1 2 STATE OF MISSOURI 3 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 4 5 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 6 7 Agenda Discussion 8 September 9, 2009 9 10 University of Missouri-Kansas City Kansas City, Missouri 11 12 Volume I 13 14 Office of Public Counsel's) Petition for Promulgation of) Rules Relating to Billing)File No. AX-2010-0061 15 and Payment Standards for) 16 Residential Customers) 17 18 COMMISSIONERS 19 ROBERT M. CLAYTON, III, Chairman JEFF DAVIS 20 TERRY JARRETT KEVIN GUNN 21 ROBERT S. KENNEY 22 23 24 REPORTED BY: Lea Ann Martin, RPR, CSR, CCR 25

```
1
    APPEARANCES:
 2
     For Community Financial Services Association of
     America:
 3
     MR. MATT WILTANGER
 4
     Vice President, General Counsel
              9401 Indian Creek Parkway
 5
              Suite 1500
              Overland Park, KS 66210
 б
              (913) 234-5210
              matt.wiltanger@qchi.com
 7
     For United Payday Lenders of Missouri:
 8
     MR. RANDY J. SCHERR
 9
     RJ SCHERR & ASSOCIATES
              101 E. High Street, Suite 200
10
              PO Box 1543
              Jefferson City, MO 65102
              (573)636-2822
11
              rjscherr@swsconsultants.com
12
     For Missouri Energy Development Association:
13
     MR. PAUL A. BOUDREAU
14
     BRYDON, SWEARENGEN & ENGLAND
              312 East Capitol Avenue
15
              PO Box 456
              Jefferson City, MO 65102-0456
              (573)635-7166
16
              paulb@brydonlaw.com
17
     For AmerenUE:
18
     MR. JAMES B. LOWERY
19
     SMITH LEWIS, LLP
              111 South Ninth Street, Suite 200
20
              PO Box 918
              Columbia, MO 65205-0918
21
              (573)443-3141
              lowery@smithlewis.com
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
    APPEARANCES: (cont'd.)
 2
     MS. CAROLE M. HUNT, CTP
 3
     Assistant Treasurer, Manager Business Services
     Operations
 4
              One Ameren Plaza
              1901 Chouteau Avenue
 5
              PO Box 66149, MC 235
              St. Louis, MO 63166-6149
 6
              (314)554-4122
              chunt@ameren.com
 7
     For Missouri Telecommunications Industry
 8
     Association:
 9
     MR. RICHARD TELTHORST, CAE
     President
10
              312 East Capitol Avenue
              PO Box 785
              Jefferson City, MO 65102
11
              (573)634-2527
              ric@mtia.org
12
     For Office of Public Counsel:
13
14
     MR. MICHAEL DANDINO
     Deputy Public Counsel
15
              200 Madison Street, Suite 650
              PO Box 2230
              Jefferson City, MO 65102
16
              (573)751 - 1304
17
              michael.dandino@ded.mo.gov
18
     For Public Service Commission Staff:
     MS. NATELLE DIETRICH
19
     Director, Utility Operations
              200 Madison Street
20
              Suite 800
21
              Jefferson City, MO 65102
              (573) 234-5210
22
              natelle.dietrich@psc.mo.gov
23
24
25
```

PROCEEDINGS 1 2 (Exhibit UPLM 1 was marked.) 3 CHAIRMAN CLAYTON: At this point 4 in the agenda we will go back onto our scheduled 5 agenda, Case Discussion No. 2, AX-2010-0061, 6 Office of Public Counsel. This is a petition by 7 the Public Counsel for promulgation of rules relating to billing is how it's on the agenda. 8 9 This is the Public Counsel's petition. Mike Dandino is here. Please introduce yourself 10 to us and tell us who you are and tell us what you 11 want to say today. 12 13 MR. DANDINO: Okay. Thank you, 14 Mr. Chairman. May it please the Commission, my name is 15 Michael Dandino. I'm the chief legal adviser for 16 17 the Missouri Office of Public Counsel. 18 Just as a way of introduction, the Missouri Office of Public Counsel represents the 19 20 consumer, the rate participators in utility 21 matters before the Public Service Commission and 22 in the courts on appeal. Primarily that means 23 that we represent residential and small business 24 concerns. 25 The petition the Office of Public Counsel

1 filed was for the purpose of -- of taking a matter 2 to the Commission that usually you don't see right 3 at the beginning. The focus of most of your cases 4 is rate cases to the utility and you start with 5 the depreciation and accounting and cost factors 6 and the inventories and financial information and 7 rates of return. We wanted to get a new debate going, raise the level of public debate on the 8 9 impact of -- on consumers and probably one of the 10 biggest impacts on consumers other than price is billing. We wanted to make sure that everyone 11 understands that billing is part of the whole 12 system, the whole continuum of utility regulation 13 14 because it starts not only with the product and 15 the distribution and -- and the rights for connection and disconnection and termination of 16 17 service and billing and payments, that billing is 18 an integral part of this and that's why we're talking about billing factors or billing issues. 19 20 Just to -- a lot of the focus in the 21 newspapers and elsewhere is on payday loan --22 payday loan companies and other second tier 23 financial institutions as agents, pay agents, pay stations for the utilities but I don't want any of 24 25 that debate to cloud the real issues that we're

really talking about billing. We're talking about
 the responsibility that the utilities have for
 billing, about the accountability and I think
 that's what we wanted to raise with the Commission
 and raise with the public are those issues.

б Speaking of payday loans, I did want to 7 disclose to the Commission that I've recently received an award from the payday loan industry on 8 9 one of their websites. I was given the award of 10 having the most ignorant statement of the day. I was quoted from the petition. I didn't -- they 11 quoted it, I'd rather not go into that now, but 12 also they did talk about -- I think the ending 13 14 line was, "Hey, Dandino, don't try to save the world." Well, the Office of Public Counsel is not 15 trying to save the world, we're not even trying to 16 17 reform the world, we're just looking at utility companies and we want them to respect and to serve 18 19 the customers in the best way possible. I think we all share that, companies, this Commission, the 20 21 Office of Public Counsel.

22 So let's look at what our proposal is. 23 Well, first kind of context of it is, whenever one 24 says the protection, consumer protection, there's 25 two aspects of this, correct any wrongs, of б

1 course, and protect the consumer. We're trying to 2 protect the consumer from the nickel and diming of 3 them as what occurred in the telephone industry. 4 You ended up with a laundry list of little fees, 5 regulatory, administration fees, you have tax б fees, you have personal property tax surcharges, 7 you have in-state -- intrastate charges, USF 8 charges, Missouri USF, you have this whole laundry 9 list of fees and charges that normally would have 10 been within the rate structure, the rates that are paid, and we wanted to avoid this with the -- with 11 the other industries. There's some talk and 12 discussion about electricity going into 13 14 competitive status. This is the very thing we 15 want to do -- probably should have done with the telephone is restrict some of these because once 16 17 it becomes -- once it is established and once it gets into the companies' financial line -- or 18 19 revenue stream, it'll be much harder to pull it 20 back out.

21 Once again, the -- perhaps some of the 22 comments that I've seen in the filings that the 23 utilities have filed was that these really aren't 24 problems, the billing -- the fees for a bill, 25 well, we don't charge for that or we don't charge

1 for this, we have just a few payday loan 2 companies. The question is, this Commission has 3 to look at and decide what should be the public 4 policy and if the utilities agree that they don't 5 have these -- these special charges or they don't б use payday loan, then they have nothing to fear 7 about these rules. They should support them. If 8 they do have these practices, then it's a perfect 9 example of why they should end it if this 10 Commission declares it as public -- in the public interest and in the interest of the consumer. 11 12 The three elements basically of the -- of the proposed rules is, one is of course the payday 13 14 loan as a pay station and the question isn't 15 whether payday loan stores are good or bad, it's a question of -- from the utility point of view. Is 16 17 a utility -- should a utility partner with them, 18 should they assist the company -- payday loan 19 company create traffic in their stores. And the very essence of it is, should these -- the payday 20 21 loan, and I'm just kind of grouping them all 22 together, the second tier financial, should they 23 make a loan -- should the utility make them an agent and the representatives of the utility, what 24 25 is the impact of that, and I think those are the

1 questions that I think the Commission really needs 2 to say is who regulates that. Well, where is the 3 responsibility at that end? To what extent has 4 the utility outsourced their responsibility as 5 well as their -- this function? And, once again, б we go back to the essence of it is billing is a 7 utility function and remember that concept and keep -- keep it together. If billing is a utility 8 9 function then what are payday loan companies doing 10 acting as agents, and if they are, there should be some guidelines, responsibilities for them just 11 12 like...

