BEFORE THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

)

)

)

)

)

In the Matter of the Application of Kansas Gas Service, a Division of One Gas, Inc. Regarding February 2021 Winter Weather Events, as Contemplated by Docket No. 21-GIMX-303-MIS

Docket No. 21-KGSG-332-GIG

Joint Motion to Approve Nonunanimous Settlement Agreement

Kansas Gas Service, a Division of ONE Gas, Inc. ("KGS") the Staff of the State Corporation Commission of the State of Kansas ("Staff"), the Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board ("CURB"), BlueMark Energy, LLC ("BlueMark"), WoodRiver Energy, LLC ("WoodRiver"), Constellation NewEnergy-Gas Division, LLC ("Constellation"), and Atmos Energy Corporation ("Atmos") (collectively "Joint Movants"), request that the State Corporation Commission of the State of Kansas ("Commission") issue an order approving the Joint Nonunanimous Settlement Agreement ("Settlement Agreement" or "Agreement"), which was agreed to by the Joint Movants and submitted with this Motion.¹ In support of its Motion, Joint Movants state the following:

I. BACKGROUND

1. In mid-February 2021, a major snow and ice storm, known as Winter Storm Uri, imposed extreme and unprecedented freezing weather conditions across the United States, Northern Mexico and parts of Canada. The extensive nature of the storm, coupled with the heavy snow and damaging ice, produced some of the coldest temperatures in decades. Winter Storm Uri prompted health and safety concerns, sudden and sustained natural gas supply disruptions, widespread

¹ Freedom Pipeline, LLC ("Freedom") is not included among the Joint Movants but does not oppose these filings.

infrastructure and operational failures and freeze-offs of facilities that were necessary for the delivery of natural gas.

2. In response to Winter Storm Uri, on February 15, 2021, the Commission issued an *Emergency Order* in Docket No. 21-GIMX-303-MIS ("*Emergency Order*"), which acknowledged the State of Disaster Emergency Proclamation issued by Governor Kelly, the higher than normal wholesale natural gas prices, and the potential for reliability issues related to the prolonged February 2021 cold weather. The *Emergency Order* required jurisdictional natural gas and electric utilities to "... do everything necessary to ensure natural gas and electricity service continue[d] to be provided to their customers in Kansas."² The Commission also authorized jurisdictional natural gas and electric utilities to defer into a regulatory asset any extraordinary costs associated with ensuring that their customers, or the customers of interconnected Kansas utilities that are non-jurisdictional to the Commission, continued to receive utility service during the cold weather event.³

3. On March 9, 2021, the Commission issued an Order Adopting Staff's Report and Recommendation to Open Company-Specific Investigations; Order on Petitions to Intervene of BlueMark Energy, LLC and CURB; Protective and Discovery Order, in Docket No. 21-GIMX-303-MIS ("21-303 Order"). In its 21-303 Order, the Commission adopted Staff's recommendations to open company specific dockets to document issues raised in the Commission's investigation into each major jurisdictional utility's performance during the February 2021 Winter Storm Uri and to record the company specific filings of the financial impact plans contemplated in the Commission's 21-303 Order.⁴

² Emergency Order, ¶ 3.

³ *Id.* at \P 4.

⁴ Order Adopting Staff's Report and Recommendation to Open Company-Specific Investigations; Order on *Petitions to Intervene of BlueMark Energy, LLC and CURB; Protective and Discovery Order*, ¶7 (Docket No. 21-GIMX-303-MIS issued on March 9, 2021).

4. CURB, BlueMark, WoodRiver, Bonavia Properties, LLC, Constellation, Atmos, Freedom, the Kansas Attorney General's Office, TempleLive Wichita, Catholic Diocese of Wichita, Natural Gas Transportation Customer Coalition, and Symmetry Energy Solutions, LLC, filed for, and were granted, intervention in the docket (inclusive of KGS and Staff hereinafter "Parties").

5. On May 28, 2021, KGS filed a Motion for Limited Waiver ("Motion for Waiver") in this docket seeking Commission authorization to deviate from Section 11.06 of the KGS General Terms and Conditions for Gas Service ("Tariff") provisions in order to allow KGS to remove the multipliers from the calculation of penalties incurred by marketers and Individually Balanced Transportation Customers for violations of the Operational Flow Orders ("OFO") and/or Period Curtailment Orders ("POC") issued by KGS during Winter Storm Uri.

6. In the absence of this waiver, Section 11.06 of the Tariff, when triggered, requires KGS to calculate penalties for OFO violations by multiplying 2.5 times ("the OFO multiplier") the daily midpoint stated on Gas Daily's Index for Southern Star Central Gas Pipelines (Oklahoma) by the unauthorized MMBtu level. During the more critical POC conditions, the Tariff requires KGS to calculate the penalties for POC violations by multiplying 10 times ("the POC multiplier") the daily midpoint stated on Gas Daily's Index for Southern Star Central Gas Pipelines (Oklahoma) by the unauthorized MMBtu level. By eliminating both the OFO multiplier and the POC multiplier, a marketer or Individually Balanced Transportation Customer whose gas use during Winter Storm Uri exceeded its authorized usage (plus any applicable tolerance) would be charged the Southern Star Gas Daily Index price multiplied by the unauthorized natural gas volumes.

7. On June 4, 2021, CURB filed its Objection to Motion for Limited Waiver, explaining KGS did not provide an evidentiary basis showing the actual additional cost of gas it procured for transportation customers who did not comply with either the OFO and/or the POC. On June 9, 2021,

Bonavia filed its Objection and Response to KGS's Motion for Limited Waiver, largely agreeing with CURB's objection. On June 7, 2021, BlueMark and WoodRiver filed their Answer to Motion for Limited Waiver, both requesting to eliminate or reduce the KGS penalties for Winter Storm Uri beyond what was requested by KGS, or in the alternative, approve KGS's Motion for a Limited Waiver. KGS filed responses to the objections filed by each of these Parties, and the Commission addressed the Parties' concerns in an *Order Denying Motion to Amend Protective Order; Order Directing the Parties to Submit a Proposed Procedural Schedule* issued on July 20, 2021. Essentially, the Commission adopted Staff's recommendation to direct the Parties to collaborate on a procedural schedule to address KGS's waiver request and directed the Parties to jointly submit a proposed procedural schedule by August 2, 2021, to address KGS's waiver request. Subsequent to the Commission's *Order Denying Motion to Amend Protective Order; Order Directing the Parties Corder Denying Motion to Amend Protective Order; Order Directing the Parties To Submit A Proposed Procedural Schedule*, the Commission issued an *Order Adopting Proposed Interim Procedural Schedule* on August 12, 2021 and the on September 2, 2021 *Order Granting KGS' Motion for Proposed Interim Procedural Schedule on KGS' Motion for Waiver*.

8. Pursuant to both the Commission's August 12 and September 2 orders, the Parties to this proceeding met and conducted settlement discussions on August 18, September 23 and 24.

