DE 350 Exhibit No.:

Support for Proposed Programs Issues:

and Initiatives: Recommended

Modifications

Witness:

Martin R. Hyman

Sponsoring Party:

Missouri Department of

Economic Development -

Division of Energy

Type of Exhibit:

Rebuttal Testimony

FILED October 3, 2019

Case Nos.:

EO-2019-0132 EO-2019-0133

Data Center

Missouri Public

Service Commission

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY KCP&L GREATER MISSOURI OPERATIONS COMPANY

CASE NOs. EO-2019-0132 and EO-2019-0133

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

MARTIN R. HYMAN

ON

BEHALF OF

MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

DIVISION OF ENERGY

Jefferson City, Missouri August 19, 2019

DE Exhibit No. 350

Date 9-23-19 Reporter TIT

File No. £0-2019-0132

£0-2019-0133

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Kansas City Power & Light Company's Application for Authority to Establish a Demand-Side Programs Investment Mechanism			File No. EO-2019-0132		
In the Matter of KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Application for Authority to Establish a Demand- Side Programs Investment Mechanism			File No. EO-2019-0133		
AFFIDAVIT OF MARTIN R. HYMAN					
STATE OF MISSOURI)				
COUNTY OF COLE) ss .)				
Martin R. Hyman, of lawful ag	e, being duly sworn o	Martin R. Hyman, of lawful age, being duly sworn on his oath, deposes and states:			

- My name is Martin R. Hyman. I work in the City of Jefferson, Missouri, and I am employed by the Missouri Department of Economic Development as a Planner III, Division of Energy.
- Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my Rebuttal Testimony
 on behalf of the Missouri Department of Economic Development Division of
 Energy.
- 3. I hereby swear and affirm that my answers contained in the attached testimony to the questions therein propounded are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Martin R. Hyman

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 19th day of August, 2019.

Notary Public

My commission expires:

MELANIE K. BAX Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Osage County My Commission Expires: December 13, 2019 Commission Number: 15638889

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	. 1
II.	PURPOSE AND SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY	. 2
III.	SUPPORT FOR PROPOSED PROGRAMS AND INITIATIVES	. 3
IV.	RECOMMENDED MODIFICATIONS	. 6
V.	CONCLUSIONS	. 7

Rebuttal Testimony of Martin R. Hyman Case Nos. EO-2019-0132 and EO-2019-0133

I. INTRODUCTION

A.

- 2 Q. Please state your name and business address.
- A. My name is Martin R. Hyman. My business address is 301 West High Street, Suite
 720, PO Box 1766, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.
 - Q. By whom and in what capacity are you employed?
- A. I am employed by the Missouri Department of Economic Development ("DED") –

 Division of Energy ("DE") as a Senior Energy Policy Analyst, Planner III.
 - Q. Please describe your educational background and employment experience.
 - In 2011, I graduated from the School of Public and Environmental Affairs at Indiana University in Bloomington with a Master of Public Affairs and a Master of Science in Environmental Science. There, I worked as a graduate assistant, primarily investigating issues surrounding energy-related funding under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009. I also worked as a teaching assistant in graduate school and interned at the White House Council on Environmental Quality in the summer of 2011. I began employment with DE in September 2014. Prior to that, I worked as a contractor for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency to coordinate intra-agency modeling discussions. Since joining DE, I have been involved in a number of utility cases and other proceedings before the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission") as DE's lead policy witness and have assisted DE on legislative issues and the development of the Comprehensive State Energy Plan. Topics that I address as a part of my duties include demand-side programs, in-state energy resources, renewable energy, electric vehicles, and grid modernization.

- 1 Q. Have you previously filed testimony before the Commission on behalf of DE or any other party?
 - A. Yes. Please see Schedule MRH-Reb1 for a summary of my case participation.
 - II. PURPOSE AND SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY
 - Q. What is the purpose of your Rebuttal Testimony in this proceeding?
 - A. The purpose of my testimony is to provide DE's general support for Kansas City Power & Light Company's and KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company's (collectively, "KCP&L" or "Companies") proposed programs and initiatives under the Missouri Energy Efficiency Investment Act ("MEEIA") for third cycles of programs ("Cycle 3"). Approval of KCP&L's proposed programs and initiatives is consistent with the statutory goal of achieving all cost-effective demand-side savings (Section 393.1075.4, RSMo.), as well as with providing KCP&L customers with enhanced opportunities to improve the management of their utility usage and bills.

