

**STATE OF MISSOURI
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

At a session of the Public Service Commission held at its office in Jefferson City on the 9th day of October, 2013.

In the Matter of the 2013 KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company Annual IRP Update Report) **File No. EO-2013-0538**
)

**NOTICE ACKNOWLEDGING KCP&L GREATER MISSOURI OPERATIONS
COMPANY'S ANNUAL UPDATE REPORT AND CLOSING FILE**

Issue Date: October 9, 2013

The Commission's Electric Utility Resource Planning Rule requires each Missouri electric utility to submit an annual update to its triennial compliance filing in each year for which it is not required to submit a new triennial compliance filing.¹ KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company ("GMO") made a triennial compliance filing in 2012 and its next triennial compliance filing is due in 2015.² As required by the regulation, GMO filed an Integrated Resource Plan Update and conducted an annual update workshop. The company filed a required summary report regarding that workshop on July 22, 2013.³

The regulation allows stakeholders to file comments regarding the utility's annual update report and summary report within thirty days after the utility files the summary report.⁴ On August 20⁵, Dogwood Energy, LLC filed comments. The following day, the Missouri Department of Natural Resources (MDNR), the Sierra Club, the Office of the

¹ Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-22.080(3).

² Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-22.080(1)(A).

³ Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-22.080(3)(C).

⁴ Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-22.080(3)(D).

⁵ Calendar dates are 2013 unless otherwise noted.

Public Counsel, and the Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC) each filed comments regarding KCP&L's annual update report and workshop summary report.

MDNR's comments praise KCP&L for having done a thorough job in updating its 2012 IRP. MDNR, however, still has reservations with KCP&L's adherence to a jointly determined resource acquisition strategy, even though GMO's actions are outside KCP&L's control. Overall, MDNR stated the update "provided the information at a level of depth and detail that was appropriate to these changes and issues."

Dogwood, OPC, Sierra Club and NRDC's comments express concern that GMO's annual update does not contain sufficient analysis of changing conditions and fails to address unresolved issues from the company's 2012 IRP filing. Both Sierra Club and NRDC ask for hearings.

GMO responded to the comments on September 13. The company acknowledges the issues identified by the commenting parties, and represents that it will be filing another IRP Annual Update in March, 2014.

Commission Rule 4 CSR 240-22.080(3) establishes a utility's obligation to host an annual update workshop and to file an annual update report following that workshop. 4 CSR 240-22.080(3)(D) allows stakeholders an opportunity to file comments regarding the utility's annual update report, but that rule does not allow for a hearing regarding the annual update report and it does not authorize the Commission to take any action regarding that

report. As a result, there is no need for further decision or action by the Commission at this time. Therefore, the Commission will close this file.



BY THE COMMISSION

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Morris L. Woodruff".

Morris L. Woodruff
Secretary

R. Kenney, Chm., Stoll,
W. Kenney, and Hall, CC., concur.

Pridgin, Senior Regulatory Law Judge