Exhibit No.:

Issues:

Alt Reg Plan - Income

Taxes

Witness:

Sponsoring Party:

Gregory L. Nelson Union Electric Company

Type of Exhibit: Case No.: Rebuttal Testimony EC-2002-1

Date Testimony Prepared:

May 10, 2002

## MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO. EC-2002-1

**REBUTTAL TESTIMONY** 

**OF** 

**GREGORY L. NELSON** 

ON

**BEHALF OF** 

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a AmerenUE

St. Louis, Missouri May, 2002

1		REBUTTAL TESTIMONY
2		OF
3		GREGORY L. NELSON
4		CASE NO. EC-2002-1
5	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
6	A.	My name is Gregory L. Nelson, and my business address is 1901
7	Chouteau Av	enue, St. Louis, Missouri, 63103.
8	Q.	By whom and in what capacity are you employed?
9	Α.	I am employed by Ameren Services Company as Vice President & Tax
10	Counsel.	
11	Q.	Please describe Ameren Services Company.
12	Α.	Ameren Services is a subsidiary of Ameren Corporation that provides
13	various admi	nistrative and technical support services for its parent and other subsidiaries,
14	including Union Electric Company doing business as AmerenUE ("UE") and Centra	
15	Illinois Public Service Company doing business as AmerenCIPS. Ameren Services wa	
16	formed as a result of the December 1997 merger transaction involving UE and CIPSCO	
17	Incorporated,	
18	Q.	Please describe your education.
19	A.	I graduated from Vanderbilt University in 1978 with a Bachelor of Arts
20	degree in Bus	siness Administration. I also received a Juris Doctor degree from Vanderbilt
21	University in	1981. I received a Master of Laws degree in Taxation from Georgetown
22	University in	1988.
23	Q.	Please describe your qualifications.

1	A. I have been employed exclusively in the field of taxation since my
2	graduation from law school. My tax experience includes government service (with the
3	Tax Division of the United States Department of Justice in Washington, D.C. from 1984-
4	1988), the private practice of law (with Reid & Priest in Washington, D.C. from 1988-
5	1995) and employment as the head of the UE and Ameren Services Tax Departments
6	(1995-present). Since 1988, my career has been exclusively focused on the taxation of
7	regulated utilities and their associated businesses.
8	I have served as Chair of the Edison Electric Institute's Taxation
9	Committee and presently serve as a Co-chair of that committee's Tax Analysis and
10	Research Subcommittee. I am also the President-elect of the St. Louis Chapter of Tax
11	Executives Institute.
12	I have testified before a subcommittee of the Ways and Means Committee
13	of the United States House of Representatives and various committees of the Missouri
14	Senate and House of Representatives on matters relating to the taxation of regulated
15	utilities. I also have testified before the Illinois Commerce Committee on taxation issues
16	during hearings on the merger transaction involving UE and CIPSCO Incorporated.
17	Q. Please describe your duties and responsibilities as Vice President &
18	Tax Counsel.
19	A. I am Ameren's chief tax officer, and my department is responsible for all
20	aspects of federal, state and local tax return compliance and planning for the Amerer
21	group of corporations, including UE.

1	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
2	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to explain the income tax calculation
3	employed in	UE's proposed new Alternative Regulation Plan ("Alt Reg Plan"). In
4	addition, as p	part of my testimony, I have prepared an Executive Summary attached
5	hereto as App	endix A.
6	Q.	In his Rebuttal Testimony, Mr. Warner Baxter, the Company's Chief
7	Financial Of	ficer, has proposed the adoption of the Alt Reg Plan. Are you familiar
8	with that pro	posal ?
9	A.	I am generally familiar with the proposed Alt Reg Plan. More
10	specifically, l	nowever, I am familiar with the portions of that plan that concern income
11	taxes.	
12	Q.	Before addressing the treatment of income taxes in the Alt Reg Plan,
13	please define	the term "Schedule M-1" item.
14	A.	On the federal income tax return of UE (or any corporation, for that
15	matter), Sche	dule M-1 consists of a reconciliation of book income and taxable income.
16	Schedule M-	1 items are items of income or expense that are treated differently in
17	computing bo	ok income and taxable income.
18	Q.	How are income taxes addressed in the Alt Reg Plan?
19	A.	Income taxes are addressed in the discussion of the determination of the
20	Performance	Dividend. The Performance Dividend in each Sharing Period is a function
21	of UE's Mis	ssouri electric return on equity ("ROE") in that Sharing Period. In
22	determining	the Company's ROE, the Alt Reg Plan lists various specific types of
23	expenses and	discusses how they are to be treated. One of these specific expenses is the