In addition, I provide recommendations for modifying the Companies' proposals. DE recommends renaming the residential "Heating, Cooling & Weatherization" programs to avoid program participant confusion and amending the Companies' tariffs to address participation eligibility of customers receiving certain tax credits, as authorized by statute. My testimony does not address other components of the Companies' proposals, including the budgets and earnings opportunities.

III. SUPPORT FOR PROPOSED PROGRAMS AND INITIATIVES

- Q. Please provide background information on MEEIA.
- A. MEEIA includes several important provisions. Section 393.1075.3, RSMo. states that the state's policy is to value demand-side and supply-side investments equivalently, and part (2) thereof states that the Commission shall, "... Ensure that utility financial incentives are aligned with helping customers use energy more efficiently and in a manner that sustains or enhances utility customers' incentives to use energy more efficiently" Under Section 393.1075.4, RSMo., there is a stated, "... goal of achieving all cost-effective demand-side savings."
 - Demand-side programs create system cost savings opportunities and provide utility customers with greater control over their energy consumption, enabling them to manage their bills in order to save money. Low-income demand-side programs can also improve energy security for vulnerable households. As system load requirements decline, particularly during peak usage periods, utility costs may also decline, creating savings opportunities for all customers.
- Q. In general, does DE support the Companies' proposed programs and initiatives?
- A. Yes. DE also offers the suggestions described below and in DE witness Ms. Jane E. Epperson's testimony.
- Q. Is it important to enable the Companies to continue offering MEEIA programs without interruption?
- A. Yes. There are two main reasons why continuity is important. First, MEEIA has a positive economic effect on Missouri. KCP&L describes local economic

customer.

development impacts in its filing, including Missouri contractors directly involved in delivering Cycle 2 programs. Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri indicated that the economic activity created from its proposed Cycle 3 programs would have supported an average of 1,625 jobs each year. Discontinuity in program offerings can be disruptive to trade allies, whose partnerships with the Companies create local economic activity.

Second, interrupting the continuity of MEEIA programs could be disruptive to the ability of KCP&L to achieve long-run savings because of the potential need to "ramp up" program activity after a pause in offerings. Program gaps may also lead to customer confusion and uncertainty as to the availability of energy efficiency offerings, lowering participation and, therefore, benefits to the Companies and

Q. Are the Companies' proposals cost-effective?

A. Yes, the programs required to pass a cost-effectiveness test are cost-effective.

Under Section 393.1075.4, RSMo., the Total Resource Cost ("TRC") test is a preferred test. Generally, the TRC test compares the utility's avoided costs,

¹ Missouri Public Service Commission Case Nos. EO-2019-0132 and EO-2019-0133, *In the Matter of Kansas City Power & Light Company's Application for Authority to Establish a Demand-Side Programs Investment Mechanism* and *In the Matter of KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Application for Authority to Establish a Demand-Side Programs Investment Mechanism*, MEEIA Cycle 3 2019-2022 Filing, November 29, 2018, page 25.

² Missouri Public Service Commission Case No. EO-2018-0211, *In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's 3rd Filing to Implement Regulatory Changes in Furtherance of Energy Efficiency as Allowed by MEEIA*, Surrebuttal Testimony of William ("Bill") R. Davis on Behalf of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri, September 17, 2018, Schedule WRD-SR1-12.

³ Trade allies are contractors that agree to partner with KCP&L to offer MEEIA-supported rebates and they play an important role in delivering energy-efficient and cost-saving products and services to Missouri customers.

⁴ See EO-2019-0132 and EO-2019-0133, MEEIA Cycle 3 2019-2022 Filing, page 20.

including probable environmental costs, to all incremental costs of end-use measures (including costs to administer, deliver and evaluate the programs that are implemented). Any TRC test scores above 1.00 indicate that the system benefits of the portfolios outweigh the costs. The Home Energy Report programs for Kansas City Power & Light Company and the Income-Eligible Multi-Family programs and Online Energy Audits for both Companies are not required to be cost tested per Section 393.1075.4, RSMo.: "Programs targeted to low-income customers or general education campaigns do not need to meet a cost-effectiveness test, so long as the commission determines that the program or campaign is in the public interest." While DE does not recommend any changes in cost-effectiveness testing methods in this case, I would note that including participant benefits in the TRC tests — consistent with recommended best practices? — could result in higher cost-effectiveness test results, reaffirming that the benefits of the portfolios outweigh their costs.

⁵ 4 CSR 240-20-092(1)(WW) Definitions for Demand-Side Programs and Demand-Side Programs Investment Mechanisms.

