| 1 | STATE OF MISSOURI | |
|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| 2 | PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION | |
| 3 | FUBLIC SERVICE COMMITSSION | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS | |
| 7 | Prehearing Conference | |
| 8 | · · | |
| 9 10 | May 28, 2002 Jefferson City, Missouri Volume 2 | |
| 11 | | |
| | | |
| 12 13 | Staff of the Missouri Public) Service Commission, | |
| 14 | Compl ai nant, | |
| 15 | vs. 2 Case No. EC-2002-1 | |
| 16 | Union Electric Company) d/b/a AmerenUE,) | |
| 17 | Respondent. | |
| 18 | • | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | LEWIS R. MILLS, JR, Presiding, | |
| 22 | DEPUTY CHIEF REGULATORY LAW JUDGE. | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | REPORTED BY: | |
| 25 | MELINDA ADOLPHSON, CSR ASSOCIATED COURT REPORTERS | |
| | ASSOCIATED COURT REPORTERS (573) 636-7551 JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65102 (573)442-3600 COLUMBIA, MO 65201 77 | |
| | | |

1 APPEARANCES:

2 JAMES J. COOK, Attorney at Law

| | EC20021v2 THOMAS BYRNE, Attorney at Law |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 3 | ROBERT J. CYNKAR, Attorney at Law |
| 4 | 1901 Chouteau Avenue P.O. Box 66149 |
| 5 | St. Louis, Missouri 63166-6149 314-554-2237 |
| 6 | FOR: Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE. |
| 7 | IAMES M. ELSSUED. ALL CONTROL LA LONG |
| 8 | JAMES M. FISCHER, Attorney at Law Fischer & Dority, P.C. 101 Madison Street |
| 9 | Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 573-636-6758 |
| 10 | FOR: Kansas City Power & Light Company. |
| 11 | rok. Kansus of ty ronor a Ergitt company. |
| 12 | MICHAEL C. PENDERGAST, Attorney at Law |
| 13 | 720 Olive Street St. Louis, Missouri 63101 314-342-0532 |
| 14 | |
| 15 | FOR: Laclede Gas Company. |
| 16 | LISA C. LANGENECKERT, Attorney at Law |
| 17 | Law Offices of Robert Johnson 720 Olive Street, Suite 2400 |
| 18 | St. Louis, Missouri 63101 314-345-6441 |
| 19 | FOR: Missouri Energy Group. |
| 20 | |
| 21 | ROBIN FULTON, Attorney at Law 135 E. Main Street |
| 22 | P.O. Box 151 Fredericktown, Missouri 63645 |
| 23 | 573-783-7212 |
| 24 | FOR: Doe Run Company. |
| 25 | |
| 20 | |
| | ASSOCIATED COURT REPORTERS |

(573) 442-3600 COLUMBIA, MO 65201 78

| 1 | CONT' D APPEARANCES: |
|---|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | RONALD MOLTENI, Assistant Attorney General SHELLY A. WOODS, Assistant Attorney General |
| 3 | Supreme Court Building P.O. Box 899 |
| 4 | Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 573-751-3321 |
| 5 | F0D 01 1 6 M1 |

FOR: State of Missouri.

| 6 | LC2002 TV2 |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 7 | SAMUEL_OVERFELT, Attorney at Law |
| 8 | 618 E. Capi tol Avenue P. O. Box 1336 |
| 9 | Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 573-636-5128 |
| 10 | FOR: Missouri Retailer Association. |
| 11 | DIANA M. VIIVI CTEVE. Attornov. et law |
| 12 | DIANA M. VUYLSTEKE, Attorney at Law Bryan Cave, L.L.P. |
| 13 | 211 North Broadway, Suite 3600 St. Louis, Missouri 63102 314-259-2000 |
| 14 | FOR: Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers |
| 15 | Tok. Wil 330di i Thadsti i al Energy consumer s |
| 16 | JOHN B. COFFMAN, Deputy Public Counsel DOUGLAS MICHEEL, Senior Public Counsel |
| 17 | RUTH O' NEILL, Legal Counsel Governor Office Building |
| 18 | P.O. Box 7800 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 |
| 19 | 573-751-3234 65 102 |
| 20 | FOR: The Office of the Public Counsel and the Public. |
| 21 | the rubire. |
| 22 | STEVEN DOTTHEIM, Chief Deputy Counsel Governor Office Building |
| 23 | P. O. Box 360 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 |
| 24 | 572-751-3234 |
| 25 | FOR: Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission. |
| | ASSOCIATED COURT REPORTERS (573) 636-7551 JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65102 (573)442-3600 COLUMBIA, MO 65201 79 |
| 1 | P R O C E E D I N G S |
| 2 | JUDGE MILLS: We're on the record this |
| 3 | morning for a prehearing conference in Case No. |
| 4 | EC-2002-1, which is styled Staff of the Missouri |
| 5 | Public Service Commission, Complainant, versus |
| 6 | Union Electric Company, d/b/a AmerenUE, |
| 7 | Respondent. |
| 8 | We'll begin by taking entries of |
| 9 | appearance, and I'll start on my left with Staff |
| | · · · |

