## **MATT BLUNT**

Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division RULE TRANSMITTAL \*Administrative Rules Stamp



MAR 1 9 2004

SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

. –	A "SEPARATE" rule transmittal sheet MUST be used Rule Number 4 CSR 240-3.530	for EAG	CH individual rule	making.	<del></del>		
Α.	Rule Number 4 CSR 240-3.530  Diskette File Name Proposed Amendment 3.530						
	Name of person to call with questions about this rule:						
	•	Phone	573-526-7779	FAX	573-751-9285		
		Phone	573-751-7499	FAX	Same as above		
	Email address bob.berlin@psc.mo.gov			_			
	Interagency mailing address Governor Office Building, 200 Madison St., 8th Floor, Jefferson City, MO						
	Statutory Authority 386.250		Current RSMo		2000		
	Date filed with the Joint Committee on Administrative			er Section	is 536.024 and		
	536.037, RSMo 2000, and Executive Order No. 97-97 (Jun	ie 27, 19 <sup>0</sup>	<u> </u>				
В.	<ul> <li>☐ This transmittal completed</li> <li>☐ Cover letter</li> <li>☐ Affidavit</li> <li>☐ Forms, number of pages</li> <li>☐ Printlet</li> </ul>	ithority blic cos ivate cos		rule	, if any		
C.	RULEMAKING ACTION TO BE TAKEN  Emergency rulemaking, (check one) rule amendment rescission termination  MUST include effective date  Proposed Rulemaking (check one) rule amendment rescission  Order of Rulemaking (check one) rule amendment rescission termination  MUST complete page 2 of this transmittal  Withdrawal (check one) rule amendment rescission emergency  Rule action notice  In addition  Rule under consideration						
D.	SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS: Please indicate any special instructions (e.g., publication date preference identify material to be incorporated by reference, or forms included herein).						
			JCAR Stamp				
in th	TE: ALL changes MUST be specified here in order for he <i>Missouri Register</i> and the <i>Code of State Regulations</i> . If additional sheet(s), if more space is needed.	those ch	nan				

-	·-			•
E.	ORDER OF	RULEMAKING: Rule Number		
	la.	Effective Date for the Order  Statutory 30 days  Specific date	·· <b>.</b>	
	1b.	Does the Order of Rulemaking co	ntain changes to the rule text?	
	1c.	If the answer is YES, please comp	olete section F. If the answer is NO, STO	<b>)P</b> here.

F. Please provide a complete list of the changes in the rule text for the order of rulemaking, indicating the specific section, subsection, paragraph, subparagraph, part, etc., where each change is found. It is especially important to identify the parts of the rule that are being deleted in this order of rulemaking. This is not a reprinting of your order, but an explanation of what sections, subsections, etc. have been changed since the original proposed rule was filed.

(Start text here. If text continues to a third page, insert a continuous section break and, in section 3, delete the footer text. DO NOT delete the header, however.)



STEVE GAW Chair CONNIE MURRAY ROBERT M. CLAYTON III

Commissioners

#### Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://www.psc.state.mo.us ROBERT J. QUINN, JR. Executive Director

WESS A. HENDERSON Director, Utility Operations

ROBERT SCHALLENBERG Director, Utility Services

DALE HARDY ROBERTS
Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

March 19, 2004

Hon. Matt Blunt Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, MO 65101

Dear Secretary Blunt,

Re: Proposed Amendment to Rule 4 CSR 240-3.530
Filing Requirements for Telecommunications Company Applications for Authority to Issue Stock, Bonds, Notes and Other Evidences of Indebtedness.

#### CERTIFICATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE RULE

I hereby certify that the attached is an accurate and complete copy of the proposed amendment lawfully submitted by the Missouri Public Service Commission for filing on this 19th day of March 2004.

The Missouri Public Service Commission has determined and hereby certifies that this proposed amendment will have an economic impact on small businesses. The Missouri Public Service Commission also certifies that it has conducted an analysis of whether or not there has been a taking of real property pursuant to section 536.017 RSMo 2000 and that this proposed amendment does not constitute a taking of real property under relevant state and federal law.

Statutory Authority: Section 386.250 RSMo 2000.

