Exhibit No.:

Issues: Accounting Record

Keeping
Witness: Beverlee R. Agut
Sponsoring Party: Aquila Networks-MPS

Case No.: ER-2004-0034 &

Before the Public Service Commission of the State of Missouri

Rebuttal Testimony

of

Beverlee R. Agut

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FINANCIAL ACCOUNTING REPORTS	1
FINANCIAL ACCOUNTING REI OXIS	•••

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF BEVERLEE R. AGUT ON BEHALF OF AQUILA, INC.

D/B/A AQUILA NETWORKS-MPS CASE NOS. ER-2004-0034

1	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
2	A.	My name is Beverlee R. Agut and my business address is 10700 East 350 Highway,
3		Kansas City, Missouri 64138.
4	Q.	Are you the same Beverlee R. Agut who sponsored direct testimony in this proceeding
5		before the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission")?
6	A.	Yes.
7	Q.	What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony in this proceeding?
8	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to respond to allegations made by witness Ted Robertson
9		for the Office of the Public Counsel ("OPC") regarding the financial accounting reports
10		of Aquila ("Company").
11		FINANCIAL ACCOUNTING REPORTS
12	Q.	What are the specific issues raised by Mr. Robertson that you are addressing?
13	A.	I will specifically be addressing Mr. Robertson claims that:
14		1. The Company has been unable to produce a usable monthly detailed general
15		ledger report.
16		2. Reliance on utility employees for access to financial data hinders an audit.
17		3. The Company's financial accounting system has not been set up to focus on
18		regulated utility accounting information.

1	Q.	Has Mr. Robertson raised these issues before in prior Aquila rate cases?
2	A.	Yes, and it is extremely frustrating that he keeps continues to raise the same issues without
3		offering any specific evidence or constructive ideas for improvement.
4	Q.	What efforts has the Company made to ensure that all external parties were provided with
5		general ledger information they required to conduct an independent audit?
6	A.	As part of the pre-hearing conference in Missouri Public Service's ("MPS") last rate case
7		No. ER-2001-672, I met with Messrs. Steve Traxler of the Commission Staff ("Staff")
8		and Ted Robertson of the OPC to define what they would consider a "usable" general
9		ledger report. Based on their definitions, new general ledger reports were created as part
10		of the Stipulation and Agreement for MPS
11		A. MPS division-specific ledgers on a Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
12		("FERC") account basis that include both direct and allocated costs by resource code;
13		B. MPS division-specific ledgers on a FERC account basis that reflect only
14		direct charges to the divisions by resource code;
15		C. MPS division-specific ledgers on a FERC account basis that reflect only
16		costs allocated to the divisions by resource code;
17		D. Plant and Depreciation Reserve ledgers for the MPS and SJLP divisions that show
18		beginning month balances, additions, and retirements, and ending month balances;
19		E. UtiliCorp (now Aquila) Enterprise Support Function ("ESF") and Intercompany
20		Business Unit ("IBU") department costs allocated to the MPS divisions on
21		a resource code basis; and

F. ESF and IBU department costs, by resource code, which are not subject to allocation 1 visions. These non-allocated costs are also known as corporate 2 to the MPS 3 retained costs. These reports were provided to Staff and OPC in response to data information requests in 4 this proceeding. Two meetings were subsequently held with both parties—once on 5 August 16, 2002, and again on May 15, 2003, whereby the new reports were presented 6 and explained. Neither party provided any written, formal comments regarding these 7 reports nor asked for any modifications to the reports. It appears the Staff was able to use 8 these general ledger reports along with supplemental information to create a 9 comprehensive revenue requirement calculation including proposed adjustments in this 10 proceeding. Since August 16, 2002, including the audit timeframe for this case, Mr. 11 Robertson has never provided any formal comments or suggestions for improvements or 12 modifications of the reports nor has he requested any additional general ledger reports. I 13 was very surprised to learn that he had again filed testimony on this subject in this 14 15 proceeding. Since filing his direct testimony in this proceeding, has Mr. Robertson provided any 16 Q. specific details regarding the type of reporting format he requires? 17 In response to a recent Aquila data request, Mr. Robertson provided a representative design 18 A. for a general ledger report that he desires. 19 What is Mr. Robertson's definition of a general ledger? 20 Q.

