1	
2	ORIGINAL
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	PUBLIC HEARING RE: AMEREN POWER LINE
8	MARCH 7, 2013
9	LIBERTY COMMUNITY CENTER
10	1600 S. WITHERS DRIVE
11	LIBERTY, MO
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Reported by: Marie A. McCracken, RPR, CCR
25	

MR. COBLE: My name is Dan Coble and I live at 9505 Clarksboro right here (indicating). Either way, there was a statement made back here about health concerns, who made that?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: I said somebody on our block.

MR. COBLE: That's a true fact.

Grant it, I'm not a borderline property owner that's going to be affected, but electromagnetic interference is a very real thing, all right. We have this property line (indicating) that runs across here. We also have this property line that runs across your property and my property, the unknown property and Danny's property all the way to town. When I bought out there it was back (indicating). There was also an easement that runs from here all the way somewhere across the back of my property.

Now, that puts us in a dangerous triangle. And if the power companies would flip the switch back on, especially this one here (indicating), how would that affect the health of everybody in the Clarksboro neighborhood, let alone you folks, right?

This is the 69,000 volt line and you know the history of Ameren to maintain their lines as well as I do. There is poles on your property and my property that were damaged by the tornado of 2003 that still have not been repaired and on this mystery property right here over that pond, how long did it take them to get those off the wall, a long damn time. So we got a real health concern here.

So my message here is us neighbors that are Tier 2, or even the people back over here, need to help our -- help support our front line neighbors like this man here (indicating), and worry about the health concerns about bringing in the 69,000 volt single line power pole behind your homes to help support the Magna plant that they moved down from Excelsior Springs. You are correct, T.J.

MR. BERRY: The Magna plant Excelsior is still up and functioning. Both the Excelsior Magna plant and that plant will still function.

MR. COBLE: You are right. I think that everybody needs to think about the health concerns, so encourage your neighbors, whether

they are here tonight or not, to, you know, get in on this. I think everybody's got something to worry about.

MS. SCHMITT: I'm Barbara Schmitt and my husband, Jim, we have lived here since 1978. We were the first ones in the West Clarksboro area. I'm very concerned about the health concerns and -- but one of the things we really haven't -- I mean, it's very obvious, but just the aesthetics of being able to look out westward through Dan's property, seeing the rolling hills is just so beautiful. We don't want to lose that.

MR. BUSCH: I got a call last night from Commissioner Mason asking to be her eyes and ears. She's stranded in Texas. The blizzard from the east coast cancelled her flight and she could not be here and she and I spoke on the phone last night. Same thing T.J. was talking about tonight. The obvious solution would be if the power supply of the KCP&L is nearby.

Just based on my lawyer's ears, be sure you stay focused. When you are contacting PSC, talk about reclassifying that area, the trade of the territory. You don't want to go off a

hundred different directions and PSC not understand what your focus is. Obvious solution is KCP&L.

1.4

MR. BRENTON: I was going to say the same thing. I'm in a little bit of a awkward position because I work for Kansas City Power & Light. I'm sure we have been on the other side of this fence, too. I just suggest your strongest argument are the alternatives. The not-in-my-backyard argument, you need to make that one, but that's the one you always hear. The esthetic argument, you need to make that one, but everybody hears that one. Even the health issue, everybody makes that one and I know you said it's proven, but I think they will try all kinds of -- you can go back.

Your strongest argument in my opinion is either KCP&L supplies it. There should be a way that actually KCP&L could be the supplier of the electricity at a metered point and Ameren, they are still an Ameren customer and all they pay KCP&L is the transmission rights. That is another alternative.

The upgrade of the 69 Highway is an alternative. They ought to be able to co-locate

on that existing cooperative line. They ought to be able to put two lines on the poles that -- either on the poles that exist or replace the poles and co-locate on one pole rather than having two separate lines.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: I did suggest that to them and I was told that the cooperative would not allow that, which it happens a lot.

