1	DIRECT TESTIMONY
2	OF
3	JAMES M. RUSSO
4	GASCONY WATER COMPANY, INC.
5	CASE NO. WR-2017-0343
6	Q. Please state your name and business address.
7	A. James M. Russo, 2215 Minnow Branch Road, Stover, Missouri 65078.
8	Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
9	A. I am self-employed as a consultant and retained by Gascony Water
10	Company, Inc. ("Gascony" or "Company") to assist the Company with expert witness
11	matters.
12	BACKGROUND OF WITNESS
13	Q. Please describe your educational background and other
14	qualifications.
15	A. I graduated from California State University-Fresno where I received a BS
16	in Accounting. Local elected officials in county government employed me in various
17	capacities: I was the Assistant Treasurer-Tax Collector for San Joaquin and El Dorado
18	Counties in California. My responsibilities included all financial dealings of the county
19	governments and all accounting activities of the Treasurer-Tax Collector office. In
20	addition, I was the Supervising Accountant Auditor in El Dorado County for two years.
21	My division was responsible for internal audits of all county agencies, special districts,
22	and external franchise/lease agreements. I also was a member of the Missouri Public
23	Service Commission Staff ("Staff") for several years.
	1

1

2

Q. What were the natures of your duties when employed as a member of Staff?

3 A. From April 1997 to December 2001, I worked in its Accounting 4 Department where my duties consisted of directing and assisting with various audits as 5 well as examinations of the books and records of public utilities operating within the 6 State of Missouri under the jurisdiction of the Public Service Commission 7 ("Commission"). From December 2001 to August 2003, I was a Regulatory Auditor IV 8 in the Energy Tariffs/Rate Design Department where my duties consisted of reviewing 9 purchased gas adjustment filings, tariffs, assisting on formal gas rate cases, and making 10 recommendations to the Commission based upon the results of these reviews. On 11 August 16 of 2003. I became the Rate and Tariff Examination Supervisor in the Water & 12 Sewer Unit where my duties consisted of reviewing tariffs, preparing and analyzing cost 13 of service and rate design, and performing accounting functions. I held this position until 14 my retirement from the Commission Staff on December 31, 2015.

15

Q. Have you previously filed testimony before this Commission?

A. Yes. A list of cases in which I have filed testimony before this
Commission is attached as Schedule 1 to my direct testimony.

18 **INTRODUCTION**

Q. With reference to Case No. WR-2017-0343, have you made an
examination and study of the material filed by Gascony Water Company, Inc.
("Gascony Water" or "Company") relating to its proposed increase in water rates?
A. Yes, I have.

\$

1	Q.	With reference to case number WR-2017-0343; have you made an
2	examination	and study of the Partial Disposition Agreement and Request for
3	Evidentiary H	<i>learing</i> filing by Staff on November 17, 2017?
4	А.	Yes, I have.
5	Q.	What is the purpose of your direct testimony?
6	А.	The purpose of my direct testimony is to provide Gascony's position
, 7	relating to: sa	lary expense, rent expense, rate case expense, plant, revenue requirement,
8	water operation	ons rate design and customer applications.
9	SALARY EX	<u>PENSE</u>
10	Q.	What is appropriate to include in the compensation of the Company
11	president?	
12	А.	It is appropriate for the Company president to be compensated for his time
13	spent in mana	ging and operating the Company.
14	Q.	Did the president maintain time records of his work related to
15	managing an	d operating the Company?
16	А.	The president has maintained time records for his work related to
17	operating the	Company since January of 2015. He has started maintaining time records
18	that include hi	s time spent managing the Company as of November, 2017.
19	Q.	How did you calculate the time spent by the Company's president to
20	determine his	s salary as it relates to the operations of the Company?
21	А.	I used a two-year average of the time recorded on his timesheets to
22	determine the	average amount of time spent on operations by the president. I determined
23	that the two-y	ear average is 493.25 hours.

1 Q. How did you determine the appropriate rate of compensation for the president as it relates to the operations of the Company? 2 3 A. I reviewed 2016 data from the Missouri Economic Research and 4 Information Center ("MERIC") for the central region of the state of Missouri. I used the 5 standard occupational classification ("SOC") code of 51-8031 titled Water and 6 Wastewater Treatment Plant and System Operator. I used the experienced hourly 7 compensation rate of \$20.49 based on Mr. Hoesch's 30 plus years' experience in the 8 water industry. 9 Q. What is the appropriate level of annual salary expense for the 10 operational activities for the president of the Company? 11 A. \$10,107. 12 Q. How did you determine the portion of the president's salary as it relates to the management of the Company? 13 14 A. I met with the president and discussed his management activities related to 15 Gascony Water. Based on this discussion I was able to categorize management activities 16 by type, frequency and hours. In addition, with the assistance of the president, I was able 17 to develop a minimum time, maximum time and average time to complete each management activity. I used the average time to determine the 467.2 hours of time spent 18 19 annually by the president managing the Company. A summary of the management 20 activities and estimated time required to perform these activities are listed in Schedule 2 21 attached to my Direct Testimony. 22 Q. How did you determine the appropriate rate of compensation for the 23 president as it relates to the management of the Company?