On page 5 of Mr. Robertson's direct testimony, he describes a general ledger as 1 A. containing "detailed" source transactions for financial data containing a complete 2 descriptive listing of all vendors and/or charges and their associated costs. 3 Do you agree with Mr. Robertson's general ledger definition? Q. 4 No. In reality, large corporations, such as Aquila, post summarized "batch" transactions to 5 A. their ledger. An example of a batch posting would be payroll postings. Aquila employees 6 are paid on a bi-weekly basis. The bi-weekly payrolls are posted to the ledger in a 7 summarized or "batch" type mode. This type of posting then would not allow anyone 8 examining the payroll posting to be able to obtain the payments made to any one individual 9 employee. For confidentiality reasons, one could see the benefits to posting payroll in a 10 batch mode. It is common for the details to actually be held in the subsidiary ledgers, or in 11 Aquila's case, the subsidiary system modules. The details, i.e., payments to individual 12 employees, for batch payroll postings can be derived from the Payroll system. This is true 13 for all other types of batch postings such as Accounts Payable (vendor specific details), 14 Accounts Receivable (customer specific details), Project Costing, Fixed Assets, Inventory, 15 etc. Therefore, the general ledger is synonymous with its name as it contains "general" 16 17 financial data, not detailed financial data. Were any reports provided in this case in support of these detailed subsidiary systems? 18 O. The OPC never issued any data requests for detailed reports. However, we did respond to 19 A. Staff data requests for detailed information. We provided information to the Staff of 20 detailed Payroll transactions by employee by pay date (MPSC-75.3), detailed Accounts 21 Payable transactions by vendor by date for corporate overhead allocations (MPSC-219),

22

and detailed transactions by employee, by vendor, by business purpose for corporate 1 2 employee business expenses (MPSC-219). Did the prior MPS general ledger system perform batch processing and postings? 3 Q. Yes it did. 4 A. What other utility companies utilize batch transaction posting to their ledgers? 5 Q. I contacted accounting personnel at The Empire District Electric Company, Ameren, A. 6 Missouri Gas Energy, and Kansas City Power & Light Company. All of these utility 7 companies utilize batch transaction postings to their general ledgers. In addition, the prior 8 St. Joseph Light & Power Company general ledger utilized batch transaction postings. 9 Has Aquila provided a monthly general ledger report to the OPC that is different than the 10 Q. reports listed in the Stipulation and Agreement of Case No. ER-2001-672? 11 Yes. In the last MPS rate case (No. ER-2001-672), a general ledger report was prepared 12 A. and presented to the OPC. The report was voluminous and consisted of approximately 20 13 boxes of paper. It contained exactly the information as processed in the ledger. It is my 14 understanding, the OPC found this report unusable, and hence, it was not created again 15 during the course of the audit in the current case. A sample of this report is attached to 16 my rebuttal testimony and labeled Rebuttal Schedule BRA-1, FERC Trial Balance 17 Activity Report, internally named "GLS1515". In lieu of this report, we created new 18 standard reports as listed in Case No. ER-2001-672's Stipulation and Agreement. Several 19 of the new standard general ledger reports were attached to my direct testimony in this 20 21 proceeding listed as Schedules BRA-1 through BRA-8.

1	Q.	Earlier you mentioned that Mr. Robertson provided a sample general ledger report in
2		response to a recent Aquila data request. How does the recently defined report differ
3		from the report attached and designated Rebuttal Schedule BRA-1?
4	A.	I have attached a copy of Mr. Robertson's response, designated Rebuttal Schedule BRA-2.
5		When I compared the GLS1515 report with the one Mr. Robertson created, the only
6		apparent changes that would be required based upon our financial accounting system's
7		general ledger fields are listed below. Since our general ledger posts in a batch mode, the
8		invoice specific information is not contained in the general ledger, but rather in the
9		subsidiary Accounts Payable system.
10		1. Remove supplementary coding information. Specifically, delete code block columns
11		labeled for Process ("Proc"), Resource Code ("Resrc"), Source Department ("Dept"),
12		Charge to Department ("Chrg Dept"), Product ("Product"), and Affiliate ("Affl").
13		2. Add an additional column for Journal Line Description (the field that holds the voucher
14		number and vendor name).
15		3. If possible, combine the debit and credit amounts into one column whereby debit
16		equals positive amount and credit would be prefaced with a negative ("-") sign. This
17		change would merely be for visual purposes.
18		Although these changes could be made to the GLS1515 report, it would still not decrease
19		the number of lines in the report, i.e., we would still be back to the 20 boxes of paper. In
20		lieu of 20 boxes of paper, the data could be provided electronically in the form of a flat
21		file. This is the format chosen by the IRS, to which we provide information on an annual
22		basis. They then load the flat file into their own audit software.