I	income tax e	expense, which is addressed in Section 4. F. III. (L): "The income tax
2	calculation sh	all begin with UE's method of computing income tax expense as recorded
3	in UE's book	s and records" with specified exceptions. Thus, the starting point for the
4	calculation is	the income tax expense recorded on UE's financial books and records in
5	accordance v	vith generally accepted accounting principles (UE's "book income tax
6	expense").	
7	Q.	Please identify the "exceptions" to the book income tax expense that
8	AmerenUE j	proposes.
9	A.	The exceptions are as follows:
10		(I) The schedule M-1 item for defeasance costs shall be disregarded in
11		computing current and deferred income taxes.
12		(II) For purposes of computing current and deferred income taxes,
13		AFUDC debt shall be treated as provided in the settlement concerning this
14		item for the Sharing Period ending June 30, 1999.
15		(III) The schedule M-1 item for FAS 106 shall be disregarded in
16		computing current and deferred income taxes.
17		(IV) The schedule M-1 item for bad debts shall be disregarded in
18		computing current and deferred income taxes.
19	Q.	What is the reason for these exceptions?
20	Α.	These exceptions relate to items that are treated differently for regulatory
21	accounting p	urposes and for book purposes. In order to construct a regulatory income tax
22	expense calc	culation, the Schedule M-1 items associated with such items must be
23	disregarded or modified to reflect the regulatory treatment.	

1	Q.	Are there any other differences between AmerenUE's book income
2	tax expense	calculation and the tax expense calculation employed in the proposed
3	Alt Reg Plan	•
4	A.	Yes, section 4. F. iii. (N) of the proposed Alt Reg Plan provides that the
5	interest deduction for the income tax calculation will be based on the embedded cost of	
6	long term debt as applied to the rate base. This is consistent with the standard practice of	
7	using interest utilized for ratemaking purposes in place of actual interest for purposes of	
8	computing the interest deduction for the regulatory tax expense calculation.	
9	Q.	What is the reason for this difference?
10	A.	Interest is treated differently for regulatory accounting purposes than for
11	book purpose	es. Therefore the interest deduction in the regulatory tax expense calculation
12	must be mod	ified to reflect the interest used for regulatory purposes.
13	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
14	A.	Yes, it does.

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

The Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission, Complainant, vs. Union Electric Company, d/b/a AmerenUE, Respondent.	) ) ) ) Case No. EC-2002-1 ) )						
AFFIDAVIT OF GREGORY L. NELSON							
STATE OF MISSOURI ) ) ss CITY OF ST. LOUIS )							
Gregory L. Nelson, being first duly	y sworn on his oath, states:						
1. My name is Gregory L. Nel	Ison. I work in St. Louis, Missouri, and I am employed						
by Ameren Corporation as Vice President	and Tax Counsel.						
2. Attached hereto and made a	a part hereof for all purposes is my Rebuttal Testimony						
on behalf of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE consisting of pages and Appendix							
A which has been prepared in written form for introduction into evidence in the above-							
referenced docket.							
3. I hereby swear and affirm the	hat my answers contained in the attached testimony to						
the questions therein propounded are true	and correct.						
Subscribed and sworn to before me this	Gregory L. Nelson  A day of May, 2002.						
My commission expires:	DEBBY ANZALONE Notary Public - Notary Seal STATE OF MISSOURI St. Louis County My Commission Expires: April 18, 2006						

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

## Gregory L. Nelson

Vice President & Tax Counsel of Ameren Services, responsible for all aspects of Ameren's federal and state tax compliance and planning functions

AmerenUE's proposed Alternative Regulation Plan specifically addresses the treatment of income taxes. Under the proposed plan, AmerenUE's income tax expense is taken into account in determining the return on equity, upon which the Performance Dividends are based. For this purpose, income tax expense is the income tax expense as recorded on AmerenUE's books and records, with the following exceptions:

- The Schedule M-1 item (i.e., book/tax difference) for defeasance costs shall be disregarded in computing current and deferred income taxes.
- For purposes of computing current and deferred income taxes, AFUDC debt shall be treated as provided in the settlement concerning this item for the Sharing Period ending June 30, 1999.
- The Schedule M-1 item for FAS 106 shall be disregarded in computing current and deferred income taxes.
- The Schedule M-1 item for bad debts shall be disregarded in computing current and deferred income taxes.

In addition, the interest deduction for the income tax calculation will be based on the embedded cost of long-term debt as applied to the rate base.

These changes to the income tax expense as recorded on AmerenUE's books and records are required to properly reflect items that are treated differently for regulatory purposes and for book purposes. Therefore, the regulatory income tax expense calculation reflects the regulatory treatment of those items.