

FIRST SET OF DATA REQUESTS FROM MEUA TO U.S. SILICA COMP ~

MEUA-

Does U.S. Silica believe that electric rates should be based on QCOs?

MEUA-1.2: Under what circumstances would U.S. Silica agree that a below cost rate is appropriate for a particular class or customer?

MEUA-1.3: Please identify the individual at U.S. Silica that is most knowledgeable to testify on U.S. Silica's position on class cost of service / rate design.

MEUA-1.4: Please identify all cases (jurisdiction and case number) in which U.S. Silica has advocated for a below cost rate for a particular class or customer.

MEUA-1.5: Please provide all documents, emails, or notes within U.S. Silica's control or possession which discuss the arrangement reached between MIEC and Noranda regarding Noranda's inclusion in MIEC.

MEUA-1.6: What is U.S. Silica's position regarding the appropriate rate for the AmerenUE LTS class?

MEUA-1.7: Please identify the individual at U.S. Silica that is most knowledgeable regarding any arrangements made between MIEC and Noranda regarding the inclusion of Noranda within MIEC.

MEUA-1.8: Please identify all jurisdictions in which U.S. Silica receives electric service. Please identify the service provider in each jurisdiction from which U.S. Silica takes service.

MEUA-1.9: Please identify the rate schedule under which U.S. Silica takes electric service from AmerenUE.

MEUA-1.10: Has U.S. Silica, as an individual entity or as part of a group, ever filed testimony or taken a position regarding the appropriate electric rate for an aluminum smelter? If yes, please identify every jurisdiction and case number in which it has taken such a position.

MEUA-1.11: Please provide all documents, email, or notes within U.S. Silica's control or possession which discuss the positions to be taken in this case by MIEC or Noranda.