And then that comes to the next question 13 14 is, is any of the -- of the outsourcing for 15 customer service centers. Some of the utilities used to have customer service centers. I now 16 17 Laclede used to have one in St. Charles County 18 where I live and that is now closed. I don't know 19 if they have one downtown now or not but, in any 20 event, with a customer service center you can go 21 and pay your bill, you can pay it in cash, you can 22 pay it in any form, but also you had people there 23 from the company that could help you resolve your problems -- resolve your problems, set up a pay 24 25 arrangement. They were the company's

1 representatives and they could provide a full 2 array of those services. You can file a complaint 3 there and I think that's very important. With any 4 of the agents, if I go into a Schnucks or 5 Dierbergs or payday loan, I'm not going to get б that type of service. I'm going to get there, I'm 7 going to pay a bill and I'll get a receipt. And there's also a question of if I pay the bill 8 9 there, is that the authorized payment or is it 10 whenever the money gets to -- to the company? These are the type of issues that we want the 11 Commission to look at in the billing aspects. 12

And then, finally, we have just the fees 13 14 for the transaction and the fees -- right now we just want -- wanted to say let's -- let's try to 15 16 look at when a utility and a ratepayer interacts, 17 the ratepayer should not have to bear the brunt of 18 it, especially not for every transaction that 19 ratepayer has. And I'm talking about getting a 20 bill or getting copies of bills or any interagency 21 agreement. That should be part of the whole 22 service and that should be built into the entire 23 rate structure because I think it's also cheaper to the consumers as a whole for it to be done that 24 25 way rather than individually. And, you know, so I

1 just ask the Commission to -- we hope that this 2 will be a very open and very informative, very 3 congenial, civil discussion on these rules and it 4 will come out with something that will protect the 5 consumer and -- and advance the public interest. б Thank you. 7 CHAIRMAN CLAYTON: Thank you, 8 Mr. Dandino. 9 All the folks sitting at the table also I 10 think are going to be providing presentations. I wanted to ask my colleagues if it would be all 11 right if we go ahead and give everybody a chance 12 to come up, offer some comments and then if we 13 14 have time we'll open it up for discussion questions. Is that all right or... 15 Hearing no objection, Mr. Dandino, thank 16 17 you. Please stick around if that's all right. 18 MR. DANDINO: Sure. 19 CHAIRMAN CLAYTON: I've been given a list of folks that expressed interest in 20 21 participating today. The next entity to 22 participate in these discussions is Community 23 Financial Services Association of America. 24 MR. WILTANGER: Mr. Chairman, may 25 it please the Commission, my name is Matt

1 Wiltanger. I am general counsel for QC Holdings. QC Holdings is a payday lender. We're based in 2 3 Overland Park, Kansas. We operate several payday 4 loan stores in the state of Missouri and we 5 operate Quik Cash, and although I work for QC б Holdings, I'm here on behalf of Community 7 Financial Services Association, or the CFSA. Real briefly, the CFSA is the trade 8 9 organization for payday loan owners around the 10 country. Approximately 60 percent of all payday loan operators are members of the CFSA. It was 11 12 formed about ten years ago and one of its main 13 purposes was to enact a series of consumer 14 protections that go above and beyond what various 15 states provide and I'll talk briefly about that. 16 I am, however, I guess a little bit 17 embarrassed. I was supposed to bring the award 18 today for the most ignorant statement and I want to apologize, I left it in my car. So I will --19 when this is done I will go out and get that. I 20 21 will bring that in, so, again, my apologies for 22 that. 23 MR. DANDINO: I appreciate that. 24 MR. WILTANGER: As it relates to 25 payday lenders, these proposed rules will not help

1 the consumer. Let me be real clear. If the ultimate goal of the Public Counsel is to figure 2 3 out a way to allow Missouri consumers to pay their 4 utility bills without any fees whatsoever, we 5 would support that. That's great. But if it 6 turns out that this becomes an attack on payday 7 lenders in the state of Missouri, we strongly oppose that. And I'm going to talk about a couple 8 9 of reasons why we oppose that.

10 First of all, let me explain a little bit about how the bill pay service works in our stores 11 in the state. We contract -- Quik Cash or QC 12 Holdings, and other members as well, will contract 13 14 with someone like Western Union or a MoneyGram to allow consumers to come in and pay bills. The 15 price on the -- for the fees will range anywhere 16 17 from 40 cents to a couple of dollars. In our 18 arrangement at Quik Cash, it's roughly \$1.50 per transaction. Western Union will get \$1.50. We 19 20 take about 65 cents of that transaction that goes 21 to Quik Cash. This is not a huge money maker for 22 us and it's not a huge money maker for the 23 industry in Missouri. We do it because it's a convenience and I would encourage as this debate 24 25 goes on, I would encourage -- including Public

1 Counsel, to come in a payday loan store and come 2 speak to consumers who use this service and ask 3 them whether they think this is useful. If they 4 think this is a benefit to be able to go use 5 Western Union and pay, whether it be 40 cents or б \$2, to pay their utility bill. What we find with 7 our customers who come in to use the service is they appreciate it. It's another way for them to 8 9 pay off their utility bills if there isn't a pay 10 center or, as I will address later, if they don't have a checking account. And I think that's the 11 biggest fallacy with the Public Counsel's 12 13 comments.

14 Our payday loan consumers have to have 15 two things before we lend money to them. They have to have a job because ultimately we want them 16 17 to pay us off -- pay us back, and, secondly, they 18 have to have a checking account. The way our 19 product works is we need a source of collateral 20 and for us it's a postdated check. So they have 21 to have a checking account. That's what the 22 payday loan customer has to have and obviously 23 they have to qualify for a certain level of lending. 24

25 The person who comes in to use a bill pay

service is generally using that bill pay service because they don't have a checking account. They want to use Western Union for a money order, they want to use Western Union to pay a utility bill. Otherwise, it might be very simple for them to issue a check as opposed to having cash on hand and going to use Western Union.

8 And there'll be comments you'll hear 9 later today from other gentlemen talking about how 10 there really isn't an overlap. So the concept 11 that we are somehow luring consumers into our stores using this convenience of bill pay in order 12 to put them into a predatory payday loan is 13 14 misplaced and I think it suggests a lack of 15 research on the issue.

The other issue I want to address about 16 17 the report -- and, again, you understand, I'm here 18 talking specifically as to the payday lending 19 aspects of the report, is that the concept that 20 our -- that our loans are predatory. Again, 21 accept that we are not luring people in to put 22 them into payday loans, they're coming in to pay 23 off -- pay utility bills, they are generally not our customer because they don't have checking 24 25 accounts. But our customer is not as portrayed in

1 the report. Our customer makes on average of about \$40,000 a year. Our customer has more 2 3 college education than a member of the general 4 public. They know what they're doing. They're 5 paying a fee from \$15 to \$20 per \$100 to borrow б money from us and they understand if they come 7 back two weeks later they pay it off. If you look 8 at today's New York Times, it's very interesting. 9 The front page of the New York Times talks about 10 overdraft fees and there's a nice story about a gentleman who's a 59-year-old graduate student, 11 someone who's gone back to college, who made 12 13 several low dollar transactions with his debit 14 card, and at the end of the day, he got hit for a \$34 overcharge on his several debit transactions 15 and he's wondering why should a \$4.14 Starbucks 16 17 coffee cost me \$34.14. Our consumers understand 18 that our product can for them sometimes be a much 19 cheaper alternative. They know what they're 20 doing.

21 Second thing I want to talk a little bit 22 about briefly, the concept was raised in the 23 report that these customers are then trapped in 24 these payday loans. Understand 90 percent of our 25 customers pay us off in two weeks. And if we had

1 the ability to make that 100 percent, we would. We're no different from a first tier financial 2 3 organization, to use the Public Counsel's words. 4 We want to get paid back. It's not in our 5 interests to have debt out there. One of the б things that the CFSA does to address those issues 7 is, generally speaking as business owners, we're going to work with consumers who have trouble 8 9 making their payments. It's not on our interests 10 to have debt just vanish, but one of the things CFSA did 10 years ago that went above and beyond 11 what other states did at that time, some have now 12 adopted this, is we offer an extended payment plan 13 14 so if there is a consumer out there who is 15 concerned that they're not going to be able to pay off their loan within two weeks, they can come 16 17 into our store and say, I need a little bit of 18 extra time, and we will give them an additional 19 eight weeks at no charge to pay off their loan. 20 So a two-week loan will now be a ten-week loan so 21 they can pay us off. We don't have a cycle of 22 debt. More importantly, we have the tools to make 23 sure that just doesn't happen.