1	Q.	Has the FERC Trial Balance Activity Report, GLS1515, Rebuttal Schedule BRA-1, been
2		utilized by any other regulatory body?
3	A.	Yes, this general ledger report was utilized by the Michigan Public Service Commission
4		Staff in their audit of our recent Michigan rate increase application approved March 12,
5		2003. I would like to add that the Michigan Commission Staff also conducted their entire
6		financial audit off-site by utilization of this general ledger report and additional information
7		provided by employees through data information requests, including requests for additional
8		financial data detail.
9	Q.	On page 5 of his direct testimony, Mr. Robertson states that sole reliance on utility
10		employees for access to financial data seriously hinders an audit. Do you agree?
11	A.	I do not agree with Mr. Robertson. It is common for employees to provide additional
12		detailed financial information and analysis in support of its financial reports during the
13		context of an audit. During 2002 and 2003, Aquila was involved with numerous external
14		auditors representing the FERC, IRS, and KPMG. None of these external audit groups
15		identified material weaknesses in internal controls relating to the financial reports,
16		accounting system, or additional financial detail provided by Aquila employees.
17	Q.	Did Aquila take any additional measures to ensure the auditors' independence in this
18		case?
19	A.	On October 6, 2003, Aquila developed a new financial accounting screen through our
20		PeopleSoft software which allowed electronic computer on-line access viewing to all
21		income statement related financial accounting transactions for its enterprise support
22		function, networks headquarters, MPS, operations. This on-line access also

1		included detail for all employee business expenses and all accounts payable transactions
2		(actual invoice showing vendor, transaction date, business purpose). It allowed the auditor
3		to selectively view smaller amounts of data at a time based on the auditor's selection
4		criteria. The access was made available twenty-four hours a day, seven days a week, to both
5		the Staff and OPC auditors at our Raytown facility.
6	Q.	You previously stated that this on-line viewing access was restricted to your Raytown
7		facility. Why couldn't this access be granted to others off-site, for example in Jefferson
8		City?
9	A.	It is currently against Company policy to allow access to Company systems/resources
10		from non-Aquila computers. Specifically, this addresses the virus protection that is
11		maintained on these computers and the technical support of the computers should there be
12		a problem. We are compelled to secure our data by various government agencies to
13		prevent tampering. Broader external access would require technical evaluation and
14		security assessment. In addition, we may need to acquire additional physical resources to
15		accomplish off-site access or enter into a contract with a third party to provide technical
16		support to the computers in the city in which they are located.
17	Q.	Does Aquila's financial accounting system take into consideration regulatory accounting
18		requirements?
19	A.	Aquila's financial accounting system was designed with specific regulatory accounting
20		requirements in mind for the seven-state operating area to which Aquila provides natural
21		gas and electricity. I believe Mr. Robertson is confused about the complexity of Aquila's
22		financial accounting system. He states that it was designed with the non-regulated business

in mind and not the regulated utility business. In fact, the complexities are due to Aquila's seven-state regulated utility service area, not the straightforward needs of its non-regulated operations. Specifically, Aquila has developed a complex allocations process that records in its general ledger allocations associated with corporate overheads as well as utility allocations (electric, gas, industrial steam, and non-regulated appliance repair). We utilize approximately 22 different allocation drivers for allocating corporate overheads. Although it has been implied that most overheads are allocated based on the Massachusetts Formula (net plant, gross margin, and payroll charged to expense), this statement is in fact false. Only 27% of costs are allocated on the generic Massachusetts Formula. The remaining overhead costs are allocated on 21 other specific drivers, for example, number of paychecks issued, number of journal line transactions, number of customers, net energy sales, gross property, number of computers supported, right-of-way projects by state, Mw capacity, employee headcount, etc. To the best of my knowledge, I do not know of any other utility in the state of Missouri that performs as complex of an allocations process or utilizes as many specific cost allocation drivers compared to Aquila. This process was designed with specific regulated utility requirements of equity and fairness in mind for distributing overhead costs to our seven state service area. This complex allocations process was implemented in 1997 and is detailed in Aquila's Cost Allocation Manual ("CAM") which is filed annually with each of Aquila's state Commissions including Missouri. Certainly, a non-regulated business would have no need of such a complex allocations process. Are there any other areas of your financial accounting system specifically designed for a regulated utility?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q.

