Robin Carnahan

Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division

RULE TRANSMITTAL

Administrative Rules Stamp

RECEIVED

August 8, 2013 Data Center Missouri Public

AUG 0 7 2013

Service Commission

SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

Rule Number 4 CSR 240-31.050



Use a "SEPARATE" rule transmittal sheet for EACH individual rulemaking.

Name of person to call with quest	tions abou	it this rule:			
Content Morris Woodruff	Phone	573-751-2849	FAX	573-526-6010	
Email address morris.woodruff	@psc.mo.	.gov			
Data					
Entry Chris Koenigsfeld	Phone	573-751-4256	FAX	573-526-6010	
Email address christine.koenigs	feld@psc	.mo.gov			
Interagency mailing address Pu	blic Serv	ice Commission, 9	th Fl, Gov.	Ofc Bldg, JC, MO	
TYPE OF RULEMAKING ACTION	ON TO BE	E TAKEN			
Emergency rulemaking, include	le effectiv	e date			
☐ Withdrawal ☐ Rule Action	Notice	☐ In Addition	Rule	Under Consideration	
Order of Rulemaking Effective Date for the Order					
Statutory 30 days OR Specific	date				
Does the Order of Rulemaking contain changes to the rule text? NO					
YES—LIST THE SECTIONS WITH CHANGES, including any deleted rule text:					

Small Business Regulatory Fairness Board (DED) Stamp

SMALL DUEINESS REGULATORY FAIRNESS BOARD

RECEIVED

JCAR Stamp

JOINT COMMITTEE ON

AUG 0 7 2013

ADMINISTRATIVE RULES



Commissioners

ROBERT S. KENNEY
Chairman

TERRY M. JARRETT

STEPHEN M. STOLL

WILLIAM P. KENNEY

Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://www.psc.mo.gov JOSHUA HARDEN General Counsel

MORRIS WOODRUFF Secretary

WESS A. HENDERSON
Director of Administration
and Regulatory Policy

CHERLYN D. VOSS
Director of Regulatory Review

KEVIN A. THOMPSON Chief Staff Counsel

August 7, 2013

Jason Kander Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

Re: 4 CSR 240-31.050 Eligibility for Funding – Low-Income Customers and Disabled Customers

Dear Secretary Kander,

CERTIFICATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE RULE

I do hereby certify that the attached is an accurate and complete copy of the proposed rulemaking lawfully submitted by the Missouri Public Service Commission.

The Public Service Commission has determined and hereby certifies that this proposed rulemaking will not have an economic impact on small businesses. The Public Service Commission further certifies that it has conducted an analysis of whether there has been a taking of real property pursuant to section 536.017, RSMo 2000, that the proposed rulemaking does not constitute a taking of real property under relevant state and federal law, and that the proposed rulemaking conforms to the requirements of 1.310, RSMo Supp. 2012, regarding user fees.

The Public Service Commission has determined and hereby also certifies that this proposed rulemaking complies with the small business requirements of 1.310, RSMo Supp. 2012, in that it does not have an adverse impact on small businesses consisting of fewer than fifty full or part-time employees or it is necessary to protect the life, health, or safety of the public, or that this rulemaking complies with 1.310, RSMo Supp 2012, by exempting any small business consisting of fewer than fifty full or part-time employees from its coverage, by implementing a federal mandate, or by implementing a federal program administered by the state or an act of the general assembly.

Woodruff August 7, 2013 Certification of Administrative Rule

Statutory Authority: sections 386.250(2), 392.210.2, 392.248 and 392.470.1 RSMo 2000 and section and 392.200 RSMo Supp. 2007

If there are any questions regarding the content of this proposed rulemaking, please contact:

Morris L. Woodruff, Chief Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573) 751-2849 morris.woodruff@psc.mo.gov

Morris L. Woodruff

Chief Regulatory Law Judge

Enclosures

AFFIDAVIT

PUBLIC COST

STATE OF MISSOURI)
)
COUNTY OF COLE)

I, Mike Downing, Acting Director of the Department of Economic Development, first being duly sworn, on my oath, state that it is my opinion that the cost of proposed rescission, 4 CSR 240-31.050, is less than five hundred dollars in the aggregate to this agency, any other agency of state government or any political subdivision thereof.

Mike Downing
Acting Director

Department of Economic Development

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 15th day of July, 2013, I am commissioned as a notary public within the County of Ob., State of Missouri, and my commission expires on 15th 2015.