- 10 and we'll go across the front row, across the
- 11 second row, and then across the back row, sort of
- in a zigzag fashion. If we miss anybody, I'll just
- offer it up at the end.
- 14 Mr. Dottheim?
- 15 MR. DOTTHEIM: Steven Dottheim, Dan Joyce,
- 16 Tim Schwarz, Keith Krueger, Dennis Frey, Victoria
- 17 Kizito, Robert Franson, Eric Anderson, David Meyer
- and Nathan Williams, Post Office Box 360,
- 19 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102, appearing on behalf
- 20 of the Staff of the Missouri Public Service
- 21 Commi ssi on.
- 22 JUDGE MILLS: Thank you.
- 23 MR. COOK: James J. Cook and Thomas M.
- 24 Byrne, Robert J. Cynkar, appearing on behalf of
- 25 Union Electric Company, doing business as

- 1 AmerenUE. Mr. Byrne and Mr. Cook's address is
- 2 P.O. Box 66149, St. Louis, Missouri 63166.
- 3 JUDGE MILLS: Thank you.
- 4 MR. COFFMAN: Appearing on behalf of the
- 5 Office of the Public Counsel, John B. Coffman and
- 6 Doug Micheel and Ruth O'Neill, P.O. Box 7800,
- 7 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.
- 8 MR. OVERFELT: Sam Overfelt, appearing on
- 9 behalf of Missouri Retailer Association, P.O.
- 10 Box 1336, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.
- 11 JUDGE MI LLS: Thank you.
- 12 MR. MOLTENI: Ronald Molteni and Shelly

- 13 Woods, of the Attorney General's Office, appearing
- on behalf of the State of Missouri, P.O. Box 899,
- 15 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.
- 16 JUDGE MILLS: Thank you.
- 17 MS. VUYLSTEKE: Appearing on behalf of the
- 18 Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers, Diana M.
- 19 Vuyl steke, Bryan Cave, L. L. P., 211 North Broadway,
- 20 Suite 3600, St. Louis, Missouri 63102.
- 21 MR. FULTON: Rob Fulton, appearing on
- 22 behalf of Doe Run Company, P.O. Box 151,
- 23 Fredericktown, Missouri 63645.
- 24 MS. LANGENECKERT: Li sa C. Langeneckert,
- with Robert C. Johnson, appearing on behalf of the

- 1 Missouri Energy Group, 720 Olive, Suite 2400,
- 2 St. Louis, Missouri 63101.
- 3 MR. PENDERGAST: Michael C. Pendergast,
- 4 appearing on behalf of Laclede Gas Company. My
- 5 business address is 720 Olive Street, St. Louis,
- 6 Missouri 63101.
- 7 JUDGE MILLS: Thank you.
- 8 MR. COOK: James M. Fischer and Larry W.
- 9 Dority, Fischer & Dority, P.C., 101 Madison Street,
- 10 Suite 400, Jefferson City, Missouri 65101,
- 11 appearing on behalf of Kansas City Power and Light
- 12 Company.
- 13 JUDGE MILLS: Ms. Wilbers, are you
- 14 appearing today?
- MS. WILBERS: We're here with the State of
- 16 Missouri. Thank you.