If there are any questions, please contact:

Bob Berlin, Assistant General Counsel P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573) 526-7779, FAX (573) 751-9285 bob.berlin@psc.mo.gov

BY THE COMMISSION

Dale Hardy Roberts
Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge



STEVE GAW Chair CONNIE MURRAY ROBERT M. CLAYTON III

Commissioners

#### Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://www.psc.state.mo.us ROBERT J. QUINN, JR. Executive Director

WESS A. HENDERSON Director, Utility Operations

ROBERT SCHALLENBERG Director, Utility Services

DALE HARDY ROBERTS
Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

March 19, 2004

Missouri Small Business Regulatory Fairness Board c/o Department of Economic Development 301 West High Street, Room 680 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Re: Proposed Amendment to Rule 4 CSR 240-3.530 Filing Requirements for Telecommunications Company Applications for Authority to Issue Stock, Bonds, Notes and Other Evidences of Indebtedness

#### **Small Business Impact**

No. TX-2003-0389

Dear Sir or Madam:

Executive Order 03-15, Section 2, requires, among other things, that state agencies determine whether a proposed rule or rules affect small businesses. If the Public Service Commission determines that its proposed rule or rules for amendment affect small businesses by causing a direct and significant economic burden, it must then prepare a small business impact statement for submission to the Secretary of State.

In consultation with the staff of the Public Service Commission, I have undertaken an analysis of whether the proposed amended rule removing current exemptions for competitive telecommunications companies regarding Commission filing requirements affect small businesses for applications for authority to issue stock, bonds, notes and other evidences of indebtedness. I have determined, based on all available information, that the proposed amended rule listed above will have an affect upon small businesses in a direct and significant manner, or that it directly relates to the formation, operation or expansion of a small business.

The proposed amended rule listed above is designed to inform the Public Service Commission of a certificated competitive telecommunications company's plans for issuing evidences of indebtedness as required by Chapter 392. The following statements contain the determinations as required by the Executive Order:

- 1. Small businesses bearing costs will be competitive telecommunications companies. Competitive telecommunications company is defined at Section 389.020(9) as "a telecommunications company which has been classified as such by the commission pursuant to section 392.361, RSMo."
- 2. Competitive telecommunications companies seeking Commission authority to issue stock, bonds, notes and other evidences of indebtedness will now have to provide certain items currently waived by Commission rule, as the amendment removes certain exemptions. By removing the exemptions, these companies may incur additional processing costs such as the need for additional attorney review of the additional filing requirements associated with each transaction submitted pursuant to the proposed rule change. Additionally, the Commission now will require copies of executed instruments defining the terms of the proposed securities.
- 3. The Commission's Staff estimates that a company subject to the amended rule may bear an indirect cost of \$1,300 for each company.
- 4. The Commission will receive the benefit of having additional information to review as a part of applications for authority to issue stock, bonds, notes, and other evidences of indebtedness for any impact on Missouri consumers, and will no longer need to request supplemental submissions.
- 5. The Commission has modified its proposed amended rule by eliminating the exemption to subsection (2)(C) for competitive telecommunications companies.
- 6. The Commission Staff sent proposed rule language to representative industry and attorney contacts to obtain fiscal impact feedback.
- 7. There are no comparable rules on the federal state or county level other than the Commission's related rules (and associated proposed amendments) at 4 CSR 240-3.520, 4 CSR 240-3.525, 4 CSR 240-3.530 and 4 CSR 240-3.535.

Please let me know if you have any questions based upon the foregoing.

Sincerely,

Robert S. Berlin

Assistant General Counsel

(573) 526-7779

(573) 751-9285 (Fax)

#### **AFFIDAVIT**

STATE OF MISSOURI ) COUNTY OF COLE )	
sworn on my oath state that it is my CSR 240-3.530 – Filing Requirem Authority to Issue Stock, Bonds.	The Department of Economic Development, first being duly yopinion that the cost of the <b>Proposed Amended Rule</b> — 4 nents for Telecommunications Company Applications for Notes and Other Evidences of Indebtedness, is less than to this agency, any other agency of state government or any
	Anne Walker DEPUTY DIRECTOR Department of Economic Development
I am commissioned as	fore me this May of Lebruary, 2004.  a notary public within the County of ate of Missouri, and my commission expires on the county of ate of Missouri, and my commission expires on the county of the

MERCUL TRATUTERRENCER NOVALUTUS TO A PAR OF MISSOURI VIII DE TOUT FOR TOUT OF

# FISCAL NOTE PRIVATE ENTITY COST

#### I. RULE NUMBER

Title: Missouri Department of Economic Development

Division: <u>Missouri Public Service Commission</u>
Chapter: <u>Filing and Reporting Requirements</u>