9. As a result of the settlement discussions, Joint Movants reached an agreement on all issues involved in the KGS Motion for Waiver, which have been reduced to writing, attached to this Joint Motion as **Attachment A**, and incorporated herein by reference. This Agreement provides for approval of the penalty multiplier waiver, as well as a negotiated gas cost penalty ("Negotiated Gas Cost Penalty"), which represents only the cost of gas procured by KGS, on a customer specific basis. All other issues relating to Staff's investigation of Winter Storm Uri, prospective potential tariff revisions, and KGS' financial plan will be discussed during subsequent stages of this proceeding.

10. Joint Movants recognize that the law generally favors the good faith settlement of disputed issues.⁵

11. The Joint Movants believe that the Agreement represents a reasonable and fair resolution of competing issues and that the terms contained therein are in the public interest.

12. Joint Movants represent that the Agreement satisfies the five factors that the Commission considers when reviewing a proposed settlement agreement. Those five factors are:

- a. There was an opportunity for the opposing party to be heard regarding their opposition to the Settlement Agreement;
- b. The Settlement Agreement is supported by substantial competent evidence;
- c. The Settlement Agreement conforms with applicable law;
- d. The Settlement Agreement results in a just, reasonable, and necessary outcome; ⁶ and
- e. The results of the Settlement Agreement are in the public interest.⁷

⁵ Krants v. University of Kansas, 271 Kan. 234, 241–42 (2001).

⁶ The five factors are derived from Docket No. 08-AMTG-280-RTS, *Order Approving Contested Settlement Agreement*, ¶ 11 (May 12, 2012). That Order concerned rates. To properly tailor those factors to a Tariff penalty/charge case, the Joint Movants have substituted the "just and reasonable" standard pursuant to K.S.A. 66-1,204 under factor four with the just, reasonable, and necessary standard pursuant to K.S.A. 66-1,206. This approach is consistent with the Commission's inherent powers as set forth in K.S.A. 66-1,201 and 66-1,207.

⁷ Docket No. 08-AMTG-280-RTS, Order Approving Contested Settlement Agreement, ¶ 11 (May 12, 2012).

II. ARGUMENT

A. <u>There Was an Opportunity for the Opposing Parties to Be Heard Regarding</u> <u>their Opposition to the Settlement Agreement.</u>

13. There was ample opportunity for extensive vetting of all issues in this matter through data requests and information sharing. KGS responded to more than 100 data requests from the Parties in the case.

14. Representatives from all Parties participated in technical and settlement conferences in this docket on August 18, September 23 and September 24, 2021. During these settlement conferences, all Parties were afforded an opportunity to raise issues, ask questions, exchange information, and engage in negotiations. Many significant concessions and compromises were made by all participants on numerous difficult issues throughout these negotiations.

15. On September 24, 2021, a settlement of all issues related to the Motion for Waiver was reached by the Joint Movants resulting in the Agreement included as Attachment A, which is being filed with this Motion.

16. All Parties have had an opportunity to be heard with respect to their support or opposition to the terms of the Agreement. In addition, in accordance with the Procedural Schedule established in this proceeding, all Parties will be afforded an opportunity to provide testimony in support or in opposition to this Agreement on October 22, 2021, to participate in a hearing on November 17 and 18, 2021, and to file a post hearing brief on November 30, 2021.

B. The Agreement Is Supported by Substantial Competent Evidence.

17. KGS's May 28 Motion for Waiver provided a detailed explanation of the events leading up to Winter Storm Uri and the resulting implications of the KGS Tariff. Since the issuance of the Commission's March 9 Protective Order, KGS has responded to more than 100 data requests involving multiple subparts and follow up requests. In addition, throughout the settlement process, various KGS representatives engaged in presentations and discussions involving KGS's distribution system, the natural gas purchasing practices of the Company, and the resulting cost implications resulting from Winter Storm Uri.

18. Moreover, the Joint Movants to this docket plan to file testimony in support of the Settlement Agreement on October 22, 2021. As will be explained in testimonial evidence to be submitted on October 22, 2021, which will be subject to a Commission Hearing on November 17 and 18, 2021, this Agreement is supported by substantial competent evidence.

19. The evidence will show that Winter Storm Uri wrought severe and unprecedented weather conditions across the United States. The storm imposed substantial hardship on the lives of millions of Americans, causing extensive blackouts and myriad safety, health, and infrastructure challenges. The destructive effects of the storm resulted in major disruptions across all sectors of the natural gas industry, including communications outages, energy outages, and natural gas supply shortages, all of which acted together to cause widespread chaos and unpredictability across multiple interstate pipelines. These obstacles made it extremely challenging for utilities, marketers and Individually Balanced Transportation Customers alike to accurately predict natural gas supply, demand, and transportation needs, not to mention to secure access to the needed natural gas prices to skyrocket, adding another layer of burden on interstate pipelines, utilities, marketers, and Individually Balanced Transportation Customers.

20. Under the Commission-approved cost of gas formula contained in the KGS Tariff (*e.g.*, multiplying the daily midpoint stated on Gas Daily's Index for Southern Star Central Gas Pipelines (Oklahoma) by the unauthorized MMBtu level), KGS incurred \$117,224,479 to maintain

the operational integrity of the system when marketer and/or Individually Balanced Transportation Customer gas use during Winter Storm Uri exceeded their respective authorized usage. Of critical importance is the fact that Section 11.06 of the Tariff also imposes additional penalties, beyond the cost of gas, for transportation customers whose usage of gas exceeds its confirmed nominations during OFO and POC conditions. The purpose of this provision is to incent behavior on the part of the marketers and Individually Balanced Transportation Customers that allows KGS to maintain the integrity of its system. Stated another way, during normal winter pricing conditions, the penalty amount (*e.g.*, multiplier effect) that is over and above the actual cost of gas is designed to ensure that marketers and Individually Balanced Transportation Customers provide sufficient gas to KGS for balancing purposes.

21. KGS's decision to file a Motion to waive both the OFO multiplier and POC multiplier was rooted in the recognition that under the operation of the Tariff penalties provisions, the \$117,224,479 in penalties was subject to being exponentially increased by a factor of between 2.5 times and 10 times that amount. Moreover, in discussions with Staff and CURB, two additional issues became clear. First, KGS's sales customers needed to be insulated from the costs incurred by KGS to provide natural gas that was sufficient to cover the marketer and Individually Balanced Transportation Customers supply shortages during Winter Storm Uri. And second, the exorbitant financial obligations imposed on marketers and Individually Balanced Transportation Customers under the Tariff application of the OFO and POC multipliers presented the serious risk of adversely impacting both the viability of some of these entities and, ultimately, the Kansas economy.

