Exhibit No.:

Issues: Total Plant in Service, Depreciation Reserve, Plant In Service

Adjustment, Depreciation Reserve Adjustments,

Materials and Supplies, Prepayments, Fuel Inventory, Customer Advances for Construction, Customer Deposits, Power Plant Maintenance Expense, Tree Trimming Expense,

Automated Meter Reading Service Expense, Customer Deposit Interest Expense, Property Taxes, Year 2000 Computer Modification Expense

Computer Software Expense,

Amortization of Year 2000 Computer Modification Expense

Regulatory Advisor Consulting Fees, Board of Directors Advisor Fees

Witness: Paul R. Harrison

Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony

Case No.: EC-2002-1

Date Testimony Prepared: July 2, 2001

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION UTILITY SERVICES DIVISION

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

PAUL R. HARRISON

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a AMERENUE

CASE NO. EC-2002-1

Date 7//0/02 Case No. EC-2002-1

Reporter KEM

Jefferson City, Missouri July 2001

Denotes Proprietary Information

| 1 | TABLE OF CONTENTS OF | |
|----|---|----|
| 2 | DIRECT TESTIMONY OF | |
| 3 | PAUL R. HARRISON | |
| 4 | UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY, | |
| 5 | d/b/a AMERENUE | |
| 6 | EC-2002-1 | |
| 7 | MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES | 2 |
| 8 | PREPAYMENTS | 3 |
| 9 | FUEL INVENTORY | 3 |
| 10 | CUSTOMER ADVANCES FOR CONSTRUCTION | 3 |
| 11 | CUSTOMER DEPOSITS | 4 |
| 12 | TOTAL PLANT IN SERVICE | 4 |
| 13 | DEPRECIATION RESERVE | 5 |
| 14 | PLANT IN SERVICE ADJUSTMENTS | 5 |
| 15 | DEPRECIATION RESERVE ADJUSTMENTS | 6 |
| 16 | POWER PLANT MAINTENANCE EXPENSE | 7 |
| 17 | TREE-TRIMMING EXPENSE | 7 |
| 18 | AUTOMATED METER READING SERVICE EXPENSE | 9 |
| 19 | CUSTOMER DEPOSIT INTEREST EXPENSE | 10 |
| 20 | YEAR 2000 COMPUTER MODIFICATION EXPENSE (Y2K) | 10 |
| 21 | COMPUTER SOFTWARE EXPENSE | 10 |
| 22 | AMORTIZATION OF YEAR 2000 COMPUTER MODIFICATION EXPENSE | 11 |
| 23 | REGULATORY ADVISOR CONSULTING FEES | 11 |
| 24 | BOARD OF DIRECTORS ADVISOR FEES | 12 |
| 25 | PROPERTY TAXES | 13 |
| 26 | | |

| 1 | | DIRECT TESTIMONY |
|----|--|---|
| 2 | | OF |
| 3 | | PAUL R. HARRISON |
| 4 | | UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY, |
| 5 | | d/b/a AMERENUE |
| 6 | | CASE NO. EC-2002-1 |
| 7 | Q. | Please state your name and business address. |
| 8 | . A. | Paul R. Harrison, P. O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102. |
| 9 | Q. | By whom are you employed and in what capacity? |
| 10 | A. | I am a Regulatory Auditor with the Missouri Public Service Commission |
| 11 | (Commission). | |
| 12 | Q. | Please describe your educational background. |
| 13 | A. | I graduated from Park College, Kansas City, Missouri, from which I |
| 14 | received Bachelor of Science degrees in Accounting and Management in July 1995. | |
| 15 | Q. | Have you previously submitted testimony before this Commission? |
| 16 | A. | Yes, I submitted testimony in Case No. GR-2000-512, AmerenUE. |
| 17 | Q. | Have you made an investigation or study of the books and records of |
| 18 | AmerenUE (| UE or Company) in Case No. EC-2002-1? |
| 19 | Α. | Yes, in conjunction with other members of the Commission Staff (Staff). |
| 20 | Q. | Please identify your areas of responsibility in Case No. EC-2002-1. |
| 21 | A. | My principle areas of responsibility are plant in service, depreciation |
| 22 | reserve, fuel inventory and other rate base items, power plant maintenance expense, tree | |
| 23 | trimming expense, automated meter reading expense and property taxes. | |
| 24 | О. | Please identify the Accounting Schedules you are sponsoring. |

balance as a result of this annual review.