1	А.	I reviewed MERIC data for the central region of the state of Missouri. I				
2	used the SOC code of 11-9199 titled Managers, All Others. I used the experienced					
3	hourly comp	pensation rate of \$38.05 to determine the appropriate rate of compensation for				
4	the president	t.				
5	Q.	What is the appropriate level of annual salary expense for the				
6	managémen	at activities for the president of the Company?				
7	А.	\$17,777.				
8	Q.	What is the appropriate total level of annual salary expense for the				
9	operational	and management activities for the president of the Company?				
10	А.	\$27,884				
11	RENT EXP	ENSE				
12	Q.	How many offices does the Company maintain?				
13	А.	The Company maintains two offices. One is located in Gascony Village				
14	and the othe	er is a small home office in the home of the Company president located in				
15	what is cons	idered south county in Saint Louis County, Missouri.				
16	Q.	Why is it necessary for the Company to maintain two offices?				
17	А.	Gascony Water Company is unique in its remote location and in its				
18	customer co	mposition. Gascony Village ("Village") consists of camping lots that are				
19	visited prim	arily on weekends from property owners that live outside of the area.				
20	Approximate	ely 85% of the Company's customers are comprised of these weekend				
21	visitors. Th	e president performs the majority of the operational activities on weekends				
22	which also n	nakes him available to meet with the customers of the Company during their				
23	visits. The	se weekend visits by the majority of the customers are during what is				

ł

1 considered non-normal business hours. The president then uses his small Saint Louis office to complete the management activities that take place during normal business 2 3 hours. In addition, the Company business records are kept at this location. It is not 4 practical from an economical or logistical stand point for the Company to maintain one 5 location. 6 What physical items are included in the Saint Louis office? **Q**. 7 The Saint Louis office consists of a desk, several chairs, filing cabinet and A. 8 minimal storage space for boxes of Company records. 9 How was the physical size of the Saint Louis office determined? Q. 10 The minimum amount of space required to accommodate the home office A. 11 furniture and equipment is 100 square feet. 12 Q. How was the rent calculated for the Saint Louis office? 13 Α. I used average office rental rate information for south county in the Saint Louis metro region compiled by Gerschman Commercial Real Estate for 2017¹. The 14 15 average annual rent per square foot for the south county region is \$21.59. 16 Q. What is the appropriate level of rent expense for the Saint Louis 17 office? The appropriate level of rent for the Saint Louis office is \$2,159. 18 A. 19 Please describe the Gascony Village office? Q. The Gascony Village office is consists of space in a manufactured housing 20 A. 21 unit owned by the president. Customers meet with Company personnel at table in the

¹ http://www.gershmancommercialcom/wp-content/uploads/2017/04/2017-St.-Louis-Annual-MarketReport.pdf

kitchen or on the back deck. In addition, a computer, printer, filing cabinet and copying
 machine are located in a small side room. A small closet with shelves is used to store
 office supplies.

4

14

17

Q. How was the rent calculated for the Gascony Village office

A. I was not able to obtain commercial real estate rental information for the Gascony Village area. As an alternative, I started with the \$1,500 that was originally approved as rent expense in the 1999 certificate case No. WA-97-510. I then reviewed the consumer price index ("CPI") from 1999 thru 2016. The CPI has increased a total of 47.3088% during this time period. I then adjusted the \$1,500 for the increase in CPI to determine what the rent expense would be in today's dollars. Schedule 3 attached to my Direct Testimony summarizes this calculation.

12 Q. What is the appropriate level of rent expense for the Gascony Village
13 office?

A. The appropriate level of rent expense is \$2,210 annually.

- Q. What is the total amount of the appropriate level of rent expense for
 the Company's two locations?

A. The total amount of rent expense is \$4,369.

18 **<u>RATE CASE EXPENSE</u>**

- 19Q.What is the appropriate level of rate case expense that should be20included in Case No WR-2017-0343?
- A. The appropriate level of rate case expense is the recovery of the prudently
 incurred costs of the Company to resolve this case.

What level of rate case expense did you include in the Company's cost 1 Q. of service ("COS")? 2 3 A. I included a total rate case expense of \$18,000, normalized over a six-year 4 period at \$3,000 per year. Do you believe the \$18,000 will be the final level of rate case expense 5 Q. 6 that will be included in Case No WR-2017-0343? 7 No. The final level of rate case expense will most likely be different Α. 8 depending on the level of litigation required to resolve the differences in this case. 9 Q. Is the Company willing to consider an alternate recovery period for 10 the collection of rate case expense? 11 A. Yes, the Company is willing to extend the recovery period from six years to as long as eight years in order to reduce the impact on the Company's customers. The 12 13 only condition the Company would place on this extension is the opportunity to recover 14 any uncollected rate case expense in a preceding rate. 15 **PLANT** 16 Q. What are the plant items that the Company believes should be 17 included in plant? There are four different items that the Company believes should be 18 A. 19 included in the plant accounts. They consist of the land ("lot 27") that includes the well, 20 storage tank and pump house, the land that includes the storage building, a trencher and a 21 utility task vehicle ("utv"). Why does the Company believe these items should be included in 22 Q. 23 plant?