22. With these considerations in mind, during the technical and settlement discussions it was determined that, rather than relying exclusively on the Tariff and the elimination of the OFO multiplier and POC multiplier, alternative methods for calculating cost responsibility surrounding

this extraordinary event should be explored. The objective of these methodologies was to, as accurately as possible, allow KGS to recover the gas costs KGS incurred on behalf of marketers and Individually Balanced Transportation Customer during Winter Storm Uri. Based on these negotiations, the agreed to Negotiated Gas Cost Penalty amounts contained in the Settlement reflect: 1) the elimination of the OFO multiplier and the POC multiplier; 2) a deviation from the Tariff imposed use of the Southern Star Gas Daily Index price for the calculation of gas costs; and 3) the use of a system-wide average gas cost for calculating cost responsibility of the marketers and Individually Balanced Transportation Customers that is designed to more closely align with the actual costs the Company incurred to procure such natural gas supplies. The Negotiated Gas Cost Penalty amounts were negotiated as a means to provide some recognition of the extremely volatile market activity that occurred during Winter Storm Uri and the resulting events that were beyond the control of Parties in this proceeding.

23. For the aforementioned reasons, the Negotiated Gas Cost Penalty amounts listed in the confidential Exhibit A, which more closely reflect the actual cost incurred for marketers and Individually Balanced Transportation Customers gas usage during Winter Storm Uri, is a fair resolution that produces a settlement outcome that is just, reasonable, and necessary.

C. <u>The Agreement Conforms with Applicable Law.</u>

24. Kansas law recognizes a strong policy favoring and encouraging settlements.⁸ The Agreement was fully and fairly negotiated and represents a reasonable compromise based on all Parties' positions and will further the public interest.⁹

⁸ Bright v. LSI Corp., 254 Kan. 853, 858 (1994).

⁹ The standards under which the Commission conducts a public interest analysis differ depending on the type of issue before it. For example, on certificates for public convenience, the Commission analyzes a series of factors to determine whether a certificate should be granted. See, *In the Matter*

25. Kan. Stat. Ann. § 66-1,207 provides that all grants of power, authority and jurisdiction made to the Commission shall be liberally construed, and all incidental powers necessary to carry into effect the provisions of this act are expressly granted to and conferred upon the Commission. Under Kansas statutes the Commission has ample authority to set the charges whereby KGS will be able to recover the extraordinary gas costs incurred for the gas usage of marketers and Individually Based Transportation Customers during Winter Storm Uri.

26. Moreover, under Kan. Stat. Ann. § 66-115, "all orders, regulations, practices, services, rates, fares, charges, classifications, tolls, and joint rates fixed by the commission [...] shall be *prima facie* reasonable unless, or until, changed or modified by the commission or in pursuance of proceedings instituted in court as provided in this act." The Commission has already approved the Tariff that is applicable in this matter; therefore, the higher penalty charges that result from the operation of the Tariff are *prima facie* reasonable. However, for purposes of settlement, and in recognition of the extraordinary conditions existing during the relevant period, Joint Movants agreed to remove the OFO and POC multipliers (which would otherwise exact a penalty significantly in excess of the Negotiated Gas Cost Penalty agreed to by the Joint Movants) and to use a Negotiated Gas Cost Penalty that results in the amounts to be recovered from the marketers and Individually

of the Application of Grain Belt Express Clean Line LLC for a Limited Certificate of Public Convenience to Transact the Business of a Public Utility in the State of Kansas, Docket No. 11-GBEE-624-COC, Order, issued December 7, 2011. In matters involving mergers and acquisition, the Commission has set forth a prescribed list of factors it analyzes in determining whether the merger or acquisition will promote the public interest. See, In the Matter of the Joint Application of Great Plains Energy Incorporated, Kansas City Power & Light Company and Westar Energy, Inc. for approval of the Acquisition of Westar Energy, Inc. by Great Plains Energy Incorporated. Docket No. 16-KCPE-593-ACQ, Order on Merger Standards, issued Aug. 9, 2016).

Balanced Transportation Customers under this Agreement that more closely aligns with the actual costs incurred in procuring natural gas supplies for these transportation customers.

27. In addition, the settlement negotiations (and the discovery process) in this proceeding were thorough and comprehensive, and afforded all interested Parties an opportunity to evaluate relevant usage and cost information and to negotiate to the satisfaction of each Joint Movant a balanced compromise of competing interests. This was done, in part, by agreeing to remove the OFO and POC multipliers and to utilize an agreed upon methodology for determining the actual cost of gas, even though the Tariff otherwise required it.

D. <u>The Settlement Results in a Just, Reasonable, and Necessary Outcome.</u>

28. Here, the Joint Movants are not dealing with rates but rather with charges that result from a Commission-approved Tariff provision dealing with penalties. None of the Joint Movants dispute the legality of the Tariff. Rather, all recognize that due to the confluence of unique events that caused the commodity price of natural gas to skyrocket, there is a need to balance the extreme consequences associated with a strict application of the Tariff penalty calculation with the need to ensure that the parties that caused KGS to incur the natural gas costs properly bear such cost responsibility. Clearly, if no penalty provision is triggered, KGS's sales customers, including residential customers, will bear the costs associated with KGS's procurement of gas that was ultimately consumed by Transportation Customers as a whole. Such a result is inequitable. Conversely, applying the Tariff directed OFO multiplier and the POC multiplier to the Southern Star Gas Index, which was exceptionally high during Winter Storm Uri, would impose extreme financial burdens in the form of penalties on marketers and Individually Balanced Transportation Customers.¹⁰ As such, Joint Movants agreed to a Negotiated Gas Cost Penalty that excludes the Tariff's multiplier and imputes the KGS systemwide weighted average cost of gas on a daily basis (including carrying charges) as the appropriate natural gas costs incurred by KGS to serve the transportation customers. This approach, which properly balances and apportions KGS's gas costs between its sales customers and the transportation customers as a group, results in a just, reasonable, and necessary outcome that is consistent with Kansas law.¹¹

E. <u>The Agreement Is in the Public Interest.</u>

29. Each Joint Movant has a duty to protect the interest of the party it represents. KGS has a duty to its customers, employees and shareholders. The Staff and the Commission are in the unique position of being required to weigh and balance the interests of the company, the customers, and the public generally. CURB represents the interests of residential and small commercial customers. Each Joint Movant carefully considered the issues before the Commission and used their best judgment and knowledge of Commission precedent to determine where it might be successful and where compromise was warranted and appropriate.

30. For all the reasons previously articulated, the Agreement strikes a reasonable balance that protects all Kansas consumers because it: 1) allows the Company to collect the costs it incurred on behalf of transportation customers, 2) insulates sales customers from otherwise bearing the gas costs attributable to transportation customers, and 3) protects marketers and Individually Balanced Transportation Customers from the imposition of significant penalties by

¹⁰ As noted previously, absent KGS' Motion to waive the multiplier portions of its tariff, the calculated \$117,244,479 amount applicable to marketers and Individually Balanced Transportation customers would in fact be 2.5 to 10 times greater than this amount.