PREPAYMENTS

- Q. How was the rate base component for prepayments determined?
- A. The amount of prepayments reflects a 13-month average of total Company prepayments, updated through December 31, 2000, multiplied by the allocation factor for Missouri electric.

FUEL INVENTORY

- Q. How was the rate base component for fuel inventory determined?
- A. The rate base component for fuel inventory reflects a 13-month average of recorded balances, updated through December 31, 2000, multiplied by the allocation factor for Missouri electric.

CUSTOMER ADVANCES FOR CONSTRUCTION

- Q. How was the rate base component for customer advances for construction determined?
- A. The rate base component for customer advances is the weighted 13-month average for Missouri electric through December 31, 2000. An analysis of the balances of customer advances indicated an upward trend; therefore, the 13-month weighted average was used instead of a 13-month average.
 - Q. Please describe a 13-month weighted average.
- A. A 13-month weighted average consists of multiplying each succeeding month by the number of each month, (Dec 31 amount x 1, Jan 31 amount x 2, etc.), adding these 13-month totals together, and dividing the total dollar by the total number of months. Please see Schedule 1 attached to my direct testimony for the calculation of customer advances using this technique. This type of average gives more weight to the

most current months of the customer advances to recognize the upward trend for this item.

CUSTOMER DEPOSITS

- Q. How was the rate base component of customer deposits determined?
- A. An analysis of the Missouri customer deposits indicated an increase for each month of the test year. Therefore, the customer deposits deduction reflects the allocated Missouri electric balance recorded as of December 31, 2000.

TOTAL PLANT IN SERVICE

- Q. Please explain Accounting Schedule 3.
- A. Accounting Schedule 3, Total Plant in Service, lists in Column B the Company's total electric plant in service balances as of June 30, 2000, the test year used by the Staff. Column C lists total Company adjustments, which updates total electric plant in service through December 31, 2000. Column D lists the Missouri jurisdictional allocation factors at December 31, 2000. Column E lists the Staff's adjustment to jurisdictional electric plant, which updates jurisdictional electric plant in service through December 31, 2000. Column F contains the Staff's adjusted jurisdictional plant in service balances, updated through December 31, 2000.
 - Q. Please explain Accounting Schedule 4.
- A. Accounting Schedule 4, Adjustments to Total Plant, details the Staff's individual adjustments to total Company and Missouri jurisdictional electric plant in service, which are listed in Columns C and E, respectively, on Accounting Schedule 3, Total Plant in Service.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

21

22

23

DEPRECIATION RESERVE

- Q. Please explain Accounting Schedule 6.
- A. Accounting Schedule 6, Depreciation Reserve, lists in Column B the Company's total electric depreciation reserve balances as of June 30, 2000. Column C lists total Company adjustments, which updates total electric depreciation reserve through December 31, 2000. Column D lists the Missouri jurisdictional allocation factors at December 31, 2000. Column E lists the Staff's adjustment to jurisdictional electric depreciation reserve, which updates jurisdictional electric depreciation reserve through December 31, 2000. Column F contains the Staff's adjusted jurisdictional electric depreciation reserve balances, updated through December 31, 2000.
- Q. Please explain Accounting Schedule 7.
- A. Accounting Schedule 7, Adjustments to Depreciation Reserve, details the Staff's individual adjustments to total Company and Missouri jurisdictional depreciation reserve, which are listed in Columns C and E, respectively, on Accounting Schedule 6.
 - Q. Please identify the Accounting adjustments you are sponsoring.
- A. I am sponsoring the following adjustments:

| 17 | Plant in Service | P-1.1 through P-7.1 & P-7.3 through R-8.3 |
|----|------------------|---|
|----|------------------|---|