A. The reasons why the Company believes these items should be included in
 plant are discussed in the Direct Testimony of Company witness George Hoesch.

3

Q. Did the Company have the land appraised?

A. The Company was unable to obtain an appraisal on the land. The
appraiser believed there were not enough comparable sales available to determine a fair
market value.

7

Q. How did the Company determine the value for lot 27?

A. The Company used sale data from the sale of two 40 feet by 80 feet lots by
Gasc-Osage Realty Company, Inc. in April of 2017. The sale price of \$8,000 from these
two lots was increased to account for the additional square feet in lot 27. A value of
\$10,000 was used for lot 27

12 Q. How did the Company determine the value for the land with the 13 storage building?

A. The Company used the same methodology for determining the value of this lot as what the Company used for lot 27. The difference was the Company reduced the sale price from the sale of the two lots to account for the smaller lot size of the land where the storage building resides. A value of \$7.500 was used for the land where the storage building is constructed.

19

Q. When did the Company place the trencher in service?

20

A. The Company placed the trencher in service in July of 2015.

21

Q.

How did the Company determine the value for the trencher?

1 A. I used the purchase price paid by the Company. The source document is a 2 promissory note signed by the water company. The value of the trencher is listed as 3 \$8,000 on this document. 4 Q. When did the Company place the UTV in service? 5 The Company placed the UTV in service in September of 2015. A. 6 How did the Company determine the value for the UTV? **Q**. 7 I used the purchase price paid by the Company. The source document is a A. 8 promissory note signed by the water company. The value of the UTV is listed as \$3,500 9 on this document. 10 **REVENUE REQUIREMENT** 11 Q. How did you determine the revenue requirement for the Company? 12 I used the dollars associated with the items that were agreed upon in A. 13 Attachment B of the Partial Disposition Agreement and Request for Evidentiary Hearing 14 filing by Staff on November 17, 2017. I increased this amount by the dollars associated 15 with the Company's position on the disputed items to determine the overall revenue 16 requirement. 17 Q. Based on the Company's positions what do you believe is the total revenue requirement for the Company? 18 19 The total revenue requirement for the Company is \$57,671. A. 20 **RATE DESIGN OVERVIEW** 21 Q. How many water customers does Gascony serve?

A. Gascony serves approximately 26 full-time water customers, 151 part-time
 water customers and 3 commercial water customers for a total of approximately 180
 water customers.

4

8

Q. Who are the Company's three commercial customers?

A. The three commercial customers consist of the swimming pool, kitchen,
and dump station which are owned by Gascony Village. The homeowners association for
Gascony Village is the entity that pays these water bills.

- Q. Did you perform a Class Cost of Service ("CCOS") Study?
- 9 A. No.

10 Q. What did you use to develop rates for sewer service as an alternative
11 to a CCOS?

A. I used a cost of service developed by the Company for the water
operations of the Company.

Q. Did you prepare rate design worksheets for the Company's water
operations?

A. Yes. I prepared rate design worksheets for the Company's operational
area. The following are attached to my Direct Testimony: Schedule 4 Ratemaking
Income Statement, Schedule 5 Revenue Annualization at Current Rates Worksheet,
Schedule 6 Development of Tariffed Rates Worksheet, Schedule 7 Revenue
Annualizations at Proposed Rates Worksheet, and Schedule 8 Billing Comparison
Worksheet.

22 **RATE DESIGN WATER OPERATIONS**

1	Q. What is the current water rate design for the Company's water
2	operations?
3	A. The current water rate design for Gascony is a flat quarterly charge for
4	each customer classification. In addition, each customer classifications rates are based on
5	a customer equivalent factor as follows: fulltime customers equal one customer
6	equivalent, part-time customers equal .35 of one fulltime customers and the commercial
7	customers have varied equivalent factors. The swimming pool equals 3.56 customer
8	equivalents, the kitchen equals 0.565 customer equivalents and the dump station equal
9	1.65 customer equivalents.
10	Q. Are you proposing any changes to the current quarterly billing?
11	A. No.
12	Q. Are you proposing any changes to the current customer equivalent
13	factors used in the water rate design for the Company?
14	A. Yes. I am proposing the current customer equivalent factors be changed as
15	follows: fulltime customers remain equal to one customer equivalent, part-time customers
16	be increased to .5 of one fulltime customers, the swimming pool house ("swimming
17	pool") be increased to 6 customer equivalents, the kitchen be increased to 2 customer
18	equivalents and the dump station remain the same at 1.65 customer equivalents. I have
19	summarized the current customer equivalent factors and the proposed customer
20	equivalent factors in the box below:

Customer Equivalent Factors used in Determining Rates:					
Customer Type Current Factor Proposed Factor					
	Full time 1.0 1.0				
	Part time		0.35	0.5	
		Swimming Pool	3.56	6.0	
		Dump Station	1.65	1.65	
		Kitchen	0.565	2.0	
	L	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Q.	Are you propos	sing any changes	to the Company'	's existing water
	customer classes?				
custo	mer c	lasses?			
custo	mer c		ing the existing wa	ter customer classifi	cations of fulltime
	A.				
	A. ential	No. I am proposi			
reside	A. ential	No. I am proposi customers. Part-time	e residential custo		cial customers b
reside contin	A. ential nued. Q.	No. I am proposi customers. Part-time	e residential custo	mers and commerc	cial customers b
reside contin	A. ential nued. Q.	No. I am proposi customers. Part-time Why are you pu factor of 1.0?	e residential custo	mers and commerc	cial customers be
reside contin equiv	A. ential nued. Q. v alent A.	No. I am proposi customers. Part-time Why are you pu factor of 1.0?	e residential custo	omers and commerc	cial customers be
reside contin equiv	A. ential nued. Q. v alent A.	No. I am proposi customers. Part-time Why are you pr factor of 1.0? Full-time custom e customers.	e residential custo roposing to maint ers are the baselin	omers and commerc	cial customers be
reside contin equiv for all	A. ential nued. Q. zalent A. l of the Q.	No. I am proposi customers. Part-time Why are you pr factor of 1.0? Full-time custom e customers.	e residential custo roposing to maint ers are the baselin	omers and commerce tain the existing fu e customer used in	cial customers be
reside contin equiv for all	A. ential nued. Q. zalent A. l of the Q.	No. I am proposi customers. Part-time Why are you pro- factor of 1.0? Full-time custom e customers. Why are you pro- n 0.35 to 0.5?	e residential custo roposing to maint ers are the baselin oposing to increas	omers and commerce tain the existing fu e customer used in	cial customers be all-time customer determining rates tomer equivalen
reside contin equiv for all factor	A. ential nued. Q. /alent A. l of th Q. r fron A.	No. I am proposi customers. Part-time Why are you pro- factor of 1.0? Full-time custom e customers. Why are you pro- n 0.35 to 0.5?	e residential custo roposing to maint ers are the baselin oposing to increas facilities at Gascon	omers and commerce tain the existing fu e customer used in e the part-time cus ny Village has chang	cial customers b all-time custome determining rate tomer equivalen ged over the year
reside contin equiv for all factor	A. ential nued. Q. zalent A. l of the Q. r fron A. e part	No. I am proposi customers. Part-time Why are you pro- factor of 1.0? Full-time custom e customers. Why are you pro- h 0.35 to 0.5? The usage of the	e residential custo roposing to maint ers are the baselin oposing to increas facilities at Gascor he Company has o	omers and commerce tain the existing fur e customer used in e the part-time cus ny Village has change observed that the part	cial customers be all-time custome: determining rate tomer equivalen ged over the year art-time customer

water consumption for the part-time customers. The Company believes it is appropriate
 to increase the customer equivalent factor in order to account for the additional impact
 the part-time customers have on the water operations of the Company.

4

5

Q. Does the Company believe increasing the part-time customer equivalent factor from 0.35 to 0.5 is sufficient?

÷

A. The Company believes increasing the part-time customer equivalent factor from 0.35 to 0.5 is sufficient at this time. The Company did consider increasing the parttime customer equivalent factor further, but wanted to limit any increase that would be incurred by the part-time customers because of the potential rate impact on part-time customers. However, the Company plans on revisiting the customer equivalent in any subsequent rate cases and making appropriate recommendation at that time.

Q. Why are you proposing to increase the swimming pool customer equivalent factor from 3.56 to 6?

The swimming pool consists of the swimming pool, swimming pool 14 Α. house, restrooms, and shower facilities for the guests of Gascony Village. The original 15 16 swimming pool house that existed when the Company was originally certificated has 17 been replaced with a new swimming pool house. The number of showers available for guests was doubled from four to eight. The number of toilets were increased from two to 18 19 six and urinals from one to two. The guests of Gascony Village include the Company's 20 full-time and part-time water customers and their guests, it also includes the owners and 21 guests of approximately 420 lots that are not customers of the water Company. These 22 additional individuals use the swimming pool facilities putting a greater share of water 23 consumption on the swimming pool customer. The Company has determined there is a

much greater usage of the swimming pool customer by many more individuals then was 1 2 anticipated when rates were originally developed in the certificate case.