MR. BRENTON: We are in the process of co-locating with a cooperative right now between here and Maryville. My suggestion would be make those other arguments, but your strongest arguments are, These folks have other alternatives. It's not like this is their only alternative. And like Mr. Hemphill said, if you all go off in different ways, that's kind of what they have to say. Providing the alternate route on your property, the problem with that is, then you have a plate of spaghetti and they are not going to do that, say that's not an alternative at that point. But anyway, I spoke too long.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: It would impact a lot of us people. One line for that one part and he's more important than a hundred people.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can you talk

about two things, one, who is actually paying for the cost of getting that line, because logic says it's going to cost a whole lot more to do a line for five miles versus probably less than a mile for KCP&L, whatever you call it there, and the plant. So who is actually going to have to pay for that? And what about the cooperative that was talked about, if they are currently getting power, why can't that continue?

what I've been told, okay. The cooperative said that their service territory north is growing and that they could not afford over a long period of time to tap that line, okay, with the growth curve further north of us, okay. So temporarily for a short several years they could do it, but in their long term look at what is going on, they say there is no way for them to do that.

The case, who is paying for it, Ameren is paying for that line because Ameren is going to make the money, okay, that's why they are willing to do that. If you had a customer that was going to spend a million or \$2 million a year with you, you would do anything you can.

MRS. NOLAND: Isn't there a bill

coming up that they are trying to pass the infrastructure cost to the consumer?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BERRY: No, there is not. What that bill says is any infrastructure that is in place, that the maintenance of that infrastructure there is a bill dealing with that. There is no way that the PSC would allow for new construction or new generation not to go in front of them. So that bill is dealing with current maintenance.

What bill is that? MRS. NOLAND: MR. BUSCH: What I was told in a conversation with Ms. Mason again was that Ameren told Magna, or whatever the parent company is, that they would have to put down a -- I don't remember the number, million dollar retainer or whatever, to help them build this line and that they said, No, we are not going to. That's when the KCP&L option came in because the company, the factory looked for a different power source because they weren't going to pay Ameren to run the line, which why would you. So the company went out and found out that there is power right there and now it's a million dollar company arguing with a million dollar company about where they are going to get the power.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. BERRY: KCP&L would have to build infrastructure also, but their power generation station that sits at Hallmark would have to be upgraded in order to do this. They are more than willing, of course, to do those upgrades.

This is all for a MR. MEAD: company that's going to build the interior for They don't even know if it's the transit van? going to sell.

MR. BERRY: To answer that if you go anywhere --

MR. MEAD: I know, it's a copycat of the Dodge Freightliner they can't sell.

That conversation was MR. BUSCH: probably two or three months ago. When she said that, that we probably didn't need to worry about it because this is probably going to happen, I kind of just backed off the whole deal because why am I going to fight for something going in my backyard that's not going to be there and the next thing I know, it's back up again.

MR. OSTEN: Just like they built an interstate right there, what's going to happen

1 after they build that, they are going to build a 2 bunch of houses because they have the power, so there goes our rural area. We fought annexation 3 twice, I don't know if we can do it one more 4 5 time. 6 DR. SHEMWELL: I notice at night 7 it's all lit up. MR. NOLAND: That's what 8 Mr. Tarwater said. I drive by there. It's got 9 10 plenty of power. It's lit up. AUDIENCE MEMBER: They are getting 1.1 it as a temporary thing. They can't supply it 12 13 long term. MR. BERRY: All this industrial 14 park, the power that all those buildings and all 15 those factories are pulling right now will not 16 equal the Magna plant, okay, so to put it in 17 perspective, you've got a lot of industrial in 18 that industrial park, but this plant, its usage 19 is a tremendous amount of electricity or it 20 wouldn't be that big of a deal. A 69,000 volt 21 22 line is a significant line for power usage. 23 MR. NOLAND: So we all have kind of 24 a plan following Luann's suggestion to get with 25 these people on the Missouri Public Service

Commission.