1	A.	Yes. Since utilities are capital-intensive in nature and have specific accounting guidelines
2		for self-constructed plant assets, Aquila has complex project costing and asset
3		management systems to track these assets. The Accounting requirements for these assets
4		are defined in Section 18 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 101 (Electric Plant
5		Instructions) and Part 201 (Gas Plant Instructions). Again, the non-regulated merchant
6		trading business has absolutely no need for these complex accounting systems.
7	Q.	On page 8 of his direct testimony, Mr. Robertson states that the Company's accounting
8		system is complicated and unhelpful to regulated auditors. How do you respond?
9	A.	The accounting system is complicated not due to any requirements of our non-regulated
10		businesses, but rather due to the accounting rule complexities in the Code of Federal
11		Regulations for public utilities as well as the regulatory requirements for seven different
12		state jurisdictions. Aquila has interacted with numerous external financial audit entities,
13		and none have determined any material weaknesses in our accounting system or financial
14		reports.
15	Q.	Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?
16	A.	Yes.

	7722	13/30/20	10:10:45	Balanc																									: 786 215	532,296.;			-: -																
	Page No.	Run Date 03/30/20	Run Time 10:10:45			N 6			, N	•		_						_		_	_		_						ť	3 23																			
				Credit	2002	26.007	796 13	00.0	142.82	00.00	2,134.63	0.00	251.98	90.00	97.05	¥1.54	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	58.70	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	00.00	0.00	00.0						0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.0	8.0	00.0	00.0	0.00	0.00	00.00	00.00	0.00	0.00
				Debit	0	700.80	0.00	125.72	0.00	1,879.02	0.00	204.20	0.00 26 EE	66.93	27.73	00.0	126.45	63.18	547.56	6.00	0.00	79.40	1.10	231.98	30.16	31.55	875.00	70B.72			#			7.20	55.35	182.04	927.50	143.36	22.52	120.58	18.64	332,10	989.18	79.84	27.95	43.17	789.03	755.78	102.57
	₹ (\$==1 ==4)	inci majj		Jrnl_Hdr_Descr	ABC IS 960 No Cust T	IS 960 No Cust	운	IS	IS 960 No	IS 960 No	960 No	ABC IS 960 NO CUST	IS 960 No	£	IS 960 No	ABC IS 960 No Cust T			Cas Telecom -	Gas Telecom -	Gas Telecom -	Gas Telecom -		UED Customer Care VP		UED COSTORER CRIB VP	Jecomber FERC Deriva December FERC Deriva	BAT ING OUT - INCHES						Compensated Absences	TIME & ATTEND UCU	TIME & ATTEND UCU	TIME & ATTEND DOD	TIME & ATTEND UCU	Compensated Absences	Compensated Absences	Compensated Absences	TIME & ATTEND UCU	TIME & ATTEND UCU	Invantory Transactio	Haterials Londing St	Compensated Absences	IINE & AITEND UCU	Componented Absonce	CHANGE OF THE STREET
ر د ور	rekt ikial balance Activity Report For Fiscal Year: 2000 Period: 1 to 12 (inc) 2333	71 81 1	:	Acct Affl ,	402000		402000 W			W 00000				402000 A										402000			_	•							000204		•	Ţ	402000 C	402000 C			•		_	0.000.00	·		
PeopleSoft GL	2000 Perio				. T	4	3	₹ :	.	.	Ť 4	.	4	3	4	3	14	3	9	ŧ.	*	7 .	0.5	3	7	• 3	3						,	04,	3	7	9 9	4.	40	4	4	40	9	9	0,	3	3	. 4	
2	real Year:		41124	ALLUC Project							359	359	359	359	359	359	į	556 17.	9 4	200	918		200	359	359	ì																							
	For Fis		G	3	096	103	096	103	101	96	103	960	103	960	103	960	103	107	7 20	<u>.</u>	101	200	640	960	096	103	103						:	201	107	103	103	103	103	103	103	103	103	105	103	103	103	103	
			Chrg	L i	288	80	588	0000	9 40	5881	5880						7995				5634				5880	6144	6144						6673	2640	5427	5432	5885	5432	5457	5432	5885	2635	2452	2425	2642	5432	5432	5432	
			Resrc Dant					2000 7								2007									2 5700								6273									2646 3						5 5432	
			Proc Res				1821				2 1001				2 9112										2 9112		0 1408						5 010 X								1002							5 9103	
	ery				2565 135		25.89 135				2595 282				297 9197								10		322 282	9 490	1 490						867 555			889 555				812 555					ĸ	833 555		789 555	
	y Delly		Seq Line																			1 94				. 19	κ.																						
GLS1515	_	asn	te Jrnl_ID		OOU ABISISOOOI				000 ABIS130001	100 ABIS130001			JUU ABIS150001							100 IBU27C0001		100 IBU27C0001	12/31/2000 IBU33A0001	100 IBU33A0001		1011005 00	12/31/2000 5001101	:	tivity: Blance:			g Balance:	02/03/2000 PRLD700002	00 PAY0341215		100 PAY0492358		UZ/18/2000 PAY0492358 02/21/2000 ARI 578256	00 PKLD/00002	00 PRLD/00062							00 PAY0772354	us/20/2000 PRLD700002	
Report ID: GL	Bus. Unit: MGD	Currency Code: FFPC	Acct Jrnl_Date	A6400 15761 1506	12/31/2000	12/31/2000	12/31/2000	12/31/2000	12/31/2000	12/31/2000	12/31/2000	12/31/2000	12/31/2000	12/31/2000	12/31/2000	12/31/2000	12/31/2000	12/31/2000	12/31/2000	12/31/2000	12/31/20	12/31/2000	12/31/20	12/31/2000	12/31/20	12/31/2000	12/31/20		694000 Total Activity: Ending Balance:			895000 Beginning Balance:	02/03/20	02/04/2000	02/18/20	02/18/2000	02/18/2000	0002/18/2000	0002/12/20	02/21/2000	03/04/2000	03/04/2000	03/07/2000	03/01/2000	03/13/2000	03/17/2000	03/17/2000	12/02/50	