¹¹ See K.S.A. 66-1,206(a), 66-1,201 and 66-1,207.

recognizing the confluence of extraordinary events that adversely impacted their ability to obtain sufficient natural gas supplies during Winter Storm Uri.

III. CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, for the reasons set forth herein, the Joint Movants request this Joint Motion be granted and that the Agreement attached hereto be approved.

Respectfully submitted,

Kelly A. Daly SNELL & WILMER Washington DC 20006 Phone: 202 725-0605 Email: kdaly@swlaw.com

harlene \$. Wigtor

Charlene B. Wright (KS Bar No. 22028) Managing Member Wright & Associates PLLC 717 Texas Street, Suite 1200 Houston, Texas 77002 Phone: 816-877-6334 Email: cwright@wrightfirm.law

Attorneys for Kansas Gas Service, a Division of ONE Gas, Inc.

VERIFICATION

STATE OF ARIZONA)) COUNTY OF MARICOPA)

I, Kelly A. Daly, verify under penalty of perjury that I have caused the foregoing pleading to be prepared; that I have read and reviewed the same; and that the contents thereof are true and correct to the best of my information, knowledge, and belief.

elly a Jaly

Affiant

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me on .

Notary public

My Appointment Expires:

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 8th day of October, 2021, a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing was sent electronically to the following:

ALEX GOLDBERG, Attorney at Law ALEX GOLDBERG 909 Bannock Street, Ste 1524 Denver, CO 80204 alexantongoldberg@gmail.com

JAMES G. FLAHERTY, ATTORNEY ANDERSON & BYRD, L.L.P. 216 S Hickory PO Box 17 Ottawa, KS 66067 jflaherty@andersonbyrd.com

SHELLY M BASS, SENIOR ATTORNEY ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION 5430 LBJ Freeway 1800 Three Lincoln Centre Dallas, TX 75240 shelly.bass@atmosenergy.com

KEVIN C FRANK, SENIOR ATTORNEY ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION 5430 LBJ Freeway 1800 Three Lincoln Centre Dallas, TX 75240 kevin.frank@atmosenergy.com

JEFF AUSTIN AUSTIN LAW P.A. 7111 W. 151st St., Ste 315 Overland Park, KS 66223 jeff@austinlawpa.com

JULIE AGRO BLUEMARK ENERGY 4200 East Skelly Drive, Ste 300 Tulsa, OK 74135 jagro@bluemarkenergy.com

MIKE WESTBROCK BLUEMARK ENERGY 4200 East Skelly Drive, Ste 300 Tulsa, OK 74135 westbrock@bluemarkenergy.c om LARRY WEBER BONAVIA PROPERTIES, LLC Garvey Center 250 W. Douglas, Ste 100 Wichita, KS 67202 larry@garveycenter.com

C. EDWARD PETERSON C. EDWARD PETERSON, Attorney At Law 5522 Aberdeen Fairway, KS 66205 ed.peterson2010@gmail.com

BRYAN R. COULTER CATHOLIC DIOCESE OF WICHITA 424 N. Broadway Wichita, KS 67202 bryan.coulter@CatholicDioces eOfWichita.org

JOSEPH R. ASTRAB, ATTORNEY CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD 1500 SW Arrowhead Rd Topeka, KS 66604 j.astrab@curb.kansas.gov

TODD E. LOVE, ATTORNEY CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD 1500 SW Arrowhead Rd Topeka, KS 66604 <u>t.love@curb.kansas.gov</u>

DAVID W. NICKEL, CONSUMER COUNSEL CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD 1500 SW Arrowhead Rd Topeka, KS 66604 <u>D.NICKEL@CURB.KANSAS.</u> <u>GOV</u>

SHONDA RABB CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD 1500 SW Arrowhead Rd Topeka, KS 66604 <u>s.rabb@curb.kansas.gov</u> DELLA SMITH CITIZENS' UTILITY RATEPAYER BOARD 1500 SW Arrowhead Rd Topeka, KS 66604 <u>d.smith@curb.kansas.gov</u>

JOSHUA HARDEN COLLINS & JONES, P.C. 1010 W. Foxwood Drive Raymore, MO 64083 jharden@collinsjones.com

KERRY MORGAN COLLINS & JONES, P.C. 1010 W. Foxwood Drive Raymore, MO 64083 <u>kmorgan@collinsjones.com</u>

DARCY FABRIZIUS CONSTELLATION NEWENERGY-GAS DIVISON, LLC 1001 Louisiana Street, Ste 2300 Houston, TX 77002 darcy.fabrizius@constellation. com

LYNDA FOHN CONSTELLATION NEWENERGY-GAS DIVISON, LLC 1001 Louisiana St., Ste 2300 HOUSTON, TX 77002 lynda.fohn@constellation.com

JEREMY L. GRABER FOULSTON SIEFKIN LLP 822 S Kansas Avenue, Ste 200 Topeka, KS 66612-1203 JGRABER@FOULSTON.COM

JACOB G HOLLY, ATTORNEY FOULSTON SIEFKIN LLP 822 S Kansas Avenue, Ste 200 Topeka, KS 66612-1203 jholly@foulston.com C. EDWARD WATSON, ATTORNEY FOULSTON SIEFKIN LLP 1551 N Waterfront Pkwy, Ste 100 Wichita, KS 67206-4466 cewatson@foulston.com

MONTGOMERY ESCUE, CONSULTANT FREEDOM PIPELINE, LLC PO Box 622377 Oviedo, FL 63762 montgomery@escue.com

KIRK HEGER FREEDOM PIPELINE, LLC 1901 University Drive Lawrence, KS 66044 <u>kirkheger@gmail.com</u>

AMY L. BAIRD JACKSON WALKER L.L.P. 1401 McKinney St., Ste 1900 Houston, TX 77010 <u>abaird@jw.com</u>

JESSE LOTAY JACKSON WALKER L.L.P. 1401 McKinney St., Ste 1900 Houston, TX 77010 <u>jlotay@jw.com</u>

MELANIE S. JACK, Assistant Attorney General KANSAS ATTORNEY GENERAL Consumer Protection Division 120 SW 10th Ave., 2nd Flr. Topeka, KS 66612 <u>Melanie.Jack@ag.ks.gov</u>

KIMBERLEY DAVENPORT MEGRAIL, Assistant Attorney General KANSAS ATTORNEY GENERAL Consumer Protection Division 120 SW 10th Ave., 2nd Flr. Topeka, KS 66612 Kim.Davenport@ag.ks.gov

DEREK SCHMIDT, Kansas Attorney General KANSAS ATTORNEY GENERAL Consumer Protection Division 120 SW 10th Ave., 4th Flr. Topeka, KS 66612 Derek.Schmidt@ag.ks.gov BRIAN G. FEDOTIN, GENERAL COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 1500 SW Arrowhead Rd Topeka, KS 66604 <u>b.fedotin@kcc.ks.gov</u>