MR. OSTEN: How did they get so much power without having to notify us? It's sad that the world, politicians excluded here, that we elect people that give away our rights and now we are just sitting powerless.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: I do agree with the gentleman with KCP&L.

MR. BUSCH: We can't just call them and say, Don't do it, like the man said. You have to give them --

MS. RIDGEWAY: Actually that is really important to follow up on what Steve Hemphill said and mayor candidate. I would really strongly suggest that you say, Look, get that territory over to Kansas City Power & Light, there is just -- you know, and then talk about the problems, because you are right, if we start diluting our message. That's going to be my message to the people I know on there, what is the problem, we can't turn this loose, I know it's for-profits, but for heaven's sake, do you know all the stuff that's going on down here.

Doug, I got a question for you, doesn't Missouri still have temporary nuisance when it

comes to situations like this, so that there 1 2 stands a possibility of recurring lawsuits over 3 that line? MR. NOLAND: I think so, I think 4 5 All these people here that it doesn't go so. across their line? 6 MS. RIDGEWAY: Yes, because of the 7 diminution in value and the peace and everything 8 that they used to have and the lack of noise and 9 stuff like that. I mean, it's not a good answer, 10 but it's something that I can use with Public 11 Service Commission and say, Look, if you just 12 13 want rolling lawsuit after rolling lawsuit, let Ameren do what it wants to do. 14 MR. NOLAND: I think condemnation 15 would be a possible remedy for people where there 16 is really no taking of your property, but you are 17 18 affected by it would be a remedy. MS. RIDGEWAY: We are asking that 19 they reclassify the area and have KCP&L as a 20 21 supplier. MR. NOLAND: A supplier, that they 22 23 have alternatives. 24 MR. BUSCH: They can either upgrade 25 their line going up 69 or they can upgrade the

1 cooperative line as far as I'm concerned. Ιf 2 they upgrade that line to a bigger line. MR. NOLAND: Mr. Brenton. 3 4 MR. BRENTON: I still say, if the roles were reversed, I doubt very seriously if my 5 company would cede a \$2 million customer to 6 somebody else, so I mention that as an 7 alternative. It makes the most sense, but we all 8 live in a capitalistic society and we know 9 what -- so I would say that's about the fourth 10 alternative would be sharing. 11 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Would they share 12 13 it? 14 MR. BRENTON: I would suggest get Ameren and KCP&L to work this out so you minimize 15 the amount of infrastructure that's needed and 16 17 the affect on all of you. You all decide what 18 you are going to say, but I'm just telling you as a company, that would probably be the one that 19 would be the least appealing for me to just give 20 21 away a significant customer. 22 AUDIENCE MEMBER: What is the best 23 message? MR. BRENTON: I think it would be 24 25 for Kansas City Power & Light and Ameren to

l	cooperate on this. I believe Kansas City Power &
١	Light can produce the electricity up to the line
ļ	that exists. There would be a meter probably
	somewhere between Magna's door and that line
	where you could meter and say here's how much
	electricity went into that. Ameren would pay us
	a wholesale rate and they would bill Magna a
	retail rate and that's where they make their
	margin. That means they are still going to give
	up the power wielding revenue that they would
	have got. I'm sure that's why they want to build
	the line themselves. They will get not only the
	electricity costs, but they will get the power
	wielding cost. It's kind of a there are
	multiple facets to how costs are recovered when
	the electricity arrives at your home. One of it
	is the production of it, one of it is the
	transmission, and one of it is the distribution
	of it. You are talking about the transmission
	piece right here.
	AUDIENCE MEMBER: Who owns the line
	coming out of H Highway?
	MR. BRENTON: I'm not familiar with
	that.
	AUDIENCE MEMBER: I am talking