One other thing real briefly about paydayloans. It will be portrayed, as it was in the

1 report, that our fees are excessive and what's 2 breath taking about our product is we are, for 3 lack of better terms, saddled with having to 4 disclose an annual percentage rate. And our 5 annual percentage rates are breathtaking for folks б who don't understand the product. If you think about it, if you go to your neighbor and say, "I 7 borrowed money a 391 percent interest," they would 8 9 say you're a fool, why would anybody borrow money 10 at 391 percent, your bank will give it to you for far less than that. The reality is, that's an 11 annualized rate. That's if I gave you money, you 12 took out a two-week loan and you decided it was in 13 14 your best interests just to pay interest on that 15 loan for 26 two-week periods. Well, the State of Missouri doesn't allow us to do that. No state 16 17 would allow anybody to renew a loan 26 times, but 18 we are required to disclose our rate of return in 19 annual percentage rate. Customers understand they're paying \$15 to \$20 per \$100, they pay it 20 21 back in two weeks, so we're saddled a little bit 22 with that.

The other thing I think is interesting -and I will share with you gentlemen some of the research that has been done on this, is, generally speaking, our rates are relatively fair. The FDIC
 Chairwoman Sheila Bair has done studies on this
 and said the amount of money that payday loans has
 to charge for a long is fair given the costs and
 risks associated with the loan.

I have a letter that I would like to hand
to you. I also have just snapshots of research
done on the payday lending industry.

9 And in closing, I recognize that this 10 is -- the debate over payday lending is not something that is probably of interest to the 11 Commission. I'm here just because of the attack 12 made on it in the report. Again, if there's a way 13 14 to help Missouri consumers pay off utility bills 15 by having them pay no fees whatsoever, that's great. But if it becomes an expedition to attack 16 17 payday lenders and say we're going to exclude this 18 subset of convenience fee providers, we take 19 strong objection to that, again, because our consumers like it and, again, because our 20 21 consumers who are using the bill pay are not 22 getting payday loans.

I'm happy, as with everybody else, to
answer questions as they're asked but, again,
appreciate your time today.

1 CHAIRMAN CLAYTON: Thank you. And it's Mr. Wiltanger? 2 3 MR. WILTANGER: Wiltanger. 4 CHAIRMAN CLAYTON: W-i-l-t-a --MR. WILTANGER: -- n-g-e-r. 5 б CHAIRMAN CLAYTON: Just like it 7 sounds. 8 Thank you very much for being here today. 9 I'm sure we're going to have some questions. 10 The next group is the United Payday Lenders of Missouri. Mr. Scherr. 11 12 MR. SCHERR: Mr. Chairman, members of the committee, my name is Randy Scherr. 13 14 CHAIRMAN CLAYTON: Hang on just a second, Mr. Scherr. Let's make sure the court 15 reporter gets a copy of the exhibit. We are on 16 17 the record and this is being -- we've got a court 18 reporter here taking down everything so if you 19 can, make sure a copy gets to her. MR. SCHERR: I have provided a 20 21 copy to the court reporter. Here is a copy of our 22 report and I'm really not going to refer to that 23 much in my presentation but that is a copy of the 24 New York Federal Reserve study dealing with 25 predatory lending and it is actually referenced in Matt's letter to you. There is a link in his
 letter. This is the actual report itself that may
 be -- may be of interest to you, so that's my only
 reference to it.

5 I very much appreciate the opportunity on 6 behalf of the United Payday Lenders to appear. We 7 appreciate your interest in delving into this 8 issue and looking at all of the facts.

9 I represent the state association. It's 10 the state, if you will, the chapter of the group 11 that Matt represents on the national level. We 12 represent probably 400 locations in the state of 13 licensees that you've seen referenced in Public 14 Counsel's document.

We would offer to you anything we can do 15 to help bring any of the material or information 16 17 to you that we possibly can. When this arose a 18 few weeks -- two week ago I guess we had an 19 emergency board meeting to talk about this -- this 20 effort to try to get a better understanding just 21 how it all works also. We at that time decided to 22 undertake a statewide survey of our membership 23 just to see what the tie is, if there is, in fact, one. At the same time we found out that in the 24 25 State of Ohio and State of California, they had

1 previously looked at this effort and I think it was overwhelmingly defeated in the legislature in 2 3 California. I don't think that the utility 4 commission in Ohio moved it very far after they --5 after they got these facts. But there was a б national survey by one company that I'll refer to 7 briefly -- shortly, but in our -- in our board call, we had ten members of the board there, they 8 9 represent both large and small and some of the 10 people here are members of the state association. So I asked the board first how many of you provide 11 bill paying services, and we had ten members on 12 the board, ten -- ten of the board members. Five 13 14 offered them, five did not. Okay. Of the five 15 that offered them, what do you do and who do you use? They use Budget Phone, they use MoneyGram 16 17 and they use Western Union. Now, I'm sure there 18 are others out there but the five that were there, 19 those covered the gambit.

Let's talk about the ties. How many bill payers do you have come into your stores on a regular basis? One company has 105 locations in the state. Only one had been doing it more than a month. They just started, just signed a deal with one with of those companies, I don't remember 1 specifically which one, but of the one location 2 they had, they had 350 payments per month. 350 3 customers that came into their store to pay their 4 utility bills. How many loans do you get from 5 these 350 people, how many have you gotten over б the year? One loan from 350 people walking in 7 every month for a year, they got one loan out of 8 it.

9 The second person, how many do you have? 10 They had ten bill payers per month for a total of 11 120 for the year. They had one loan over the year 12 to one utility bill payer.

13 The third and fourth said that their 14 customers were virtually all neighbors. They come 15 in because they drive by the store at night, they 16 drive by and they come in and they pay their 17 bills. They'll cash their paycheck, pay all their 18 bills. Zero loans at those two -- at those two 19 companies.

The fifth one, this was a rather large one, they have 1,800 bill payers per year. He has two locations and over -- over a two-year period they had 3,600 bill payers come in and over the two years he had two people take out payday loans. So the bottom line is, this is really a

1 nonissue from our standpoint. It is not a 2 money -- it is not a revenue source for us. The 3 one that does a lot of business says that he makes 4 maybe \$165 a month on this, so it's not an issue 5 for us. It's simply a convenience for the people б in the neighborhoods, it's a convenience for the 7 customers that come in that might use other financial services other than payday loans. And I 8 9 think it was interesting that Matt made the 10 disconnect between the people that for some reason don't particularly care for banks, don't have 11 checking accounts, want to come in and they want 12 to cash their paycheck, they want to pay all of 13 14 their bills through a service like Western Union. 15 They pay seven bills on their list, and some of these companies have I've heard as many as 150 or 16 17 160 companies that they'll accept payment for, so Ameren may just be one of 160 on the Western Union 18 19 list so when they come in they can pay it. But they want to come in, pay all their bills at one 20 21 time. It's cheaper for them. I think it's 22 also -- it was also noted by Matt some of these 23 charges are 40 cents. You can't buy a stamp to mail your check, but they don't have checks. 24 25 That's why they come and they use the bill paying

service. If they don't have checks they can't be
 one of our customers, so there's a total
 disconnect in that regard.

4 We -- I mentioned the services that they 5 use. I think what we would suggest is, as you 6 move forward, if you move forward in this, to get 7 a little more detailed information on this -- on this tie. Again, in the state of Ohio it was 8 9 taken up by the utility commission, there was a 10 national survey that was done by a single company, and that's the caveat, it was a single company 11 that had about 600 -- it was over 602,000 utility 12 bill payments at their locations nationwide. They 13 14 had less than 1 percent of those bill payers were 15 payday loan customers of their store.

So I think the concern that our board 16 17 when we were -- when we were taking up this issue and discussing it on the call the other day, for 18 19 99 percent of the utility bill payers are all bill 20 payers, and there are those that come in to pay 21 other bills in addition, some make their car 22 payments this way, some may make their house 23 payment, we don't know, for 99 percent of the people, our -- our board was sitting there 24 25 scratching our head saying this is going to be a

1 terrible inconvenience for those people because 2 they want a nice location, convenient location 3 where they can go in and pay their bills, they can 4 walk out and they know how much cash they have 5 left over from their check -- from their paycheck. б They've got all seven of their bills paid. It's a 7 matter of convenience. It's also a matter of 8 cost. These people are in some cases paying their 9 bills for 40, 60, 80 cents a bill and they can't 10 buy an envelope and a stamp for that. 11 We do not want to get into the discussion, as the Public Counsel mentioned, of 12 the issue of the copies of the bills and all that, 13 14 that's not our issue, Mr. Chairman, and I think 15 you recognize that so we just want -- we want to discuss the so-called connect here that is trying 16 17 to be made and we just can't find it. 18 It was interesting when the issue came up in the state of Ohio that it bled over into 19 20 Indiana and a reporter from the Indianapolis Star

by the name of Rob Schneider went out and decided he would just check into this so he went and visited a whole bunch of stores in Indianapolis and camped out to talk to the people that came in to -- to pay their utility bills at payday loan

1 stores and he couldn't find anybody -- they all came in and said, no, I'm here because it's 2 3 convenient, it's easy for me, it's cheap. Not one 4 person did he find that came in to take out a 5 payday loan. And, in fact, they said, why would б we take out one of those, all we're here to do is 7 pay our utility bills. It's convenient for us. 8 We would offer up any additional 9 information. As I mentioned, we were going to do 10 a statewide survey. We held that off because we 11 were looking at some other data that was coming in actually from a nationwide company but we are 12 poised to do that to discuss what this connect 13 14 might be or not be in that case. I don't want to get into specifics of the 15 details of the proposed reg but it's really 16 17 befuddling to us that you would look at a location 18 based on a service when, in fact, you -- if you're 19 doing check cashing in a liquor store under these proposed rules that would still be allowed. So is 20 21 that enticing people to buy alcohol? I mean, 22 there are all sorts of suggestions here. But we'd 23 be glad to work with the Commission and provide any data that we might have or can pull together 24 25 and we'll be available for questions.

