Jason Kander

Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division

RULE TRANSMITTAL

Administrative Rules Stamp

RECEIVED

APR 0 1 2015

SECRETARY OF STATE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

Rule Number 4 CSR 240-28.020



Use a "SEPARATE" rule transmittal sheet for EACH individual rulemaking.

Name of person to call with quest	ions about th	is rule:	
Content Morris Woodruff	Phone <u>573-751-2849</u>		FAX <u>573-526-6010</u>
Email address morris.woodruff@p	sc.mo.gov		
Data Entry Chris Koenigsfeld	Phone 57	73-751-4256	FAX 573-526-6010
Email address christine.koenigsfel	d@psc.mo.gc	V	
*			
Interagency mailing address GOB	9th Floor		
TYPE OF RULEMAKING ACTI	ON TO BE	ΓAKEN	
Emergency rulemaking, include			
Proposed Rulemaking			
☐ Withdrawal ☐ Rule Action ?	Notice I I	n Addition	Rule Under Consideration
Request for Non-Substantive (Change		
Statement of Actual Cost			
Order of Rulemaking			
Effective Date for the Order			
Statutory 30 days OR Specific	date		
Does the Order of Rulemaking co	ntain change	s to the rule tex	t? 🗌 NO
YES—LIST THE SECTIONS	WITH CHA	NGES, includi	ing any deleted rule text:

Small Business Regulatory
Fairness Board (DED) Stamp
SMALL BUSINESS
REGULATORY FAIRNESS BOARD

APR 0 1 2015

RECEIVED





Commissioners

ROBERT S. KENNEY
Chairman

STEPHEN M. STOLL

WILLIAM P. KENNEY

DANIEL Y. HALL

SCOTT T. RUPP

Missouri Public Service Commission

POST OFFICE BOX 360 JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102 573-751-3234 573-751-1847 (Fax Number) http://www.psc.mo.gov SHELLEY BRUEGGEMANN General Counsel

MORRIS WOODRUFF Secretary

WESS A. HENDERSON Director of Administration and Regulatory Policy

CHERLYN D. VOSS
Director of Regulatory Review

KEVIN A. THOMPSON Chief Staff Counsel

April 1, 2015

Jason Kander Secretary of State Administrative Rules Division 600 West Main Street Jefferson City, Missouri 65101

Re: 4 CSR 240-28.020 General Provisions

Dear Secretary Kander,

CERTIFICATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE RULE

I do hereby certify that the attached is an accurate and complete copy of the proposed rulemaking lawfully submitted by the Missouri Public Service Commission.

The Public Service Commission has determined and hereby certifies that this proposed rulemaking will not have an economic impact on small businesses. The Public Service Commission further certifies that it has conducted an analysis of whether there has been a taking of real property pursuant to section 536.017, RSMo 2000, that the proposed rulemaking does not constitute a taking of real property under relevant state and federal law, and that the proposed rulemaking conforms to the requirements of 1.310, RSMo, regarding user fees.

The Public Service Commission has determined and hereby also certifies that this proposed rulemaking complies with the small business requirements of 1.310, RSMo, in that it does not have an adverse impact on small businesses consisting of fewer than fifty full or part-time employees or it is necessary to protect the life, health, or safety of the public, or that this rulemaking complies with 1.310, RSMo, by exempting any small business consisting of fewer than fifty full or part-time employees from its coverage, by implementing a federal mandate, or by implementing a federal program administered by the state or an act of the general assembly.

Mr. Jason Kander April 1, 2015 Page 2

Statutory Authority: sections 386.040, 386.250, 386.310, and 392.461, RSMo 2000 and 392.450, RSMo Supp. 2013

If there are any questions regarding the content of this proposed rulemaking, please contact:

Morris L. Woodruff, Chief Regulatory Law Judge Missouri Public Service Commission 200 Madison Street P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102 (573) 751-2849 morris.woodruff@psc.mo.gov

Morris L. Woodruff

Morris L. Woodruff

Chief Regulatory Law Judge

Enclosures

AFFIDAVIT

PUBLIC COST

STATE OF MISSOURI)
)
COUNTY OF COLE)

I, Mike Downing, Director of the Department of Economic Development, first being duly sworn, on my oath, state that it is my opinion that the cost of proposed amendment to rule, 4 CSR 240-28.020, is less than five hundred dollars in the aggregate to this agency, any other agency of state government or any political subdivision thereof.

Mike Downing

Director/

Department of Economic Development

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 12 day of 4, 2014, I am commissioned as a notary public within the County of 6, State of Missouri, and my commission expires on 1, State of

Notary Public

ANNETTE KEHNER
Notary Public - Notary Seal
State of Missouri
Commissioned for Cole County
My Commission Expires: July 17, 2015
Commission Number: 11492656



Division 240 – Public Service Commission DMINISTRATIVE RULES
Chapter 28 – Telecommunications, I-VoIP, Video Services

4 CSR 240-28.020 General Provisions

PURPOSE: This rule describes the general requirements applicable to providers of telecommunications, IVoIP, video, payphone, and shared tenant services in Missouri. Additional, specific requirements are identified in other Chapters.

