

Bob Holden Governor

Office of the Public Counsel

Governor Office Building 200 Madison, Suite 650 P.O. Box 7800 Jefferson City, Missouri 65102 Telephone: 573-751-4857 Facsimile: 573-751-5562 Web: http://www.mo-opc.org Relay Missouri 1-800-735-2966 TDD 1-800-735-2466 Voice

June 12, 2002

Mr. Dale H. Roberts
Secretary/Chief Regulatory Law Judge
Public Service Commission
P. O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102

**FILED**<sup>4</sup>

JUN 1 2 2002

RE: Union Electric Company,

Case No. EC-2002-1

Missouri Public Service Commission

Dear Mr. Roberts:

Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced case please find the original and eight copies of **Public Counsel's Recommendation Regarding Schedule of the Evidentiary Hearing**. Please "file" stamp the extra-enclosed copy and return it to this office.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

John B. Coffman

**Acting Public Counsel** 

JBC:jb

cc: Counsel of Record

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI		FII co.
STAFF OF THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION, Complainant,	) ) )	JUN 1 2 2002
vs.	) Case No. EC-2002-1	Service Commission
UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY, d/b/a AmerenUE, Respondent.	) ) )	-5/0/

# PUBLIC COUNSEL'S RECOMMENDATION REGARDING SCHEDULE OF THE EVIDENTIARY HEARING

COMES NOW the Office of the Public Counsel (Public Counsel), and for its Recommendation Regarding Schedule of the Evidentiary Hearing, states as follows:

1. On June 11, 2002, the parties determined that an impasse had been reached in determining a schedule for witnesses and issues for the evidentiary hearing scheduled to begin July 11, 2002 in this case. The parties thus agreed to file recommendations with the Public Service Commission (Commission) prior to 10:30 a.m. June 12, 2002. This pleading contains recommendations and general concerns of the Public Counsel about the scheduling of the evidentiary hearing. Whereas Public Counsel does not know exactly what recommendations will be filed by Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE (Company) or by other parties, Public Counsel reserves the right to submit supplemental recommendations in reply. Nonetheless, a prompt order from the Commission establishing some guidelines for the schedule for the evidentiary hearing would be helpful to all of the parties to this complex case.

- 2. Public Counsel supports the proposed order of witnesses and issues as proposed by the Commission Staff (Staff), provided that such schedule begins on July 11, 2002 as the Commission has ordered. Staff is the moving party in this earnings complaint case and thus bears the burden of proof. Therefore, Staff should be allowed to initially present its case that Company's rates should be reset based upon its current cost of service. Company's proposed alternative regulation plan should be tried subsequently during the evidentiary hearing.
- 3. Public Counsel supports the Staff proposal to try this case by issue groups as opposed to conducting cross-examination witness by witness only. Several issues have been grouped together in general topics under which each relevant witness would be cross-examined. Public Counsel believes that this approach to the schedule is most consistent with past Commission practice. Moreover, this organizational structure would be most helpful to the parties and to the Commission as they sort through the many issues outstanding in this case.
- 4. Public Counsel believes that it is likely that the evidentiary hearing will be conducted within the dates currently set aside; however, questions from the bench and other unforeseen factors could create the necessity of extending the evidentiary hearing in this case. In the unlikely event that additional days are needed, Public Counsel strongly opposes the suggestion that the evidentiary hearing should be moved forward to the week of July 1, 2002.

Moving the hearing forward by ten days at this late date would create a hardship on parties with limited resources and create the potential for due process concerns. If the evidentiary hearing were moved forward to July 1, the parties would have less than a week to review the prepared surrebuttal testimony filings, along with preparing a lengthy list of issues, position statements, preparing cross-examination, and resolving other outstanding discovery problems prior to the commencement of the evidentiary hearing. There are also several conflicts for Public Counsel attorneys and witnesses on the dates prior to July 11, 2002 that would create a hardship if the schedule were moved forward. In addition, depositions are currently being planned for the last week of June and may even be proposed by some parties for the first week of July 2002.

- 5. In the unlikely event that the dates currently set aside for the evidentiary hearing are not sufficient, Public Counsel is not opposed to completing the evidentiary hearing on dates currently available in September 2002. Such an extension would be reasonable and would not be likely to harm ratepayers given the following considerations:
  - A. A large majority of the evidence in this case would have already been entered into the record by August 2, 2002, and an extension of the evidentiary hearing by approximately six weeks would not necessarily delay the briefing schedule by six weeks nor should it delay a Commission Report and Order by as much as six weeks.
  - B. Unlike the situation that existed earlier in this case, an evidentiary hearing has now been scheduled and Company has committed to allow any rate reduction ordered in this case to become effective for ratepayers as of April 1, 2002. Therefore, any necessary and reasonable delay in a

decision in this case is not likely to reduce the ultimate relief consumers deserve.

Respectfully submitted,

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC COUNSEL

John B. Coffman

oting Dublic Council

**Acting Public Counsel** 

P. O. Box 7800

Jefferson City, MO 65102

(573) 751-5565

(573) 751-5562 FAX

jcoffman@ded.state.mo.us

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been mailed or hand-delivered to the following this 12<sup>th</sup> day of June 2002:

#### **GENERAL COUNSEL**

Missouri Public Service Commission P O Box 360 Jefferson City MO 65102

#### DIANA M VUYLSTEKE ESQ

Bryan Cave, LLP 211 North Broadway Suite 3600 St Louis MO 63102-2750

## ROBERT C JOHNSON / LISA C LANGENECKERT

Blackwell Sanders Peper & Martin 720 Olive Street Suite 2400 St Louis MO 63101

## JAMES M FISCHER

Fischer & Dority PC 101 Madison Suite 400 Jefferson City MO 65101

## ROBERT J CYNKAR VICTOR J WOLSKI

Cooper Carvin & Rosenthal 1500 K Street NW Suite 200 Washington DC 20005

#### SHELLEY WOODS

Office of the Attorney General PO Box 176 Jefferson City MO 65102

#### **JAMES COOK**

Ameren Services Company 1901 Chouteau Avenue P O Box 66149 (M/C 1310) St. Louis MO 63166-6149

#### **ROBIN E FULTON**

Schnapp Fulton Fall Silvey & Reid LLC 135 East Main Street P O Box 151 Fredericktown MO 63645

### MICHAEL C PENDERGAST

Laclede Gas Company 720 Olive Street Room 1520 St Louis MO 63101

#### **JEREMIAH W NIXON**

Attorney General
221 West High Street
PO Box 899
Jefferson City MO 65102

#### RONALD MOLTENI

Office of the Attorney General P O Box 176 Jefferson City MO 65102

#### SAMUEL E OVERFELT

Law Office of Samuel E Overfelt PO Box 1336 Jefferson City MO 65102

DR DCH