# OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of Pelzer	)	
Communications Corporation for a Certificate	)	
of Service Authority to Provide Resold Basic	)	Case No. CA-2007-0109
Local Telecommunications Services in Portions	)	
of the State of Missouri and to Classify Such	)	
Services and the Company as Competitive	)	

# ORDER GRANTING CERTIFICATE TO PROVIDE BASIC LOCAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES

Issue Date: October 25, 2006 Effective Date: November 4, 2006

This order grants a certificate of service authority to provide basic local telecommunications services in the state of Missouri, classifies those services and the company as competitive, and waives certain statutes and regulations.

On September 21, 2006,<sup>1</sup> Pelzer Communications Corporation ("Pelzer") applied for a certificate of service authority to provide basic local exchange telecommunications services within the state of Missouri, and for competitive classification. The company seeks certification to provide basic local service in portions of Missouri that are currently being served by Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. d/b/a AT&T Missouri, Inc., CenturyTel of Missouri, LLC, and Embarq Missouri, Inc.<sup>2</sup> Pelzer is a Maryland corporation and is authorized to do business in Missouri by the Missouri Secretary of State.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All dates throughout this order refer to the year 2006 unless otherwise noted.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Pelzer's application referred to the corporate predecessors of these companies.

On September 26, the Commission issued its Notice of Applications, establishing a 15-day deadline for intervention. No applications to intervene were received. On October 20, the Staff of the Commission recommended that the requested certificate, classification, and waivers be granted.

The Commission may grant an application for a certificate of service authority to provide telecommunications service upon a showing that the applicant has met the statutory requirements, including relevant service standards,<sup>3</sup> and that the grant of authority is in the public interest.<sup>4</sup> Based on the verified application, including Pelzer's stated commitment to comply with all applicable rules, as well as Staff's uncontested recommendation, the Commission finds that Pelzer satisfies the requirements for certification and that granting such certificate is in the public interest.

Pelzer also requests that it and its services be classified as competitive and that the application of certain statutes and regulatory rules be waived. The Commission may classify a telecommunications service as competitive if the Commission determines that the applicant is subject to a sufficient degree of competition to justify a lesser degree of regulation.<sup>5</sup> The Commission may only classify a telecommunications carrier as competitive if all of its services are so classified.<sup>6</sup> The Commission may waive the

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See Sections 392.450, 392.451 and 392.455, RSMo 2000. All statutory references, unless otherwise specified, are to the Revised Statutes of Missouri (RSMo), revision of 2000.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Sections 392.430 and 392.440.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Section 392.361.2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Section 392.361.3.

application of certain statutes and of its rules to a competitive carrier if it determines that such waiver is consistent with the purposes of Chapter 392.<sup>7</sup>

The Commission finds that Pelzer will compete with incumbent local exchange carriers, as well as other competitive local exchange carriers, in the exchanges in which it provides basic local telecommunications service. The Commission finds that Pelzer will be subject to a sufficient level of competition to justify a lesser degree of regulation. Furthermore, all of the services Pelzer will offer are qualified for classification as competitive services.

The Commission may waive certain statutes and administrative rules for competitively classified carriers "if such waiver or modification is otherwise consistent with the other provisions of Section 392.361 to 392.520 and the purposes of this chapter." The Commission has developed a standard list of statutes and regulations that it waives for competitive local exchange carriers. The Commission finds that the waiver of those statutes and regulation is consistent with the purposes of Chapter 392, and will waive those provisions for Pelzer.

The Commission may also require a telecommunications company to comply with any conditions reasonably necessary to protect the public interest. Staff recommends that Pelzer's application be granted subject to certain restrictions regarding switched access rates. These conditions are routinely recommended by Staff and accepted by the applicants. The conditions proposed by Staff are necessary to protect the public interest and will be adopted.

3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See Sections 392.185, 392.361.3 and 392.420.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Sections 392.361.5 and 392.420.