1 CHAIRMAN CLAYTON: Thank you, 2 Mr. Scherr. 3 We invited the Missouri Energy 4 Development Association and I'm not sure if anyone 5 is here. Oh, Mr. Boudreau, you're here. I know 6 you've got a separate slot. Mr. Boudreau, go 7 ahead. 8 MR. BOUDREAU: Very good. Thank 9 you. 10 Just in the way of introduction, I'm Paul Boudreau. I represent the Missouri Energy 11 Development Association. It's an association 12 whose members are investor-owned public utilities, 13 14 electric, gas and water utilities throughout the 15 state. MEDA represents these companies on matters of common interest and the rule as proposed by 16 17 Mr. Dandino and the Office of Public Counsel would 18 have an impact on all of the members of the MEDA 19 group. So I wanted to -- as you know, MEDA filed 20 21 a preliminary response in this docket on the 31st 22 and we're continuing to seek information from a 23 number of companies of the association as may be pertinent to the topics that are raised in the 24 25 petition. There are a number of legal and

1 procedural concerns that MEDA has with the 2 petition but I'm not going to elaborate on those 3 points today. I will reserve the right to do so 4 at the appropriate time. I want to deal with the 5 subject matter of the petition but at a fairly б high level which I think is what the Commission 7 anticipated today. And I'm gratified to find that I'm not here to make an oral argument. I was 8 9 somewhat alarmed when I heard that phrase because 10 really all I have is just a few casual comments. 11 The message I want to leave with you today, it would be at best premature to embark on 12 rule making based on the information that you've 13 14 been presented with so far. And, in fact, the information that I've heard this morning would 15 indicate that it's -- there's really no basis to 16 17 proceed, at least nothing that I've heard that 18 adds anything to what I've seen so far that has 19 been filed on the docket. There certainly is no 20 evidence of a problem concerning billing and 21 payment practices by MEDA's member utilities, nor 22 is there an indication of a problem associated 23 with the topic of use of authorized pay agents including what are called payday loan offices. 24 25 The only Missouri-specific circumstances that was

referred to in Public Counsel's petition dealt
 with AT&T and that company addressed that topic
 very specifically in a filing that it made on the
 31st as well.

5 I'd also like to point out that MEDA's 6 member companies have not received any indication 7 of complaints that have been filed with either the 8 Public Counsel or with the Commission staff on the 9 topics that are addressed in the petition. So 10 this was all relatively new and surprising when it 11 first came to light.

12 I think bottom line is that I think that more needs to be known about this topic before the 13 14 Commission embarks on any formal process to fix 15 what is likely to be a nonexistent problem. 16 MEDA's member companies want to be helpful to the 17 Commission in any way they can in ascertaining 18 whether there is an unreasonable or unfair billing 19 or payment practice that's worthy of the Commission's time and attention. But any such 20 21 assessment needs to balance the need to maintain 22 meaningful choices for the customers and to not 23 unthinkingly or reflexively limit those choices. 24 Also, the cost of any of the proposals of

25 implementing these proposals is something that

1 should be carefully considered. As these 2 things -- as these matters typically come before 3 the Commission, cost is always an issue. I think 4 it's just common sense not to get the cart before 5 the horse on this topic. I think before evoking 6 the Commission's rule making powers and committing 7 the resources to drafting and vetting proposed rules, the Commission first needs to make some 8 9 inquiries concerning the necessity of any action 10 on this topic.

I would also like to remind you that we 11 have -- we have a pending request for some 12 additional time to get some information before the 13 14 Commission and we're still endeavoring to do so. We'd ask for some time up until the 18th so that 15 process continues and MEDA will make a 16 17 supplemental filing in the not too distant future. 18 And I'll be available -- I'll conclude my comments 19 but I'll be available to answer any questions the 20 Commission has. 21 CHAIRMAN CLAYTON: Thank you, 22 Mr. Boudreau. 23 I think it's unclear if the Commission is

24 going to move forward, if at all, yet. This is 25 just the opening discussions so there will

1 definitely be a need for more substantive legal 2 argument --3 MR. BOUDREAU: I understand. 4 Thank you. 5 CHAIRMAN CLAYTON: -- and б additional policy discussion later on. 7 Thank you very much for being here. 8 AmerenUE, Mr. Lowery. 9 MR. LOWERY: Good morning. My 10 name is Jim Lowery. I'm here on behalf of AmerenUE this morning. 11 12 It's my pleasure this morning to 13 introduce Carole Hunt from Ameren. Ms. Hunt is 14 the one of the assistant treasurers of the company and is manager of Business Services Operations 15 which is over this area of billing and deals with 16 17 the authorized agents and so on that's the subject 18 of the petition that the OPC has filed. Ms. Hunt today hopefully can provide you 19 some real facts about what the facts are and what 20 21 the facts are not in relation to the -- to the 22 matters that have been raised by OPC. We thought 23 that it would be helpful for you to actually hear 24 what utilities do and don't do, particularly from 25 AmerenUE's perspective.

1 With that I'll let Ms. Hunt who knows more about this topic than I do provide you some 2 3 information that will be helpful. 4 MS. HUNT: Thank you, Jim. 5 Good morning and thank you for allowing б me to speak with you this morning. 7 I have two objectives today. The first 8 one is to provide some perspective on the issues 9 raised by the OPC's petition and the second one is 10 to provide some accurate, basic factual information based upon AmerenUE's experience about 11 how our customers pay their bills and the role of 12 third parties like grocery stores and other pay 13 14 agents in that process. Let me first give you AmerenUE's 15 perspective. If the Commission needs additional 16 17 information or desires to determine if there are 18 concerns or issues that need to be addressed, 19 AmerenUE wants to be helpful and responsive to the 20 Commission. We hope the information I am 21 providing today provides helpful information to 22 the Commission. 23 Against that back drop I would note that we are not aware of any issues or complaints 24

25 $\,$ whatsoever with either the service that has been

provided, the nominal fees involved, or with customer access to convenient ways of paying utility bills. We inquired to the Commission's Consumer Services Department and were told that in checking their records back to the beginning of 2007 they too have no record of complaints in this area.

8 I would also note that there are many 9 payment options in place today. Many more than 10 just a few years ago. And that all exists for customers' convenience. While there are many 11 payment options for customers, generally the 12 unbanked customer, the customer that does not have 13 14 a checking account, an authorized walk-in pay 15 agent is their most likely option and in many cases it is their least costly method of paying 16 17 their utility bill.

18 It's also important to keep in mind that 19 for all customers, banked or unbanked, there is 20 always an underlying cost for making a payment, 21 whether it be the cost of writing a check and 22 purchasing a stamp, the cost of having Internet 23 service and making a payment online, or the cost of purchasing a money order to send through the 24 25 U.S. Postal Service.

1 Let me provide some basic facts about our 2 authorized pay agent. Ameren has agreements with 3 FirstTech, Incorporated to provide authorized 4 walk-in pay agent locations throughout our service territory. We currently have 178 authorized 5 б agents. The first choice and the vast majority of 7 agents are grocery stores and retail 8 establishments such as Schnucks, Gerbes and 9 Hy-Vee. In fact, approximately 90 percent of our 10 pay agents are grocery stores or retail establishments. When a grocery store or retail 11 establishment is not available, FirstTech 12 contracts with financial services companies or 13 14 what was referred to earlier as second tier financial companies. We have 17 authorized agents 15 today that are financial services companies. All 16 17 but two of these are located in the City of 18 St. Louis or north St. Louis County where a grocery store or retail establishment is often not 19 available or is not available without the 20 21 customer, for example, purchasing a bus ticket and 22 traveling to a location that is available. 23 An important part of the payment options we provide is to be sure that there is a 24 25 convenient location for customers who do not have

1 checking accounts, those unbanked customers, to be 2 able to make a payment. The customer pays a fee 3 up to one dollar for making a payment at an 4 authorized agent. The average fee is less at 77 5 cents. Ameren receives no portion of the fee that 6 the customer pays. In addition to the customer 7 fee, the authorized agent receives 15 cents from 8 Ameren for each payment transaction. In 2008 we 9 paid about \$231,000 in commission fees. This is 10 essentially overhead to cover the cost of making the service available and it is included in our 11 12 cost of service.