1	about the ones that comes out of H Highway, who
2	owns that line?
3	MR. NOLAND: That's Ameren.
4	MR. BRENTON: They want all the
5	transmission revenues so they want to tap point
6	their own line.
7	MS. BUSCH: Before we leave tonight
8	you would like for us to step to the court
9	reporter and state our names and what our
10	objection is?
11	MR. NOLAND: Yes.
12	MS. BUSCH: We also want to make
13	sure we re-sign the petition to make sure we have
14	it updated with e-mails and phone numbers.
15	MR. NOLAND: Could we all do that.
16	MRS. NOLAND: Why don't you send
17	out some talking points tonight that way
18	everybody is on kind of the same
19	MR. NOLAND: We can do that if you
20	leave your e-mail and I'll try to get an e-mail
21	out to you tomorrow.
22	MR. TARWATER: I think Ameren is
23	very serious about eminent domain and
24	condemnation. This is not a joke. They are set
25	forward in their mind to do this.

1 MR. NOLAND: We may find the 2 sheriff on our door step tomorrow for all the 3 seven property owners. I still think we ought to 4 keep up the fight on this here. 5 AUDIENCE MEMBER: If we have to go 6 forward, would you represent us? We need to put something together to have the funds to keep this 7 8 going. MR. NOLAND: That's kind of a 9 separate issue if it gets to the condemnation. 10 11 This was kind of just to try to get everybody 12 together and get your voices heard and I think 13 these are some good suggestions as to not only who to contact, but what message to say and I'm 14 15 no politician or anything, but I just think, too, 16 if we could just light up the phone with these 17 people and send e-mails and Luann and T.J. were 18 kind enough to be here tonight. 19 MR. OSTEN: I bet Ameren has more 20 attorneys than are people in this room. 21 AUDIENCE MEMBER: If they do 22 business the way they seem to, I am sure that 23 they do. 24 MS. BUSCH: Doug, we appreciate 25 your time.

MR. NOLAND: I'm glad everybody could come. If we could get you to do this before you leave, that way we have got a record of everybody, we'll send that on to the Public Service Commission.

MS. BUSCH: I'm Andrea Busch,
B-U-S-C-H. I live at 9620 West Clarksboro,
Liberty, Missouri, and I am opposed to the high
voltage power line going in the property behind
West Clarksboro. I propose that KCP&L and Ameren
work together, cooperate, put it up the line that
already exists, upgrade the power line on 69,
reclassify the area and, again, work together.

MS. JOHNSON: Craig and Julie Johnson, 9723 East Clarksboro Drive. We are opposed for various reasons.

MR. WRIGHT: Kenneth Wright, 9904
Clarksboro. We are opposed to it. One thing we
didn't bring up tonight, already on my property I
have an east/west major truck line already going
through from that Cameron power company and it's
already there, it bisects our east and west and
that's not even counting this new thing. So I
would be in cross hairs on it and my house sits
on the back of my lot. I've got four acres. My

house sits clear on the back of the lot about 25 feet from the property line. If they put a line down there and put an easement up, I could lose everything theoretically.

MS. SCHNEEGASS: I'm going to spell it for you, Paul, and I am Lori, L-O-R-I, S-C-H-N-E-E-G-A-S-S, and we are at 9412 West Clarksboro. This goes right in our backyard, blocks our view. It puts in the electric triangle and our home values are just now starting to come up with everything we have put in in the last two years, so I'm disgusted with them.

MS. TARWATER: Bonnie Tarwater,
3120 B Highway, Liberty. I'm opposed to it. I
am one of the property owners. It will devalue
my property. I'm concerned for health reasons
and just the inconvenience and aggravation of
them coming off and on our property for who knows
how long to put these poles up.

MS. SCHMITT: I'm Barbara Schmitt,
S-C-H-M-I-T-T. My husband, Jim, and I have lived
on West Clarksboro since 1978, 9603 West
Clarksboro Drive, and we have enjoyed the
beautiful view we have from our home since 1978,

but what I wanted to state was that KCP&L and Ameren, I recommend that they cooperate to reclassify the area to transmit the power for the Magna plant.

