Exhibit No.:

Issue: Customer Impact Witness: Ken Dworak

Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony

Sponsoring Party: Kansas City Power & Light Company
Case No.: EE-2008-0238
Date Testimony Prepared: April 15, 2008



APR 2 1 2008

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION Missouri Public Service Commission

CASE NO.: EE-2008-0238

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

KEN DWORAK

ON BEHALF OF

KANSAS CITY POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

Kansas City, Missouri April 2008

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

n the Matter of the Application of Kansas City Power & Light Company for a Waiver or Variance Of Certain Provisions of the Report and Order in Case No. ER-2007-0291 Case No. ER-2007-0291		
AFFIDAVIT OF KEN DWORAK		
STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss COUNTY OF JACKSON)		
Ken Dworak, being first duly sworn on his oath, sta	ates:	
1. My name is Ken Dworak I work in Kansas	City, Missouri, and I am employed by	
KAUFFMAN CENTER FOR THE PERFORMING A	RTS as Owner Representative.	
2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for	all purposes is my Direct Testimony	
on behalf of Kansas City Power & Light Company consist	ing of Five PAgos (5) pages,	
having been prepared in written form for introduction into	evidence in the above-captioned	
docket.		
3. I have knowledge of the matters set forth th	nerein. I hereby swear and affirm that	
my answers contained in the attached testimony to the que	estions therein propounded, including	
any attachments thereto, are true and accurate to the best of	of my knowledge, information and	
belief. KEN	DWORAK	
Subscribed and sworn before me this 15 th day of April, 20 Unit-V Notary Public	Dately	

My commission expires: July 26, 2009

AMY N. LATELY
Notary Public - Notary Seal
STATE OF MISSOURI
Flatte County
My Commission Expires: July 26, 2009
Commission # 05744606

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

KEN DWORAK

Case No. EE-2008-0238

1	Ų:	riease state your name and business address.
2	A:	My name is KEN DWORAK. My business address is 10100 Santa Fe Dr. Suite 114,
3		Overland Park KS 66212.
4	Q:	By whom and in what capacity are you employed?
5	A:	I am employed by KAUFFMAN CENTER FOR THE PERFORMING ARTS as
6		OWNER REPRESENTATIVE.
7	Q:	What are your responsibilities?
8	A:	My general responsibilities include Owner representation for the construction of the
9		Kauffman Center for the Performing Arts.
10	Q:	Please describe your education, experience and employment history.
11	A:	DIRECT INVOLVEMENT IN THE KAUFFMAN CENTER FOR THE
12		PERFORMING ARTS FOR THE LAST 12 YEARS.
13	Q:	Have you previously testified in a proceeding at the MPSC or before any other
14		utility regulatory agency?
15	A:	No, I have not.
16	Q:	What is the purpose of your testimony?
17	A:	The purpose of my testimony is to describe the impact on my company of the
18		Commission's decision to limit the availability of KCPL's general service all-electric

- tariffs and separately-metered space heating rates ("All-Electric/Space-Heating Rates") to
- those customers receiving service under that rate as of January 1, 2008.
- 3 Q: Was your company receiving electric service under KCPL's All-Electric/Space-
- 4 Heating Rates on January 1, 2008?
- 5 A: No, it was not.
- 6 Q: Absent the Commission's decision to limit the availability of KCPL's All-
- 7 Electric/Space-Heating Rates would your company qualify for such rates?
- 8 A: Yes, we would. In fact, we made financial decisions based on our qualification for
- 9 KCPL's All-Electric/Space-Heating Rates.
- 10 Q: Please describe the financial decisions or commitments your company made based
- upon the assumed availability of KCPL's All-Electric/Space-Heating Rates.
- 12 A: AN INITIAL STUDY WAS UNDERTAKEN TO EVALUATE ENERGY
- 13 SOURCES AVAILABLE TO THE PROJECT, INCLUDING ELECTRICITY
- 14 BASED ON AN ALL ELECTRIC RATE, GAS AND DISTRICT CHILLED
- 15 WATER AND STEAM. BASED ON THE RESULTS OF THIS STUDY AND ON
- 16 INTERVIEWS WITH THE ABOVE MENTIONED UTILITIES IT WAS
- 17 DETERMINED THAT AN ALL ELECTRIC DESIGN WAS THE MOST
- 18 ECONOMICAL FOR THE PROJECT.
- 19 Q: Has revoking the availability of KCPL's All-Electric/Space-Heating Rates altered
- 20 the financial impact of your commitments?
- 21 A: Yes, it has. THE CURRENT DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION HAS
- 22 PROGRESSED FOR OVER TWO YEARS BASED ON THESE RESULTS OF
- 23 THE ABOVE MENTIONED STUDY AND INTERVIEWS. THE

- 1 CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROJECT IS CURRENTLY TOO FAR ALONG TO
- 2 CONSIDER OTHER OPTIONS. SHOULD AN ALL ELECTRIC RATE NO
- 3 LONGER BE AVAILABLE THE OPERATING COST IMPACTS WOULD BE
- 4 SUBSTANTIAL.
- 5 Q: What would you recommend that the Commission do?
- 6 A: The Commission should grant KCPL's request for a waiver to permit my company to
- 7 receive service under KCPL's All-Electric/Space-Heating Rates.
- 8 Q: Does this conclude your testimony?
- 9 A: Yes, it does.