The Commission places Pelzer on notice that failure to comply with certain obligations pursuant to law may result in penalties assessed against the company. These obligations include, but are not limited to, the following:

- A) The obligation to file an annual report, as established by Section 392.210, RSMo 2000. Failure to comply with this obligation will make the utility liable for a penalty of \$100 per day for each day that the violation continues. Rule 4 CSR 240-3.540 requires telecommunications utilities to file their annual report on or before April 15 of each year.
- B) The obligation to pay an annual assessment fee established by the Commission, as required by Section 386.370, RSMo 2000.
- C) The obligation to comply with all relevant laws and regulations, as well as orders issued by the Commission. If the company fails to comply it is subject to penalties for noncompliance ranging from \$100 to \$2,000 per day of noncompliance per offense, under Section 386.570, RSMo 2000.
- D) The obligation to keep the Commission informed of its current address and telephone number.

The company is reminded that its officers may not represent it before the Commission. The company must be represented by an attorney licensed to practice law in Missouri.

In addition, Section 392.410.5, RSMo Cum. Supp. 2005, provides that the company's certificate of service authority becomes null and void one year from the date of this order unless the company has exercised its authority under that certificate.

The Commission notes that before providing telecommunications services in Missouri, a party shall possess the following: (1) an interconnection agreement approved by the Commission; (2) except for wireless providers, a certificate of service authority from the Commission to provide interexchange or basic local telecommunications services; and (3) except for wireless providers, a tariff approved by the Commission.

Pelzer did not file a proposed tariff as part of its application. The Commission's regulations do not require that such a tariff be filed along with an application for a certificate, but Pelzer is reminded that it cannot provide service in Missouri until its tariff is approved by this Commission.

#### IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- 1. Pelzer Communications Corporation is granted a certificate of service authority to provide basic local telecommunications services in the exchanges of Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. d/b/a AT&T Missouri, Inc., CenturyTel of Missouri, LLC, and Embarq Missouri, Inc., subject to the conditions and recommendations contained in the Staff's Memorandum.
- Pelzer Communications Corporation and the services it offers are classified as competitive.
- 3. Pelzer Communications Corporation's originating and terminating access rates will be no greater than the lowest Commission-approved corresponding access rates in effect for each incumbent local exchange carrier within whose service area Pelzer Communications Corporation seeks authority to provide service, unless authorized by the Commission pursuant to Section 392.220 and 392.230, RSMo.

- 4. The certificates and competitive service classification for switched exchange access are granted conditioned on the continued applicability of Section 392.200, RSMo, and the requirement that any increase in switched access service rates above the maximum switched access service rates set forth herein shall be made pursuant to Section 392.200 and 392.230, RSMo, and not Section 392.500 and 392.510, RSMo.
- 5. If the directly competing incumbent local exchange carrier, in whose service area Pelzer Communications Corporation is operating, decreases its originating or terminating access service rates, Pelzer Communications Corporation shall file an appropriate tariff amendment to reduce its originating or terminating access rates in the directly competing incumbent local exchange carrier's service area within 30 days of the directly competing incumbent local exchange carrier's reduction of its originating or terminating access rates in order to maintain the cap.
  - 6. Application of the following statutes and Commission rules are waived:

## **Statutes**

392.210.2 - uniform system of accounts

392.240.1 - rates-rentals-service & physical connections

392.270 - valuation of property (ratemaking)

392.280 - depreciation accounts

392.290 - issuance of securities

392.300.2 - acquisition of stock

392.310 - stock and debt issuance

392.320 - stock dividend payment

392.330 - issuance of securities, debts and notes

392.340 - reorganization(s)

### **Commission Rules**

4 CSR 240-3.550(5)(C) - exchange boundary map

4 CSR 240-10.020 - depreciation fund income

4 CSR 240-30.040 - uniform system of accounts

7. The certification granted herein is conditioned upon the company's

compliance with the regulatory obligations set out in this order.

8. Pelzer Communications Corporation is advised that the grant of authority contained in this order may not, by itself, be sufficient to permit it to lawfully provide telecommunications services in Missouri. Specifically, Pelzer Communications Corporation cannot lawfully provide telecommunications services until it has an tariff in effect for such services. When Pelzer Communications Corporation submits such a tariff, it shall do so by

filing a non-case tariff submission. The tariff submission shall not be filed in this case.

9. This order and Pelzer Communications Corporation's certificate shall

become effective on November 4, 2006.

10. This case shall be closed on November 5, 2006.

BY THE COMMISSION

Colleen M. Dale Secretary

(SEAL)

Harold Stearley, Regulatory Law Judge, by delegation of authority pursuant to Section 386.240, RSMo 2000.

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri, on this 25th day of October, 2006.