⁶ Cost-effectiveness test results are not provided for the Online Energy Audits. However, the Online Energy Audits could be considered general education programs that do not have to pass cost-effectiveness tests under Section 393.1075.4, RSMo.

⁷ Woolf, Tim, Neme, Chris, Kushler, Marty, Schiller, Steven R., Eckman, Tom, and Michals, Julie, 2017, National Standard Practice Manual for Assessing Cost-Effectiveness of Energy Efficiency Resources, National Efficiency Screening Project, https://nationalefficiencyscreening.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/NSPM May-2017 final.pdf, page 113.

Rebuttal Testimony of Martin R. Hyman Case Nos. EO-2019-0132 and EO-2019-0133

IV. RECOMMENDED MODIFICATIONS

- Q. Why does DE recommend changing the name of the Companies' proposed residential "Heating, Cooling & Weatherization" programs?
- A. DE administers the federal Low-Income Weatherization Assistance Program in Missouri,⁹ and the Companies provide support for weatherization programs in their respective territories through their base rates.¹⁰ Using the word "weatherization" in the titles for these MEEIA-based programs could create confusion among income-eligible customers and Community Action Agencies. Weatherization-qualified households could pay more or potentially be directed away from the most affordable programs available to them. To avoid this potential confusion, DE recommends that the Companies change the names of their MEEIA programs to the "Heating, Cooling, and Home Comfort" programs or an alternative that avoids the use of the term "weatherization."

Q. Do you have any other recommendations?

A. Yes. The tariffs accompanying the Companies' applications indicate that recipients of the Missouri Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (Sections 135.350 through 135.362, RSMo.) or the Historic Structures Rehabilitation Tax Credit (Sections 253.545 through 253.561, RSMo.) may not receive "monetary incentives" under

https://energy.mo.gov/assistance-programs/liwap/facts.

⁸ See EO-2019-0132 and EO-2019-0133, MEEIA Cycle 3 2019-2022 Filing, page 28.

⁹ Missouri Division of Energy. Undated. "Weatherization Program Facts."

¹⁰ Missouri Public Service Commission Case Nos. ER-2018-0145 and ER-2018-0146, In the Matter of Kansas City Power & Light Company's Request for Authority to Implement A General Rate Increase for Electric Service and In the Matter of KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company's Request for Authorization to Implement A General Rate Increase for Electric Service, Non-Unanimous Partial Stipulation and Agreement, September 19, 2018, pages 10-11.

many of the Companies' programs.¹¹ The prohibition on participation in MEEIA programs by recipients of these tax credits was removed from MEEIA through CCS#2/HCS/SCS/SB 112 (2017). ¹² DE recommends removing the aforementioned prohibitions from the Companies' tariffs in accordance with the revised MEEIA statute, which will better clarify participant eligibility.

V. CONCLUSIONS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q. Please summarize your conclusions and recommendations.
- A. DE generally supports the Companies' proposed MEEIA Cycle 3 programs and initiatives and also recommends (1) renaming the residential "Heating, Cooling & Weatherization" programs, and (2) amending KCP&L's tariffs to address the participation eligibility of customers receiving certain tax credits. Approval of KCP&L's proposed programs and initiatives is consistent with the statutory goal of achieving all cost-effective demand-side savings (Section 393.1075.4, RSMo.), as well as with providing KCP&L customers with enhanced opportunities to improve control over their utility bills.
- 16 Q. Does this conclude your Rebuttal Testimony?
- 17 A. Yes.

¹¹ Missouri Public Service Commission Case Nos. EO-2019-0132 and EO-2019-0133, *In the Matter of Kansas City Power & Light Company's Application for Authority to Establish a Demand-Side Programs Investment Mechanism* and *In the Matter of KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Application for Authority to Establish a Demand-Side Programs Investment Mechanism*, Appendix 8.1 – Program Tariff Sheets, November 29, 2018, Sheet Nos. 1.72, 1.84, R-63, and R-63.14.

¹² Missouri General Assembly, CCS#2/HCS/SCS/SB 112 (2017), 99th General Assembly, https://www.senate.mo.gov/17info/pdf-bill/tat/SB112.pdf, pages 36-37, lines 108-124.