CARLY MASENTHIN, LITIGATION COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 1500 SW Arrowhead Rd Topeka, KS 66604 <u>c.masenthin@kcc.ks.gov</u>

TERRI PEMBERTON, CHIEF LITIGATION COUNSEL KANSAS CORPORATION COMMISSION 1500 SW Arrowhead Rd Topeka, KS 66604 t.pemberton@KCC.KS.GOV

JANET BUCHANAN, DIRECTOR- REGULATORY AFFAIRS / OKE 13165 KANSAS GAS SERVICE, A DIVISION OF ONE GAS, INC. 7421 W 129th Street Overland Park, KS 66213-2713 janet.buchanan@onegas.com

GLENDA CAFER, ATTORNEY MORRIS LAING EVANS BROCK & KENNEDY 800 SW Jackson, Ste 1310 Topeka, KS 66612-1216 <u>GCAFER@MORRISLAING.C</u> OM

KYLER C. WINEINGER, ATTORNEY MORRIS LAING EVANS BROCK & KENNEDY 800 SW Jackson, Ste 1310 Topeka, KS 66612-1216 kwineinger@morrislaing.com

FRANK A. CARO, ATTORNEY POLSINELLI PC 900 W 48th Place, Ste 900 Kansas City, MO 64112 fcaro@polsinelli.com ANDREW O. SCHULTE, ATTORNEY POLSINELLI PC 900 W 48th Place, Ste 900 Kansas City, MO 64112 aschulte@polsinelli.com

RICHARD A. HOWELL, Attorney at Law RICHARD A. HOWELL 1401 McKinney Street, Ste 1900 Houston, TX 77010 Rahowell@jw.com

RICHARD L. HANSON RICHARD L. HANSON 16171 Road I Liberal, KS 67901 <u>rlhanson@wbsnet.org</u>

LEE M. SMITHYMAN, ATTORNEY SMITHYMAN & ZAKOURA, CHTD. 7421 West 129th Street Overland Park, KS 66213-2634 LEE@SMIZAK-LAW.COM

CONNOR A. THOMPSON SMITHYMAN & ZAKOURA, CHTD. 7421 West 129th Street Overland Park, KS 66213-2634 <u>connor@smizak-law.com</u>

JAMES P. ZAKOURA, ATTORNEY SMITHYMAN & ZAKOURA, CHTD. 7421 West 129th Street Overland Park, KS 66213-2634 jim@smizak-law.com

KELLY A. DALY SNELL & WILMER, LLP One Arizona Center 1900 W. Van Buren, Suite 1900 Phoenix, AZ 85004 <u>kdaly@swlaw.com</u> STACY WILLIAMS, General Counsel SYMMETRY ENERGY, LLC 1111 Louisiana St. Houston, TX 77002 <u>Stacy.williams@symmetryener</u> <u>gy.com</u>

JASON TRENARY TEMPLELIVE WICHITA LLC 5104 S. Pinnacle Hills Pkwy., Suite 1B Rogers, AR 72758 jtrenary@beatycap.com TIMOTHY E. MCKEE, ATTORNEY TRIPLETT, WOOLF & GARRETSON, LLC 2959 N Rock Rd, Ste 300 Wichita, KS 67226 TEMCKEE@TWGFIRM.COM

DON KRATTENMAKER, Vice President WOODRIVER ENERGY, LLC 633 17th St., Ste. 1410 Denver, CO 80202 don.krattenmaker@woodrivere nergy.com CHARLENE BALLARO WRIGHT WRIGHT LAW FIRM 717 Texas Street Suite 1200 Houston, TX 77002 cwright@wrightfirm.law

BEFORE THE STATE CORPORATION COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF KANSAS

)

In the Matter of the Application of Kansas) Gas Service, a Division of One Gas, Inc. **Regarding February 2021 Winter Weather**) Events, as Contemplated by Docket No. 21-) GIMX-303-MIS

Docket No. 21-KGSG-332-GIG

NONUNANIMOUS SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

This Nonunanimous Settlement Agreement ("Agreement" or "Settlement") is entered into, between, and among Kansas Gas Service, a Division of ONE Gas, Inc. ("KGS"), the Staff of the State Corporation Commission of the State of Kansas ("Staff"), the Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board ("CURB"), BlueMark Energy, LLC ("BlueMark"), WoodRiver Energy, LLC ("WoodRiver"), Constellation NewEnergy-Gas Division, LLC ("Constellation"), and Atmos Energy Corporation ("Atmos") (individually "Signatory" and collectively, "Signatories").¹² This Agreement is being submitted to the Commission for its approval pursuant to K.A.R. 82-1-230a.

I. BACKGROUND

1. In mid-February 2021, a major snow and ice storm, known as Winter Storm Uri, imposed extreme and unprecedented freezing weather conditions across the United States, Northern Mexico and parts of Canada. The extensive nature of the storm, coupled with the heavy snow and damaging ice, produced some of the coldest temperatures in decades. Winter Storm Uri prompted health and safety concerns, sudden and sustained natural gas supply disruptions, widespread infrastructure and operational failures and freeze-offs of facilities that were necessary for the delivery of natural gas.

¹² Freedom Pipeline, LLC ("Freedom") is not a Signatory but does not oppose this Agreement.

2. In response to Winter Storm Uri, on February 15, 2021, the Kansas Corporation Commission ("KCC" or "Commission") issued an Emergency Order in Docket No. 21-GIMX-303-MIS ("Emergency Order") which acknowledged the State of Disaster Emergency Proclamation issued by Governor Kelly, the higher than normal wholesale natural gas prices, and the potential for reliability issues related to the prolonged February 2021 cold weather. The Emergency Order required jurisdictional natural gas and electric utilities to ". . . do everything necessary to ensure natural gas and electricity service continue[d] to be provided to their customers in Kansas." The Commission also authorized jurisdictional natural gas and electric utilities to defer into a regulatory asset any extraordinary costs associated with ensuring that their customers or the customers of interconnected Kansas utilities that are non-jurisdictional to the Commission continued to receive utility service during the cold weather event.

3. On March 9, 2021, the Commission issued an Order Adopting Staff's Report and Recommendation to Open Company-Specific Investigations; Order on Petitions to Intervene of BlueMark Energy, LLC and CURB; Protective and Discovery Order, in Docket No. 21-GIMX-303-MIS ("21-303 Order"). In its 21-303 Order, the Commission adopted Staff's recommendations to open company specific dockets to document issues raised in the Commission's investigation into each major jurisdictional utility's performance during the February 2021 Winter Storm Uri and to record the company specific filings of the financial impact plans contemplated in the Commission's 21-303 Order.¹³

4. On May 28, 2021, KGS filed a Motion for Limited Waiver ("Motion for Waiver") in this docket seeking Commission authorization to deviate from Section 11.06 of the KGS General Terms and Conditions for Gas Service ("Tariff") provisions in order to allow KGS to remove the

¹³ Order on Petitions to Intervene of BlueMark Energy, LLC and CURB; Protective and Discovery Order, ¶7 (Docket No. 21-GIMX-303-MIS issued on March 9, 2021).

multipliers from the calculation of penalties incurred by marketers and Individually Balanced Transportation Customers for violations of the Operational Flow Orders ("OFO") and/or Period Curtailment Orders ("POC") issued by KGS during Winter Storm Uri.