13 Information from authorized pay agents is 14 transmitted to Ameren on a real time basis. This 15 means if a customer is scheduled to be 16 disconnected, our customer system is immediately 17 notified and stops the disconnect activity on the 18 customer's account essentially as soon as that 19 payment is made.

20 Another topic that's brought up in the 21 OPC petition is credit and debit card payments. 22 Unrelated to the use of pay agents, we do make 23 available for customers that desire to use credit 24 or debit cards to pay their utility bills. This 25 option is made available through Ameren's
1 agreement with Western Union Speedpay. The 2 customer pays a \$3.50 convenience fee to Speedpay 3 to process a payment. The charge covers merchant 4 interchange fees, providing a compliant, secure 5 processing platform and a profit for Speedpay. б Again, Ameren does not receive any part of the 7 convenience fee and no customer is required to use this option. We implemented this payment option 8 9 in 2002 because customers were asking to pay with 10 a credit card. While the majority of customers paying this way are residential customers, we do 11 have business and government entities that pay by 12 credit card. In November 2006 Ameren on behalf of 13 14 customers negotiated with Speedpay to reduce the convenience fee from \$4.95 to the current \$3.50. 15 16 In conclusion, our main goal is to make 17 it convenient for all customers to pay their 18 utility payments. It is our belief that multiple 19 payment options for customers provide them with 20 choice. In our experience there are simply no 21 problems in this area and for that reason we 22 believe that rule making in this area is premature 23 and not warranted.

If after today's presentations theCommission continues to have questions, we would

1 suggest that the utilities could work with the Commission's Consumer Services Department to 2 3 provide additional information and answer 4 additional questions. 5 As I noted, we want to be cooperative, б helpful and constructive to the Commission and we 7 appreciate the opportunity to address you today. 8 Thank you. 9 CHAIRMAN CLAYTON: Thank you very much, Ms. Hunt. 10 I just have one question I need to ask. 11 Please tell me the Public Service Commission has 12 never paid its electric bill with a credit card. 13 14 MS. HUNT: Not that I'm aware of, 15 sir. 16 CHAIRMAN CLAYTON: Thank you. 17 All right. Next up is Mr. Telthorst, 18 Missouri Telecommunications Industry Association. Mr. Telthorst. 19 MR. TELTHORST: Good morning, 20 21 Mr. Chairman, members of the committee. My name 22 is Rick Telthorst. I'm the president of the 23 Missouri Telecommunications Industry Association. Thank you for the opportunity to be here today to 24 25 appear on behalf of our members.

1 I don't want to be redundant to anything 2 that previous utility speakers have already 3 presented so I'll get to just two or three main 4 points. I think it's clear from what we've seen 5 in the petition and other comments that there just б isn't any evidence presented that these payday 7 loan centers as bill paying options disadvantages 8 any of our customers. There does seem to be some 9 evidence I think presented by Mr. Scherr and 10 Ms. Hunt that barring the use of those loan 11 centers as collection points may indeed reduce some customers' options. There isn't any evidence 12 that I've seen that's been presented that any 13 14 telecom carrier regulated by the Commission 15 engages in any billing practice that -- that is 16 disadvantaging customers.

17 With the abundance of methods available to customers to pay their bills, to make inquiries 18 19 about service, whether it's in person, by phone, by Internet online, we would certainly be 20 21 concerned that this rule would also suggest that 22 it would be appropriate to mandate the telecom 23 carriers be required to have a specific number of customer service centers that would be located in 24 25 certain physical locations. Certainly it would be cost prohibitive for large carriers who cover a
large geographic area especially when there are
clearly very cost effective and equally useful
service options to our customers.

5 Now, it seems confusing to me that a rule б that on one hand suggests that we should implement 7 this very unnecessary mandate to have these certain customer service centers established would 8 9 at the same time eliminate existing payment 10 centers that are clearly very useful to customers. I think those two things in the -- in the 11 suggested rule within the petition certainly seem 12 13 to be working at cross-purposes.

14 And, of course, under state statutes that 15 the legislature recently passed last year in House Bill 1779, the Commission has waived the 16 17 application and enforcement of billing standards 18 as they do apply to many incumbent carriers as 19 well as many alternative telecom carriers. The 20 proposed rule would seem to be contrary to that 21 effort of the Commission especially in light of 22 the fact there doesn't appear to be any evidence 23 of consumer harm.

24 We certainly would be glad to work with 25 the Commission and its staff on any matter

1 involving this petition and I would be glad to 2 take some questions. 3 CHAIRMAN CLAYTON: Thank you, 4 Mr. Telthorst. Appreciate it. 5 I want to thank the parties for sticking 6 within their -- we're within our time schedule. 7 We'll have plenty of time for questions. So Ms. Dietrich is here for staff -- for the Public 8 9 Service Commission staff. We'll give her as much 10 time as she needs and then we'll proceed directly to commission questions. 11 12 COMMISSIONER DAVIS: You want to give Mr. Dandino an opportunity for rebuttal --13 14 CHAIRMAN CLAYTON: Certainly. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: -- because 15 the other parties had a lot of time. 16 17 CHAIRMAN CLAYTON: We can certainly do that or we can ask questions and get 18 more information from Mr. Dandino, however we want 19 20 to proceed. 21 Ms. Dietrich. 22 MS. DIETRICH: Thank you. My name 23 is Natelle Dietrich. I'm the director of Utility Operations and I'm speaking on behalf of staff of 24 25 the Missouri Public Service Commission.

1 The staff recognizes the concerns raised 2 by the OPC in its petition but does not support 3 the request for rule making for reasons that I 4 will explain in my comments.

5 Most of the focus this morning has been б on the payday loan aspect of the petition. OPC's 7 petition actually contains three overarching issues, surcharges and fees for billing and 8 9 collection, payday lenders as pay stations, 10 customer service centers and fees to make 11 payments, and includes in the proposal rule making language that would be applicable to all 12 utilities, electric, gas, water, and sewer, and 13 14 telecommunications, yet all utilities are not regulated the same so a one-size-fits-all approach 15 is not practical. 16

17 On the payday lenders as pay stations portion of the petition, OPC asks the Commission 18 19 to restrict or prohibit the use of payday loan 20 stores and similar lending enterprises stating 21 among other things that it is not in the interest 22 of consumers to have utility customers -- steer 23 customers to what it characterized as predatory lending practices. 24

25 There are two types of agents, authorized

1 and unauthorized. Authorized agents are entities 2 such as grocery stores or retail units and they 3 have a contract with the utility to accept 4 payments. There are also unauthorized agents and 5 these are locations that accept utility payments 6 but have not negotiated a contract with the 7 utility company outlining the terms of that arrangement. The Commission's Consumer Services 8 9 staff periodically hosts roundtables to discuss 10 issues effecting the industry and consumers. There have been several conversations related to 11 customers making payments at both authorized and 12 13 unauthorized pay agent locations, how the agents 14 operate, advantages and disadvantages of the 15 availability of agents, and the fees related to such arrangements. While the issues have been 16 17 discussed, there have been no easy answers to the 18 concerns that have been identified by such 19 arrangements by the various utilities. Utilities 20 have no way of controlling unauthorized agents and 21 a number of utilities in the past have also 22 expressed concerns regarding the rise of 23 unauthorized pay stations. 24 One specific concern expressed has been

25 the timeliness with which unauthorized pay

1 stations may actually make payment to the utility 2 after the customer has paid their bill. Timely 3 payment remittance is an important aspect of an 4 effective pay station. The Commission has no 5 authority over agents, whether authorized or 6 unauthorized, whether payday lender or local grocery chain. From staff's experience, customers 7 like the convenience of being able to make 8 9 payments in one location while running other 10 errands. Some customers may wait until the last minute and like the convenience of making sure 11 payment is received on time. Some customers don't 12 have checking or savings accounts so they need the 13 14 ability to make payments by cash, cashier's check 15 or money order. In other words, for some the 16 convenience outweighs the cost or the alleged 17 concerns.

18 Instead of opening a rule making to 19 address concerns related to pay stations, the 20 staff suggests consumers would be better served 21 through education. Utilities could educate 22 consumers of authorized and unauthorized agents, 23 utilities could provide easy access to a list of authorized agents available for customer use, the 24 25 utilities could enhance efforts to review and

enforce contract terms, and if it is determined that an authorized agent is not complying with the contract the utility could and should investigate terminating that contract. However, these proposals do not eliminate the problem of nonauthorized agents.