3

4

Q. Why are you proposing to increase the kitchen customer equivalent factor from 0.565 to 2?

5 A. The original kitchen that existed when the Company was originally certificated has been replaced with a new kitchen. The new kitchen facilities include 6 7 restroom facilities that did not exist in the old kitchen facilities. In addition, the new 8 kitchen facility has seating for approximately 100 people where the old facility had 9 limited indoor seating. The new kitchen facility is more of a community center than the 10 traditional kitchen that existed during the certificate case. This has resulted in the usage 11 of the kitchen facilities being much greater then what was originally anticipated in the certificate case. The facilities are used by groups of upwards to 100 people on a regular 12 13 basis three to five times a week. The result is a much greater amount of water being 14 consumed by this facility.

15

Why are you proposing to maintain the dump station customer Q. 16 equivalent factor at 1.65?

- 17 The Company is continuing the current equivalent factor of 1.65 for the А. 18 dump station because the Company has not determined any significant changes in usage 19 of the dump station by the guests of Gascony Village.
- 20 Q. How does changing the current customer equivalent factors for the 21 commercial customers benefit the full-time and part-time customers of the Company? 22

1	A. The increasing of the customer equivalent factors for the commercial							
2	customers increases the total number of customer's being served by the Company. By							
3	spreading the cost of service for the Company over a greater number of customers lowers							
4	rates paid by	y the full-time and j	part-time customers.		,			
5	Q.	How does chan	iging the current cu	istomer equivalent	factors affect the			
6	actual custo	omer count?						
7	А.	Changing the	current customer e	quivalent factors cl	hanges the actual			
8	customer co	unt of 84.6225 to a	proposed equivalen	t customer count of	111.15.			
9	Q.	How did you c	alculate the propos	ed quarterly water	customer charge			
10	for Gascon	y Village?						
11	А.	I calculated the	customer quarterly	water customer char	ge for the Villages			
12	operational	area by dividing	the Company's rev	venue requirement	by the equivalent			
13	customer co	unt of 111.15. Thi	s dollar amount was	then multiplied aga	inst each customer			
14	to determine	e the annual water	charge. The annua	l water charge for e	each customer was			
15	then divided	l by four to determi	ne the quarterly wat	er charge				
16	Q.	What are the	results of your p	roposed rate desig	gn for the water			
17	operations	of Gascony Villag	e?					
18	А.	The results of	my proposed rate	design for the wa	ater operations of			
19	Gascony Vi	llage are in the box	below:					
	Quarterly Customer Charge Comparison Current Rates to Proposed Rates:							
		Customer Type	Current Rate	Proposed Rate				
		Full time	\$103.33	\$129.71				
		Part time	\$ 36.88	\$ 64.86	,			
		······································]			

	Testimo M. Russ				
James		wimming Pool	\$368.16	\$778.29	
	D'	ump Station	\$170.74	\$214.03	
	K	itchen	\$ 58.39	\$259.43	
CUST	OMER	APPLICATION	8		
	Q.	Have you review	wed the Report	of Customer Service and	Busine
Operat	ions Re	<i>view</i> prepared b	y Staffs Consum	er and Management Analy	ysis Ur
("CMA	AU") wl	nich is Attachmen	nt H to the <i>Partia</i>	l Disposition Agreement and	Reque
for Evi	dentiary	<i>Hearing</i> filing b	y Staff on Novem	ber 17, 2017?	
	A.	Yes.			
	Q.	Have you review	ed CMAU's recoi	nmendation related to new	
custom	er appl	ications which ap	opears as item nu	mber 2 under the heading ti	tled Tl
CMSU	Staff F	Recommends Tha	t Company Mana	gement: located on page 2 o	f the
Report	of Cust	omer Service and	Business Operati	ons Review which is included	l in the
Partial	Dispost	ition Agreement a	nd Request for Ev	<i>identiary Hearing</i> filing by S	Staff or
Novem	ber 17,	2017?		49 -	
	А,	Yes.			
	Q.	Do you agree wit	th this recommen	dation?	
	A.	No, I do not agree	with this recomm	endation completely.	
,	Q.	Please explain.			
		_	ees that all new cu	stomers need to complete an	
1		1 2 0		1	

April of 2017 and plans on having all new customers complete the application in the
 future. The point of disagreement with CMAU's recommendation is CMAU wants this
 recommendation to be completed within thirty (30) days of the effective date of the
 Commission order that resolves Case No. WR-2017-0343.

5 . 6

Q. Why does the Company disagree with the thirty-day completion requirement recommended by CMAU?

7 A. The Company disagrees with the thirty-day completion requirement 8 recommended by CMAU because it is doubtful whether or not the Company will have 9 any new customers in this time period. There have been 6 additional full-time customers 10 and 9 less part-time customers in the eighteen years since the Company was originally 11 certificated. The last new customers were two new part-time customers added in April, 12 2017. In addition, the existing property owners rarely sell their lots. The Company 13 cannot agree with this recommendation knowing it is extremely likely that no new 14 customers will be receiving service from the Company within this time period. The 15 Company cannot knowingly put itself in the position of agreeing to something that results 16 in the Company violating a Commission order.

17

Q. Do you believe there is a resolution to this situation?

18 A. Yes, I believe this situation is easily resolved by eliminating the thirty-day
19 requirement.

20

Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?