Depreciation Reserve R-1.1 through R-7.1 & R-7.3 through R-8.3

19 Income Statement S-12.1, S-13.1 S-14.4, S-15.2, S-16.1, S-18.1,

20 S-18.2, S-19.18, S-19.19, S-24.2, S-25.1, S-26.1

and S-27.1

PLANT IN SERVICE ADJUSTMENTS

Q. Please explain Plant in Service adjustment P-1.1.

A. The purpose of adjustment P-1.1 is to eliminate from Plant in Service the portion of the Callaway Nuclear Power Plant that was disallowed by the Commission in Case Nos. EO-85-17 and ER-85-160.

- Q. Please explain Plant in Service adjustments P-1.2 through P-7.1, P-7.3, P-8.1, P-8.2 and P-8.3.
- A. The purpose of adjustments P-1.2 through P-7.1, P-7.3, P-8.2, and P-8.3 is to adjust test year ending plant in service balances at June 30, 2000, to reflect plant additions and retirements through September 30, 2000 and through December 31, 2000. The purpose of adjustment P-8.1 is to allocate general plant to gas and steam operations. The general plant is assigned totally to electric on the Company's books and, therefore, must be allocated to the other types of utility operations that the Company provides for rate purposes.

DEPRECIATION RESERVE ADJUSTMENTS

- Q. Please explain Depreciation Reserve adjustments R-1.1 through R-7.1 and R-7.3 through R.8.3.
- A. The purpose of adjustments R-1.1 through R-7.1, R-7.3, and R-8.3 is to reflect the difference between the depreciation reserve balances for the test year ending June 30, 2000 and the updated depreciation reserve balances through September 30, 2000 and through December 31, 2000. These adjustments reflect the difference in the depreciation reserve accruals and retirements between June 30, 2000, September 30, 2000 and December 31, 2000. The purpose of adjustment R-8.2 is to allocate the reserve associated with general plant allocated to gas and steam operations. The general plant reserve is assigned totally to electric operations on UE's books and, therefore, must be

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

allocated to the other types of utility services that the Company provides for rate purposes.

POWER PLANT MAINTENANCE EXPENSE

Q. Please explain Income Statement adjustment S-12.1.

A. Adjustment S-12.1 adjusts the power plant maintenance expense for the Rush Island, Labadie, Sioux and Meramec coal-generation plants. The adjustment reflects the difference between a three-year average of the actual power plant maintenance expense experienced in calendar years 1998-2000, and the amount expensed during the test year. **

** Therefore, the Staff believes the three-year

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

TREE-TRIMMING EXPENSE

testimony of Staff Accounting Witness John P. Cassidy.

Q. Please explain Income Statement adjustments S-13.1 and S-14.4.

average adjustment for the test year is a more accurate reflection of the cost of

maintenance for the four coal-generation plants on a going forward basis. The Callaway

Nuclear Power Plant maintenance adjustment is separately discussed in the direct

A. Adjustment S-13.1 and S-14.4 adjusts the transmission and distribution tree-trimming expense to reflect the difference between the most current four-year average for transmission and distribution tree-trimming expenses (calendar years 1997-

NP

| | Direct Testimony of Paul R. Harrison |
|----|--|
| 1 | 2000) and the amount expensed during the test year. The Staff obtained and analyzed |
| 2 | 10 years of data on tree-trimming expenses by the Company. ** |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | ** Please see Schedule 2 attached |
| 0. | to my direct testimony for a chart depicting the Company's tree-trimming expense over |
| 1 | the last ten years. ** |
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| .5 | |
| .6 | |
| .7 | |
| .8 | |
| 9 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | ** Therefore, the Staff |
| 22 | believes that tree-trimming expenses will decline from test year levels and remain close |
| 2 | to the most current four-year average. |