7 Switching to the customer service 8 centers, OPC requested the Commission to require 9 utilities to designate a reasonable number of 10 locations within the service area where customers 11 can pay bills in person and obtain information about their accounts. The staff suggests this is 12 13 a step in the wrong direction. Considering the 14 utility service areas as vast as companies such as 15 Ameren and AT&T have, the staff also suggests this is an unrealistic goal. Over the past few years 16 17 companies have adjusted the number of customer care locations in an effort to be more cost 18 19 effective and efficient. Some companies also --20 also offer the opportunity to make payments in the 21 field when service trucks appear but, again, this 22 is an option that fluctuates from company to 23 company and adjusts as the need adjusts. Just recently a Missouri utility announced closing one 24 25 location due to limited foot traffic and also

eliminating the practice of accepting payments in
the field.

3 The staff receives monthly and quarterly 4 call service summary reports so it's constantly 5 monitoring the responsiveness of companies. The 6 Commission has not received any complaints or 7 inquiries related to utilities closing offices since January 2007 as Ameren previously indicated. 8 9 So the staff suggests that this is not currently 10 an issue that needs to be addressed through rule making but could be addressed on a case-by-case 11 12 basis if a concern arises.

Switching to surcharges for billing and 13 14 collection items and fees to make payments, OPC requested the Commission address varies fees and 15 16 surcharges associated with paying your utility 17 bill and requesting various bill-related services 18 from the company. Companies incur costs for every 19 aspect of their business and customers either 20 implicitly through rates or explicitly through 21 surcharges and fees make payments so the utilities 22 will cover their costs. To the extent it has the 23 authority, the Commission already has processes to establish and review rates. Companies should not 24 25 be charging customers any rates or fees that are

1 not in tariffs unless specifically allowed by laws 2 as an exception. If OPC or any other party would 3 like to challenge the reasonableness of a rate or 4 charge, the rate case is the proper venue for 5 utilities subject to rate of return regulation. б Members of the telecommunications 7 industry state that competition will address the issues addressed by OPC. While the staff is not 8 9 willing to go so far as to claim competition as we 10 know it will resolve OPC's concerns, the staff does acknowledge that legislative changes over the 11 past few years have significantly reduced the 12 13 Commission's authority over rates and billing and 14 quality of service issues with respect to the 15 telecommunications industry. Many 16 telecommunications carriers are now classified as 17 competitive companies. Many carriers have 18 requested and been granted waivers of the Commission's billing and service quality rules. 19 20 In its order granting the waivers the Commission 21 encouraged customers to continue to contact the 22 Commission with positive or negative feedback and 23 direct its staff to continue to track that input. Should there be a level of concern related to 24 25 billing issues the statutes provide a limited

avenue for the Commission to reimpose its rules
so, once again, there is a proper venue for
addressing OPC's concerns other than through
post-rule making.

5 In conclusion, while cognizant of OPC's б concerns, the staff does not believe a generic 7 rule making is the appropriate process to address 8 the concerns. The Commission has not received a 9 large number of complaints on any of the 10 subsections highlighted in OPC's petition and OPC 11 relies largely on press releases and stories from around the country as support for its proposal. 12 As pointed out in these comments, some issues are 13 14 outside the Commission's jurisdiction while others 15 are more appropriately addressed on a case-by-case 16 basis or through a rate case. Thank you. 17 CHAIRMAN CLAYTON: Thank you, Ms. Dietrich. 18 At this point is there anyone else that 19 20 wished to speak on this issue? That's everyone 21 that had indicated an interest. 22 I don't see anyone else raising their 23 hand. 24 Mr. Dandino, why don't you come on up to

25 the podium and at this point why don't you give

1 us, if you'd like, a short rebuttal to any or all of the comments that have been made and then I'd 2 3 like to go ahead and if you'd stay at that mic and 4 we'll -- these participants have these mics and we 5 can share. We can begin with Commissioner Davis, б if he has any questions, and go around the table. 7 MR. DANDINO: I have no 8 rebuttal --9 CHAIRMAN CLAYTON: You have no 10 rebuttal. MR. DANDINO: -- so if you want to 11 ask questions. 12 CHAIRMAN CLAYTON: Okay. Then in 13 14 that case, Commissioner Davis. And we are not going to be voting on this 15 today. In fact, I think we need to digest the 16 17 material that we have and we'll approach this 18 either next week or two weeks how we ought to move forward. 19 20 Commissioner Davis. 21 COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Okay. Maybe 22 this question may be best for either Ameren or 23 Ms. Dietrich from PSC staff. Does a utility sign up with either Western Union or an authorized pay 24 25 agent who in turn negotiates other contracts with

1 unauthorized pay agents or how -- or is it just, 2 you know, these agents can sign up with Western 3 Union and then directly send checks in? How 4 does -- how does this all work? 5 MS. HUNT: The utility, AmerenUE, б signs an agreement with a third party. We use 7 FirstTech as opposed to Western Union. 8 COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Okay. 9 MS. HUNT: FirstTech then goes out 10 and contacts stores and that sort of thing, the agents, and so the agreement with the agents is 11 12 actually between FirstTech and the agents. But 13 the agreement that we have with FirstTech has a 14 cap on how much the agent can charge the consumer, the utility customer. And it also has a number of 15 other things explicit as far as what's required to 16 17 send us files for accepting information, that sort 18 of thing. What happens then is that the utility 19 customer goes into the local authorized pay agent, makes their payment, and that information is 20 21 transmitted to us basically real time through 22 FirstTech's information --23 COMMISSIONER DAVIS: System. MS. HUNT: -- system through their 24 25 platform.

1	Where unauthorized agents enter the		
2	picture is if someone goes into, do I dare give an		
3	example, a Wal-Mart, which is not an authorized		
4	AmerenUE pay agent, and makes a payment there.		
5	There is nothing systematically that is connected		
6	to Ameren either through the third-party processor		
7	that that institution uses or directly to Ameren		
8	and so we do not receive that information real		
9	time. And in that case that payment may be sent		
10	to us electronically or it may actually arrive at		
11	our lockbox processing facility in the form of a		
12	check.		
13	MR. LOWERY: Commissioner Davis,		
14	if I can elaborate on that.		
15	COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Sure,		
16	Mr. Lowery.		
17	MR. LOWERY: When you have an		
18	unauthorized agent, it's not a lot different than		
19	if I wanted to ask my friend Tom to take care of		
20	paying my bill for me. I could do that but		
21	there's Ameren or the utilities don't sanction		
22	it, don't have relationships with these folks,		
23	it's just something that the unauthorized agents		
24	because they want to generate traffic or whatever		
25	reason, whatever motivation they have, they accept		

1 these bills and they pass them on. But it's not 2 anything that utilities have anything to do with 3 or any control over and it's not even something 4 utilities would like to encourage. It's just 5 something that has grown up in the -- in the 6 marketplace so to speak. It has nothing to do 7 with the authorized agents that AmerenUE or other 8 utilities use.

9 COMMISSIONER DAVIS: So in 10 essence, you're likening it to you giving cash to 11 your friend Tom and then Tom writes the check to 12 Ameren and then, you know, he either drops it in 13 the mail or --

14 MR. LOWERY: It's how I chose to 15 pay my bill but it's not something encouraged. In 16 fact, when -- when issues of confusion have come 17 up where Ameren's name has been used, we've taken 18 action to try to contact these unauthorized agents 19 and say, look, you shouldn't be using our name, 20 you're not authorized to -- you know, to represent 21 that you're an authorized agent for Ameren. But 22 in terms of having the legal ability to do 23 something about that, that's very questionable. COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Do you know, 24 25 have those steps been taken with Wal-Mart yet?

1 MR. LOWERY: I don't know 2 specifically whether Wal-Mart is an example of one 3 where that's happened or not. 4 COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Okay. 5 Well -б MS. HUNT: Actually, we -- we are 7 aware that that establishment is accepting some payments and we have approached them and we have 8 9 had FirstTech approach them and asked them to 10 become authorized agents. They have not been willing to do so because they are lined up or 11 12 contractually under agreement with another 13 third-party processor. And so we are trying to 14 work out arrangements so that we can at least get that information in a more timely manner. 15 16 COMMISSIONER DAVIS: All right. 17 It's my recollection from walking into a Wal-Mart 18 store and seeing the payment setup, you walk through and there's -- I mean, there's a book of 19 20 places that you can pay your bills for right there 21 at Wal-Mart and Ameren is listed as one of those. 22 Thank you. Mr. Chairman, I don't have 23 anymore questions at this time. CHAIRMAN CLAYTON: Thank you, 24 25 Commissioner Davis.