| 17 | JUDGE MILLS: Is there anyone that we |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------|
| 18 | missed? Is there anything that the parties wish to |
| 19 | take up while we're on the record this morning? |
| 20 | MR. COFFMAN: I don't have a particular |
| 21 | request at the moment, your Honor, but the Company |
| 22 | and the Office of Public Counsel have been having a |
| 23 | discovery discussion, and it's likely that we may |
| 24 | want to have a conference under Rule 8B at some |
| 25 | point. If you have any particular time that's |

| 1 | appropriate for you during this week. |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | JUDGE MILLS: I'll make myself available |
| 3 | whenever you-all need me. |
| 4 | MR. COFFMAN: Thanks a Lot. |
| 5 | JUDGE MILLS: Is there anything else? |
| 6 | There's a few things I want to go over, partly |
| 7 | because this case is so large and because there's |
| 8 | so many parties and so much money at stake. I just |
| 9 | want to set out some ground rules fairly early on, |
| 10 | particularly about the way the hearing is going to |
| 11 | be run. We're not going to be allowing friendly |
| 12 | cross. I'm sure most of you are well aware that |
| 13 | that's the standard practice at the Commission, and |
| 14 | it won't be allowed here in this case. |
| 15 | Prefiled exhibits, this is the prefiled |
| 16 | testimony. Those are going to be marked ahead of |
| 17 | time. I don't know if you-all are aware, but the |
| 18 | Commission has a new rule in effect that only one |
| 19 | copy will be required rather than the three that |

- 20 was previously required. I believe that went into
- 21 effect May 1st. It may be May 31st. But in any
- 22 event, I believe it will be in effect before this
- 23 hearing begins.
- 24 And to that end, I would like to get that
- one copy from all the parties well before the day

- 1 of the hearing so I can mark it, assign an exhibit
- 2 number and have all that done before we take up
- 3 time on the record the first morning. And if that
- 4 creates a hardship for anyone, then you can just
- 5 bring it. But otherwise get it to me sometime
- 6 earlier that week or even the week before. That's
- 7 several weeks after surrebuttal testimony is done,
- 8 so that shouldn't be a problem.
- 9 I'd also like to get a copy of all
- 10 testimony electronically either on a disk, a CD or
- 11 E-mailed to me. My E-mail address is
- 12 Imills@mail.state.mo.us. That won't be an official
- 13 copy. That's just so that I have an electronic
- 14 version for my own convenience to read it at home
- or when putting an order together.
- 16 In terms of motions to strike testimony,
- 17 we're going to require that all those be prefiled
- 18 before the hearing. I'll issue a notice that sets
- 19 a date for that. I don't have a specific date in
- 20 mind this morning. But I don't want to take up
- 21 time on the record dealing with motions to strike
- 22 testimony that was filed weeks earlier. So I'm
- 23 going to set a deadline for that, and we'll take

those up before we get to hearing so we'll know at the time of hearing what is and what isn't subject

| 1 | to a motion to strike. | |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------|--|
| 2 | Of course, if something comes up at the | |
| 3 | last minute or something during cross-examination | |
| 4 | makes it apparent that something ought to be | |
| 5 | stricken, then, of course, you're free to file a | |
| 6 | motion for that, but I'm not going to wait until | |
| 7 | the morning of the hearing, and then have somebody | |
| 8 | move to strike portions on someone's direct | |
| 9 | testimony or even surrebuttal testimony that could | |
| 10 | have been filed ahead of time. So as I said, I'll | |
| 11 | issue a notice that sets a date for that. | |
| 12 | Corrections to testimony, I don't think we | |
| 13 | need to take up time on the record to add in | |
| 14 | apostrophes, to correct minor typos. If your | |
| 15 | witnesses have got a minor typo that doesn't really | |
| 16 | affect the substance of the testimony, do all you | |
| 17 | can to encourage the witness to let it go. We | |
| 18 | don't need to have a perfect record, if it's | |
| 19 | understandable what the witness says. | |
| 20 | Also if there are any corrections of | |
| 21 | substance that need to be made to a witness's | |
| 22 | testimony, please do those as an errata sheet so | |
| 23 | that we're not struggling on the record to follow | |
| 24 | page and line for significant directions. If you | |
| 25 | can have an errata sheet set out that we can simply | |

EC20021v2 (573) 636-7551 JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65102 (573)442-3600 COLUMBIA, MO 65201

| 1 | admit, submit that when that witness is ready to | |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------|--|
| 2 | take the stand, and then it will be much quicker | |
| 3 | and probably much easier for the other parties to | |
| 4 | understand than trying to mark through their own | |
| 5 | copy as we go along. | |
| 6 | In terms of the actual progression of the | |
| 7 | hearing, I know that there have been depositions | |
| 8 | taken in this case. If it's possible, the parties | |
| 9 | may want to explore the possibility of admitting a | |
| 10 | deposition instead of doing the same questions over | |
| 11 | again on cross. That's not always possible, and | |
| 12 | you may have reasons for wanting to do it live on | |
| 13 | cross, but I'd encourage you to explore that | |
| 14 | possibility simply to save time. | |
| 15 | Similarly, because by the time we get to | |
| 16 | hearing, there would have been at least three | |
| 17 | rounds of testimony filed, it may be perfectly | |
| 18 | possible for parties to waive cross on a witness. | |
| 19 | It frequently turns out that you are not able to | |
| 20 | get a whole lot additional from a witness that they | |
| 21 | haven't already said in three rounds of testimony. | |
| 22 | And in terms of the schedule of witnesses, | |
| 23 | that's one of the I believe the due date for | |
| 24 | that is June 26, just about a month from now. I'd | |
| 25 | like the parties to let me know as soon as possible | |