7723	03/30/50	10:10:4
Page No.	Run Date	Run Time

Baland

For Fiscal Year: 2000 Pariod: 1 to 12 (incl adj) FERC TRIAL BALANCE ACTIVITY REPORT PeopleSoft GL

MGD MGU Energy Delivery

Report ID: GLS1515 Currency Code: USD

Bus. Unit:

FERC

0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 457.67 311.26 58.05 7.55 22.19 2.88 8.55 31.50 3.61 722.41 277.44 36.07 34.10 14.87 38.24 602.14 114.35 154.80 16.81 118.58 Compensated Absences Compensated Absences Compansated Absences Compensated Absences TIME & ATTEND UCU Compensated Absences Non-Productive Time Non-Productive Time Non-union Incentive Non-Productive Time Non-Productive Time TIME & ATTEND UCU Jrnl_Hdr_Descr AP Accruals
AP Accruals AP Accruals AP Accruals AP Accruels AP Accruals Aff1 402000 402000 402000 40200 402000 402000 402000 402000 402000 402000 402000 402000 402000 102000 402000 402000 402000 402000 402000 402000 402000 402000 402000 402000 402000 402000 402000 402000 402000 402000 402000 402000 402000 \$0200 402000 402000 402000 462000 402000 102000 000200 402000 102000 102000 02000 Acct Alloc Project Chrg Dapt 5430 5430 5430 5430 5430 55430 55430 55635 55635 5523 5527 5527 5527 5527 5527 5431 5431 5431 5431 5431 5431 5431 5427 5432 5432 5427 5430 5430 5885 5885 5885 5885 5885 5885 5430 5430 5430 5885 5885 5429 5429 5429 5430 5430 5430 5430 5430 5885 5565 5430 5427 5431 5431 5427 5427 5427 5431 5431 5431 5431 5431 5431 5431 1199 1002 9103 1002 9103 1002 1199 1199 1199 1899 1002 9004 1000 9112 9103 1116 1002 9004 9111 9103 1000 1002 1000 9004 1899 1899 1899 1104 9103 1104 1104 9103 1001 9004 9111 9103 000 555 555 555 555 555 555 555 555 555 555 555 555 555 Seq Line PRLD700002 APAC054460 03/31/2000 PAY0902339 03/31/2000 PAY0902339 APAC054460 04/12/2000 APAC054460 05/23/2000 APAC057864 05/26/2000 PAY1480009 PAY0902339 PRLD700002 APAC054460 APAC054460 04/12/2000 APAC054460 04/28/2000 PRLD700002 35/02/2000 APAC056100 PAY1370028 PRLD700002 PRLD700002 PAY2452309 PRLD40001 PAY2600800 PAY2600800 APAC054460 04/12/2000 APAC054460 05/26/2000 PAY1480009 PRLD700002 PAY1620014 PAY2600800 PRLD300001 PAY3182321 PAY3330020 PAY3330020 PAY1182331 05/23/2000 APAC057864 PRLD700001 PRLD300001 PRLD700001 PRLD700001 PAY3182321 PAY3182321 PRLD300001 PRLD700001 Jrnl_Date Jrnl_ID 04/12/2000 03/31/2000 06/13/2000 09/05/2000 09/18/2000 03/31/2000 03/31/2000 04/12/2000 04/12/2000 04/12/2000 04/12/2000 04/12/2000 04/28/2000 15/12/2000 15/16/2000 05/31/2000 06/09/2000 09/01/2000 09/05/2000 09/15/2000 39/15/2000 9/15/2000 09/18/2000 09/18/2000 09/18/2000 11/10/2000 11/10/2000 11/10/2000 11/16/2000 11/16/2000 11/24/2000 11/24/2000 11/24/2000 11/28/2000 895000 Acct