AUTOMATED METER READING SERVICE EXPENSE

Q. Please explain Income Statement adjustment S-15.2.

A. This adjustment annualizes the transportation costs for meter reading, service request costs for meter reading, and cash vouchers from CellNet for meter reading from the test year to a more current level. (CellNet is the independent vendor that UE contracts with to collect the meter readings data from the automated meters.) This adjustment also eliminates retrofit charges, which are one-time, non-recurring charges to adapt the manual meters to the automated/electronic meters and the cost of the meter installation. During the last four months of the update period, (September through December 2000, there were no retrofit charges or meter installation costs associated with FERC Account 902, automated meter reading service, because the Company began booking these charges into FERC Account 586, meters. Therefore, the Staff annualized the automated meter reading costs in FERC Account 902 by multiplying the last four months of 2000 by three to adjust the automated meter reading expense to an annualized amount.

- Q. Please define "service request costs" as the term is associated with meter reading.
- A. The Company uses a service request to allocate costs to its subsidiaries.

 These costs vary according to the amount of sales, the number of customers and the number of employees assigned to the different subsidiaries.
- Q. Please provide the history of UE's installation of automated/electronic electric meters.
 - A. The following table will illustrate the historical placement of these meters:

| 1 2 | PERIOD | AUTOMATED/ELECTRONIC ELECTRIC METERS IN SERVICE |
|-----|------------|---|
| 3 | 6/30/1997 | 251,174 |
| 4 | 6/30/1998 | 601,994 |
| 5 | 6/30/1999 | 855,922 |
| 6 | 6/30/2000 | 1,087,175 |
| 7 | 12/31/2000 | 1,187,815 |

CUSTOMER DEPOSIT INTEREST EXPENSE

- Q. Please explain Income Statement adjustment S-16.1.
- A. Staff adjustment S-16.1 annualizes interest expense related to customer deposits. The Staff's adjustment is calculated by multiplying the ending balance of customer deposits, as of December 31, 2000 by 10.5%, which represents the prime interest rate of 9.5% as of December 31, 2000 plus one percentage point. The methodology used by the Staff for this adjustment has been used in numerous previous rate cases.

YEAR 2000 COMPUTER MODIFICATION EXPENSE (Y2K)

- Q. Please explain Income Statement adjustment S-18.1.
- A. Adjustment S-18.1 reverses an expense adjustment booked by the Company during the test year. The Company adjustment eliminates Year 2000 (Y2K) computer modification costs, but the adjustment pertained to a prior period. This adjustment must be reversed so that test year operating expense is not understated.

COMPUTER SOFTWARE EXPENSE

Q. Please explain Income Statement adjustment S-18.2 pertaining to capitalization of computer software.

7

9 10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

A. Adjustment S-18.2 reverses an expense adjustment booked by the Company during the test year. The Company adjustment capitalized computer software costs, but the adjustment pertained to a prior period. This adjustment must be reversed so that the test year operating expense is not understated.

AMORTIZATION OF YEAR 2000 COMPUTER MODIFICATION EXPENSE

- Q. Please explain the adjustment S-18.4 pertaining to Year 2000 (Y2K) computer modification expense.
- A. The purpose of Income Statement Adjustment S-18.4 is to amortize the software upgrade costs ** ** associated with Y2K compliance over an eightyear period.
- Q. Please explain why the Staff is recommending amortizing the costs associated with software upgrade services related to Y2K over an eight-year period?
- A. The Staff is recommending amortizing these costs over an eight-year period because this time period is very close to the depreciable life for computer equipment that is being proposed by Staff Witness Jolie Mathis of the Commission's Engineering and Management Services Department.