Notary Public

ANNETTE KEHNER
Notary Public - Notary Seal
State of Missouri
Commissioned for Cole County
My Commission Expires: July 17, 2015
Commission Number: 11492656



AUG 0 7 2013

Title 4—DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT Division 240—Public Service Commission SECRETARY OF STATE Chapter 31—[Missouri] Universal Service [Fund] ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

PROPOSED RESCISSION

4 CSR 240-31.050 Eligibility for Funding—Low-Income Customers and Disabled Customers. This rule is replaced by proposed rule 4 CSR 240-31.120, which is clearer and reflects current law and practice.

PURPOSE: This rule is rescinded because it will be replaced by a new rule that combines some sections and adds the required federal mandates concerning initial and continued eligibility for end-user customers.

AUTHORITY: sections 386.250(2), 392.210.2, 392.248, and 392.470.1, RSMo 2000 and section 392.200, RSMo Supp. 2007.* Original rule filed Aug. 15, 1997, effective April 30, 1998. Amended: Filed Oct. 30, 2002, effective July 30, 2003. Emergency amendment filed May 31, 2005, effective June 10, 2005, expired Feb. 15, 2006. Amended: Filed June 30, 2005, effective Feb. 28, 2006, Amended: Filed Nov. 20, 2007, effective June 30, 2008. Rescinded:

*Original authority: 386.250, RSMo 1939 amended 1963, 1967, 1977, 1980, 1987, 1988, 1991, 1993, 1995, 1996; 392.200, RSMo 1939, amended 1987, 1988, 1996, 2003, 2005; 392.210, RSMo 1939 amended 1984, 1987; 392.248, RSMo 1996; and 392.470.1, RSMo 1987.

PUBLIC COST: Adoption of this proposed rule will not cost affected state agencies or political subdivisions more than \$500 in the aggregate.

PRIVATE COST: Adoption of this proposed rule will not cost affected private entities more than \$500 in the aggregate.

NOTICE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS AND NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING: Anyone may file comments in support of or in opposition to this proposed rule with the Missouri Public Service Commission, Morris L. Woodruff, Secretary of the Commission, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, MO 65102. To be considered, comments must be received at the Commission's offices on or before October 16, 2013, and should include a reference to Commission Case No. TX-2012-0324. Comments may also be submitted via a filing Commission's electronic and information usina the filing svstem http://www.psc.mo.gov/efis.asp. A public hearing regarding this proposed rule is scheduled for October 21, 2013 at 10:00 a.m. in the commission's offices in the Governor Office Building, 200 Madison Street, Room 305 Jefferson City, Missouri. Interested persons may appear at this hearing to submit additional comments and/or testimony in support of or in opposition to this proposed rule, and may be asked to respond to commission questions. Any persons with special needs as addressed by the Americans with Disabilities Act should contact the Missouri Public Service Commission at least ten (10) days prior to the hearing at one (1) of the following numbers: Consumer Services Hotline 1-800-392-4211 (voice) or Relay Missouri at 711. JOINT COMMITTEE ON

AUG 0 7 2013

ADMINISTRATIVE RUISS

Small Business Regulator Fairness Board Small Business Impact Statement

Date: December 12, 2012

Rule Number: 4 CSR 240-31.050

Name of Agency Preparing Statement: Missouri Public Service

Commission

Name of Person Preparing Statement: Natelle Dietrich

Phone Number: 573-751-7427 Email: natelle.dietrich@psc.mo.gov

Name of Person Approving Statement: Natelle Dietrich

Please describe the methods your agency considered or used to reduce the impact on small businesses (examples: consolidation, simplification, differing compliance, differing reporting requirements, less stringent deadlines, performance rather than design standards, exemption, or any other mitigating technique).

N/A

Please explain how your agency has involved small businesses in the development of the proposed rule.

The Commission held workshops and solicited informal comments from affected entities, including small businesses.

Please list the probable monetary costs and benefits to your agency and any other agencies affected. Please include the estimated total amount your agency expects to collect from additionally imposed fees and how the moneys will be used.

None

Please describe small businesses that will be required to comply with the proposed rule and how they may be adversely affected.

N/A

Please list direct and indirect costs (in dollars amounts) associated with compliance.

Please list types of business that will be directly affected by, bear the cost of, or directly benefit from the proposed rule.

N/A – proposed recission of rule requirements that has been moved to 4 CSR 240-31.120.

Does the proposed rule include provisions that are more stringent than those mandated by comparable or related federal, state, or county standards?

Yes___ No_X_

If yes, please explain the reason for imposing a more stringent standard.

For further guidance in the completion of this statement, please see §536.300, RSMo.