Type of Rulemaking: Revision

Rule Number and Name: 4 CSR 240-3.530 Filing Requirements for Telecommunications

Company Applications for Authority to Issue Stock, Bonds, Notes

and Other Evidences of Indebtedness

#### II. SUMMARY OF FISCAL IMPACT

Estimate of the number of entities by class which would likely be affected by the adoption of the proposed rule:	Classification* by types of the business entities which would likely be affected:	Estimate in the aggregate as to the cost of compliance with the rule by the affected entities:
0	Class A Local Telephone Companies	\$0
0	Class B Local Telephone Companies	\$0
0	Class C Local Telephone Companies	\$0 -
1	Class Interexchange Companies	\$1300
	All entities	\$1300

<sup>\*</sup> Class A Telephone Companies are incumbent local telephone companies with more than \$100,000,000 annual revenues system wide; Class B Telephone Companies are incumbent local telephone companies with \$100,000,000 annual revenues or less system wide; Class C Local Telephone Companies are all other companies certificated to provide basic local exchange telecommunications services, Class Interexchange Companies are long distance providers.

#### III. WORKSHEET

- 1. The proposed rule applies to all classes of telecommunications companies requesting authority from the Missouri Public Service Commission to Issue Stock, Bonds, Notes and Other Evidences of Indebtedness.
- 2. The estimated number of entities affected by the proposed rule is based on historic transactions whereby companies request such authority.
- 3. The aggregate impact of the cost to comply with the proposed rule change is based on an average \$1300 estimated increase in the costs to process the additional filing requirements associated with each transaction submitted pursuant to the proposed rule change.
- 4. The average estimated increase in costs was calculated based on information provided by industry representatives.

#### IV. ASSUMPTIONS

- 1. Fiscal year 2003 dollars were used to estimate costs. No adjustment for inflation is applied.
- 2. Estimates assume no sudden change in technology that would influence costs.
- 3. Affected entities are assumed to be in compliance with all other Missouri Public Service Commission rules and regulations.

# Title 4 – DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DECEIVED Division 240 – Public Service Commission

Chapter 3 – Filing and Reporting Requirements

#### MAR 1 9 2004

#### PROPOSED AMENDMENT

SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

4 CSR 240-3.530 Filing Requirements for Telecommunications Company Applications for Authority to Issue Stock, Bonds, Notes and Other Evidences of Indebtedness. The Public Service Commission is amending section (1) to remove current exemptions.

PURPOSE: This amendment removes current exemptions for competitive companies. This amendment also incorporates language in Section 2(G) that was inadvertently omitted in the March 2003 rule revisions.

- (1) Competitive telecommunications companies are exempt from subsections (2) [(C)-(G)] (D) through (G) of this rule.
- (2) In addition to the requirements of 4 CSR 240-2.060(1), applications for authority to issue stock, bonds, notes and other evidences of indebtedness shall contain the following:
  - (A) A brief description of the securities which applicant desires to issue;
- (B) A statement of the purpose for which the securities are to be issued and the use of the proceeds;
  - (C) Copies of executed instruments defining the terms of the proposed securities-
- 1. If these instruments have been previously filed with the commission, a reference to the case number in which the instruments were furnished;
- 2. If these instruments have not been executed at the time of filing, a statement of the general terms and conditions to be contained in the instruments which are proposed to be executed; and
- 3. If none of these instruments is either executed or to be executed, a statement of how the securities are to be sold;
- (D) A certified copy of resolutions of the directors of applicant authorizing the issuance of the securities;
- (E) A balance sheet and income statement with adjustments showing the effects of the issuance of the proposed securities upon--
  - 1. Bonded and other indebtedness; and
  - 2. Stock authorized and outstanding;
- (F) A statement of what portion of the issue is subject to the fee schedule in section 386.300, RSMo; and
- (G) A five (5)-year capitalization expenditure schedule as required by section 392.310 or 393,200, RSMo.

AUTHORITY: section 386.250, RSMo 2000.\* Original rule filed Aug. 16, 2002, effective April 30, 2003.

\*Original authority: 386.250, RSMo 1939, amended 1963, 1967, 1977, 1980, 1987, 1988, 1991, 1993, 1995, 1996.

PUBLIC COST: This proposed amendment will not cost state agencies or political subdivisions more than five hundred dollars (\$500) in the aggregate.

