

BEFORE THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Resource Plan of)
KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company)

Case No. EO-2013-0538

**DOGWOOD ENERGY, LLC'S REPLY COMMENTS AND CONTINUED REQUEST
FOR HEARING**

COMES NOW Dogwood Energy, LLC ("Dogwood") and respectfully submits its Reply Comments and Continued Request for Hearing in this proceeding pursuant to 4 CSR 240-22.080, as follows:

1. On August 20, 2013, Dogwood submitted its Comments and Request for Hearing. Therein Dogwood indicated that GMO did not comply with the prior Joint Filing and related Commission order in File No. EO-2012-0324, concerning its IRP submittals, because it did not analyze the supply side options of acquiring a minority interest in the Dogwood facility and/or retiring the Crossroads plant in a manner that used the same methods, assumptions and net capacity additions as the other alternative resource plans that it studied. Dogwood further indicated that GMO continues to fail to use minimization of NPVRR as the primary criterion for selecting a preferred alternative resource plan and continues to fail to fully evaluate supply-side resource options as required by the Commission's IRP rules.

2. In its September 13, 2013 Response, GMO essentially acknowledges its commitments, but incorrectly asserts that Dogwood only takes issue with the results of its analysis. Again, Dogwood takes issue with GMO's non-compliant methods, which then of course by design lead to skewed results.

3. GMO also mischaracterizes Dogwood as its “competitor”, when in fact Dogwood is a customer and a supplier that is subject to harm from monopoly abuses. GMO also inexplicably omits Dogwood from various lists of stakeholders provided in its Response, although indisputably Dogwood has been a participating stakeholder in GMO’s resource planning proceedings for many years.

4. GMO contends that no hearing is necessary in these proceedings, yet it seeks a substantive ruling from the Commission that it has complied with its commitments to Dogwood and other stakeholders. But the Commission cannot make such a ruling without holding a hearing and developing a record.

5. GMO suggests that the Commission should defer consideration of the issues raised by Dogwood and other stakeholders to yet another IRP filing down the road. But as the recent Empire IRP proceedings make plain, such delays ultimately result in the utility making end runs around stakeholder concerns by entering into resource commitments in between filings (i.e. Empire’s planned \$125,000,000+ Riverton 12 generating plant conversion) without seeking the requisite preapproval from the Commission under Section 393.170 RSMo.¹

6. Dogwood continues to assert that the Commission should schedule a hearing pursuant to Rule 22.080 regarding the still-unresolved deficiencies of GMO’s IRP submittals and its related violation of the Commission’s Order approving the Joint Filing. After conducting such hearing, the Commission should order GMO to conduct new analyses in compliance with the IRP rules and remedy deficiencies in its resource planning.

¹ See File Nos. EO-2012-0294 and EO-2014-0547.

WHEREFORE, Dogwood respectfully requests that the Commission set a prehearing conference, direct the parties to submit a proposed procedural schedule, and set this matter for hearing to address deficiencies in GMO's attempts to comply with the Commission's IRP rules, 4 CSR 240-22, and grant such other relief as the Commission determines to be just and reasonable.

Respectfully submitted,

CURTIS, HEINZ,
GARRETT & O'KEEFE, P.C.

/s/ Carl J. Lumley

Carl J. Lumley, #32869
130 S. Bemiston, Suite 200
Clayton, Missouri 63105
(314) 725-8788
(314) 725-8789 (Fax)
clumley@lawfirmemail.com

Attorneys for Dogwood Energy, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

A true and correct copy of the foregoing document was either mailed, faxed, or emailed this 19th day of September 2013, to the persons listed on the below service list.

/s/ Carl J. Lumley

Missouri Public Service Commission
General Counsel Office
P.O. Box 360
200 Madison Street, Suite 800
Jefferson City, MO 65102
Staffcounsel@psc.mo.gov

Office of the Public Counsel
Lewis Mills
P.O. Box 2230
200 Madison Street, Suite 650
Jefferson City, MO 65102
opcservice@ded.mo.gov

Brubaker & Associates, Inc.
Maurice Brubaker
P.O. Box 412000
St. Louis, MO 63141-2000
mbrubaker@consultbai.com

Brubaker & Associates, Inc.
Greg Meyer
P.O. Box 412000
St. Louis, MO 63141-2000
gmeyer@consultbai.com

Kansas City Power & Light Company
Don Frerking
P.O. Box 418679
Kansas City, MO 64141-9679
Don.frerking@kcpl.com

KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations
Company
James Fischer
101 Madison Street, Suite 400
Jefferson City, MO 65101
jfischerpc@aol.com

Kansas City Power & Light Company
Lois Liechti
P.O. Box 418679
Kansas City, MO 64141-9679
lois.liechti@kcpl.com

Kansas City Power & Light Company
Marisol Miller
P.O. Box 418679
Kansas City, MO 64141-9679
marisol.miller@kcpl.com

Kimiko Narita
20 N. Wacker Dr., Suite 1600
Chicago, IL 60606
knarita@nrhc.org

Kansas City Power & Light Company
Tim Rush
P.O. Box 418679
Kansas City, MO 64141-9679
tim.rush@kcpl.com

Kansas City Power & Light Company
Roger Steiner
P.O. Box 418679
Kansas City, MO 64141-9679
roger.steiner@kcpl.com

Missouri Department of Natural Resources
Jessica Blome
P.O. Box 899
221 W. High Street
Jefferson City, MO 65102
Jessica.Blome@ago.mo.gov

Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers
(MIEC)
Diana M. Vuylsteke
211 N. Broadway, Suite 3600
St. Louis, MO 63102
dnvuylsteke@bryancave.com

Missouri Public Service Commission
Steve Dottheim
P.O. Box 360
200 Madison Street, Suite 800
Jefferson City, MO 65102
Steve.Dottheim@psc.mo.gov

Henry B. Robertson
705 Olive Street, Suite 614
St. Louis, MO 63101
hrobertson@greatriverslaw.org

Renew Missouri
Andrew J. Linhares
910 E. Broadway, Suite 205
Columbia, MO 65201
Andrew@renewmo.org

Sierra Club
Thomas Cmar
5042 N. Leavitt St., Suite 1
Chicago, IL 60625
tcnar@earthjustice.org

Sierra Club
Shannon Fisk
1617 John F. Kennedy Blvd., Suite 1675
Philadelphia, PA 19103
sfisk@earthjustice.org