

**Ameren Missouri**  
**Response to MIEC Data Request**  
**MPSC Case No. EO-2012-0074**  
**Second Prudence Review of Costs Subject to the Commission-Approved Fuel**  
**Adjustment Clause of Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenU Missouri.**

Data Request No.: MIEC 01-004 Diana Brewer

On page 2 of his surrebuttal testimony, Ameren witness Weiss at lines 13-16 discusses the jurisdictional allocation factors in the rate case filed in July 2009. Mr. Weiss states that the AEP and Wabash contract loads were included along with the municipalities (public authorities). In this regard, would Mr. Weiss agree:

- a. The testimony at page 2 references what was included in Ameren Missouri's filing; and
- b. In setting base rates at the end of the referenced rate case, the loads of the AEP and Wabash contracts were not included with the loads of the municipal customers for purposes of developing the jurisdictional allocation factors.

**RESPONSE**

**Prepared By: Gary S. Weiss**  
**Title: Manager Regulatory Accounting**  
**Date: June 18, 2012**

- a. Yes
- b. Yes, because an agreement was reached to change the FAC tariff starting with new rates set in ER-2010-0036 so that the exclusion from factor OSSR relating to requirements sales would thereafter be limited to requirements sales with Missouri municipalities.

MIEC Exhibit No. 12  
Date 6-25-12 Reporter KE  
File No. EO-2012-0074