REGULATORY ADVISOR CONSULTING FEES

- Q. Please explain Income Statement adjustment S-19.18.
- A. This adjustment decreases test year expense by \$39,000 for AmerenUE's Regulatory Advisor consulting fees allocated to Missouri electric operations. In reply to Staff Data Request No. 257R, the Staff asked the Company to provide the following information related to the Company's regulatory advisor's fees:
 - 1) How often did he provide advice to the Company concerning state and national matters?



- 2) Minutes of and/or dates of agenda meeting he attended.
 - 3) Number of times he appeared before the Commission on Ameren matters.
 - 4) Number of times he performed legal research for Ameren and what issues was he working on?
 - 5) Copies of any reports, research papers, minutes of meetings, or any other documentation that he could have worked on.

The Company responded:

Questions 1, 2 and 3. The Company does not keep specific records of the number of times [] provides advice, the number of Commission agenda meetings he or his associates attend, nor the number of times he or his associates appear before the Commission on Ameren matters. [] services are provided to the Company on a periodic basis. It could be several times in a given week or only a few times in a given month – depending on the level of relevant activity at the Commission.

Questions 4 and 5. The Company has previously objected to these two questions.

It is the Staff's opinion that these services are not necessary for the provision of safe and adequate electric service and provide no direct benefit to the ratepayer. In addition, the Company either cannot or will not provide any documentation concerning the consultant's work for the Company during the Staff's test year.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS ADVISOR FEES

- Q Please explain Income Statement adjustment S-19.19 pertaining to AmerenUE's Board of Directors Advisor.
- A. This adjustment decreases test year expenses by \$6,668 for AmerenUE's Board of Director's Advisor. In reply to Staff Data Request No. 179, of AmerenUE's gas Case No. GR-2000-512, the Staff asked the Company to explain what benefit the

1 Miss

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Missouri gas ratepayer received for the Board of Director's Advisor fees. The Company

2 responded:

Due to illness, AmerenUE's Board of Directors Advisor [] was not able to actively participate in matters before AmerenUE's Board during the test year ending June 30, 1999. [] subsequently died in October 1999.

The Advisor's services are provided at the discretion of the Company's management. Since this expense is for the same Advisor to the Board of Directors as mentioned in the data request response from the gas rate case, it is the Staff's opinion that the Advisor's services are not necessary for the provision of safe and adequate electric service and provide no direct benefit to the ratepayer. Furthermore, to date, this position has not been filled with another Advisor to the Board of Directors.

PROPERTY TAXES

- Q. Please explain Income Statement adjustments S-24.2, S-25.1, S-26.1 and S-27.1.
- A. These adjustments annualize property taxes and reflect the difference between the actual property taxes paid in 2000, and the amount of property taxes expensed during the test year. They also eliminate the property taxes associated with the allocated general plant eliminated in the plant in service adjustments.
 - Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
 - A. Yes, it does.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

| The Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission | on,) Case No. EC-2002-1 | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Complainar vs. | , | | | |
| Union Electric Company, d/b/a AmerenUE, Responder |)) nt.) | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OF PAUL R. HARRISON | | | | |
| STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss. COUNTY OF COLE) | | | | |
| Paul R. Harrison, is, of lawful age, and on his oath states: that he has participated in the preparation of the foregoing Direct Testimony in question and answer form, consisting of /3 pages to be presented in the above case; that the answers in the foregoing Direct Testimony were given by him; that he has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief. | | | | |
| | Paul R. Harrison | | | |
| Subscribed and sworn to before me this 294 | day of June, 2001. Diviellankin | | | |
| | | | | |

D SUZIE MANKIN
NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF MISSOURI
COLE COUNTY
MY COMMISSION EXP. JUNE 21,2004

SCHEDULE 1

HAS BEEN DEEMED

PROPRIETARY

IN ITS ENTIRETY

SCHEDULE 2

HAS BEEN DEEMED

PROPRIETARY

IN ITS ENTIRETY