Non-Productive Time

TIME & ATTEND UCU

10200

PAY3542325 PRLD300001

12/15/2000 I

Report ID: GLS1515 Bus. Unit: MGD M Currency Code: usm	15 HGU Energy Delivery En	lel ivery				Ŀ	FE or Fisca	PeoplaSoft GL FERC TRIAL BALANCE ACTIVITY REPORT For Fiscal Year: 2000 Period: 1 to 12 flact	PaoplaSoft GL BALANCE ACTIVITY RE 2000 Pariod: 1 to 1	PORT CALL		Page No.	7724
- 3	;									(fpg Tour) 7		Run Date 03/30/2(Run Time 10:10:4	03/30/20
Acct Statements		Seq Line	Proc	Resrc Dept	Dept	Dept	Prod	Alloc Project	Acct Aff1	Jrnl_Hdr_Descr	+1+00	4 2 7 7 7	
895000 12/20/2000 PRLD300001 12/20/2000 DBI D700001	PRLD300001	237	555		5430	5430	103		402000	Non-Productive Time	11 12	Creat	Balan
12/20/2000 PRLD700001	PRLD700001	888	555	9103	5427 5430	5427 5430	103 103		402000	Compensated Absences	4.03	0.00	
895000 Total Activity:	ılty:									compensated Absences	7.55	0.00	
Ending Balance:	ince:	;						*					10,222.
		! ! ! !											10,222.5
901000 Beginning Balanca:	alance:			•									
01/03/2000 APAC047749	APAC047749	E	009	1202	2880	9	Š						0.0
01/07/2000	APAC047946	163	009			9000	960		401700	AP Accruals	109.88	0.00	
01/07/2000 APAC047946	APAC047946	221	009			5882	096		401700	AP Accruals	6.68	0.00	
01/07/2000	PAY0172317	857	909	1000	5431	5431	103		401700	TIME & ATTEND UCU	61.64	0.00	
	PAY0172317	859	009			5551	103		401700	& ATTEND	387.47	0.0	
	PAY0172317	860	009			5431	103		401700	# ATTEND	450.45	0.00	
	PAY0172317	861	009			5881	103		401/00		192.13	0.00	
01/07/2000	PAY0172317	862	009			5883	096		401700	IIME & ATTEND UCU	161.19	0.00	
01/07/2000	PAY01/251/	863	009			5431	103	58210319	401700	& ATTEND	113.85	0.00	
	PAY0172317	865	009	1002	5951	5432	103	58210419	401700	TIME & ATTEND UCU	116.42	00.0	
	PRLD400001	225				5881	103	58210519	401700	TIME & ATTEND UCU	116.42	0.00	
	PRLD400001	526				5883	960		401700	Non-union Incentive	56.96	0.00	
01/18/2000	PRLD700001	295				5431	103		401700	Company of Aberrace	66.22	0.00	
	PRLDZ0001	565	009	9103		5431	103	58210319	401700	Compensated Absences	74.07	0.00	
	PRL D700001	565			5431	5452	103	58210419	401700	Compensated Absences	15.13	0.00	
	PRLD700001	995				5661	103	56210519	401700	Compensated Absences	15.13	0.00	
01/18/2000	PRLD700001	295				5881	103		401700	Compensated Absences	20.95	0.00	
	PRLD/UU001	568	009			5883	096		401700	Compensated Absences	50.37	0.00	
	PAY0280049	5 4 6 5 4 6		7071	2882	5882	096		401700	AP Accruals	616.70	00.0	
	PAY0280049	984				5881	103		401700	TIME & ATTEND UCU	53.73	0.00	
01/21/2000	PAY0280049	586				5883	096		401700	TIME & ATTEND UCU	110.70	0.00	
	PAY0280049	986	009	1002		5431	103		401700	. 40	1,062.95	0.00	
	PAY0280049	886			1646	5881	103		401700	TIME & ATTEND UCU	268.65	00.0	
01/21/2000	PAY0280049	989				5884	000		401700	45	89.796	0.00	
	PAY0280049	066				5431	103	58210419	401700	-	142.31	00.0	
01/21/2000	PAY0280049	166				5432	103	58210419	401700	TIME & ATTEND UCU	626.85	0.00	
01/21/2000 PAY0280049	PAY0280049	992				5432	103	58210519		TIME & ATTEND UCU	179.10	00.0	
	PRI DAGGGG	592	009	9112		5881	103		401700	Non-union Incentive	16.27	0.00	
01/29/2000	PRLD700001	202	_		5005	5005	096		401700	Non-union Incentive	159.19	00.0	
	PRLD700001	708				5431	103	4	401700	Compensated Absences	30.27	00.0	
01/29/2000	PRLD70001	709				5432	103	58210519	401700	Compensated Absences	81.49	0.00	
01/29/2000	PRLD700001	710				5432	103	58210519	401700	Compensated Absences	23.28	0.00	
	PKLD/00001	111	009	9103 5	5431	5881	103		401700	Companied Absences	23.28	0.00	
											24.92	0.00	