PRIVATE COST: This proposed rule is estimated to cost private entities approximately thirteen hundred dollars (\$1300) annually for the life of the rule. It is anticipated that the total costs will recur annually for the life of the rule and may vary with inflation. A detailed fiscal note, which estimates the cost of compliance with this rule, has been filed with the secretary of state.

NOTICE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS AND NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING: Anyone may file comments in support of or in opposition to this proposed rule with the Missouri Public Service Commission, Dale Hardy Roberts, Secretary of the Commission, PO Box 360, Jefferson City, MO 65102. To be considered, comments must be received at the Commission's offices within (30) days after the publication of this notice in the Missouri Register, and should include a reference to Commission Case No. TX-2003-0389. If comments are submitted via a paper filing, an original and eight (8) copies of the comments are required. Comments may also be submitted via a filing using the Commission's electronic filing and information system at <a href="http://www.psc.mo.gov/efis.asp">http://www.psc.mo.gov/efis.asp</a>. A public hearing regarding this proposed rule is scheduled for June 4, 2004, at 10:00 a.m. in Room 310 of the Governor Office Building, 200 Madison Street, Jefferson City, Missouri. Interested persons may appear at this hearing to submit additional comments and/or testimony in support of or in opposition to this proposed rule, and may be asked to respond to commission questions. Any persons with special needs as addressed by the Americans with Disabilities Act should contact the Missouri Public Service Commission at least ten (10) days prior to the hearing at one (1) of the following numbers: Consumer Services Hotline 1-800-392-4211 or Relay Missouri at 7-1-1.

#### MEMORANDUM

TO: Dale Hardy Roberts, Secretary

**DATE:** March 18, 2004

RE: Authorization to File the Proposed Rule Amendments 4 CSR

240-3.530 and 3.535 and Proposed Rules 4 CSR 240-3.560 and

3.565 With the Office of Secretary of State

**CASE NO:** TX-2003-0389

The undersigned Commissioners hereby authorize the Secretary of the Missouri Public Service Commission to file the following Proposed Rule Amendments and Proposed Rules with the Office of Secretary of State, to wit:

4 CSR 240-3.530 Filing Requirements for Telecommunications Company Applications for Authority to Issue Stock, Bonds, Notes and Other Evidences of Indebtedness.

4 CSR 240-3.535 Filing Requirements for Telecommunications Company Applications for Authority to Acquire the Stock of a Public Utility.

4 CSR 240-3.560 Telecommunications Procedure for Ceasing Operations.

4 CSR 240-3.565 Procedure for Telecommunications Companies that

File Bankruptcy.

Steve Gaw,\ Chair

Connie Murray, Commissioner

Robert M. Clayton III Commissioner



Commissioners

· . STEVE GAW Chair

CONNIE MURRAY

KELVIN L. SIMMONS

BRYAN FORBIS

ROBERT M. CLAYTON III

### Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://www.psc.mo.gov

November 26, 2003

ROBERT J. QUÍNN, JR. Executive Director

WESS A. HENDERSON Director, Utility Operations

ROBERT SCHALLENBERG Director, Utility Services

DONNA M. PRENGER
Director, Administration

DALE HARDY ROBERTS Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge

> DANA K. JOYCE General Counsel

Mr. Dale Hardy Roberts Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission P. O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102

Re:

Proposed Amendments to Rules 4 CSR 240-3.530 and 4 CSR 240-3.535

Case No. TX-2003-0389

Dear Mr. Roberts:

Executive Order 93-13 requires state agencies to undertake a "takings analysis" of each proposed rule or regulation in light of the United States Supreme court decision in *Lucas v. South Carolina Coastal Council*, 112 S.Ct. 2886 (1992). Pursuant to that order, I have undertaken a "takings analysis" of the above-referenced proposed rulemaking. In *Lucas*, the Court held that state regulation depriving a real property owner of all economically beneficial use of that real property constitutes a "taking" under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments of the U.S. Constitution, for which the property owner must be compensated. The Court also held that when state regulations compel a property owner to suffer a permanent physical invasion of his/her property, such an invasion is compensable.

The proposed amendments remove current exemptions for telecommunications company applications of the Commission's filing requirements for authority to issue stock, bonds, notes and other evidences of indebtedness and for authority to acquire the stock of a public utility.

The proposed rule amendments do not implicate the takings clause of the U.S. Constitution, because they do not involve the taking of real property.

Please let me know if you have any questions on this issue.

Sincerely yours,

Assistant General Counsel

(573) 526-7779 (573) 751-9285 (Fax)