5. In the absence of this waiver, Section 11.06 of the Tariff requires KGS to calculate penalties for OFO violations by multiplying 2.5 times ("the OFO multiplier") the daily midpoint stated on Gas Daily's Index for Southern Star Central Gas Pipelines (Oklahoma) by the unauthorized MMBtu level. During the more critical POC conditions, the Tariff requires the KGS to calculate the penalties for POC violations by multiplying 10 times ("the POC multiplier") the daily midpoint stated on Gas Daily's Index for Southern Star Central Gas Pipelines (Oklahoma) by the daily midpoint stated on Gas Daily's Index for Southern Star Central Gas Pipelines (Oklahoma) by the unauthorized MMBtu level. By eliminating both the OFO multiplier and the POC multiplier, a marketer or Individually Balanced Transportation Customer whose gas use during Winter Storm Uri exceeded its confirmed nomination would be charged the Gas Index price multiplied by the unauthorized natural gas volumes. Waiving the multipliers results in an aggregate amount owed by marketers and Individually Balanced Transportation Customers of \$117,244,479.

6. CURB, BlueMark, WoodRiver, Constellation, Atmos, Freedom, Bonavia Properties, LLC, Natural Gas Transportation Customer Coalition, the Kansas Attorney General's Office, TempleLive Wichita, Catholic Diocese of Wichita, and Symmetry Energy Solutions, LLC, filed for, and were granted, intervention in the docket (inclusive of KGS and Staff hereinafter "Parties").

7. Pursuant to the Commission's August 12, 2021's Order Adopting Proposed Interim Procedural Schedule and the Commission's September 2, 2021 Order Granting KGS' Motion for Proposed Interim Procedural Schedule on KGS' Motion for Waiver, the Parties to this proceeding met and conducted settlement discussions on August 18 and September 23 and 24.

8. On October 8, 2021, the Signatories executed and filed this Agreement with the

Commission. Because this Agreement is not agreed to by all Parties to this docket, this Agreement is considered a Nonunanimous settlement agreement under the Commission's regulations (K.A.R. 82-1-230a).

II. <u>SETTLEMENT PROVISIONS</u>

9. The Signatories agree that the Commission should issue an order approving all of the settlement provisions contained herein without modification.

10. For the month of February 2021, the OFO multiplier and the POC multiplier should be waived for all marketers and Individually Based Transportation Customers.

11. During the OFO and POC issued in February 2021, all daily unauthorized usage by marketers and Individually Based Transportation Customers shall be calculated to reflect the difference between the customer's Section 11.06 Tariff required authorized usage of natural gas (plus any applicable tolerance) and the natural gas volumes delivered by the customer into the KGS system. Any resulting shortfall in natural gas volumes will be multiplied by an agreed-to price to determine the specific marketer and Individually Balanced Transportation Customer financial obligation, inclusive of carrying charges and monthly cashouts, as discussed below and as reflected on the Confidential Exhibit A (hereinafter "Negotiated Gas Cost Penalties"). Under this Settlement, all marketers and Individually Balanced Transportation Customers will be obligated to pay their respective Negotiated Gas Cost Penalties, which in the aggregate amounts to \$105,347,666, and the delta between this number and the Motion for Waiver directed \$117,244,479 will be waived.

12. The agreed-to prices used to calculate the Negotiated Gas Cost Penalties represent the prices for natural gas that is more reflective of the actual cost of gas incurred by KGS. Specifically, when the volume of gas supplied by marketers and Individually Balanced Transportation Customers, as a whole, was not sufficient to meet the total transportation customers

usage during the OFO and POC periods, KGS used its weighted average cost of spot gas and/or Gas Daily Daily Callable purchases to calculate the penalties. This pricing reflects the fact that KGS was required to rely on the market and/or previously secured Gas Daily Daily Callable volumes to secure sufficient gas for the transportation customers. If the volume of gas supplied by marketers and Individually Balanced Transportation Customers, as a whole, exceeded the amount necessary to meet usage during the OFO and POC periods, the daily midpoint stated on Gas Daily's Index for Southern Star Central Gas Pipeline is used in calculating penalties. On such days, it is assumed that absent the excess gas being available, KGS would have had to purchase gas on the spot market. During Winter Storm Uri, it is highly likely that available gas on the spot market during these periods was priced consistent with the Gas Daily's Index for Southern Star Central Gas Pipeline.

13. All monthly cash out dollars to be paid by marketers or Individually Balanced Transportation Customers to KGS during February 2021 will be netted against the Negotiated Gas Cost Penalties that are owed by such marketers or Individually Balanced Transportation Customers. In other words, the marketer or Individually Balanced Transportation Customer will pay the greater of the Negotiated Gas Cost Penalties or the monthly cash out owed, but not both. If the marketer or individually balanced transportation customer has already remitted payment for its monthly cash out, the Negotiated Gas Cost Penalties will be reduced by the amount already paid.

14. All monthly cash out dollars to be paid by KGS to marketers or Individually Balanced Transportation Customers during February 2021 will be netted against the Negotiated Gas Cost Penalties that are owed by such marketers or Individually Balanced Transportation Customers. Further, in calculating the credit to marketers or Individually Balanced Transportation Customers that delivered more gas than utilized during the OFO and POC, the amount will be

reduced by the amount already credited against the Negotiated Gas Cost Penalties or otherwise paid by KGS.

15. The carrying charge approved in the Commission's Emergency Order will be applied to all amounts owed (Negotiated Gas Cost Penalties and cashouts) for the month of February 2021 until such time as the amount are paid in full.

16. Once KGS has collected from transportation customers \$82,951,798 in Negotiated Gas Cost Penalties, KGS will credit an amount equal to the dollars KGS receives in excess of \$82,951,798, on a *pro rata* basis to all marketers or Individually Balanced Transportation Customers that delivered natural gas during the February 2021 OFO and POC periods in excess of their Tariff required usage.¹⁴ The total amount that may be provided to marketers or Individually Balanced Transportation Customers is \$22,395,868 as shown on Confidential Exhibit A. This amount reflects the value of the gas that various marketers and Individually Balanced Transportation customers provided to the system that was used by other transportation customers who did not provide adequate supplies during Winter Storm Uri. The gas prices utilized to calculate the credit are described in paragraph 12.