- 21
- Yes, it does.

A.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of a Rate Increase for Gascony Water Company Inc.

Case No. WR-2017-0343

AFFIDAVIT OF JAMES M. RUSSO

STATE OF MISSOURI

2.

COUNTY OF MORGAN)

James M. Russo, of lawful age and being first duly sworn, deposes and states:

85

1. My name is James M. Russo. I am a consultant hired by Gascony Water Company, Inc.

Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my direct testimony.

3. I hereby swear and affirm that my statements contained in the attached testimony are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

James M. Russo Consultant

Subscribed and sworn to me this 5th day of January 2018.

Notary Public

My Commission expires (2/b2/2621)



RATE CASE PROCEEDING PARTICIPATION

.

JAMES M. RUSSO

COMPANY	CASE NO.
Union Electric Company	GR-97-393
Gascony Water Company	WA-97-510
St. Joseph Light and Power Company	EC-98-573
St. Joseph Light and Power Company	HR-99-245
St. Joseph Light and Power Company	GR-99-246
St. Joseph Light and Power Company	ER-99-247
UtiliCorp United Inc./St. Joseph Light and Power Company	EM-2000-292
UtiliCorp United Inc./Empire District Electric Company	EM-2000-369
Osage Water Company	WR-2000-557
Osage Water Company	SR-2000-556
Missouri Gas Energy	GR-2001-292
Southern Missouri Gas Company, L.P.	GR-2001-0388
Environmental Utilities	WA-2002-65
Laclede Gas Company	GR-2002-356
Laclede Gas Company	GA-2002-429
Missouri Gas Energy	GT-2003-0033
Aquila Networks L & P	GT-2003-0038
Southern Missouri Gas Company, L.P.	GT-2003-0031
Atmos Energy Corporation	GT-2003-0037
Fidelity Natural Gas, Inc.	GT-2003-0036
Laclede Gas Company	GT-2003-0032
Union Electric Company	GT-2003-0034
Union Electric Company	GR-2003-0517
Missouri Gas Energy	GT-2004-0049
Aquila Inc.	GR-2004-0072
Missouri Gas Energy	GC-2004-0216
Missouri Gas Energy	GC-2004-0305
Algonquin Water Resources of Missouri, LLC	WR-2006-0425

Missouri-American Water Company Missouri-American Water Company Timber Creek Sewer Company Missouri-American Water Company Lake Region Water & Sewer Company Lake Region Water & Sewer Company Missouri-American Water Company Missouri-American Water Company Emerald Pointe Utility Company Lake Region Water & Sewer Company Emerald Pointe Utility Company Lake Region Water & Sewer Company Central Rivers Wastewater Utility, Inc. Hillcrest Utility Operating Company, Inc. WR-2007-0216 SR-2007-0217 SR-2008-0080 WR-2008-0311 SR-2008-0312 SR-2010-0110 WR-2010-0111 WR-2010-0311 WR-2010-0311 WR-2011-0337 SR-2013-0016 WR-2013-0461 SR-2014-0247 WR-2016-0064 SR-2016-0202

Mr Hoesch hours spent as General Manager. The general manager plans, directs and coordinates the daily operations of the company including but not limited to the use of personnel and contracters (professional services and utilty repair personnel), customer questions, formulating and implementing business decisions and policies,

Weekly	Frequency	Min Hours	Ann. Hrs.	Max Hours	Ann. Hrs.	Average
Interaction w/ customers	52	0.5	26	2	104	65
Review correspondence, billing statements	52	1	52	3	156	104
Interaction with vendors	52	0.25	13	1	52	32.5
Oversight repairs/maintenance	52	0.25	13	1	52	32.5
Miscellaneous general manager operations	52	0.2	10.4	0.75	39	24.7
Monthly						
Company books, vendors, billings	12	3	36	7	84 🕨	60
Interaction w/ Government Agencies	12	1	12	2	24	18
Manage employee (not including billing)	12	2.5	30	4	48	39
Quarterly						
Oversight Mailing Bills	4	4	16	8	32	24
Oversight Reviewing Payments	4	5	20	8	32	26
Annually						
PSC Annual Report	1	10	10	18	18	14
State & Federal Income Taxes	1	12	12	24	24	18
Primacy Fees	1	6	6	10	10	8
Sales tax submission	1	1	1	2	2	1.5
	Total Hours		257.4		677	467.2

Schedule of Consumer Price Index

Year	Base	Inflation	Base + cpi
1999	1.000	2.68%	1.027
2000	1.027	3.39%	1.062
2001	1.062	1.55%	1.078
2002	1.078	2.38%	1.104
2003	1.104	1.88%	1.124
2004	1.124	3.26%	1.161
2005	1.161	3.42%	1.201
2006	1.201	2.54%	1.231
2007	1.231	4.08%	1.282
2008	1.282	0.09%	1.283
2009	1.283	2.72%	1.318
2010	1.318	1.50%	1.337
2011	1.337	2.96%	1.377
2012	1.377	1.74%	1.401
2013	1.401	1.50%	1.422
2014	1.422	0.76%	1.433
2015	1.433	0.73%	1.443
2016	1.443	2.07%	1.473
2017	1.473		