- (1) Any company offering intrastate telecommunications service, IVoIP service, payphone service or shared tenant service shall be certificated or registered by the commission prior to offering or selling such service in Missouri. A company without such certification or registration may offer services provided by a certificated or registered company only if all marketing, website and billing information clearly indicates the service is provided by the certificated or registered company.
- (2) A company may seek video service authorization from the commission pursuant to Sections 67.2675 RSMo et seq.
- (3) All companies receiving certification or registration from the commission shall maintain updated contact information. Any company with telecommunications or IVoIP service certification or registration is subject to additional reporting requirements.
- (4) Any company offering intrastate telecommunications service may maintain a tariff with the commission for the provision of retail telecommunications services or may publish a description and rates, for such services on a publicly-accessible web site. A company providing payphone or shared tenant services is not subject to any tariff requirements.
- (5) Interconnection agreements and any adoptions or amendments thereto shall be filed with and approved by the commission as a condition of effectiveness of the agreements.
- (6) The commission may grant a waiver or variance from any provision of this chapter for good cause, upon request or upon its own motion. A party requesting a waiver or variance shall file an application with the commission setting out the reason for its request. An application for a waiver shall comply with the application requirements in 4 CSR 240-2.060.

AUTHORITY: Sections 386.040, 386.250 and 386.310, 392.461, RSMo 2000, and 392.450 RSMo (Supp. 2013.)

Original authority: 386.040, RSMo 1939; 386.250 RSMo 1939, amended 1963, 1967, 1977, 1980, 1987, 1988, 1991, 1993, 1995, 1996; 386.310 RSMo 1939, amended 1979, 1989, 1996; 392.461, RSMo, and 392.450, RSMo (Supp. 2013).



PUBLIC COST: This proposed rule will not cost state agencies or political subdivisions more than \$500.00 in the aggregate.

PRIVATE COST: This proposed rule will not cost private entities more than \$500.00 in the aggregate.

NOTICE TO SUBMIT COMMENTS AND NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING: Anyone may file comments in support of or in opposition to this proposed rule with the Missouri Public Service Commission, Morris L. Woodruff, Secretary of the Commission, PO Box 360, Jefferson City, MO 65102. To be considered, comments must be received at the commission's offices on or before June 29, 2015, and should include a reference to Commission Case No. TX-2015-0097. Comments may also be submitted via a filing using the commission's electronic filing and information system at http://www.psc.mo.gov/efis.asp. A public hearing regarding this proposed rule is scheduled for July 6, 2015, at 10:00 a.m., in Room 305 of the Governor Office Building, 200 Madison St., Jefferson City, Missouri. Interested persons may appear at this hearing to submit additional comments and/or testimony in support of or in opposition to this proposed rule, and may be asked to respond to commission questions. Any persons with special needs as addressed by the Americans with Disabilities Act should contact the Missouri Public Service Commission at least ten (10) days prior to the hearing at one (1) of the following numbers: Consumer Services Hotline 1-800-392-4211 or TDD Hotline 1-800-829-7541.

Small Business Regulator Fairness Board Small Business Impact Statement

Date: October 28, 2014

Rule Number: 4 CSR 240-28.020

Name of Agency Preparing Statement: Missouri Public Service

Commission

Name of Person Preparing Statement: Kari Salsman

Phone Number: 573 526-5630 Email: kari.salsman@psc.mo.gov

Name of Person Approving Statement: Natelle Dietrich

Please describe the methods your agency considered or used to reduce the impact on small businesses (examples: consolidation, simplification, differing compliance, differing reporting requirements, less stringent deadlines, performance rather than design standards, exemption, or any other mitigating technique).

Proposed rule simplifies and streamlines rules, which will reduce any impact on small businesses.

Please explain how your agency has involved small businesses in the development of the proposed rule.

The Commission held workshops and solicited informal comments from affected entities, including small businesses.

Please list the probable monetary costs and benefits to your agency and any other agencies affected. Please include the estimated total amount your agency expects to collect from additionally imposed fees and how the moneys will be used.

None.

Please describe small businesses that will be required to comply with the proposed rule and how they may be adversely affected.

Telecommunications companies and IVoIP providers will be required to comply with the proposed rule.

Please list direct and indirect costs (in dollars amounts) associated with compliance.

N/A

Please list types of business that will be directly affected by, bear the cost of, or directly benefit from the proposed rule.

Telecommunications companies and IVoIP providers.

Does the proposed rule include provisions that are more stringent than those mandated by comparable or related federal, state, or county standards?

Yes___ No_X_

If yes, please explain the reason for imposing a more stringent standard.

For further guidance in the completion of this statement, please see §536.300, RSMo.