Case Involvement of Martin R. Hyman

Case No.	Utility	Case Type	Testimony Round(s)	Issue(s)
EO-2015-0055	Ameren Missouri	MEEIA	Rebuttal, Surrebuttal, Rebuttal to Supp. Direct	Program modifications, settlement
ER-2014-0370	KCP&L	Rate	Rebuttal, Surrebuttal	Residential rate design, demand response rates, Clean Charge Network
WR-2015-0301 (SR 2015- 0302)	MAWC	Rate	Direct, Rebuttal, Surrebuttal	Rate design, demand-side efficiency
EA-2015-0256	GMO	CCN	Live	Tartan criteria
ER-2016-0023	Empire	Rate	Direct, Rebuttal, Surrebuttal	Residential rate design, DSM
EM-2016-0213	Empire/Liberty	Merger	Rebuttal, Surrebuttal	Energy efficiency, renewable energy, CHP, microgrids
ER-2016-0156	GMO	Rate	Direct, Rebuttal, Surrebuttal	Residential rate design, demand response rates, DSM, AMI, solar costs
EA-2016-0208	Ameren Missouri	CCN	Rebuttal, Surrebuttal	Settlement
ET-2016-0246	Ameren Missouri	Tariff	Rebuttal, Surrebuttal	EV-related policy and rate design considerations
ER-2016-0285	KCP&L	Rate	Direct, Rebuttal, Surrebuttal	Residential rate design, Commission questions, value of solar, EVs/Clean Charge Network, DSM
ER-2016-0179	Ameren Missouri	Rate	Direct, Rebuttal	Residential rate design, Commission questions, value of solar, DSM
WU-2017-0296	MAWC	AAO	Rebuttal (for DED)	Lead service line replacement
GR-2017-0215 and GR-2017-0216	Spire	Rate	Direct, Rebuttal, Surrebuttal	Revenue Stabilization Mechanism, energy efficiency, residential rate design

(Continued on next page)

Case Involvement of Martin R. Hyman

(Continued from previous page)

Case No.	Utility	Case Type	Testimony Round(s)	Issue(s)
WR-2017-0285	MAWC	Rate	Direct, Rebuttal, Surrebuttal	Residential rate design, Revenue Stabilization Mechanism, inclining block rates, lead service line replacement, special contract rate
EM-2018-0012	GPE	Merger	Rebuttal, Surrebuttal	Economic development, equal outcome provision, renewable energy, energy efficiency
EO-2015-0055	Ameren Missouri	MEEIA	Rebuttal	Pre-pay
EO-2018-0092	Empire	Customer Savings Plan	Rebuttal	Customer Savings Plan, economic development considerations
GR-2018-0013	Liberty	Rate	Direct, Rebuttal, Surrebuttal	Low-income energy assistance, Red-Tag Repair Program, energy efficiency, Volume Balancing Adjustment rider, district consolidation, residential rate design
ET-2018-0063	Ameren Missouri	Tariff	Surrebuttal	Support for non-unanimous stipulation and agreement
ER-2018-0145 and ER-2018-0146	KCP&L and GMO	Rate	Direct, Rebuttal, Surrebuttal	Rate design, generating unit retirements, Restoration Charges, EV charging stations, renewable energy tariff programs, distributed energy resource data
EA-2018-0202	Ameren Missouri	CCN	Rebuttal	Economic development, wildlife conservation
EO-2018-0211	Ameren Missouri	MEEIA	Rebuttal, Surrebuttal	Support for programs, savings targets, cost- effectiveness testing, portfolio design, policy, alternative proposals, other parties' recommendations
EA-2019-0021	Ameren Missouri	CCN	Rebuttal	Economic development

(Continued on next page)

Case Involvement of Martin R. Hyman

(Continued from previous page)

Case No.	Utility	Case Type	Testimony Round(s)	Issue(s)
EA-2019-0010 and EA-2019-0118	Empire	CCN	Rebuttal	Economic development, need, public interest
GR-2019-0077	Ameren Missouri	Rate	Rebuttal, Surrebuttal	Energy efficiency programs, weatherization testimony (including adoption of Direct Testimony of Sharlet E. Kroll), billing information
EA-2019-0181	Ameren Missouri	CCN	Rebuttal	Project benefits, need, public interest

As used above, the following terms are referred to by acronyms, abbreviations, or shorthand notation:

Accounting Authority Order	AAO
Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri	Ameren Missouri
Advanced Metering Infrastructure	AMI
Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity	CCN
Department of Economic Development	DED
Demand-Side Management	DSM
Combined Heat and Power	CHP .
The Empire District Electric Company	Empire
Electric Vehicle	EV
KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company	GMO
Great Plains Energy Incorporated	GPE
Liberty Utilities	Liberty
Kansas City Power & Light Company	KCP&L
Missouri Energy Efficiency Investment Act	MEEIA
Missouri-American Water Company	MAWC
Spire Missouri Inc. d/b/a Spire	Spire