17. In the event a subsequent federal or state court or governmental agency issues a final order of general applicability finding the existence of market manipulation in the establishment of the Gas Daily Index during February 2021 that requires financial relief in the form of profit disgorgement, refunds, penalties or other civil suit relief, KGS will credit, on a *pro rata* basis, to marketers and Individually Balanced Transportation Customers any proceeds that KGS receives as a direct result of such proceeding that relate to Negotiated Gas Cost Penalties

¹⁴ The \$82,951,798 represents the total Negotiated Gas Cost Penalties of \$105,347,666 less the value of the gas volumes (\$22,395,868) that the marketers and Individually Balanced Transportation Customers were long during Winter Storm Uri.

paid by such marketers and Individually Balanced Transportation Customers.

18. In the event a marketer fails to pay in full its obligations under this Settlement, that marketer will be precluded from providing service in KGS territory pursuant to the Aggregation Agreement for so long as the Commission determines appropriate.

19. Within 30-days of a final Commission Order approving this Settlement, KGS shall schedule a workshop for the purpose of exploring revised tariff provisions consistent with lessons learned during Winter Storm Uri.

III. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

A. <u>THE COMMISSION'S RIGHTS</u>

20. Nothing in this Agreement is intended to impinge or restrict, in any manner, the exercise by the Commission of any statutory right, including the right of access to information, and any statutory obligation, including the obligation to ensure that KGS acted consistent with the Emergency Order and that the resulting Agreement is equitable, in the public interest and the underlying charges are just and reasonable.

B. <u>PARTIES' RIGHTS</u>

21. All Parties, including Staff, shall have the right to present testimony in support of (or in opposition to) this Agreement. Such testimony shall be filed formally in the docket on or before October 22, 2021 and presented by witnesses at a hearing on this Agreement on November 17 and 18, 2021. Such testimony shall be filed on or before October 22, 2021 and Parties shall have the right to post-hearing briefs on or before November 30, 2021, as required by the procedural schedule filed in this docket.

D. <u>NEGOTIATED SETTLEMENT</u>

22. This Agreement represents a negotiated settlement that, upon approval by the Commission, fully resolves all issues for Signatories associated with the costs incurred by

marketers and Individually Balanced Transportation Customers during Winter Storm Uri based on the unique facts and circumstances leading up to this settlement. The Signatories represent that the terms and commitments of this Agreement constitute a fair and reasonable resolution of the issues addressed herein. However, in consideration of all elements of this negotiated settlement, it is understood that no provision of this Agreement constitutes precedent, can be used against any Signatory to the Agreement or should be deemed a settled practice in any future proceeding.

23. Except as required for the implementation of this Agreement and as specified herein, the Signatories shall not be prejudiced, bound by, or in any way affected by the terms of this Agreement (a) in any future proceeding; (b) in any proceeding currently pending under a separate docket; and/or (c) in this proceeding should the Commission decide not to approve this Agreement.

24. If the Commission accepts this Agreement in its entirety and incorporates the same into a final order without material modification, the Signatories shall be bound by the terms of the Agreement and the Commission's order incorporating such terms as to all issues addressed herein and, in accordance with the terms hereof, will not appeal the Commission's order on these issues.

25. This Settlement shall become effective on the date on which the Commission order approving the Settlement is issued and such issuance shall constitute an amount due for purposes of notice and the calculation of the payments due under this Agreement.

E. <u>INTERDEPENDENT PROVISIONS</u>

26. Each of the provisions of this Agreement are interdependent, having resulted from the give and take of negotiations among the Parties, and therefore represent a delicate compromise of numerous, complex, interrelated issues. The resolution of the issues in this Settlement cannot be altered in part without disturbing the balance of interests represented herein. In the event that the Commission does not approve and adopt the terms of this Agreement in total, without

modification, the Agreement shall be voidable, no Signatory hereto shall be bound, prejudiced, or in any way affected by any of the agreements or provisions hereof and this Agreement shall be considered privileged and not admissible in evidence or made a part of the record in any proceeding.

27. All discovery requests and responses exchanged to facilitate the Settlement negotiations, and all Settlement discussions, shall be treated as privileged and confidential and shall not be (i) utilized as evidence in any other case or proceeding, or (ii) deemed an admission by any Signatory of any principle contained herein.

28. The terms of this Agreement are contractual, not a mere recital, and this Settlement is the result of negotiations between the Parties, each of which has participated in the drafting of this Agreement through its respective attorneys. No Signatory shall be deemed the drafter of this Agreement, and this Agreement shall not be construed against any Signatory as the drafter.

29. Each of the Signatories to this Agreement represents and warrants to the other Signatories that it has the power and authority to enter into and perform its obligations in this Settlement.

F. <u>SUBMISSION OF DOCUMENTS TO THE COMMISSION OR STAFF</u>

30. To the extent this Agreement provides for information, documents, or other data to be furnished to the Commission or Staff, such information, documents or data shall be filed with the Commission and a copy served upon the Commission's Director of Utilities. Such information, documents, or data shall be appropriately marked and identified with the docket number of this proceeding.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Signatories have executed and approved this Stipulated

Settlement Agreement, effective as of the October 8, 2021, by subscribing their signatures below.

<u>s/ Terrí J. Pemberton</u>

Terri J. Pemberton Chief Litigation Counsel - KCC Office of Litigation Counsel (785) 271-3301 t.pemberton@kcc.ks.gov

Attorney for Kansas Corporation Commission

<u>s/ C. Edward Peterson</u>

C. Edward Peterson (#11129) Attorney at Law (816) 365-8724 <u>ed.peterson2010@gmail.com</u>

Attorney for Atmos Energy Corporation

<u>s/ Kerry Morgan</u>

Kerry Morgan (#27077) Joshua Harden* COLLINS & JONES, P.C. kmorgan@collinsjones.com jharden@collinsiones.com (806) 318-9966

Attorneys for Intervenor Constellation New Energy-Gas Division, LLC

<u>s/ Davíd W. Níckel</u> David W. Nickel, Consumer Counsel #11170 Todd E. Love, Attorney #13445 Joseph R. Astrab, Attorney #26414 (785) 271-3200 d.nickel@curb.kansas.gov t.love@curb.kansas.gov j.astrab@curb.kansas.gov

Attorneys for Citizens' Utility Ratepayer Board s/ Jeff Austin

Jeff Austin KS Sup Ct (# 13575) AUSTIN LAW PA jeff@austinlawpa.com Alex Goldberg* (918) 625-0047 alexantongoldberg@gmail.com

Attorneys for WoodRiver Energy LLC and BlueMark Energy, LLC

s/ Charlene B. Wright

Charlene B. Wright (#22028) WRIGHT & ASSOCIATES PLLC cwright@wrightfirm.law Kelly A. Daly* SNELL & WILMER (202) 725-0605 kdaly@swlaw.com

Attorneys for Kansas Gas Service, a Division of ONE Gas, Inc.

EXHIBIT A

This Exhibit contains "Confidential Information" which, if disclosed, would likely result in harm to a party's economic or competitive interests or which would result in harm to the public interest, generally, and which is not otherwise available from public sources. As such it is entitled to protective treatment consistent with the Commission's March 9, 2021 Order Adopting Staff's Report and Recommendation to Open Company-Specific Investigations; Order on Petitions to Intervene of BlueMark Energy, LLC and CURB; Protective and Discovery.