1 Commissioner Jarrett. 2 COMMISSIONER JARRETT: Thank you, 3 Mr. Chairman. 4 I had -- Mr. Dandino, I kind of wanted to 5 talk a little bit about your attachments. I guess 6 in relation -- you have attached some other 7 attachments but the ones I'm talking about are 8 about -- the payday loan attachments. 9 MR. DANDINO: Yes. 10 COMMISSIONER JARRETT: You had on your petition Attachment A and specifically this 11 was an article from the St. Louis Post Dispatch, 12 Sunday, August 16, 2009. "Payday Loan Dispute 13 14 Does Not Slow Use," and that's the title of that 15 article. And in going through the article I note 16 there is one example relating to electricity. A 17 lady said that there was a note on her door that 18 said if she didn't pay by tomorrow they were going to turn off her electricity and it says, "'That's 19 why I'm crying and upset,' said the woman, 20 21 stuffing \$400 in her purse." She asked not to be 22 identified. But the rest of the examples in here relate to the payday loan industry generally and 23 don't specifically relate to customers being lured 24 25 in to pay their utility bills and then -- and

1 encouraged to take out loans. In fact, the one 2 that does talk about the electricity, it appears 3 she went there on her own initiative because she 4 got a notice that they were turning off her 5 electricity so she went there to get a loan. Is 6 that how you read that article? 7 MR. DANDINO: Generally it deals 8 more with the payday loan industry rather than the 9 payday loan industry and utilities. 10 COMMISSIONER JARRETT: And utility. 11 12 MR. DANDINO: There was that one example and I think -- and I think I also provided 13 14 it more for background information of -- of, you 15 know, what's -- what are some of the concerns. 16 And that was not necessarily with utility bills 17 with payday loans. It's basically just trying to 18 say that, you know, we're not making a -- we don't 19 want the Commission to do an investigation on 20 whether or not payday loan is good or bad. I 21 think we just want -- we just provided 22 representative information that we've had from 23 basically consumer groups and consumers and other reports. And we know the other side has some 24 25 stuff too, we want to provide that to the

1 Commission, as with the report from the Consumer 2 Loss Center, you know, they had reports 3 specifically dealing with that and that's -- that 4 was one of the things we wanted to provide the 5 Commission. 6 COMMISSIONER JARRETT: But your 7 petition asks for us to -- Exhibit 1, don't you 8 have -- aren't you asking to us prohibit using 9 payday loan centers as fee agents for utility 10 payments? 11 MR. DANDINO: Yes, we are. 12 COMMISSIONER JARRETT: So don't we have to make a finding in our rule making that 13 14 they are bad for utility making purposes -- for 15 bill paying purposes? 16 MR. DANDINO: I think you can have 17 the rule but I don't necessarily think you have to 18 make a factual finding on that. COMMISSIONER JARRETT: Why would 19 20 we want to prohibit them if they're not bad? 21 MR. DANDINO: It may not be bad in 22 essence but it may not be appropriate for it to 23 act as a utility fee agent. COMMISSIONER JARRETT: And why 24 25 wouldn't they be appropriate? Don't we have to

1 make a finding that somehow they're not good for 2 the utility consumers?

3 MR. DANDINO: Or it's not 4 something that -- that -- that is a -- I can't --5 it's necessarily a business but you don't think б that these should be acting as a pay agent for 7 the -- for the utilities because there are some --8 some questions about it now that comes up whether 9 they're an authorized agent or not an authorized 10 agent, whether they're luring customers in or not 11 luring customers in. You're going to be presented with some evidence obviously from both sides, you 12 know. We were just trying to start -- start the 13 14 ball rolling and this is the information that we had so far. 15 COMMISSIONER JARRETT: Well, the 16 17 next -- the next article you had was something about payday loan lenders in nursing homes. 18 19 MR. DANDINO: That was part of the 20 same --21 COMMISSIONER JARRETT: Nothing 22 about companies paying electric bills -- or 23 customers paying electric bills at payday loans. And you say you have other evidence from 24

25 consumers that it hurts consumers?

1 MR. DANDINO: There was a --2 there's a national Consumer Loss study about the 3 payday loan and utility payments. There's a study 4 I think by the TURN out in California. There's a 5 couple -- two of the -- Arizona and Kansas, they б raised questions about that -- about the payday 7 loan using them as a pay agent. 8 COMMISSIONER JARRETT: Okay. I'll 9 throw this question out to anyone else. Is anyone 10 else familiar with these studies that they can talk about those? Do they have specific examples 11 of the consumers being -- being hurt or injured or 12 being lured into taking out payday loans when they 13 14 come in to pay their utility bills? MR. SCHERR: Commissioner Jarrett, 15 I'm a little bit familiar with the Consumer Loss 16 17 study. It does not contain any data, it does not 18 contain any specific facts. In fact, it was used in Ohio and discredited by the utility commission 19 20 there in their amendment or their step to take the 21 same step you are which I think they have 22 terminated. I think it was also floated in the state of California where the effort was 23 overwhelmingly defeated in the legislature so that 24 25 Consumer Loss study has been pretty much

1 discredited nationwide as not containing any facts 2 regarding this issue. 3 MR. WILTANGER: That's my 4 understanding. 5 COMMISSIONER JARRETT: I don't б have any further questions. Thank you. 7 COMMISSION GUNN: I just have a couple of questions. 8 9 Mr. Dandino, Ameren said, correct me if 10 I'm wrong, they had about 178 pay agent facilities around -- around the service area. Would this 11 rule contemplate replacing all of those 178 agents 12 with a company-owned customer service center like 13 14 you described or -- or are you just advocating that they kind of do a geographic sweep of the 15 area and put them where -- where they think they 16 17 will get traffic? 18 MR. DANDINO: We're not proposing 19 that they get rid of every pay agent and replace it with a customer service center. We just think 20 21 that there at least ought to be in a reasonable 22 area a customer service center if that's rational, if it's reasonable. If it doesn't seem reasonable 23 you don't have it but at least there ought to be 24

at least one convenient, you know, for most of the

25

customers or for customers in that area to go
there and to get information.

3 The question about whether they get --4 haven't had any complaints about that, maybe the 5 question that often comes to us is I can't get 6 through to the utility company, I can't get a 7 response from them, and sometimes -- or when you get in there they told me that they would be here 8 9 and they didn't show up. Sometimes, you know, I 10 think we practice -- when you're anonymous you can 11 tell a person anything, when you're looking at the person or when they're there this may be a little 12 difficult. That's how -- that's how the problem 13 14 really comes to us if I'm going to give, you know, 15 examples.

16 COMMISSION GUNN: So that's really 17 kind of a distinct issue. You're not talking --18 what that complaint is about -- and I'm just trying to be clear to figure out what -- what that 19 complaint is about is utility communication with 20 21 customers about billing issues, that's not an 22 issue about bill payment? 23 MR. DANDINO: Well, it can probably be both. 24 25 COMMISSION GUNN: That's fair.

1 That's fair.

So you're -- just, again, to be clear, 2 3 you're looking for a prohibition on co-locating 4 bill pay centers and payday loan centers and an 5 increase in company-staffed customer service б centers but are you saying that -- but you're not 7 saying that the Schnucks and the Hy-Vees should eliminate their bill paying areas? 8 9 MR. DANDINO: No. I think you're 10 looking at kind of two questions in one and I think it's -- I could answer this: Is it 11 reasonable for you to have satellite or authorized 12 agents out there to collect fees? I would say, 13 14 yes, it would be. The question is how do you qualify them and how do people know -- how do 15 citizens know that's what they're -- that's what 16 17 they're paying, an authorized agent or not. And 18 it's also is that for not only for billing but for other -- for other service issues, filing a 19 20 complaint, is the access easy to -- you know, for 21 those same persons to go into in pay a bill and 22 resolve their problem, too. 23 COMMISSION GUNN: Thank you. Ms. Hunt, I just have a couple of 24 25 questions. Maybe just one. You said that it's

1 about 231,000. Is that yearly or -- that you are 2 paying on commissions? MS. HUNT: That was for 2008. 3 4 COMMISSION GUNN: 2008? 5 MS. HUNT: Uh-huh. COMMISSION GUNN: Ameren does not б 7 receive any revenue from this, this is overhead 8 cost? 9 MS. HUNT: That's correct. COMMISSION GUNN: From none of 10 these transaction fees, Ameren doesn't receive 11 12 anything? 13 MS. HUNT: That's correct. COMMISSION GUNN: So the 14 service -- the 178 agents that you have is a net 15 loss -- or net cost, I should say, to Ameren? 16 MS. HUNT: I'm not sure I'm 17 18 following the question. COMMISSION GUNN: You're paying 19 for these 178 service centers, you're not making 20 21 money? 22 MS. HUNT: Correct. Definitely. 23 COMMISSION GUNN: And then is that 24 money then recoverable or do you attempt to 25 recover it through a general -- through a general

1 rate case included in cost of service --

2 MS. HUNT: Yes, we do. It's 3 included in cost of service, the commission fees 4 that we pay. 5 COMMISSION GUNN: Have you had a 6 situation with an unauthorized payment dealer 7 where there have been disputes about -- about 8 timing of payment where customers are given a 9 receipt or anything like that so they can show 10 that they have paid their bill on time, are you familiar with -- you may not even be familiar with 11 12 that. 13 MS. HUNT: I'm not familiar with 14 any. COMMISSION GUNN: But everything 15 else is tracked in real time? 16 MS. HUNT: Yes. 17 COMMISSION GUNN: There's a system 18 if they bring in cash it's automatically credited 19 to the system? 20 21 MS. HUNT: Real time, within five 22 minutes. 23 COMMISSION GUNN: Pretty real 24 time. 25 MS. HUNT: Pretty real time.

