225:10 | 113:15 156:25 | 246:24,25 |
| shod 81:6 | shutting 228:24 | similarly 51:13 | 163:11,14,17 | 257:3 262:20 |
| short 25:24 | side 22:19 | 249:10 | 164:20 165:2,7 | sort 18:19 22:13 |
| 217:10 | 143:10 149:22 | simple 91:5 | 165:10 168:14 | 38:15 40:4 |
| shorten 97:20 | 251:21 | 250:11,14,15 | 168:20,23 | 45:7 46:12 |
| shortened | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { s i g n }} 111: 12$ | simply 20:3 | 169:3,18 199:9 | 50:18 155:14 |
| 222:19 | 112:7 113:9 | 35:19 36:21 | 201:20 202:3 | 155:17 227:16 |
| shot 31:18 | 115:2 124:22 | 103:11 106:6 | 205:1 219:25 | sorts 54:10 |
| shoulder 167:18 | 135:20 | sincerely 140:19 | 235:1,2 248:24 | sought 56:17 |
| 167:19,19 | sign-in 111:12 | single 36:5 139:7 | 253:3 256:14 | 62:7 |
| 168:1,5 | 111:24 112:6 | sir 61:25 92:5 | 265:4,13,14 | source 24:3 |
| show 21:13 | 112:11 113:9 | 94:7 95:7 | smaller 249:16 | 121:24 181:22 |
| 30:24 31:2 | 113:12,14,16 | 124:1 131:24 | smile 143:9 | sources 207:5,12 |
| 32:9 35:7,18 | 114:4 115:2 | 143:16 162:1 | Smith 13:3 | southeast |
| 59:23 60:3 | 124:21 126:22 | 168:25 192:14 | 15:24 25:20 | 170:21 |
| 67:8 75:10,14 | 135:20 137:11 | 193:1 197:9,17 | 26:25 81:23 | southern 51:4 |
| 76:12 77:20 | 142:8,9,12,17 | 216:9 217:12 | 268:20 | soybeans 31:19 |
| 78:19 116:4 | signal 144:18 | 217:16 218:9 | snow 22:9 | space 229:16 |
| 129:17 150:14 | 151:21 | 218:13 226:14 | so-called 67:5 | speak 39:5 85:22 |
| 161:5 174:8 | signals 224:17 | 230:8 231:8,18 | software 227:21 | 114:21 129:12 |
| 221:19 243:17 | 225:8 229:15 | sit 30:19 35:22 | solar 35:10 | 132:3 134:15 |
| showed 27:25 | 229:16 | 153:15 238:20 | sold 250:7 | 155:12 169:15 |
| 247:24 258:24 | signed 109:8 | 240:14,16 | solution 175:1 | 176:12 177:23 |
| 258:24 | 116:7 161:1 | 241:7,18,21 | 175:17,18 | 178:1 181:14 |
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| 181:19 193:17 | split 63:7 | 267:22,24 | 219:3 229:3,5 | 38:14 43:11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 200:11 201:4 | spoke 72:5 | 268:1,2,4,5,7,8 | starting 26:19 | 49:15 54:20 |
| 201:15 205:22 | spoken 47:18,22 | 268:10,11 | 123:15 223:5 | 55:12 61:24 |
| 238:25 239:5 | 84:10 | Staff's 32:16 | 223:12 | 66:18,19 67:7 |
| 263:6,13 | sponsored 67:9 | 51:24,25 53:17 | starts 23:7 101:9 | 68:14 82:6 |
| SPEAKER | 103:6 | 54:2,25 55:11 | 139:7 174:12 | 99:1 101:19 |
| 74:22 | SPP 37:6 | 60:1 | 235:19 237:3 | 109:17 110:14 |
| speaking 67:20 | SPP's 37:7 | staffed 130:9 | 242:3 | 112:21 132:9 |
| 75:15 145:21 | spray 240:23 | stage 63:15 | state 12:2 26:2,3 | 133:23,23 |
| 151:8 | 260:4 | stages 62:22 | 27:7 29:6 | 141:14 222:12 |
| speaks 140:15 | spring 13:13 | 65:3 | 30:16 33:16 | 227:2,3,24 |
| species 80:16 | 17:21 168:3 | Stahlman 53:14 | 38:18 40:20 | 231:13,15 |
| specific $28: 21$ | square 70:12 | 268:9 | 41:1 47:18 | 236:16 265:2,3 |
| 78:25 79:1,7 | ss 270:2 | stakeholder 26:1 | 52:2,6 55:17 | 265:3,4,4 |
| 90:7 102:7 | St 13:9 92:12 | 27:17 44:16 | 55:19,22 57:7 | statements 78:9 |
| 107:22 109:19 | 136:8,9 | 78:6 134:3,4,5 | 62:24,25 63:4 | 91:19 113:10 |
| 127:23 132:4 | stabilizing 73:14 | 151:10 | 64:2 65:13,15 | 113:14 134:18 |
| 136:13 139:14 | 79:17 | stakeholders | 71:11,16 73:1 | states 26:5,16 |