AQUILA, INC. CASE NO. ER-2004-0034 DATA REQUEST NO. ILA-0008 TO MISSOURI OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC COUNSEL

DATE OF REQUEST:

December 11, 2003

DATE DUE:

January 12, 2004

REQUESTOR:

Bev Agut

BRIEF DESCRIPTION:

Accounting Record-Keeping

QUESTION:

A. Does the OPC have any specific issues regarding the level of detail captured in Aquila's current financial accounting system or are the issues outlined in the direct testimony of Ted Robertson solely related to reporting format? If the issues pertain to the level of detail captured in the financial accounting system, please state specifically what Mr. Robertson believes should be changed?

B. Is there a general ledger detail reporting format used by any other regulated utility in the state of Missouri that meets Mr. Robertson's approval or audit needs? If so, please provide the name of the utility or utilities, the financial accounting software utilized, and a sample of the general ledger reporting format. Note: It is understood that the financial information of another utility is considered highly confidential. However, a "mock" reporting format can be created utilizing column header, row descriptions, journal descriptions, etc. that are generic in nature.

RESPONSE:

A. OPC's primary concern, at this time, is the reporting format. However, if it is determined that the Company's accounting systems do not capture the data necessary to develop and create a detailed general ledger for the Missouri regulated operations, then data capture issues should also be addressed.

B. It is OPC's understanding that most, if not all, Missouri regulated utilities of any size (e.g., Ameren, Missouri Gas Energy, Missouri Water Company, Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, etc.), excepting Aquila, produce some form of a detailed general ledger. The accounting software programs utilized by the utilities are diverse. Please see the attached representation of a detailed general ledger currently in use by a large Missouri regulated utility.

ATTACHMENT:

Attachment to DR ILA0008.xls



ANSWERED BY: Ted Robertson

Representative Detailed General Ledger Example:

<u>Inv. Date</u> n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Inv. Date	06/02/2002	06/02/2002	06/18/2002	06/27/2002	06/14/2002
Inv. #/P.O. #/Item Code Vehicle Clearing	Non-productive Time Load Non-productive Time Load	Vehicle Clearing	Non-productive Time Load Non-productive Time Load	Vehicle Clearing	Non-productive Time Load Non-productive Time Load	Non-productive Time Load Non-productive Time Load	ad Non-productive Time Load	Non-productive Time Load Non-productive Time Load	Stores Load	Vehicle Clearing	Inv. #/P.O. #/Item Code	156405	1986888	1969206	1164797	166797															
Vendor# Vendor Name Na Vehicle Clearing	Non-productive Time Lo	Vehicle Clearing	Non-productive Time Lo	Vehicle Clearing	Non-productive Time Lo	Non-productive Time Lo	Non-productive Time Load	Non-productive Time Lo	Non-productive Time Lo	Non-productive Time Lo	Non-productive Time Lo	Stores Load	Vehicle Clearing	Vendor# Vendor Name	9 Pinkerton Security	Southwestern Bell Co.	Southwestern Bell Co.	Southwestern Bell Co.	9 Pinkerton Security												
–	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		10819	5313	5313	5313	10819
<u>d Amount</u> 147.97	205.09	197.50	237.53	7.60	153.34	35.29	183.89	1,600.33	191.59	762.66	208.56	307.20	9.14	184.42	2,241.66	42.44	1,057.09	23.89	68.11	14.03	37.98	21.20	84.74	80.09	50.93	d Amount	2.24	88.19	595.24	19.66	166.57
FY Period 2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	FY Period	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1
Reference Clearing		Clearing	Loading	Clearing	Loading	Loading	Loading	Loading	Loading	Loading	Loading	Loading	Loading	Loading	Loading	Loading	Loading	Loading	Clearing	. Reference	2320	2320	2320	2320	2320						
Source Cd. Allocated	Allocated	Allocated	Allocated	Allocated	Allocated	Allocated	Allocated	Allocated	Allocated	Allocated	Allocated	Allocated	Allocated	Allocated	Allocated	Allocated	Allocated	Allocated	Allocated	Allocated	Allocated	Allocated	Allocated	Allocated	Allocated	Source Cd.	A/P	A/P	A/P	A/P	A/P
QnS 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Sub	0006	0006	0006	0006	0006
<u>Main</u> 8700	8700	8710	8710	8740	8740	8740	8740	8740	8740	8740	8740	8740	8740	8740	8740	8740	8740	8740	8740	8740	8740	8740	8740	8740	8740	Main	9210	9210	9210	9210	9210
<u>Co</u>																										Co.					
	-	_	_		_	_		_	_	-		_	_	_		_			_	_		_		_	-		CH age				– BRA-2

