Exhibit No:

Issues: Rate Design

Witness: James A. Busch

Sponsoring Party: MO PSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: Supplemental Surrebuttal

Testimony

Case No.: ER-2006-0314

Date Testimony Prepared: October 12, 2006

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION UTILITY OPERATIONS DIVISION

SUPPLEMENTAL SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

JAMES A. BUSCH

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

CASE NO. ER-2006-0314

Jefferson City, Missouri October 2006

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of Kansas City Power & Light Company for Approval to Make Certain Changes in its Charges for Electric Service to Begin the Implementation of Its Regulatory Plan)) Case No. ER-2006-0314)								
AFFIDAVIT OF JAMES A. BUSCH									
STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss COUNTY OF COLE)									
preparation of the following Supplemental S form, consisting of 2 pages of Supplemental in the above case, that the answers in the fo	is oath states: that he has participated in the surrebuttal Testimony in question and answer nental Surrebuttal Testimony to be presented llowing Supplemental Surrebuttal Testimony of the matters set forth in such answers; and nowledge and belief.								
	James A. Busch								
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 12	→k _ day of October, 2006.								
My commission expires	DAWN L. HAKE Notary Public My Commission Expires March 16, 2009 Cole County Commission #05407643								

1		SUPPLEMENTAL SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY					
2 3		OF					
4 5		JAMES A. BUSCH					
6 7		KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY					
8 9		CASE NO. ER-2006-0314					
10 11							
12 13	Q.	Please state your name and business address.					
14	A.	My name is James A. Busch and my business address is P. O. Box 360,					
15	Jefferson Cit	ty, Missouri 65102.					
16	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?					
17	A.	I am a Regulatory Economist III in the Economic Analysis Section of the					
18	Energy Department, Utility Operations Division of the Missouri Public Service Commission						
19	(Staff).						
20	Q.	Are you the same James A. Busch who filed direct, rebuttal, and surrebuttal					
21	testimony on behalf of Staff in this proceeding?						
22	A.	Yes I am.					
23	Q.	What is the purpose of your supplemental surrebuttal testimony?					
24	A.	In my direct testimony, I presented Staff's recommendation for the					
25	methodology	y the Commission should employ to determine appropriate revenue neutral shifts					
26	in class revenue responsibility and included Schedule JAB-2 to show the results of Staff's						
27	rate design methodology based upon Staff's Class Cost of Service Study (CCOS), which was						
28	presented in the direct testimony of Staff witness Janice Pyatte. In Ms. Pyatte's surrebutta						
29	testimony, she presented a revised CCOS. This supplemental surrebuttal testimony presents						

	Surrebuttal Testimony of James A. Busch
1	an updated Schedule JAB-2 (Schedule JAB-2 (Revised)) that is based on the results of Ms.
2	Pyatte's revised CCOS and Staff's recommendation presented in my direct testimony for the
3	methodology the Commission should employ to determine appropriate revenue neutral shifts
4	in class revenue responsibility, i.e., the differences between Schedule JAB-2 and Schedule
5	JAB-2 (Revised) are solely due to changes in Staff's CCOS results.
6	Q. Are you revising anything in your supplemental testimony filed on October 6,
7	2006?
8	A. No. This supplemental surrebuttal testimony does not change any of my
9	surrebuttal testimony. It only updates Staff's proposed revenue neutral shifts in class revenue
10	responsibility.

Does this conclude your supplemental surrebuttal testimony?

11

12

Q.

A.

Yes.

STAFF'S CCOS RESULTS AND RATE DESIGN PROPOSAL Kansas City Power Light ER-2006-0314

Rate Revenue	MO Retail \$483,655,953	Residential \$173,661,690	Small GS \$37,014,983	Medium GS \$63,152,089	Large GS \$110,105,736	Large Power \$99,721,455	Lighting \$0
Staff CCOS Deficiency (\$) Staff CCOS Deficiency (%)		\$14,305,014 8.24%	(\$1,305,797) -3.53%	(\$5,523,203) -8.75%	(\$2,651,279) -2.41%	(\$4,821,735) -4.84%	\$0 0.00%
Proposed % Reduction Revenue Reductions Revenue Increases	\$7,464,473	\$7,464,473	-2.41% (\$891,298)	-2.41% (\$1,520,664)	-2.41% (\$2,651,279)	-2.41% (\$2,401,232)	\$0
Revenue-Neutral \$ Change	\$0	\$7,464,473	(\$891,298)	(\$1,520,664)	(\$2,651,279)	(\$2,401,232)	\$0
Revenue-Neutral % Change	0.00%	4.30%	-2.41%	-2.41%	-2.41%	-2.41%	0.00%
Post-Shift Rate Revenues		\$181,126,163	\$36,123,685	\$61,631,425	\$107,454,457	\$97,320,223	\$0