| 147:19 151:15 | staff 14:4,5,5,6,9 | 62:17 114:16 | 73:18 74:5 | 77:17 79:16 |
| 152:3,3 155:19 | 16:3,13,17 | 205:25 | 79:21 86:20 | 80:18 105:6 |
| 166:23 169:14 | 32:11,16,18,20 | Stan 74:19 | 87:12 92:10 | 109:11 110:10 |
| 171:19 174:14 | 32:22 35:15,24 | stand 32:21 | 95:9,10,17 | 110:16,19 |
| 239:9 | 35:25 36:8,14 | 91:20,22,25 | 98:13,19,24 | 201:7 218:16 |
| specifically $27: 2$ | 36:17,20 37:13 | 130:19 133:17 | 103:15 109:16 | 219:14 220:18 |
| 28:3,23 37:20 | 38:12 49:15,23 | 133:24 156:9 | 115:19 122:23 | 225:4 229:7 |
| 79:5 102:5 | 52:4,16 53:4,6 | 156:12,14 | 138:5 150:14 | 242:25 |
| 128:17 139:12 | 53:10,12,14 | 163:20 164:13 | 159:20 162:5 | statewide 33:14 |
| 145:23 147:9 | 54:19 56:25 | 215:7 | 174:1 178:8,14 | 47:24 |
| 179:25 193:22 | 57:25 62:9 | standard 77:9 | 178:17 181:24 | static 174:4,21 |
| 194:20,23 | 75:7 83:1 | 141:21 179:18 | 196:15,23 | 175:4 |
| 217:9 218:8 | 93:19 127:10 | 195:13 | 205:25 215:14 | stating 78:7 84:8 |
| specifics 144:9 | 128:25 135:16 | standards 26:15 | 220:12 224:17 | 172:25 247:1 |
| 153:20 | 146:12,19,20 | 27:8 123:23 | 225:17,19 | station 23:7,9 |
| specified 82:21 | 148:4 158:15 | 170:16 171:3 | 230:16 239:7 | 45:24 50:17 |
| 159:14 204:11 | 158:16 159:14 | 171:23 261:15 | 239:24 240:25 | 51:9,17,18 |
| speculation | 163:8 164:17 | 261:19 262:2,6 | 243:9 244:21 | 115:15,16 |
| 150:13 245:1 | 165:18 167:10 | standing 20:4,8 | 270:2 | 130:4,24 131:2 |
| speculative 33:7 | 199:5 216:21 | 78:20 85:5 | state's 73:2 | 131:2,5 148:1 |
| speech 85:17 | 217:4 231:11 | standpoint | 123:5 | 151:15 |
| spelling 17:9 | 232:5,20 233:2 | 193:24 | stated 75:8 89:6 | stations 124:16 |
| 162:8 | 233:5,7,20 | stands 27:11 | 95:15 100:18 | 129:22 130:7 |
| spend 200:22 | 234:1,7,21 | start 36:9,10,11 | 197:6 243:15 | 130:11,12,15 |
| 246:6 | 247:11 249:11 | 127:20 262:23 | 245:9 256:25 | 130:22 131:11 |
| spent 43:24 | 256:11 267:22 | started 33:6 | statement 32:14 | 131:17 139:22 |
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| 146:10 147:18 | strengthening | 131:17 133:10 | 253:3 | 35:16 62:10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 153:7 223:6 | 46:9 | 192:5 215:3 | successfully | supportive 78:9 |
| 229:19 | strenuous 33:23 | 216:15 | 140:2 | supports 59:18 |
| statistic 32:2 | stretch 24:8 32:7 | submission 43:9 | suggest 22:11 | 64:7 83:2 |
| status 48:8 | stricken 18:15 | 55:7 87:9 | 78:23 150:9 | supposed 131:10 |
| 64:22,25 | 18:15 | submit 37:23 | 153:19 | 208:12 |
| statute 24:24 | strict 122:9 | submittal | suggested 22:10 | sure 39:2 54:25 |
| 36:17 37:15,24 | strictly 41:6 | 259:15 | suggesting 19:14 | 55:3,11 63:16 |
| 42:2,9,25 | 122:8 | submitted 75:6 | 52:16 148:13 | 67:11,13 71:9 |
| 55:10 56:2,23 | strike 18:10 19:8 | 75:12 160:6 | suggestion | 89:3 100:11 |
| 57:12 88:6,7 | 108:10 | 196:25 243:14 | 148:18 | 104:12 111:8 |
| 119:7 130:15 | strip 249:21,22 | submitting | suggests 42:25 | 113:22 114:6 |
| 140:9 147:10 | 249:23 252:5 | 80:19 | 48:3 | 115:11,13 |
| 250:19,21,25 | stronger 46:11 | subscribe 45:14 | sum 251:11,17 | 125:2 133:2,3 |
| 251:2 | 181:25 | 139:9 180:15 | 251:19 | 133:7 138:25 |
