BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of the Empire District Electric Company, The Empire District Gas Company, Liberty Utilities (Midstates Natural Gas) Corp., and Liberty Utilities (Missouri Water), LLC for Approval of Their Cost Allocation Manual.

Case No. AO-2017-0360

MOTION TO DISMISS INTERVENOR

)

)

COMES NOW the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission, by and through counsel, and hereby moves the Commission to dismiss from this proceeding the Midwest Energy Consumers Group ("MECG"); in support of which *Motion*, Staff states:

Statement of the Case:

1. This matter arose on June 30, 2017, when Empire District Electric Company, The Empire District Gas Company, Liberty Utilities (Midstates Natural Gas) Corp., and Liberty Utilities (Missouri Water), LLC, filed their *Application for Approval of CAM, Conditional Request for Variance, and, if Necessary, Request for Waiver.*

2. On July 3, 2017, the Commission issued its Order Directing Notice and Setting Intervene Deadline.

3. On July 10, 2017, MECG filed its *Application to Intervene*, stating that it "is an incorporated association representing the interests of large commercial and industrial users of electricity and natural gas throughout the State of Missouri including in the area served by The Empire District Electric Company and The Empire District Gas Company" and "[a]s large user customers of Empire, the Applicants have a direct and immediate interest in these proceedings that is different from that of the general public." 4. On July 21, 2017, in reliance upon MECG's *Application to Intervene,* the Commission granted intervention to MECG, stating: "The Commission finds that MECG's interest in this case differs from that of the general public, and may be adversely affected by a final order arising from this case. Furthermore, the Commission finds that allowing MECG to intervene will serve the public interest."

Who is MECG?

5. Midwest Energy Consumers Group ("MECG") is a Missouri nonprofit corporation in good standing with its principal place of business located at 308 E High St, Jefferson City, Missouri 65101, and its registered agent is David Woodsmall, 807 Winston Ct., Jefferson City, MO 65101. MECG was incorporated on July 15, 2016, by David Woodsmall.¹ Its registered agent is, and has always been, David Woodsmall; Mr. Woodsmall is also MECG's President, its Secretary, and one of its three directors.² Staff believes that MECG is simply the *alter ego* of David Woodsmall.

6. According to its *Articles of Incorporation*, MECG was formed to "[r]epresent commercial and industrial customers regarding energy matters."³ MECG is not a customer of Empire. It is not a large commercial or industrial user of electricity or natural gas. Indeed, its charter does not authorize it to engage in those activities. According to its *Articles of Incorporation*, it has no members;⁴ nonetheless, it lists fifty-two "participating members" on its website,⁵ some of which are customers of Empire.

¹ Ex. A.

² Ex. B, MECG's Annual Report for 2018; Ex. C, MECG's Annual Report for 2017.

³ Ex. A, Question 8.

⁴ Ex. A, Question 6.

⁵ Ex. D.

Intervention in PSC Proceedings:

7. The Commission's rule on intervention at 20 CSR 4240-2.075 provides:

(3) The commission may grant a motion to intervene or add new member(s) if—

(A) The proposed intervenor or new member(s) has an interest which is different from that of the general public and which may be adversely affected by a final order arising from the case; or

(B) Granting the proposed intervention would serve the public interest.

8. The Commission's rule makes clear that intervention may be granted on either of two bases: Either an interest on the part of the applicant that is different from that of the general public and that may be adversely affected by the outcome of the case; or because granting intervention would serve the public interest. The rule is intended to limit intervention to (A) stakeholders and (B) non-stakeholders that, nonetheless, bring something useful to the table. A stakeholder is an entity with a demonstrable legallyprotectable interest in the subject matter of the dispute; generally an economic interest.

MECG Does Not Qualify for Intervention in this Proceeding:

9. MECG is not a stakeholder; it has no legally-protectable interest in this matter. In the language of the courts, MECG lacks *standing.*⁶ It is not itself a customer of Empire and it is not susceptible to an adverse economic impact by the Commission's decision in this case. "Standing requires that a party have a personal stake arising from a threatened or actual injury." *Schweich v. Nixon,* 408 S.W.3d 769, 774 (Mo. banc 2013). "When considering standing, there is 'no litmus test for determining whether a

⁶ "Standing is a party's right to make a legal claim or seek judicial enforcement of a duty or right." *Black's Law Dictionary* p. 1413 (7th ed., 1999).

legally protectable interest exists.' The issue is whether plaintiff has 'a pecuniary or personal interest directly at issue and subject to immediate or prospective consequential relief.' A party establishes standing, therefore, by showing that it has 'some legally protectable interest in the litigation so as to be directly and adversely affected by its outcome.'" *Id.,* at 775. When considering the standing of an incorporated association, the association's standing is dependent on the standing of its members:

In order for appellants to have standing in a representative capacity, "(1) the members must have standing to bring suit in their own right; (2) the interests the association seeks to protect must be germane to its purpose; and (3) neither the claim asserted nor the relief requested must require the participation of individual members in the lawsuit."

Querry v. State Highway & Transp. Comm'n, 60 S.W.3d 630, 634 (Mo. App., W.D.

2001). But, as already noted, MECG's Articles of Incorporation state that it has no

members. Likewise, standing to challenge an administrative agency action is dependent

upon a demonstrable adverse impact:

For a party to have standing for review under § 536.150, the agency action must directly affect the private rights of the person seeking judicial review. *Mo. Nat. Educ. Ass'n v. Mo. State Bd. of Educ.*, 34 S.W.3d 266, 275 (Mo. App., W.D. 2000).

Querry, supra, 60 S.W.3d at 636. But, MECG is not itself a customer of Empire; thus, it

cannot be adversely effected by the final decision in this matter.

MECG Should Be Dismissed From This Action:

10. Because MECG does not qualify for intervention in this matter, its

Application was improvidently granted and its intervention should now be revoked and

MECG dismissed from this proceeding. If Staff is mistaken, and MECG in fact represents

the interests of actual, specific commercial and industrial customers of Empire, then Staff

urges the Commission to require Mr. Woodsmall and MECG to identify those customers,

so that the real parties in interest to this matter are known and Staff and the other parties can pursue discovery from them.

11. To allow the real parties in interest to meddle in this case anonymously, hidden behind the screen of this incorporated association, works a very real denial of the Due Process rights of every other party to this case. If Mr. Woodsmall and MECG are truly representing the interests of commercial and industrial customers of Empire, then the other parties litigant have a manifest right to discover those interests and, where adverse, to contest them.

WHEREFORE, Staff prays that the Commission will dismiss MECG as a party in this matter, or alternatively, will require MECG to disclose the identities of the "large commercial and industrial users of electricity" whose interests it purports to represent; and grant such other and further relief as is just in the premises.

Respectfully submitted.

<u>/s/ Kevin A. Thompson</u> **KEVIN A. THOMPSON** Chief Staff Counsel Missouri Bar Number 36288

Missouri Public Service Commission Post Office Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102 573-751-6514 Voice 573-526-6969 FAX kevin.thompson@psc.mo.gov

Attorney for the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission

Certificate of Service

The undersigned certifies that the foregoing was served electronically upon all parties of record or their representatives pursuant to the Service List maintained for this case by the Commission's Data Center **on this 4th day of October, 2019.**

/s/ Kevin A. Thompson