2002/62/90	06/29/2002	06/29/2002	06/29/2002	06/02/2002	06/18/2002	06/27/2002	07/02/2002	07/18/2002	07/29/2002	07/01/2002	07/18/2002	07/29/2002	07/14/2002	07/01/2002	06/18/2002	06/27/2002	07/02/2002	07/18/2002	07/29/2002	07/02/2002	07/18/2002	07/22/2002	07/07/2002	07/02/2002	07/18/2002
166468	166758	166508	1655	1656	1657	1568	1568	6116	6516	55510	256660	554894	1668840	55198	558161	155987	191566	166680	166884	546000	266600	564899	256660	5556661	5540006
Brydon Attorneys	England Attorneys	Coffman Attorneys	Joe Stokes	Stay's Engineering Inc.	Health Council	Health Council	American Distribution Co.	Cole County	Northern Optical Lab, Inc.	401K Savings Plan	401K Savings Plan	Behavioral Health	Roger Rich	401K Def. Comp.	401K Def. Comp.										
3590	9743	18211	17841	17841	17841	17841	17841	17841	17841	10875	9699	9699	2751	10903	6010	6010	6010	6010	6010	9816	9816	5648	7475	9166	9166
418.50	447.74	5,414.15	1,875.00	255.00	130.00	115.00	730.00	370.00	220.00	3,220.00	100.00	498.00	125.00	800.00	92.21	211.10	188.96	183.62	171.25	16,650.00	16,650.00	989.58	1,205.00	2,251.00	2,264.00
2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1	2003 1
2320	2320	2320	2320	2320	2320	2320	2320	2320	2320	2320	2320	2320	2320	2320	2320	2320	2320	2320	2320	2320	2320	2320	2320	2320	2320
A/P	A/P	A/P	A/P	A/P	A/P	A/P	A/P	A/P	A/P	A/P	A/P	A/P	A/P	A/P	A/P	A/P	A/P	A/P	A/P	A/P	A/P	A/P	A/P	A/P	A/P
7000	7000	7000	7000	7000	2000	2000	7000	7000	2000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1010	1010	4000	4000	2000	2000
9230	9230	9230	9230	9230	9230	9230	9230	9230	9230	9250	9250	9250	9250	9250	9250	9250	9250	9250	9250	9260	9260	9260	9260	9260	9260

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the matter of Aquila, Inc. d/b/a Aquila Networks-MPS for authority to file tariffs increasing electric rates for the service provided to customers in the Aquila Networks-MPS)) Case No. ER-2004-0034))
)))
County of Jackson)) ss State of Missouri)	
AFFIDAVIT OF BEV	VERLEE R. AGUT
Beverlee R. Agut, being first duly sworn, sponsors the accompanying testimony entitled "R said testimony was prepared by her and under her made as to the facts in said testimony and schedul that the aforesaid testimony and schedules are trinformation, and belief.	direction and supervision; that if inquiries were les, she would respond as therein set forth; and rue and correct to the best of her knowledge,
	Beverlee Kagut Beverlee R. Agut
Subscribed and sworn to before me this Low da	~ `
My Commission expires:	C Telly D. Luces
8-20-2004	TERRY D. LUTES Jackson County My Commission Expires August 20, 2004