| statutes 76:8 | strongly 141:7 | 181:12 | summarize | 142:10 144:1,4 |
| 84:5 122:21 | 263:14 | subsection 24:24 | 76:19 168:16 | 152:2 155:13 |
| statutory 109:25 | structure 31:14 | 37:11,16,22,22 | 239:17 240:2 | 158:10 165:6 |
| stay 21:17 22:4 | 36:5 247:20 | subsequent 43:9 | summary | 165:11 174:10 |
| 153:15 | 253:2 258:25 | 55:7 113:4 | 168:15 217:10 | 176:22 178:16 |
| steel 30:18,19 | structures 31:7 | 167:9 175:13 | summer 204:9 | 196:4 197:5 |
| steering 78:13 | 31:9,22 54:14 | 219:5 | summonsed | 201:14 203:7 |
| 222:25 | 252:11 259:13 | subsequently | 135:9 | 206:15 238:19 |
| step 119:5 | stuck 201:8 | 63:14 | sun 13:18 18:1 | 240:17 254:10 |
| 151:17 161:22 | studied 175:8 | subservient 34:2 | 168:3 | 259:5 262:23 |
| 230:6 262:12 | 199:19 | subsidiaries | sunshine 21:18 | surpassed |
| STEPHEN | studies 25:14 | 120:7 136:14 | superior 223:15 | 223:15 |
| 12:20 | 78:23,25 88:24 | subsidiary 40:21 | supplement | surprised 254:8 |
| steps 33:15 | 250:3 | 40:22 120:1 | 122:17 | surrebuttal 49:4 |
| 126:18 | study 88:20 | substantial | supplemental | 82:18 93:3 |
| Steven 16:1 | 201:17 217:18 | 148:11 | 167:11,12 | 98:11 107:7 |
| stewardship | 223:21 229:21 | substantive | 169:5,11 | 110:22 123:15 |
| 139:10 | 255:19 | 36:14 | 198:14 267:20 | 162:13 163:19 |
| stipulate 104:10 | stuff 134:15 | substation 12:17 | supply $24: 3$ | 165:25 167:3 |
| stipulation | 227:19 | 15:13 23:18,19 | 175:2 256:7 | 174:1,10 178:7 |
| 104:16 | subdivision | 24:1,2 50:14 | support 27:6 | 178:8 215:23 |
| STOLL 12:20 | 253:10 257:9 | 50:15,16 51:9 | 33:1 66:6 | 216:4,10 220:9 |
| stop 193:11 | subject 18:20 | 51:11,14,19,20 | 89:21 90:10 | 220:10 231:2,7 |
| stopgap 200:17 | 20:13 36:17 | 52:19 77:12 | 134:18 167:11 | 231:10,15,22 |
| 201:10 | 59:8 62:18 | 175:2 | 174:3,16,21 | 236:18 237:13 |
| stopping 33:8 | 63:12,23 73:18 | substations | 175:3 177:11 | 239:12 241:25 |
| strategy 122:10 | 79:21 83:5 | 199:25 | 179:13 206:18 | 258:8 266:5,9 |
| Street 14:1,7,13 | 102:16 123:2 | suburb 255:9 | supported 79:13 | 266:10,12,15 |
| 17:15 | 127:13 130:8 | suburban 253:3 | supporting | 266:17,18,20 |
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| 266:22,25 | T | 124:20 155:13 | 152:11 259:14 | 95:14 97:22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 267:3,5,6,10 | T 12:21 | 195:3 225:7 | temporary | 98:6,11,13,17 |
| 267:13,16,24 | table 216:23 | 229:15 257:22 | 235:24 236:11 | 107:7,13 |
| 268:6,25 269:1 | take 18:9 19:7 | 257:23 260:13 | 237:20 | 110:22 119:9 |
| suspect 48:10 | 19:12,19,23 | talking 28:19 | ten 229:9 | 128:12 135:4 |
| suspend 95:4,9 | 20:5 32:3 | 54:9 89:24 | tend 151:14 | 150:7 152:20 |
| sustain 20:16 | 48:16,20 49:9 | 180:22 205:1 | tender 93:14 | 158:16 159:5 |
| 244:5 | 56:24 64:5 | 205:10 206:7 | 163:2 216:15 | 162:13,14,19 |
| switch 70:6 87:3 | 69:20 85:19 | 220:22 233:1,2 | 231:24 | 163:19,23 |
| switching 23:7,8 | 91:13,20 108:2 | 239:21 241:12 | term 222:16 | 164:12,22 |
| 50:16 51:9,17 | 108:7,16 | 241:12 253:10 | terminology | 166:1,1,2,5,6 |
| 51:18 115:15 | 110:12 113:20 | 257:6 | 132:4 | 166:15 167:3 |
| 115:16 | 115:25 116:9 | talks 88:5 | terms 32:5 38:25 | 168:19 172:6 |
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