EXHIBIT A

Confidential Exhibit A - Negotiated Gas Cost Penalties - Redacted

																			1 c		Invoicing Data			
	Penalty Amount			Monthly Cash Out Marketer or		Carrying Charge on Monthly Cash Out for Marketer or							Of	A Offset for Long		Amount Owed to Marketer or Individually					Am	nount Owed		
	with No Multiplier			ndividually	Ir	dividually			С	arrying			Vo	lume System		Balanced			A	mount Owed By	B	y KGS Less		
	System Wide			Balanced		Balanced	Mo	onthly Cash		arge on				ide Weighed	Tra	nsportation				Marketer Less		Amounts		
keter or Individually Balanced	Weighed Ave GDD	Carrying Charg	e Tra	insportation		nsportation					Ne	gotiated Gas				stomer for	Ne	t Amount		Amounts		Previously	Am	ountto
ansportation Customer	Callable and Spot	on Penalty		Customer		Customer	KGS		Out KGS		0	Cost Penalty		and Spot		ng Volume	Owed to KGS		Previously Paid		Credited		Invoice	
	\$ 10,748,267							7.2.146.49.5			Ś	11,543,997	Ś		Ś		Ś	11,375,125	S	11,543,997			\$ 1	1,543,99
	\$ 2,235,099			116,686	S		S	57.054	Ś	1-1	ŝ	2,343,517	3.6	14,115			ŝ	2,343,517	S	2,283,885				2,283,88
	\$ 4,266	10 N			5		S	990.010	s	73,091	ŝ	(1,058,519)			Ś	-	s	(1,058,519)	S	4,582				1,054,7
	\$ 1,764						S	84,891	s	6,285	s	(89,281)		298,895	s	214,004	s	(303,285)	s	1,895			ŝ	(89,28
	\$ 183,503						s	166,466	S	100 C 100	s	18,589		12,109	353	2012/02/02/02 12:00:020	ŝ	18,589	S	197,088		172,688	J	24,40
	\$ 25,017,930	2017 - 11 January 1917 - 1917 -		2,582,110	S	78.107	1000	200,100		12,000	s	26,870,090		571,102		571,102	8	26,298,988	Ś	24,287,981		2012 (A. 1997) - A. 1997 (A. 1997)		4,287,98
	\$ 4,133			LJ00LJ110	<i>.</i>	, 0,20,	S	70.846	Ś	4,923	- 25	(71,330)		200,771		129,925		(201,256)	S	4,439		67,497		(63,05
	\$ 325,767			4.042	S	296	5	10.839	S	1.0.0100000000	ŝ	338,200		3,718		INCOLUMN COCOOL	ŝ	338,200	S	349,817			ŝ	337,30
	\$ 1,839,841		1000	ije iz	¢	200	S	720.361	s	53,315	100	1,202,375		100000		1,790,049	200	(587,673)	S	1,976,051			T	1,202,72
	\$	\$ -					Ŷ	120,002	Ŷ	55,515	Ś	-	ŝ		ŝ		Ś	(2,993,189)	S		ŝ		s	
	\$ 282	\$ 2	1				S	10.413	S	120	ŝ	(10,110)		346,056		335,643		(345,753)	Ś		ŝ		ŝ	30
	\$ 3,617						10.40		10		s	3,885		45,870		45,870		(41,985)	S	3,885	s	-	s	3,88
	\$ 464,068	100 DOMANTS					Ś	439.127	Ś	32,510	s	26,788			S	2,311,751		(2,284,964)	S	498,425		471,637	ŝ	26,78
	\$ 360,647	Second Annual Contract		207.433	Ś			1999 - Park Contra Contra		12.04.400.100.200	s	387,347			\$		ŝ	387,347	s	179,914			s	179,91
	\$ 97,562			1000 -000			Ś	297.641	Ś	6,023	s	(198,880)		1,738,685	Ś	1,441,043	ŝ	(1,639,923)	S	104,784		11,210	s	93,57
	\$ 19.495								+		s	20,938			ŝ	628,353		(607,415)	S	20,938		100	ŝ	20,93
	\$ 110,601						Ś	185,220	Ś	9,722	\$	(76,152)		12,771	8		ŝ	(76,152)	S	118,790		96,078	ŝ	22,71
	\$ 50,333,164			183,377	Ś	-	S	8,789	s	651	s	54,050,055			St			47,994,572	S	53,876,117			\$ 5	3,866,67
	\$ 12,305	10. N. N.			5		S	1,686,879	Ś	117,336	ŝ	(1,791,000)		and the second second second second		and second in second	ŝ	(6,142,338)	S		ŝ	and the second		1,665,43
		\$ 1						-,,			ŝ	265		67,915		67,915	22 C	(67,650)	ŝ	265			ŝ	26
	\$ 177,300	- 1991					S	82,024	Ś	5,862	ŝ	102,540		1000 000 - POUL COURT	s	5.0000 • 017 V 0000 0	s	102,540	S	190,426		83,672	s	106,75
	\$ 512,998			89,588	S	1,365	1000	000000			s	550,977			S		s	550,543	S	461,390		1000 C	Ś	461,39
	\$ 10,473,285	1998	the set	164.897	- E2	12,208					s	11,248,657			s	235,388		11,013,268	S	11,248,657	1000	-	\$ 1	1,248,65
	\$ 20,495			100000 A 100000	(A)		\$	16,387	\$	180	\$	5,446		227,711	1000	211,324		(205,878)	\$	22,013		180		21,83
	\$ 255,694			20,092	\$	457	\$		\$		\$	174,529		318,474		224,320		(49,791)	\$	254,531		80,002		174,52
	\$ 2,193			antes confident			\$	11,664	\$	864	\$	(10,172)		16,496		4,832		(15,004)	\$	2,356		12,527		(10,17
	\$ 28,101	\$ 2,08	0					10.000 × 10.000			\$	30,182		117,338	\$	117,338		(87,157)	\$	30,182	\$	1000 00000000000	\$	30,18
	\$ 44,864	\$ 3,32	1				\$	725	\$	6	\$	47,455	\$	365		Service Concerners	\$	47,455	5	48,186		6	\$	48,18
	\$ 39,484	10					20.50	1010100			\$	42,407		206,714		206,714	0.0	(164,307)	\$	42,407			\$	42,407
	\$ -	\$ -					\$	339,866	\$	15,263	\$	(355,129)		630,844		290,978		(646,107)	\$		\$	179,726	\$	(179,726
	\$ 103,316,972	\$ 7,648,89	7 \$	3,368,224	Ś	92.433	S	5,273,355	Ś	344,848	Ś	105,347,666		26,219,962		22,395,868		82,951,798	S	107,766,516	Ś	4,799,668	\$ 10	

*Includes carrying charges through December 2021

4811-6229-6316.9