Percentage of CPI increase since 1999 47.31%

Rate Making Income Statement-Water

	Operating Revenues at C	urrent Rates	
1	Tariffed Rate Revenues *	\$ [.]	35,411
2	Other Operating Revenues *	\$	-
3	Total Operating Revenues	\$	35,411
4	* See "Revenues - Current Rates" for Details		

	Cost of Service		
	Item	1	Amount
1	Casual Labor-Contracted	\$	909
2	Maintainance of Miscellaneous Water Source Plant	\$	212
3	Electric Expenses	\$	1,628
4	Uncollectable Accounts	• \$	217
5	Clerical Services	\$	1,656
6	Management Salaries	\$	27,884
7	Communication Expense	\$	1,181
8	Billing Materials	\$	534
9	IT Expense	\$	262
10	Outside Services Employed	\$	602
11	Maintainance of Miscellaneous Plant TDE	\$	244
12	Travel Expenses	\$	4,184
13	PSC Assessment	\$	271
14	Regulatory Expense	\$	200
	Rate Case Expense	\$	3,000
	Gascony Association Expense	\$	340
	Bank Fees	\$	178
18	Supplies and Expenses	\$	491
	Rents-AGE	\$ \$ \$	4,369
20	Fuel Expense	\$	506
	Maintainance of General Plant-AGE	\$	200
22	Sub-Total Operating Expenses	\$	49,068
23	Property Taxes	\$	70
24	MO Franchise Taxes	\$	-
25	Employer FICA Taxes		-
26	Federal Unemployment Taxes	\$ \$ \$	1,032
27	State Unemployment Taxes	\$	-
28	State & Federal Income Taxes	\$	-
29	Sub-Total Taxes	\$	1,102
30	Depreciation Expense	\$	3,358
31	Interest Expense	\$	-
32	Amortization of Utility Plant	\$	
33	Sub-Total Depreciation/Interest/Amortization	\$ \$ \$	3,358
34	Return on Rate Base	\$	4,143
35	Total Cost of Service	\$	57,671
20	Overall Revenue Increase Needed	\$	22,260
30	Overall INEVERIDE INCLEASE INCEDED	φ maximum	22,200

Revenue Annualizations at Current Rates-Water

Annualized Customer Counts and Customer Charge Revenues

Customer				Annual	
Туре	Total	Rate *		Revenue	
Residential-Full Time	26	\$ 103.33	\$	10,746	
Residential-Part Time	151	\$ 36.88	\$	22,276	
Pool House	1	\$ 368.16	\$	1,473	
Dump Station	1	\$ 170.74	\$	683	
Kitchen	1	\$ 58.39	\$	234	
Total	180	738	\$	35,411.00	
*monthly service charge					
	Other Op	erating Reve	enue	S	
Bulk Water Sales					\$ -
Sales to Other Public Auth	orities				\$ -
Late Charge Fees					\$ -
Turn-Off/Turn-On/Penalty	Charges				\$ -
Miscellaneous Revenues -	 Service Line 	S			\$ -
Rents from Water Property	y - Tower Rer	ntal			\$ -
Discounts Earned					\$ -
Total Other Revenues					\$ -
Total	Operating	Revenues			
Service Charges - Retail (¢	35 / 11	

Total Operating Revenues	\$ 35,411
Other Operating Revenues	\$ -
Service Charges - Retail Customers	\$ 35,411

Development of Tariffed Rates-Water

The Company proposes to keep the current quarterly rates. The Company proposes that the current customer equivalent factors be changed.

Revenues Generated by Current Tariffed Rates	\$	35,411
Proposed Revenue Increase	\$	22,260
Percentage Increase Needed	62	2.862%

		Cust	omer	Rates	
Customer	5	Current Service	S	oposed Service	
Туре	(Charge	C	Charge	
Residential-Full Time	\$	103.33	\$	129.71	
Residential-Part Time	\$	36.88	\$	64.86	
Pool House	\$	368.16	\$	778.29	
Dump Station	\$	170.74	\$	214.03	
Kitchen	\$	58.39	\$	259.43	

Meter equivalents:

	Number of Customers	Current Factor	Proposed Factor		stomer valents	Proposed Rates
Decidential Full Time		T actor	1 40101	Equi	26	
Residential-Full Time	26	1	1		20	\$ 129.71
Residential-Part Time	151	0.35	0.5		76	\$ 64.86
Pool House	1	3.56	6		6	\$ 778.29
Dump Station	1	1.65	1.65		2	\$ 214.03
Kitchen	1	0.565	2		2	\$ 259.43
			Total		111.15	
		Tota	I cost of service:	\$	57,671	
		Rate per cust	omer equivalent:	\$	129.71	