| | EC20021v2 |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------|
| 1 | if there are any conflicts for certain witnesses or |
| 2 | even the possibility of a conflict. Nothing puts a |
| 3 | monkey wrench in the works any worse than finding |
| 4 | out on a Tuesday afternoon that the witness for the |
| 5 | next day is unavailable, and we have to scramble |
| 6 | around and try and figure out who is going to be |
| 7 | next. So if there are possibilities of conflicts, |
| 8 | let me know, and we'll try to have contingency |
| 9 | plans so that we can keep moving through the |
| 10 | hearing without having to stop and take a break |
| 11 | because one particular witness is not available. |
| 12 | I think that's it. Any questions on that |
| 13 | laundry list of stuff I've just gone through? Most |
| 14 | of it's just fairly standard operating procedure. |
| 15 | Mr. Cook? |
| 16 | MR. COOK: Is it presumed that if there's |
| 17 | a preference to schedule of witnesses to be by |
| 18 | issue? |
| 19 | JUDGE MILLS: Well, really the three |
| | |

20 possibilities that I've seen are by party, by 21 witness or by issue. I think the most common 22 practice here is by witness. It is one witness 23 takes the stand and testifies about all issues they 24 want to talk about, and then they step down, and 25 then they are gone. It's also been done by issue

> ASSOCIATED COURT REPORTERS (573) 636-7551 JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65102 (573) 442-3600 COLUMBIA, MO 65201

1 where you take all the witnesses on that issue, and 2 you may end up with the same witness taking the 3 stand three or four times during the course of the Then the third possibility is by party in case. Page 10

| 5 | which the moving party generally would go first, |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 6 | and then the rebutting party, and then the |
| 7 | intervenors have to fit in somewhere. |
| 8 | To my knowledge that hasn't really been |
| 9 | done at the Commission. If it has, at least not |
| 10 | very often. It's a possibility. If your question |
| 11 | is what do I prefer, I don't know that I have a |
| 12 | preference. As I said, I think it's most common |
| 13 | here to do it by witness. And then there are |
| 14 | certainly some efficiency that way, but there's |
| 15 | also some inefficiencies in that you have to make |
| 16 | clear on the record when you're switching topics |
| 17 | with that witness for me, because otherwise $\ensuremath{\mathrm{I}}\xspace^{-}\ensuremath{\mathrm{m}}$ too |
| 18 | dense, and I might get confused when I write to the |
| 19 | order. And you don't have that problem if you |
| 20 | simply do it by issue. It tends to get more |
| 21 | compartmentalized on the transcript. It's a little |
| 22 | easier to figure it out. |
| 23 | Certainly that's something you can talk |

ASSOCIATED COURT REPORTERS (573) 636-7551 JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65102 (573)442-3600 COLUMBIA, MO 65201 88

witnesses. If you come to an impasse, and you want

about when you talk about the schedule of

24

25

| 1 | my input on that, I can make that decision. But as |
|---|-----------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | I said, I don't have any preference. So if you-all |
| 3 | can agree on something, that will be fine with me. |
| 4 | MR. COOK: I have another question. The |
| 5 | hearing schedule calls for hearings to be completed |
| 6 | August 2nd. |
| 7 | NIDGE MILLS: That's a Eriday That's |

| _ | |
|----|----------|
| Q | correct. |
| () | COLLECT |

- 9 MR. COOK: Should we assume that's the end 10 of the hearing schedule?
- 11 JUDGE MILLS: Yes. We have, I believe, 12
- 12 days of hearing or 13?
- 13 MR. COOK: 12 or 14.
- MR. DOTTHEIM: I thought it was 14.
- 15 JUDGE MILLS: I think that should be
- 16 enough. And if it's not enough, you-all ought to
- 17 know by the end of this week that it's not going to
- 18 be enough. I don't think that there's any reason
- 19 to get to the 11th or 12th day and then suddenly
- 20 realize, Oh, my gosh, we've got to scramble for
- 21 additional days.
- MR. COOK: Certainly that's my normal
- understanding would be that the schedule that's set
- out is the schedule, that as we go through it and
- it's very likely we realize, Oh, my goodness, if it