1.1

MS. JOHNSON: My name is Penny
Johnson. My address is 9613 East Clarksboro.
Basically I'm just here to support everybody
because we have enough power lines running out
there already. I've got one that runs through my
yard. She's got one that runs throughout her
yard. We are in a deadly triangle. We will do
the procedure telling them to reclassify the
area.

MS. WOOD: I am Gayle, G-A-Y-L-E, Wood. I'm at 9625 East Clarksboro and I am kind of in that same situation. I already have power lines behind me. This does kind of triangle us in and I'm concerned with property values and such that I don't need that to hinder us any more than we already are.

MR. BARRON: Danny Barron,
B-A-R-R-O-N, and my address is 16810 H Highway,
Liberty. I have lived on my property for 55
years and this is right in my backyard and I have
spent my whole life improving my property and I

1 feel just like all the other neighbors, it's not 2 fair in any way for Ameren to bring a line to our property. It's a health concern and it 3 4 definitely damages our -- the monetary value of our property, plus it just ruins the whole -- it 5 6 ruins the whole setting of everybody's place, so 7 that's what I got to say. MR. MORROW: Scott Morrow, 8 9 M-O-R-R-O-W, 9518 West Clarksboro. I'm opposed 10 to it. MR. DANIELS: Chris Daniels. 11 12 the 9718 West Clarksboro house right next door to 13 Mainly that they coincide with KCP&L to 14 go that route instead of trying to come through our backyard and take property away from us on 15 16 Clarksboro due to an easement -- due to the fact that an easement would be put in place, not to 17 18 mention the health risks that come with the 19 69,000 volt line. 20 MR. TARWATER: Tom Tarwater, 3120 B 21 Highway, Liberty, 64068. And I'm totally opposed 22 to the Ameren power line going across my property 23 for numerous reasons and they know what it is. 24 MS. MILLER: I'm Betty Miller, 9816

And I am really opposed because of

25

Clarksboro.

1 the health issues basically because right there, 2 and I don't know for sure if it connects with 3 that or not the lines and everything, plus the 4 property value going down. We are at retirement 5 now and I'm sure in the next couple years would be selling the house and moving to town or 6 7 something, I don't know, but I'm just concerned 8 about mostly those two things. 9 MR. CALDER: My name is Steve Calder, C-A-L-D-E-R, 9825 Clarksboro Drive East, 10 11 and I'm opposed to this. Seems like there is 12 another alternative. 13 MR. OSTEN: Gary Osten, O-S-T-E-N, 14 9809 West Clarksboro Drive, Liberty, Missouri 15 64068. I'm opposed. 16 Edward Busch, MR. BUSCH: 17 B-U-S-C-H, 9620 West Clarksboro, Liberty. 18 MS. SHEMWELL: Patricia Shemwell, S-H-E-M-W-E-L-L, and my husband, Robert, 10206 19 20 Ridge View Road, Liberty, and we are against the 21 Ameren UE supplying electricity on our property. 22 MR. MEAD: Anthony Mead, M-E-A-D, 23 1925 Shepherd Road, Liberty, Missouri. I think 24 that they ought to hook on to KCP&L just like 25 they all do together, work together. They can

meter off their power plant. They do it with houses, they do it with other businesses and they cross lines all the time. So I think that would be the easiest, simplest thing to do. MS. NOLAND: Kate Noland, 10009 Wellington Way, Liberty. MR. SPURGEON: Rick Spurgeon, 12690 S. Hallet Street, Olathe, Kansas 66062. I am opposed because it will devalue the property and loss of rights of usage.

CERTIFICATE I, MARIE A. McCRACKEN, a Certified Court Reporter in the State of Missouri and a Registered Professional Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings had in the above-entitled cause on the date as indicated. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand this 11th day of March, 2013. A. McCRACKEN, CCR, RPR Certified Court Reporter Missouri #874 Kansas #0680 minimum,