Revenue Annualizations at Proposed Rates-Water

na na managana n		Re	tail Cu	stomers	 	
Customer				Annual		
Туре	Total	Rate *	F	levenue		
Residential-Full Time	26	\$ 129.71	\$	13,490		
Residential-Part Time	151	\$ 64.86	\$	39,174		
Pool House	1	\$ 778.29	\$	3,113		
Dump Station	1	\$ 214.03	\$	856		
Kitchen	1	\$ 259.43	\$	1,038		
Total	180		\$	57,671		
monthly service charge						
Sales to Other Public Auth						
Late Charge Fees Turn-Off/Turn-On/Penalty Miscellaneous Revenues - Rents from Water Property Discounts Earned Total Other Revenues	Charges - Service Lines				\$ <u> </u>	
Turn-Off/Turn-On/Penalty Miscellaneous Revenues - Rents from Water Property Discounts Earned Total Other Revenues Total	Charges - Service Lines y - Tower Ren I I Operating		¢	E7 074	\$ <u>-</u>	
Turn-Off/Turn-On/Penalty Miscellaneous Revenues - Rents from Water Property Discounts Earned Total Other Revenues Total Service Charges - Retail C	Charges Service Lines y - Tower Ren I Operating Customers	tal	\$ ¢	57,671	\$ 	
Turn-Off/Turn-On/Penalty Miscellaneous Revenues - Rents from Water Property Discounts Earned Total Other Revenues Total Service Charges - Retail C Other Operating Revenues	Charges • Service Lines y - Tower Ren • I Operating Customers s	tal	\$ \$ \$	57,671 	\$ 	
Turn-Off/Turn-On/Penalty Miscellaneous Revenues - Rents from Water Property Discounts Earned Total Other Revenues Total Other Revenues Service Charges - Retail C Other Operating Revenues Total Revenues at Propo	Charges - Service Lines y - Tower Ren I Operating Customers s sed Rates	ial J Revenues	\$	· ·	\$ -	
Turn-Off/Turn-On/Penalty Miscellaneous Revenues - Rents from Water Property Discounts Earned Total Other Revenues Total Other Revenues Service Charges - Retail C Other Operating Revenues Total Revenues at Propo Revenue Ch	Charges - Service Lines y - Tower Ren I Operating Customers s sed Rates neck - Proposed F	tal	\$	· ·	\$ -	
Turn-Off/Turn-On/Penalty Miscellaneous Revenues - Rents from Water Property Discounts Earned Total Other Revenues Total Other Revenues Service Charges - Retail C Other Operating Revenues Total Revenues at Propo Revenue Ch Total Revenues at Proposed Rate	Charges - Service Lines y - Tower Ren I Operating Customers s sed Rates neck - Proposed F	ial J Revenues	\$ 	57,671	\$ 	
Turn-Off/Turn-On/Penalty Miscellaneous Revenues - Rents from Water Property Discounts Earned Total Other Revenues Total Other Revenues Service Charges - Retail C Other Operating Revenues Total Revenues at Propo	Charges Service Lines y - Tower Ren I Operating Customers s sed Rates neck - Proposed F as d Rates	ial J Revenues	\$ \$.es \$	57,671	\$ 	

Retail Customer Bill Comparison-Water

	Rates for Full Tim	e Customer
Current Base	Proposed Base	
Customer Charge	Customer Charge	
\$103.33	\$129.71	

current service charge is quarterly charge

QUARTERLY BILL COMPARISON

Residential Full Time		Residentia	al Part Time	Pool	House
Current Rates	4	Current Rates		Current Rates	
Customer Charge	\$ 103.33	Customer Charge	\$ 36.88	Customer Charge	\$ 368.16
Total Bill	\$ 103.33	Total Bill	\$ 36.88	Total Bill	\$ 368.16
Proposed Rates		Proposed Rates		Proposed Rates	
Customer Charge	\$ 129.71	Customer Charge	\$ 64.86	Customer Charge	\$ 778.29
Total Bill	\$ 129.71	Total Bill	\$ 64.86	Total Bill	\$ 778.29
INCREASES		INCREASES		INCREASES	
\$ Increase	\$26.38	\$ Increase	\$27.98	\$ Increase	\$410.13
% Increase	25.53%	% Increase	75.86%	% Increase	111.40%
Dump	Station	Kit	chen		
Current Rates		Current Rates			
Customer Charge	\$ 170.74	Customer Charge	\$ 58.39		
Total Bill	\$ 170.74	Total Bill	\$ 58.39		
Proposed Rates		Proposed Rates			
Customer Charge	\$ 214.03	Customer Charge	\$ 259,43	i .	
Total Bill	\$ 214.03	Total Bill	\$ 259.43		
	÷ 11.00		φ <u>μ</u> ουτισ		
INCREASES		INCREASES			
\$ Increase	\$43.29	\$ Increase	\$201.04		
% Increase	25.35%	% Increase	344.30%		