1 MR. LOWERY: Something Ms. Hunt 2 told me -- shared with me yesterday about that; 3 we're tied in with -- let's say somebody got a 4 disconnect notice, they're scheduled to be 5 disconnected tomorrow morning -- or tomorrow 6 afternoon and they go in and pay this bill at an 7 authorized agent that morning. Within five 8 minutes our billing folks know the payment's been 9 made and our disconnection folks know the 10 payment's been made, we call off that service call so we avoid the cost of it and they're also not 11 disconnected because that's where the real time 12 comes in. The importance of it is to avoid 13 14 disconnection, they immediately get credit for 15 that. 16 COMMISSION GUNN: Mr. Telthorst, 17 do the telecommunications companies have similar 18 or the volume of these types of pay agents or are 19 they -- are they different? MR. TELTHORST: Well, the 20 21 information I've been able to gather so far, in a 22 general sense smaller providers in the state 23 generally don't use third-party agents because they're -- they are small, more community-based 24 25 companies. Their customers, you know, perhaps can

1 go into the office or it's more convenient to 2 reach the customers that way. Larger carriers 3 that are more diverse, they've got a lot of 4 territory to cover, some do contract with 5 third-party groups. As has been described, as I 6 understand it, they will contract with perhaps 7 Western Union and their relationship is with Western Union who I assume as part of the contract 8 9 says, you know, we will establish -- or we have 10 established a network of collection agencies that will -- that will collect your bill. And the 11 customer, the telecom company, doesn't -- may not 12 necessarily know all the details of what that 13 14 agent network is. They know they've got a 15 contract with Western Union or Budget Phone or whatever it might be to serve as agent -- as their 16 17 agents.

18 COMMISSION GUNN: Just one final 19 question. When AT -- does AT&T or any of the 20 telecommunications companies that allow online 21 bill payments directly through the company, do 22 they charge any convenience fees for doing that 23 and, if they do -- and you may not know the answer to the question. But if they do, is that to cover 24 25 merchant fees or is that revenue to the company?

1 MR. TELTHORST: Commissioner, I'm 2 not aware that they charge a fee. If any fee is 3 associated it may be -- there may be some fee for 4 some sort of case-by-case if a customer needs some 5 special assistance with paying the bill. I'm not 6 certain. That may be the case but in a general 7 sense the online -- you know, the online systems 8 don't have a fee. 9 COMMISSION GUNN: That's putting 10 aside the whole jurisdiction waiver or argument 11 time. 12 Thank you very much for your time. This was very helpful and I appreciate it. 13 14 CHAIRMAN CLAYTON: Commissioner 15 Kenny. 16 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: I have a few 17 questions first for Mr. Dandino and the Office of 18 Public Counsel. Thanks for taking the time to look into this issue. 19 I know you've said that it's not a 20 21 question of whether payday loans are good or bad, 22 but when reading through the OPC's petition and I 23 also did take a look at the National Consumer Loss Center 2007 report, it seems that OPC and NCLC can 24 25 conflate payday and predatory lenders and to my

mind I think they're distinct. Are you conflating
the two and do you equate payday lenders with
predatory lenders?

4 MR. DANDINO: They have been 5 classified that way -- well, in that report and I 6 think there was -- there is another -- there was 7 another study quoted and I can't remember what it 8 was, whether they were saying that that was what 9 they considered the second tier lenders in those 10 terms.

11 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: My second 12 question then is how do you respond to -- and I 13 don't know if it was AT&T's response -- responsive 14 pleading or MEDA's responsive pleading but what 15 OPC is asking us to do is a task better left to 16 the legislature?

MR. DANDINO: Well, I think that 17 18 when we're trying to focus just on utility issues, 19 and I think that falls within your parameters 20 because it's involves, you know, a billing issue. 21 I don't -- you know, I don't want you to feel 22 we're being some stalking horse on any group 23 that's opposed to payday loans or that. That's not our purpose and that's why I was saying we 24 25 didn't want the good or bad or what they are.

1 What we're saying is they are what they are, based 2 upon our view as a consumer advocate and this is 3 what we've seen in consumer advocate information 4 how they're looking at it and that's how we're 5 presenting it to you. But I think the б legislature, if they wanted -- if they were going 7 to deal with regulation of that -- of payday loans 8 and those other lenders then, you know, that's up 9 to them. 10 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Okay. Thank 11 you. 12 And I've got a question for Ms. Hunt. Does Ameren in its contract with FirstTech have 13 14 the ability to direct which locations can or 15 cannot act as authorized agents? Maybe put another way, could AT&T -- or, I mean, Ameren tell 16 17 FirstTech it does or does not want specific entities to act as authorized agents? 18 MS. HUNT: I don't know if we have 19 20 that directly in our contract but we work with 21 them very collaboratively and if there is an agent 22 that we do not want them to use, I'm sure that 23 they would cooperate. 24 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Then I have 25 another question for either Mr. Scherr or

1 Mr. Wiltanger. The NCLC report that's referenced 2 in OPC's petition doesn't provide specific 3 information about Missouri consumers specific 4 instances of -- or anecdotal evidence of anything 5 that's happened specifically in Missouri but it 6 does provide examples of consumers who came in to 7 pay bills and ended up taking out a loan to pay that bill. Is it your opinion that the business 8 9 model of payday lending industries -- and what I 10 mean is paying commissions to the lenders -- or 11 the agents that work in the lending. Paying commissions based upon the number of loans they 12 make, do you think that that encourages any type 13 14 of abusive practices? 15 MR. SCHERR: I'm sorry, paying commissions for? 16 17 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Well, the people that -- the lending agents that work in the 18 19 payday loan shops, they're paid a commission it's my understanding on loans they make. 20 21 MR. SCHERR: No, I don't think so. 22 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: So that's 23 inaccurate in the OPC's report? MR. SCHERR: I'm not aware of that 24 25 practice in Missouri.

1 MR. WILTANGER: Yeah, I can speak for QC Holdings and we pay an hourly wage to our 2 3 tellers. They don't get commission. 4 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: There is no 5 incentive for them to encourage folks to make a 6 payday day loan? 7 MR. SCHERR: None. Not that I'm 8 aware of. 9 MR. WILTANGER: Their incentive is 10 to make sure that people that take out payday loans pay it back. 11 12 MR. SCHERR: Make sure they review 13 the application correctly and all the I's are dotted and T's are crossed. 14 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: I think you 15 referenced that this report has been discredited 16 17 in some other --18 MR. SCHERR: With respect to the 19 data -- with respect to its lack of data tying the two, Commissioner, yeah. 20 21 COMMISSIONER KENNEY: Thank you 22 all for your time. 23 CHAIRMAN CLAYTON: Thank you, 24 commissioners, and thank you to the parties that 25 participated here.

1 I don't have any questions. I just want 2 to make a couple of observations. Obviously there 3 are a number of different parts of this whole 4 discussion, there are a number of issues that have 5 been brought up and with each of the different 6 sectors involved we may have differences in legal 7 authority for the Commission to act even if we were to find action necessary. So we're going to 8 9 have to speak with the judge on how to address 10 these issues on a going forward basis. The transcript today will be made part of the record 11 12 and certainly each of the parties that are here are going to be included in providing more 13 14 responses if the Commission so asks. So we'll be discussing this the next couple of weeks. 15 16 So at this point I want to thank all the 17 parties. Again, thank you, Mr. Dandino, for being 18 here presenting your petition. Thank you to the commissioners for 19 20 indulging me on returning to the University of 21 Missouri-Kansas City. I think we can go off the 22 record for this portion of the proceeding. 23 (Off the record.) 24 (Exhibit CFSAA 1 was marked.) 25

1	INDEX	
2	STATEMENT BY:	PAGE
3	MR. DANDINO	4
4	MR. WILTANGER	11
5	MR. SCHERR	20
6	MR. BOUDREAU	28
7	MR. LOWREY	32
8	MS. HUNT	33
9	MS. DIETRICH	41
10		
11	EXHIBITS	
12	NO. MARKED OFFERED	
13	UPLM 1 4 20	
14	CFSAA 1 71 19	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

CERTIFICATE STATE OF MISSOURI)) COUNTY OF CLAY) б I, LEA ANN MARTIN, a Certified Court Reporter, in and for the State of Missouri, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings had in the above-entitled cause on the date as indicated. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 19th day of September, 2009. Lea Ann Martin, CCR No. 688 State of Missouri