- 1 looks like there's more witnesses than there is
- time, the direction I hear is that we should make
- 3 the witnesses and the hearing fit in the schedule
- 4 that's here and not assume that it could lap over?
- 5 JUDGE MILLS: And that's not exactly what
- 6 I had in mind. My thinking is that if that turns
- 7 out to be the case, you ought to know it now, by
- 8 the end of this week certainly. I would like it to
- 9 be done within the allotted time. But I mean, if
- 10 you get to the end of your discussions this week,
- issues that you thought might settle, haven't

| 12 | settled, whatever, that people aren't willing to | | | | |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 13 | waive cross, for whatever the reason it looks as | | | | |
| 14 | though that isn't going to be enough time, let me | | | | |
| 15 | know now, and we'll set out some additional days. | | | | |
| 16 | What I don't want to get thrown at me is | | | | |
| 17 | the 11th day, where we should have been on the 3rd | | | | |
| 18 | day, and realize then that we have to scramble | | | | |
| 19 | around for more hearing dates. | | | | |
| 20 | MR. COOK: Thank you. | | | | |
| 21 | JUDGE MILLS: Are there any other | | | | |
| 22 | questi ons? | | | | |
| 23 | You have got this room the rest of the | | | | |
| 24 | week. There are conference rooms throughout the | | | | |
| 25 | building. I'm sorry I can't make the large hearing | | | | |
| | ASSOCIATED COURT REPORTERS (573) 636-7551 JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65102 (573)442-3600 COLUMBIA, MO 65201 90 | | | | |
| 1 | room available, but feel free to contact me | | | | |
| 2 | whenever you need me. I had originally planned to | | | | |
| 3 | go back on the record every morning just to touch | | | | |
| 4 | base and see if there are any questions that the | | | | |
| 5 | parties needed to have addressed on the record, but | | | | |
| 6 | on further reflection, I'm not sure that's | | | | |
| 7 | necessary. | | | | |

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

MR. DOTTHEIM: If that develops, I assume

Do the parties think that would be

beneficial? Does anybody have any thoughts on

whether it would be worthwhile to get a court

questions that need to be addressed on a daily

reporter in on the chance that there may be $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

basis through the rest of the week?

| 15 | we | coul d | al ert | vou | to | that? |
|----|----|--------|--------|-----|----|-------|
| | | | | | | |

- 16 JUDGE MILLS: Certainly we could.
- 17 MR. DOTTHEIM: And you have that option.
- 18 At the moment, maybe it would be best to assume
- 19 that we won't need that, but events might prove
- 20 otherwise. If they do, we can contact you.
- 21 JUDGE MILLS: Okay. That sounds
- 22 reasonabl e.
- 23 MR. COOK: That's what I was going to
- 24 say.
- 25 MR. FISCHER: Your Honor, I would assume

- 1 that if there are counsel that aren't interested in
- 2 particular issues, that they can be excused for
- 3 those particular parts of the prehearing?
- 4 JUDGE MILLS: I'm not going to officially
- 5 excuse you, because it's really too indefinite for
- 6 me to grant something like that. But I don't see a
- 7 problem, if there are portions going on that a
- 8 particular counsel isn't interested in, that they
- 9 could be missing. I mean, I don't want to hear
- 10 later that, you know, discussions turned to an
- 11 issue Thursday afternoon that involved somebody who
- 12 wasn't here and couldn't get back. I think it's
- incumbent on you-all to be available when you need
- 14 to be available, but it certainly isn't incumbent
- on you to be here when you don't need to be here.
- 16 I hope that answers your question.
- 17 Anything further?
- 18 I'm going to be around all week. If you

| 19 | need to talk to me either off the record or on the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($ |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 20 | record, I mean, off the record, obviously, with all |
| 21 | the parties present or on the record with all the |
| 22 | parties present, just give me a shout, and we'll |
| 23 | get back together. |
| 24 | If there's nothing further the |
| 25 | on-the-record portion is adjourned. |
| | ASSOCIATED COURT REPORTERS |

| 1 | WHEREUPON, the on-the-record portion of |
|----|------------------------------------------|
| 2 | the prehearing conference was adjourned. |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |

| 22 | EC20021v2 |
|----|-----------|
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |